



Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 19615

Phase and redox shifted four iron/four sulfur clusters: fluorous analogs of metalloenzyme cofactors†

Cheng-Pan Zhang,‡^a Zhenxing Xi,^a Kory M. Mueller,^b Bradley J. Holliday,^b Hassan S. Bazzi*^c and John A. Gladysz*^a

Reactions of (1) $[Q]_2[Fe_4S_4(SC(CH_3)_3)_4]$ and the fluorous thiols $HS(CH_2)_nR_{f8}$ ($n = 2, 3$; $R_{f8} = (CF_2)_7CF_3$), or (2) $[Na]_2[Fe_4S_4(S(CH_2)_nR_{f8})_4]$ ($n = 2, 3$) and $[PhCH_2P((CH_2)_3R_{f6})_3][Br]$ or $[PPN][Cl]$ ($PPN = Ph_3P^+N^--PPh_3$), give the title compounds $[Q]_2[Fe_4S_4(S(CH_2)_nR_{f8})_4]$, comprised of a fluorous dianion and in some cases fluorous cations, with (1) $Q/n = Ph_4P/2$ (**4**, 67%), $Ph_4P/3$ (**5**, 67%), $Me_4N/3$ (69%), and $Ph_3P(CH_2)_2R_{f6}/2$ (73%) or (2) $PhCH_2P((CH_2)_3R_{f6})_3/2$ (**14**, 39%), $PhCH_2P((CH_2)_3R_{f6})_3/3$ (**15**, 63%), and $PPN/2$ (36%). The educt $[Ph_3P(CH_2)_2R_{f6}]_2[Fe_4S_4(SC(CH_3)_3)_4]$ is in turn prepared from $FeCl_3$, $HSC(CH_3)_3/CH_3ONa$, and $[Ph_3P(CH_2)_2R_{f6}][I]$, and the educts $[Na]_2[Fe_4S_4(S(CH_2)_nR_{f8})_4]$ from $[Na]_2[Fe_4S_4(SC(CH_3)_3)_4]$ and $HS(CH_2)_nR_{f8}$. The 1H and ^{13}C NMR signals of these paramagnetic salts appear 8.7–10.3 and 32.3–34.9 ppm downfield from those of the corresponding thiols, but the chemical shifts of other signals are nearly normal. The UV-visible spectra show bands similar to those of non-fluorous analogs (290–298 nm and 406–415 nm; $\epsilon = 25\,700$ and $19\,200\ M^{-1}\ cm^{-1}$ for **5**). The singly fluorous salts are soluble in organic solvents of moderate polarity, but not in fluorous solvents. The doubly fluorous salts **14**, **15** are soluble in all fluorous solvents assayed, with partition coefficients of $>99.65 : <0.35$ ($CF_3C_6F_{11}/toluene$) and $93.2-93.1 : 6.9-6.8$ ($FC-72/THF$). Cyclic voltammograms carried out using a platinum working microelectrode show that **4** is 0.08 V thermodynamically easier to reduce than **5**.

Received 25th May 2015,
Accepted 20th July 2015
DOI: 10.1039/c5dt01959a

www.rsc.org/dalton

Introduction

Iron/sulfur clusters are pervasive throughout biology,¹ and approximately cubic Fe_4S_4 systems featuring four iron bound thiolate ligands constitute one of the most prominent classes.² During the sixties and seventies, syntheses of model compounds of the formula $nQ^+[Fe_4S_4(SR)_4]^{n-}$ were developed, with Q^+ typically an alkali metal, ammonium, or phosphonium cation.^{2a,3-5} Their “electron reservoir” properties were studied in detail; species could be generated in five redox states, with $n = 0$ ($4Fe(III)$), 1 ($3Fe(III)/1Fe(II)$), 2 ($2Fe(III)/2Fe(II)$), 3 ($1Fe(III)/3Fe(II)$), and 4 ($4Fe(II)$).⁶ Spectroscopic features were carefully compared with those of related iron–sulfur proteins,⁷ and a

number of complexes were tested as components of functional models for various metalloenzymes.⁸

More recently, analogs in which the thiolates have been replaced by cyanide or “modern” N-heterocyclic carbene ligands, $nQ^+[Fe_4S_4(CN)_4]^{n-}$ or $[Fe_4S_4(NHC)_4]^{n-}$, have been reported.^{9,10} Consistent with the markedly different electronic properties of these ligands, the redox potentials shift dramatically, allowing the first isolations of “all ferrous” ($4Fe(II)$) clusters. This proved of special interest in view of evidence supporting an all ferrous iron/sulfur assembly in one of the two nitrogenase proteins from *Azotobacter vinelandii*.¹¹

Horváth, Rábai, our group, and many others have demonstrated that by introducing appropriate numbers of perfluoroalkyl groups ($(CF_2)_{n-1}CF_3 = R_{fn}$) of sufficient lengths, a variety of neutral molecules can be rendered soluble in nonpolar fluorous liquid phases, such as perfluorohexanes (FC-72).¹² We have also shown that many ionic compounds,¹³ such as organic ammonium and phosphonium salts, as well as salts of inorganic polycations,¹⁴ can similarly be rendered soluble in fluorous phases.^{15,16} As such, it was natural to speculate whether the right combination of fluorous thiolate substituents and fluorous cations might render salts of the type $nQ^+[Fe_4S_4(SR)_4]^{n-}$ soluble in fluorous media. In addition to this

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, P.O. Box 30012, College Station, Texas 77842-3012, USA. E-mail: gladysz@mail.chem.tamu.edu

^bDepartment of Chemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, USA. E-mail: bholliday@cm.utexas.edu

^cDepartment of Chemistry, Texas A&M University at Qatar, P.O. Box 23874, Doha, Qatar. E-mail: bazzi@tamu.edu

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Representative NMR and UV-visible spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/c5dt01959a

‡ Present address: School of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Life Science, Wuhan University of Technology, 205 Luoshi Road, Wuhan 430070, China.

