



**Integration of reservoir simulation, history matching, and 4D seismic
for CO₂-EOR and storage at Cranfield, Mississippi, USA**

GCCC Publication Series #2016-01

**M. Alfi
S.A. Hosseini**

Keywords: seismic 4d, CO₂-EOR, fluid flow model

Cited as:

Alfi, Masoud, and Seyyed A. Hosseini, 2016, Integration of reservoir simulation, history matching, and 4D seismic for CO₂-EOR and storage at Cranfield, Mississippi, USA, GCCC Publication Series #2016-01, originally published in *Fuel*, 175, 116-128.



**BUREAU OF
ECONOMIC
GEOLOGY**



TEXAS Geosciences
Bureau of Economic Geology
Jackson School of Geosciences
The University of Texas at Austin

Abstract

In this paper, we compare 4D seismic interpretations of CO₂ plume evolution with fluid-flow numerical simulation results for Cranfield, Mississippi. Historic pressure trends, oil and gas production rates, and current CO₂-EOR production data from the field were history matched, and a tuned model was used for predictive simulations.

For CO₂-EOR operations, numerical simulation results of the CO₂ plume distribution and CO₂ first arrival (breakthrough) times in production wells were compared to the available field data. Three interpretations of 4D seismic data show discrepancies on the edges of the seismic survey, and along the sealing fault, where numerical simulations show high CO₂ saturations. In areas between these two limits, the match between simulation and 4D seismic interpretation improves. In addition, for most of the production wells, comparison of the breakthrough time of CO₂ showed a reasonable match.

The tuned model was then used to predict reservoir response and storage capacity in different field development scenarios under CO₂ injection. We compared hypothetical scenarios where the operator transitions from CO₂-EOR to CO₂ injection without oil production (CO₂-EORT) when oil production is not economical anymore, to a scenario of continuing with CO₂-EOR. Our results show that CO₂-EOR can store more CO₂ and operations will last longer, whereas if switched to CO₂-EORT, the field must be abandoned earlier because of spillover of the CO₂ plume. However, the amount of CO₂ stored per year is larger for CO₂-EORT as compared to CO₂-EOR.