

March 25, 1968

Professor Raymond C. Moore
Kansas Geological Survey
University of Kansas
Lawrence, Kansas 66045

Dear Ray:

The big bundle of typescript and figures, mailed March 15, arrived safely. Thank you. However, your reproducing machine failed to make legible copy in many instances, and for that reason, I have not been able to make a thorough check of the materials.

The following are remarks on these materials:

- *Fig. 1 Legend, ~~one~~2 corrections (deletion), is returned
- Fig. 2 Legend is returned *(and word change)*
- Fig. 3 Legend is returned, partly illegible
- Fig. 4 Legend is returned
- Fig. 5 Legend is illegible, returned
- Fig. 6 Legend has one change (stet), returned
- Fig. 7 Figure is approved and final, retained here
- Fig. 7 Legend is returned
- Fig. 8 Legend in part illegible; it is returned
- Fig. 10 Legend is returned
- Fig. 11 Legend, one change (lies), returned
- Fig. 12 Legend, one change (deletion), returned
- Fig. 13 Legend approved and final, returned
- Fig. 13 Figure approved and final, retained
- Fig. 14 Legend approved and final, returned
- Fig. 14 Figure. I have not received copy.
- Fig. 15 Legend approved and final, retained.
- Fig. 16 Figure is approved and final, retained. Your spacing of A, B, and C, as corrected now, is excellent.
- Fig. 16 Legend is approved and final, retained
- Fig. 17 Legend is approved and final, retained
- Fig. 18 Figure is approved and final, retained

Your remarks concerning the length of the one pointing line on Fig. 18 are correct. My bitemporal hemianopsia played a trick on me.

COPY

March 25, 1968

- Fig. 19 Legend is approved and final, retained
Fig. 20 Legend is approved and final, retained
Fig. 21 Legend is approved and final, retained
Fig. 22 Was returned in separate letter
Fig. 23 Legend. Add "Sections are cut along track of myostraca."
in text. Returned. Question: is myostracum in Glossary?
Fig. 23 Figure. Add in each figure: "Calcite replacement of
myostracum" and the two pointing lines. Figure is returned.
Fig. 25 Figure. Add "Prism shell layer" in two places and keep
the two pointing lines parallel. Returned
Fig. 25 Legend. Revised legend is retained
Fig. 26 Legend may need revision when new photo is made, retained
Fig. 26 New fig. 1b is to be furnished
Fig. 31 Legend is approved and final, returned.
Fig. 32 Legend must be changed. Retain "a specimen of". Reason:
If "a specimen of" is deleted, the text of the legend implies
that the species, i.e., all specimens of it, has multiple resilifers,
which is not the case. This specimen, out of several inspected,
is the only one that has multiple resilifers.
Fig. 65 Figure is approved and final, retained
Fig. 65 Legend is approved and final, returned.
Fig. 95 Legend: one change. Add "the" in "survived by the ani-
mals", returned
Fig. 89 Legend is approved and final; retained
Fig. 89 Figure. I cannot check the figure until the Erdmann,
1934, article is returned. Figure is retained.
Fig. 212 Figure is approved and final, retained
Fig. 212 Legend is approved and final, retained
Fig. 266 Legend. Change "Thins" to "Thin," under 2a first line;
otherwise legend is approved, retained
Fig. 266 Figure is approved and final, retained
Fig. 280 Legend is approved and final, retained

Concerning your 2-page letter of March 15, I shall try to design legends to fit your prescription. However, I am not always in a position to surmise which figures can be arranged for 2-column width and which for 1-column width of book. I shall try.

You are apparently keeping excellent records of the status of each Stenzel illustration. However, I have not crosschecked

C O P Y

Professor Raymond C. Mopre -3-

March 25, 1968

your data sheets with my file. Spot checks turned out good.

The other items listed on p. 2 of your letter have been answered in the upper part of this letter.

I notice your editorial style removes all year data at end of species names; see Crassostrea titan (Conrad) vs. Crassostrea titan (Conrad, 1853) in my legend to Fig. 12; original copy. Fine and good, but how is the reader going to find original description of species if the author has published several articles and these several articles are all listed under references. In the case of Conrad, 1853, it so happens that I have listed only this publication among references, although Conrad published very many small, hard-to-find papers. Only his 1853 paper was needed for the oysters, but what about Dall or Douville? Nothing is so infuriating to the attentive reader as obscure traces to desired literature. In my text, all species mentioned in legends and page texts have authors and dates and both are easily found in the references. I think this careful procedure is worth preserving.

Thank you very much for the copies of the several pages of ms. text. Please correct on p. 273A, your copy, line 4, "G. B. SOWERBY, JR., 1852, p. 259" not 1952. Please correct on p. 280D, your copy, line 10, "Sokolowia" for "Sokowia". Same page, line 13, "here" for "have". Please furnish copies of legends returned to you after you have checked and edited them.

Cordially,

H. B. Stenzel
Visiting Professor of Geology

HBS:cb

Encl: 11 pages

COPY