“phase shift”, the thiolate based R_{fn} moieties should also shift redox potentials, rendering reductions to ferrous rich states thermodynamically more favorable.

This line of investigation could have practical aspects. Although “nothing sticks to Teflon”, small nonpolar molecules retain appreciable solubilities in fluoruous solvents.¹⁵ Such fluids possess very low “cavitation energies”,¹⁷ creating space for small nonpolar guests but offering little in the way of enthalpically favorable interactions. For this reason, various perfluorinated media have been studied as oxygen carriers or blood substitutes, and even commercialized for this purpose.¹⁸ In this context, the central role of iron/sulfur clusters in nitrogen fixation is well established.¹⁹ The reactants nitrogen and hydrogen have, as with oxygen, appreciable solubilities in fluoruous solvents, as tabulated below.^{20,21} Hence, this represents a tantalizing but to our knowledge overlooked environment for nitrogen fixation. Furthermore, since the reduction products hydrazine and ammonia are highly polar, they should have very low solubilities in fluoruous solvents and readily phase separate.

Accordingly, in this paper we report convenient syntheses of the paramagnetic 2Fe(III)/2Fe(II) iron/sulfur cluster salts $[Q]_2[Fe_4S_4(S(CH_2)_nR_{f8})_4]$, which feature a fluoruous dianion and cations that exhibit a range of fluorophilicities: non-fluorous, “light fluoruous”, and “heavy fluoruous”.²² Their spectroscopic and phase properties are investigated, and redox characteristics analyzed, thus providing a solid baseline for future applications of these unique complexes.

Results

Syntheses of fluoruous iron/sulfur clusters


The *t*-butylthiolate substituted iron/sulfur cluster salts $[Ph_4P]_2[Fe_4S_4(SC(CH_3)_3)_4]$ (**1**) and $[Me_4N]_2[Fe_4S_4(SC(CH_3)_3)_4]$ (**2**) were prepared by literature procedures as depicted in Scheme 1.³ These compounds have been shown to react with slight excesses of thiols (HSR) to give substitution products of the formula $[Q]_2[Fe_4S_4(SR)_4]$.^{4,5} Accordingly, the fluoruous aliphatic thiols $HS(CH_2)_nR_{f8}$ (**3a**, $n = 2$; **3b**, $n = 3$), which feature two and three “methylene spacer” segments, were synthesized as previously described.²³

As shown in Scheme 1, a CH_3CN solution of the phosphonium salt **1** was treated with the “two spacer” thiol **3a** (6.25 equiv.). Workup gave the target cluster $[Ph_4P]_2[Fe_4S_4(S(CH_2)_2R_{f8})_4]$ (**4**) as a dark brown solid in 67% yield. A similar reaction with the “three spacer” thiol **3b** (5.0 equiv.) gave the homolog $[Ph_4P]_2[Fe_4S_4(S(CH_2)_3R_{f8})_4]$ (**5**) in 67% yield. When the ammonium salt **2** and **3b** were similarly reacted, $[Me_4N]_2[Fe_4S_4(S(CH_2)_3R_{f8})_4]$ (**6**) was isolated in 69% yield. The characterization of these complexes is described below.


Salts with both fluoruous anions and cations were sought. Efforts began with a sequence similar to that used to prepare **1** and **2** (Scheme 1). Thus, an anhydrous CH_3OH solution of CH_3ONa and $HSC(CH_3)_3$ (*ca.* 4 equiv. each; the latter also serves as a reductant) was treated with anhydrous $FeCl_3$ (1.0 equiv.) and then elemental sulfur (1.0 equiv.). After a filtration step (to give a solution of a disodium salt described below), the previously reported “light fluoruous” phosphonium salt $[Ph_3P(CH_2)_2R_{f6}][I]$ (**7**; ²⁴ 0.75 equiv. or a 1.5 fold excess) was added. Workup gave crude $[Ph_3P(CH_2)_2R_{f6}]_2[Fe_4S_4(SC(CH_3)_3)_4]$ (**8**) as a black solid in *ca.* 25% yield. The NMR spectrum indicated a purity of *ca.* 90%, which despite extensive attempts could not be improved. However, this sufficed for subsequent chemistry.

Next, a CH_3CN solution of **8** was treated with the “two spacer” thiol $HS(CH_2)_2R_{f8}$ (**3a**, 6.0 equiv.). Workup as above gave the target doubly fluoruous salt $[Ph_3P(CH_2)_2R_{f6}]_2[Fe_4S_4(S(CH_2)_2R_{f8})_4]$ (**9**) as a dark brown solid in 73% yield. This sample was pure by NMR, although the carbon microanalysis was slightly high (31.69% vs. 31.02% calcd).

