Changing U.S. Students' Mindsets about Learning Improves Academic Achievement

David S. Yeager, Paul Hanselman, Robert Crosnoe, and Chandra Muller

INTRODUCTION

In the United States, many students' grades drop in the transition between middle school and high school and often never recover. This drop can lead to students underperforming in their high school coursework or opting out of more challenging coursework, which can make them less likely to graduate high school prepared for college. In this way, problems in the transition between 8th and 9th grades can grow over time, resulting in large educational and earning disparities in adulthood.

One promising intervention to help students successfully navigate the transition to high school involves encouraging students to see their intellectual abilities as something that can grow (like a muscle) rather than as something fixed (like eye color). This growth mindset of intelligence intervention encourages students to grow their intelligence through dedicated effort: seeking challenges, trying new strategies, and asking for help when they are stuck. This is in contrast to a fixed mindset of intelligence, which can lead students to think that being challenged or having to work hard means that they are not "naturally" intelligent. Students with a growth mindset can be motivated to seek more rigorous learning experiences and to persist when they encounter difficulties. These behaviors can be reinforced if peers and instructors in the school also view dedicated effort and learning challenges positively.

This brief reports on a short, online growth mindset intervention in a nationally-representative sample. It is the first longitudinal study to evaluate the effects of an adolescent behavior-change intervention of any kind in a nationally-representative sample of U.S. public high schools.

In this study, the National Study of Learning Mindsets, 9th grade students were randomly assigned to learn about the growth mindset (intervention group) or about brain functions (control group). The authors then compared each group's educational outcomes. For example, they compared students' 9th grade point average in core courses (mathematics, English language arts, science, and social studies) and whether they took more challenging math courses in 10th grade. The authors were also able to identify the school contexts that supported the positive impact of the intervention.

KEY FINDINGS

- Both lower- and higher-achieving students benefited academically from the growth mindset intervention. On average, lower-achieving students who took the program earned significantly higher grades in 9th grade and both higher- and lower-achieving students selected more challenging math courses in 10th grade.
- Students at schools with more supportive learning climates experienced the largest gains. Lower-achieving students who received the intervention at schools in which the peer climate (the "norms") supported the pursuit of challenging work saw the largest improvements in their grades. Higher-achieving students who received the intervention at the most supportive schools also experienced gains in their GPA (see figure, next page).



The growth mindset intervention improved students' academic performance more in schools with more supportive peer norms

This figure¹ shows that students' grade point average on core subjects (mathematics, English language arts, science, and social studies) were higher in the group that received the intervention relative to the control group for students in schools that were supportive of the growth mindset message. Lower-achieving students experienced the largest improvements; higher-achieving students who received the intervention at the most supportive schools also chose to take harder math classes, indicating that the intervention also helped higher-achieving students.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Many common practices in high schools, including how teachers grade assignments and how students are tracked, could communicate to students that their abilities are fixed. The authors demonstrate that U.S. public school 9th grade students who took the growth mindset curriculum and learned that their abilities can grow earned higher GPAs and took more challenging courses. They also show that students improved even more in schools that were more supportive of a growth mindset philosophy.

The <u>intervention is available at no cost</u> to all secondary schools in the United States and Canada. Therefore, this short growth mindset intervention, which is provided directly to students and improves their academic achievement, is cost-effective and could be widely implemented throughout North American schools.

REFERENCE

¹Yeager, D.S., Hanselman, P., Walton, G.W., Murray, J., Crosnoe, R., Muller, C., Tipton, E., Schneider, B, Hulleman, C.S., Hinojosa, C.P., Paunesku, D., Romero, C., Flint, K., Roberts, A., Trott, J., Iachan, R., Buontempo, J., Hooper, S.Y., Carvalho, C., Hahn, P.R., Gopalan, M., Mhatre, P., Ferguson, R., Duckworth, A.L., & Dweck, C.S. (2019). A national experiment reveals where a growth mindset improves achievement. *Nature*.

SUGGESTED CITATION

Yeager, D.S., Hanselman, P., Crosnoe, R., & Muller, C. (2019). Changing U.S. students' mindsets about learning improves academic achievement. *PRC Research Brief 4*(7). DOI: 10.26153/tsw/2837.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

David S. Yeager (<u>dyeager@utexas.edu</u>) is an associate professor of psychology at The University of Texas at Austin and a faculty research associate at the Population Research Center. Paul Hanselman is an assistant professor of sociology at the University of California, Irvine; in 2016-17, Hanselman was a postdoctoral fellow at the PRC. Robert Crosnoe is associate dean for research and graduate studies in the College of Liberal Arts at UT Austin; Crosnoe and Chandra Muller are professors of sociology at UT Austin and faculty research associates at the PRC.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding was provided by the Raikes Foundation, the William T. Grant Foundation, the Spencer Foundation, the Bezos Family Foundation, the Character Lab, the Houston Endowment, the Yidan Prize for Education Research, the National Science Foundation under grant number HRD 1761179, a personal gift from Angela Duckworth, and the President and Dean of Humanities and Social Sciences at Stanford University. Preparation of the manuscript was supported by National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (Grant No. 10.13039/100000071 R01HD084772-01), P2C-HD042849 (to the Population Research Center [PRC] at The University of Texas at Austin). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health and the National Science Foundation.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The Growth Mindset for 9th Graders intervention tested in the study is freely available to schools in the U.S. and Canada at: <u>https://www.perts.net/orientation/hg</u>.



The University of Texas at Austin Population Research Center (PRC) aims to provide outstanding infrastructure resources and sustain a dynamic interdisciplinary culture geared toward facilitating the highest level of cutting-edge, population-related research. Our researchers' projects focus primarily on Family Demography and Intergenerational Relationships; Education, Work, and Inequality; Population Health; and Reproductive Health. www.liberalarts.utexas.edu/prc

