

July 18, 1967

Mr. Raymond C. Moore
Office of the Editor
University of Kansas
Lawrence, Kansas

Dear Ray:

As concerns the p. 114-116 of my manuscript, entitled Maximal Sizes & Weights, your newly proposed arrangement and typescript are approved in principle. The following are objectionable points, however: (1) ligament area vs ligamental area. Has this change been done everywhere in the text, for example, on p. 30 and in the glossary? If that has been done throughout the manuscript, the use of ligament area in preference over ligamental area is just tolerable, although not recommendable according to my language feeling. Ligament area creates in me the impression of area in square centimeters occupied by the ligament. If it is ligament area in the glossary, it might be left alone. It's arguable. It should be the same as in glossary (2) Reference to item (4) of p. 114, the large Japanese Crassostrea gigas, is PILSBRY, 1890, p. 95. The following is the literature reference to it:

Pilsbry, H. A.,

1890 Ostrea gigas Thunberg: Nautilus, v. 4, no. 8, p. 95, December 22.

(3) marnes argillocalcaires vs argillocalcaire. It is argiles for clays in French; only one l. However, I have not had a chance to check the book by SERRES. (4) Under item 10 give as reference [STENZEL, personal collection].

Cordially,

Henryk Stenzel

HBS:oa

Enclosures - 6

bc: Geology binder
Dr. H. B. Stenzel ✓