Approaches to doubly fluoruous systems involving cation metatheses were then investigated. First, an anhydrous CH_3OH solution of CH_3ONa , $HSC(CH_3)_3$ (*ca.* 4 equiv. each), $FeCl_3$ (1.0 equiv.), and elemental sulfur (1.0 equiv.) were combined as in Scheme 1. An intermediate workup gave the crude *t*-butylthiolate substituted disodium salt $[Na]_2[Fe_4S_4(SC(CH_3)_3)_4]$ (**10**) as a black solid. Others have similarly generated this species and exchanged the thiolate ligands *in situ*.³ Thus, as shown in Scheme 2, the fluoruous thiols **3a,b** (*ca.* 4 equiv.) were added, and workups gave the new disodium salts $[Na]_2[Fe_4S_4(S(CH_2)_nR_{f8})_4]$ (**11**, $n = 2$, 81%; **12**, $n = 3$, 50%). The former could only be obtained in crude form, but the latter was pure by NMR. Complex **11** could also be prepared in com-



Scheme 1 Syntheses of fluoruous iron/sulfur clusters by thiolate ligand exchange.



Scheme 2 Syntheses of fluororous iron/sulfur clusters by cation exchange.

parable yield and purity in a single step from FeCl_3 , a CH_3OH solution of CH_3ONa , elemental sulfur, and **3a** in place of $\text{HSC}(\text{CH}_3)_3$.

Next, a “heavy fluororous” phosphonium salt was sought. Thus, as depicted in Scheme 2 (bottom), the known “heavy fluororous” phosphine $\text{P}((\text{CH}_2)_3\text{R}_{f6})_3$ ²⁵ and benzyl bromide were reacted in toluene at 100 °C. Workup gave the new salt $[\text{PhCH}_2\text{P}((\text{CH}_2)_3\text{R}_{f6})_3][\text{Br}]$ (**13**) as a colorless sticky but analytically pure liquid in 64% yield. The fluororous disodium salts **11** and **12** were then combined with **13** (2.0 equiv.) in MeOH. Workups gave the dark brown doubly fluororous salts $[\text{PhCH}_2\text{P}((\text{CH}_2)_3\text{R}_{f6})_3]_2[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_4(\text{S}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{R}_{f8})_4]$ (**14**) and $[\text{PhCH}_2\text{P}((\text{CH}_2)_3\text{R}_{f6})_3]_2[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_4(\text{S}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{R}_{f8})_4]$ (**15**) in 39% and 63% yields, respectively. The lower yield of the former can be attributed to the lower purity of the precursor **11**.

Extensive attempts were made to grow single crystals of the preceding salts. However, in only one case was a crystal obtained that diffracted. The perfluoroalkyl chains were hope-

lessly disordered, although refinement unambiguously located the $(\text{FeS})_4\text{S}_4$ core and two Ph_4P^+ cations. In another effort to obtain a crystalline sample, crude **11** and $[\text{PPN}][\text{Cl}]$ ²⁶ ($\text{PPN} = \text{Ph}_3\text{P}^+\text{N}^-\text{PPh}_3$) were combined in degassed MeOH. Workup gave the salt $[\text{PPN}]_2[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_4(\text{S}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{R}_{f8})_4]$ (**16**) as dark brown plates in 36% yield. However, crystallization again failed.

Properties of fluororous iron/sulfur clusters

The fluororous iron/sulfur clusters **4–6**, **9** and **14–16** were characterized by a variety of techniques, but due to their lower purities, the synthetic intermediates **8** and **11** were not further examined. The doubly fluororous salts (**14**, **15**) gave satisfactory microanalyses. However, the carbon values of other salts were slightly off (0.67–0.70% for **4** and **9**), and in two cases the sulfur values similarly deviated (**12**, **16**).

As summarized in Table 1, solubilities were qualitatively surveyed. All of the singly fluororous salts (**4–6**, **16**) were soluble in organic solvents of moderate polarity, but not in fluorous

Table 1 Qualitative solubility data for the fluororous iron/sulfur clusters (room temperature)^a

Solvent	Complex						
	4	5	6	9	14	15	16
CH_3OH	Soluble	Soluble	Soluble	Soluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Soluble
Acetone	Highly soluble	Highly soluble	Highly soluble	Highly soluble	Slightly soluble	Slightly soluble	Highly soluble
CH_3CN	Highly soluble	Highly soluble	Highly soluble	Highly soluble	Slightly soluble	Slightly soluble	Highly soluble
DMSO	Soluble	Soluble	Soluble	Slightly soluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Soluble
DMF	Soluble	Soluble	Soluble	Soluble	Slightly soluble	Slightly soluble	Soluble
EtOAc	Soluble	Soluble	Slightly soluble	Slightly soluble	Slightly soluble	Slightly soluble	Slightly soluble
CHCl_3	Soluble	Soluble	Insoluble	Soluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Soluble
CH_2Cl_2	Highly soluble	Highly soluble	Slightly soluble	Soluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Highly soluble
Et_2O	Soluble	Soluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Slightly soluble	Slightly soluble	Soluble
THF	Soluble	Soluble	Soluble	Soluble	Soluble	Soluble	Highly soluble
C_6H_6	Slightly soluble	Slightly soluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Soluble
Toluene	Slightly soluble	Slightly soluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Soluble
Hexane	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble
FC-70^b	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Soluble	Soluble	Insoluble
FC-72^c	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Soluble	Soluble	Insoluble
$\text{CF}_3\text{C}_6\text{F}_{11}^d$	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Soluble	Soluble	Insoluble
FC-75^e	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Insoluble	Soluble	Soluble	Insoluble

^a N_2 atmosphere. ^b $(\text{C}_5\text{F}_{11})_3\text{N}$. ^c Perfluorohexanes. ^d Perfluoro(methylcyclohexane). ^e Perfluoro(2-butyltetrahydrofuran).

solvents. Those with non-fluorous phosphonium cations (**4**, **5**, **16**) exhibited some solubility in less polar solvents such as Et₂O, benzene, and toluene, but the tetraethylammonium salt (**6**) did not. In contrast, the two doubly fluororous salts with “heavy fluororous” cations (**14**, **15**) were soluble in all of the fluororous solvents assayed, and much less soluble in common organic solvents with the exception of THF. Complex **9**, with a “light fluororous” cation, was insoluble in fluororous solvents and quite variable with respect to organic solvents.

Fluorous/organic partition coefficients for **14** and **15** were probed by UV-visible spectroscopy as described in the Experimental section. Neither **14** nor **15** showed a detectable level of solubility in toluene. Hence, it was only possible to set a boundary value of $>99.65 : <0.35$ for the CF₃C₆F₁₁/toluene partition coefficients (the most frequently assayed solvent combination in the literature).¹⁶ However, due to the greater solubilities of **14** and **15** in THF, FC-72/THF partition coefficients could be measured. As is not surprising given that **14** and **15** differ by only four methylene groups, they were identical within experimental error (93.2 : 6.8 and 93.1 : 6.9).

With all complexes, a full set of ¹H and ¹³C NMR signals could be observed, and representative spectra are provided in the ESI.† Consistent with much literature precedent,^{4h,5b,6d,e,7c} the FeSCH₂ ¹H signals were shifted markedly downfield to δ 12.9–11.4 ppm (CD₂Cl₂, acetone-d₆, or THF-d₈), as opposed to δ 2.61–2.75 ppm (CDCl₃) for the HSCH₂ signals of the free thiols.²³ In contrast, the FeSCH₂CH₂R_{f8} signals of the “two methylene spacer” complexes **4** and **16** appeared at δ 2.29–2.46 ppm, essentially identical to the free thiol HSCH₂CH₂R_{f8} signal (δ 2.4 ppm).^{23b} The FeSCH₂CH₂ signals were not resolved or unambiguously assignable in the other compounds, in part because many couplings exhibited by the free thiols could no longer be observed. However, their chemical shifts could be bounded within a slightly expanded range.

All ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with both ¹H and ¹⁹F decoupling, simplifying analyses. The R_{f8} segments exhibited chemical shifts very close to those of related diamagnetic compounds, with values ranging from δ 125.7 to 108.4 ppm in accord with previous compilations.²⁷ The FeSCH₂ ¹³C signals in complexes with FeS(CH₂)₂R_{f8} linkages appeared at δ 75.7–71.8 ppm, and those in complexes with FeS(CH₂)₃R_{f8} linkages appeared at δ 58.7–56.1 ppm. These assignments, which represent downfield shifts of δ 32.3–34.9 ppm from the free thiols,²³ are based upon similar shifts described for non-fluorous analogs earlier.²⁸ The ¹⁹F NMR spectra showed normal chemical shift patterns for the CF₂ and CF₃ groups.²⁷

Given the solubility data in Table 1, it was not possible to measure UV-visible spectra in a single solvent. Complex **4** showed two absorptions (CH₃CN) at 294 and 406 nm ($\epsilon = 22\,200$ and $16\,000$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), with the latter appearing as a peak on the broader former band as it tailed into the visible. These bands ranged from 290 to 298 nm and 406 to 415 nm with **5**, **6**, **9** and **14–16** (CH₃CN or THF), and representative spectra are provided in the ESI.† For comparison, the UV-visible spectrum of *t*-butylthiolate substituted [n-Bu₄N]₂-




Fig. 1 Cyclic voltammograms of **4** and **5** in DMF (5.0×10^{-4} M) containing [n-Bu₄N][B(C₆F₅)₄] supporting electrolyte (3.0×10^{-3} M) using a platinum working microelectrode (10 μ m diameter) and recorded at ambient temperature and 100 mV s⁻¹.

[Fe₄S₄(SC(CH₃)₃)₄] is slightly red shifted, with bands in DMF at 303 and 417 nm ($\epsilon = 21\,800$ and $16\,700$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹).^{6a,b,7b} In contrast, in fluororous solvents, **14** and **15** exhibited a single absorption (FC-72: **14**, 415 nm, $\epsilon = 11\,700$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹; **15**, 422 nm, $\epsilon = 16\,900$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

A final question concerns the degree to which the redox properties of these iron/sulfur clusters are influenced by the electron withdrawing R_{f8} groups. It has been established that *ca.* six methylene spacers are needed for “complete” insulation.²⁹ Thus, cyclic voltammograms were sought. However, when DMF and CH₃CN solutions of **4–6** or **9** were treated with the supporting electrolyte [n-Bu₄N][PF₆] (0.1 M in DMF or CH₃CN), dark precipitates formed, together with yellow supernatants. Analogous behavior was not observed with the *t*-butylthiolate substituted analog [Ph₄P]₂[Fe₄S₄(SC(CH₃)₃)₄] (**1**), which has an extensively studied electrochemistry. Thus, this behavior was tentatively attributed to an irreversible condensation to an insoluble bulk Fe_xS_y system. Such cluster aggregation processes have been documented previously.³⁰

A variety of other electrolytes were screened, including several with very poorly nucleophilic tetraarylborate anions (Ar = C₆F₅, 3,5-C₆H₃(CF₃)₂) but always with the same outcome. However, when cyclic voltammograms are recorded using microelectrodes, electrolyte concentrations can be greatly reduced.³¹ Thus, as depicted in Fig. 1, when a 10 μ m platinum working microelectrode was employed, DMF solutions of **4** and **5** (5.0×10^{-4} M) charged with much lower electrolyte concentrations (3.0×10^{-3} M [n-Bu₄N][B(C₆F₅)₄]) exhibited well behaved, chemically reversible reductions.³² As would be expected, **4**, with a two methylene spacer, proved thermodynamically easier to reduce than **5**, with a three methylene spacer ($E_{1/2}$ -2.32 vs. -2.40 V vs. ferrocene/ferrocenium). No oxidations were observed in anodic scans out to -0.08 V.

Discussion

Schemes 1 and 2 establish the ready availability of fluororous iron/sulfur clusters of the formula $[Q]_2[Fe_4S_4(S(CH_2)_nR_{f8})_4]$. Compounds in which only the dianion is fluororous preferentially dissolve in organic solvents, whereas those that also incorporate “heavy fluororous” cations become fluorophilic. Despite the very low polarity of fluororous solvents, increasing numbers of ionic compounds are being realized that exhibit appreciable solubility.^{13d} Some have proven to be catalysts for reactions in fluororous phases.^{13b-d}

One motivation for the present study has been the possibility of carrying out nitrogen fixation in a fluororous phase. Most nitrogenases are comprised of an iron protein containing Fe_4S_4 clusters and an iron/molybdenum protein featuring a structurally more complex cluster.^{1,11} One attraction of fluororous phases is their high solubilities for the diatomic gases oxygen, nitrogen, and hydrogen,^{20,21} the basis for which is noted above. There are several commonly employed measures of solubility, three of which are provided in Table 2. The most important are the mol fraction of a gas in a saturated solution (the data compiled in most reference books), and the molarity (the important quantity for rate expressions). With respect to the latter, the solubility of hydrogen in fluororous solvents is approximately twice that in THF, and the solubility of nitrogen is approximately 2.5 times that in THF. The solubility increase with oxygen is intermediate. When solubilities in mol fractions are compared, the differences are much greater, due (as worked through elsewhere)¹⁵ to the higher molecular weights of fluororous solvents vs. THF.

Table 2 Solubilities of oxygen, hydrogen, and nitrogen in fluororous solvents and THF^a

Solubility	CF ₃ C ₆ F ₁₁ ^b	C ₇ F ₁₆ ^c	THF ^d
O ₂ (mol fraction)	0.00456 ^{e,f}	0.00555 ^{e,f}	0.000816 ^e
O ₂ (mol ratio)	0.00458 ^g	0.00558	0.000817
O₂ (mol L⁻¹)	0.0234	0.0251	0.0101^g
H ₂ (mol fraction)	0.0012 ^h	0.0014007 ⁱ	0.000274 ⁱ
H ₂ (mol ratio)	0.0012 ^g	0.0014027	0.000274
H₂ (mol L⁻¹)	0.0061	0.0063071	0.00338^g
N ₂ (mol fraction)	0.00331 ^j	0.00390 ^j	0.000521 ^j
N ₂ (mol ratio)	0.00332	0.00392	0.000521
N₂ (mol L⁻¹)	0.0169	0.0176	0.00643

^aData were, unless noted, obtained at $T = 298.15$ K with a partial pressure (O₂, H₂, or N₂) $P = 101.325$ kPa. ^bPerfluoromethylcyclohexane; 1.787 g mL⁻¹ at 298.15 K. ^cPerfluoroheptane; 1.745 g mL⁻¹ at 298.15 K. ^dTHF = tetrahydrofuran; 0.889 g mL⁻¹ at 298.15 K. ^e*IUPAC Solubility Data Series*, ed. R. Battino, Pergamon, New York, 1981, vol. 7. ^fFor this determination, the total pressure is 101.325 kPa. ^gThere is a slight error in this value in an earlier version of this table: J. A. Gladysz, C. Emnet, Fluororous Solvents and Related Media, in *Handbook of Fluororous Chemistry*, ed. J. A. Gladysz, D. P. Curran and I. T. Horváth, Wiley/VCH, Weinheim, 2004, p. 17. ^hC. R. Patrick, in *Preparation, Properties, and Industrial Applications of Organofluorine Compounds*, ed. R. E. Banks, Ellis Horwood, New York, 1982, p. 53. ⁱ*IUPAC Solubility Data Series*, ed. C. L. Young, Pergamon, New York, 1981, vol. 5/6. ^jR. Battino, T. R. Rettich, T. Tominaga, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 1984, 13, 563–600.

Of course, achieving abiological nitrogen fixation will require more than just iron/sulfur clusters, so much more fundamental work remains to be done. Nonetheless, the high polarity of the product ammonia should promote phase separation from the fluororous medium, facilitating catalyst separation and recovery. If the reaction pathways involve polar intermediates, such as hydrazine, these also may phase separate, leading to unconventional product distributions.

However, given the experimental difficulties with cyclic voltammograms noted above, the title claim of “redox shifted” iron/sulfur clusters remains only partially quantified. Regardless, this is a certainty from well established inductive effects.²⁹ Iron/sulfur clusters with fluororous thiolates will be electron deficient and therefore thermodynamically easier to reduce. This is reflected by less negative $E_{1/2}$ value of **4** (–2.32 V) versus **5** (–2.40 V), indicating a more facile reduction, consistent with the decreased methylene spacer length.

The title compounds can be compared to the phenylthiolate and pentafluorophenylthiolate substituted clusters $[Q]_2[Fe_4S_4(SC_6H_5)_4]$ ^{6a} and $[Q]_2[Fe_4S_4(SC_6F_5)_4]$ ^{3a} (Q = Et₄N and *n*-Bu₄N, respectively). Both of these undergo reversible one electron reductions, with the pentafluorophenylthiolate substituted complex proving 0.25 V easier to reduce ($\Delta E_{1/2}$, DMF).^{6a} It should be emphasized in passing that molecules with pentafluorophenyl groups are not fluororous,²² as the polarizability of the π cloud commonly leads to significant solubilities in organic solvents. However, both the phenylthiolate and pentafluorophenylthiolate substituted complexes could likely be rendered fluororous by appending sufficient numbers of R_{fn} aryl substituents.

One obvious extension of this study would be to iron/sulfur clusters with CH₂R_{fn} and SR_{fn} substituents (one or zero methylene spacers). Thiols of the formula HSCH₂R_{fn} are easily accessed with $n = 1-3$,³³ and higher homologs ($n = 8$)³⁴ have been reported. However, to our knowledge spacerless fluororous thiols HSR_{fn} are not yet available in preparatively useful quantities. Complexes in either of these series would be expected to be slightly more fluorophilic, and much more redox shifted (easier to reduce).

In summary, this study has established the ready availability of fluororous iron/sulfur clusters of the formula $[Q]_2[Fe_4S_4(S(CH_2)_nR_{f8})_4]$, where Q is a (1) non-fluororous phosphonium or ammonium cation, (2) a “light fluororous” phosphonium cation, or (3) a “heavy fluororous” phosphonium salt. In general, the spectroscopic properties are very similar to those of the non-fluororous analogs. However, the phase and redox properties are significantly affected, both of which have the potential to open up new applications for these unusual analogs of metalloenzyme cofactors.

Experimental section

General

All reactions and workups were conducted under N₂ atmospheres. Solvents were purified as follows: hexane, toluene,

THF, Et₂O, and CH₂Cl₂ were dried and degassed using a Glass Contour Solvent System; acetone, DMSO, DMF, EtOAc, CHCl₃, and benzene were distilled from CaH₂ or 4 Å molecular sieves (beads) under N₂ atmospheres; CH₃CN was distilled from CaH₂ and CH₃OH from sodium under N₂ atmospheres; FC-72 (perfluorohexane), CF₃C₆F₁₁ (perfluoromethylcyclohexane), FC-70 ((C₅F₁₁)₃N), and FC-75 (perfluoro-2-butyltetrahydrofuran) were degassed and dried over 4 Å molecular sieves (beads) under N₂ atmospheres; C₆F₆ was used as received; CDCl₃, CD₃CN, CD₂Cl₂, acetone-d₆, and THF-d₈ were dried over 4 Å molecular sieves (beads) and used in a glove box. The thiols HS(CH₂)_nR_{f8} (**3**, *n* = **a**, 2; **b**, 3)²³ and clusters [Ph₄P]₂[Fe₄S₄(SC(CH₃)₃)₄] (**1**)³ and [Me₄N]₂[Fe₄S₄(SC(CH₃)₃)₄] (**2**)³ were synthesized according to literature procedures. Other chemicals were used as received from common commercial sources; the FeCl₃ and CH₃ONa were stored and used in a glove box.

¹H, ¹³C{¹H, ¹⁹F}, ³¹P{¹H}, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded on 300 or 500 MHz spectrometers in sealed tubes at ambient probe temperatures and referenced as follows (δ, ppm): ¹H, residual internal CHCl₃ (7.26), CHD₂CN (1.94), CHDCl₂ (5.33), acetone-d₅ (2.05), or THF-d₇ (3.58, 1.76); ¹³C, internal CDCl₃ (77.3), CD₃CN (118.7), CD₂Cl₂ (54.0), acetone-d₆ (29.9), or THF-d₈ (25.4); ¹⁹F, external trifluoromethylbenzene (−63.3); ³¹P, external aqueous 85% phosphoric acid (0.0). UV-visible spectra were recorded using a Shimadzu UV spectrometer (UV-1800) with capped cuvettes. Microanalyses were conducted by Atlantic Microlab.

Crude [Ph₃P(CH₂)₂R_{f6}]₂[Fe₄S₄(SC(CH₃)₃)₄] (8**).** In a procedure similar to those reported for **1** and **2**,³ a Schlenk flask was charged with CH₃ONa (1.62 g, 30.0 mmol) and CH₃OH (30 mL), and HSC(CH₃)₃ (3.4 mL, 30.2 mmol) was added by syringe with stirring. After 5 min, a solution of anhydrous FeCl₃ (1.21 g, 7.47 mmol) in CH₃OH (20 mL) was added. After 5 min, sulfur (0.240 g, 7.50 mmol) was added. After 16 h, the mixture was filtered. A Schlenk flask was charged with [Ph₃P(CH₂)₂R_{f6}][I] (**7**,²⁴ 4.18 g, 5.68 mmol) and the filtrate with stirring. After 2 h, the mixture was concentrated to dryness. The sticky solid was dissolved in THF (20 mL), and Et₂O (120 mL) was added. The mixture was stored at −35 °C. After 24 h, the sample was filtered. The black solid was extracted with CH₃CN, and the extracts were filtered. The solvent was removed by oil pump vacuum, and the sticky black solid was extracted with THF. The extract was filtered and the solvent was removed by oil pump vacuum. The black solid was washed with Et₂O and dried by oil pump vacuum to give crude **8** (0.921 g, 0.48 mmol, 25%). Although the sample is impure by NMR, this does not affect preparative use.

[Q]₂[Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)_nR_{f8})₄] (general procedure). A Schlenk flask was charged with **1**, **2**, or **8** (0.138 g, 0.086 g, or 0.200 g; 0.100 mmol) and CH₃CN (10 mL). Then **3a** (0.300 g, 0.625 mmol) or **3b** (0.250 g, 0.506 mmol) was added with stirring. After 12 h, the solvent was slowly removed by oil pump vacuum. The black solid was washed with Et₂O/hexane (33 : 67 v/v; samples are pure by NMR at this stage) and recrystallized from CH₃CN/Et₂O or THF/hexane to give a dark brown solid

(**4**, 0.199 g, 0.067 mmol, 67%; **5**, 0.201 g, 0.067 mmol, 67%; **6**, 0.170 g, 0.069 mmol, 69%; **9**, 0.256 g, 0.073 mmol, 73%).

[Ph₄P]₂[Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)₂R_{f8})₄] (4**).** Anal. Calcd. for C₈₈H₅₆F₆₈Fe₄P₂S₈: C, 35.86; H, 1.92; S, 8.70. Found: C, 35.16; H, 1.78; S, 8.36. MS (*m/z*, negative ESI): 1133.79, [Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)₂R_{f8})₄]^{2−}, 100% (calc. for 1132.8/1133.3/1133.8/1334.3/1134.8: 23 : 13 : 100 : 58 : 50; found: 26 : 13 : 100 : 27 : 20).

NMR (δ, CD₂Cl₂): ¹H (300 MHz) 11.6 (br s, 8H, 4SCH₂), 7.83 (s, 8H, 8*p*-C₆H₅), 7.68 and 7.55 (s and d, *J*_{HP} = 7.8 Hz, 2 × 16H, 8*o,m*-C₆H₅), 2.29 (br s, 8H, 4CH₂R_{f8}); ¹³C{¹H, ¹⁹F} (125 MHz) 136.3 (s, C_{Ar}), 135.3 (d, *J*_{CP} = 10.3 Hz, C_{Ar}), 131.5 (d, *J*_{CP} = 13.0 Hz, C_{Ar}), 123.9 (s, C_F), 117.8 (d, *J*_{CP} = 89.8 Hz, *i*-C_{Ar}), 117.1 (s, C_F), 112.6 (s, C_F), 111.5 (s, C_F), 110.9 (s, C_F), 110.8 (s, C_F), 110.2 (s, C_F), 108.4 (s, C_F), 71.8 (s, SCH₂), 31.6 (s, CH₂R_{f8}); ¹⁹F (282 MHz) −81.3 (t, *J*_{FF} = 10.1 Hz, 12F, 4CF₃), −112.0 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), −121.7 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), −122.3 (m, 16F, 8CF₂), −122.6 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), −123.1 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), −126.5 (m, 8F, 4CF₂); ³¹P{¹H} (202 MHz) 25.2 (s). UV-visible (nm, 6.11 × 10^{−5} M in CH₃CN (ε, M^{−1} cm^{−1}): 294 (22 200), 406 (16 000).

[Ph₄P]₂[Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)₃R_{f8})₄] (5**).** Anal. Calcd. for C₉₂H₆₄F₆₈Fe₄P₂S₈: C, 36.79; H, 2.15; S, 8.54. Found: C, 35.62; H, 2.15; S, 8.57.³⁵

NMR (δ, CD₂Cl₂): ¹H (500 MHz) 12.3 (br s, 8H, 4SCH₂), 7.92 (s, 8H, 8*p*-C₆H₅), 7.77 and 7.62 (s and d, *J*_{HP} = 9.3 Hz, 2 × 16H, 8*o,m*-C₆H₅), 2.71 and 2.52 (2 br s, 2 × 8H, 4CH₂CH₂R_{f8}); ¹³C{¹H, ¹⁹F} (125 MHz) 136.5 (s, C_{Ar}), 135.4 (d, *J*_{CP} = 10.3 Hz, C_{Ar}), 131.7 (d, *J*_{CP} = 12.1 Hz, C_{Ar}), 120.6 (s, C_F), 117.8 (d, *J*_{CP} = 89.3 Hz, *i*-C_{Ar}), 117.1 (s, C_F), 111.4 (s, C_F), 111.3 (s, C_F), 110.9 (s, C_F), 110.8 (s, C_F), 110.2 (s, C_F), 108.4 (s, C_F), 56.1 (s, SCH₂), 36.2 and 29.8 (2 s, CH₂CH₂R_{f8}); ¹⁹F (470 MHz) −79.4 (t, *J*_{FF} = 10.1 Hz, 12F, 4CF₃), −110.8 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), −119.9 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), −120.3 (s, 16F, 8CF₂), −121.1 (s, 16F, 8CF₂), −124.6 (m, 8F, 4CF₂); ³¹P{¹H} (202 MHz) 25.2 (s). UV-visible (nm, 7.50 × 10^{−5} M in CH₃CN (ε, M^{−1} cm^{−1}): 297 (25 700), 410 (19 200).

[Me₄N]₂[Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)₃R_{f8})₄] (6**).** Anal. Calcd. for C₅₂H₄₈F₆₈Fe₄N₂S₈: C, 25.26; H, 1.96; N, 1.13; S, 10.37. Found: C, 23.71; H, 1.99; N, 1.30; S, 9.78.³⁵

NMR (δ, acetone-d₆): ¹H (300 MHz) 12.3 (br s, 8H, 4SCH₂), 3.45 (s, 24H, 2(CH₃)₄N), 2.85 and 2.66 (2 br s, 2 × 8H, 4CH₂CH₂R_{f8}); ¹³C{¹H, ¹⁹F} (CD₃CN, 125 MHz) 120.7 (s, C_F), 118.8 (s, C_F), 111.5 (s, C_F), 111.4 (s, C_F), 110.9 (s, C_F), 110.8 (s, C_F), 110.2 (s, C_F), 108.4 (s, C_F), 57.5 and 55.8 (2 s, SCH₂ and CH₃), 35.9 and 29.0 (2 s, CH₂CH₂R_{f8}); ¹⁹F (282 MHz) −81.7 (t, *J*_{FF} = 10.3 Hz, 12F, 4CF₃), −112.5 (m, 8F, 4CF₂), −121.9 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), −122.4 (s, 16F, 8CF₂), −123.0 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), −123.3 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), −126.8 (m, 8F, 4CF₂). UV-visible (nm, 8.09 × 10^{−5} M in CH₃CN (ε, M^{−1} cm^{−1}): 298 (30 800), 408 (22 500).

[Ph₃P(CH₂)₂R_{f6}]₂[Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)₂R_{f8})₄] (9**).** Anal. Calcd. for C₉₂H₅₄F₉₄Fe₄P₂S₈: C, 31.69; H, 1.56; S, 7.36. Found: C, 31.02; H, 1.55; S, 7.08.

NMR (δ, THF-d₈): ¹H (500 MHz) 11.6 (br s, 8H, 4SCH₂), 7.89–7.82 (m, 30H, 6C₆H₅), 3.84 (br s, 4H, 2PCH₂), 2.70–2.48 (m, 12H, 2CH₂R_{f6} and 4CH₂R_{f8}); ¹³C{¹H, ¹⁹F} (125 MHz)^{36a} 136.6 (s, C_{Ar}), 136.2 (d, *J*_{CP} = 10.2 Hz, C_{Ar}), 132.5 (d, *J*_{CP} = 12.6 Hz, C_{Ar}), 124.0 (s, C_F), 118.2 (s, C_F), 118.1 (s, C_F), 118.0 (d, *J*_{CP} = 87.0 Hz, *i*-C_{Ar}), 117.5 (s, C_F), 113.0 (s, C_F), 112.0 (s, C_F),

111.3 (s, C_F), 111.2 (s, C_F), 111.1 (s, C_F), 110.6 (s, C_F), 110.5 (s, C_F), 108.8 (s, C_F), 73.0 (s, SCH₂), 31.3 (s, CH₂), 27.0 (s, CH₂), 19.9 (d, *J*_{CP} = 56.7 Hz, CH₂); ¹⁹F (470 MHz) –81.9 to –82.0 (m, 18F, 6CF₃), –112.2 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), –112.6 (s, 4F, 2CF₂), –122.0 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), –122.4 to –122.7 (m, 32F, 16CF₂), –123.5 (s, 12F, 6CF₂), –127.0 (s, 12F, 6CF₂); ³¹P{¹H} (202 MHz) 25.4 (s). UV-visible (nm, 9.56 × 10^{–5} M in CH₃CN (ε, M^{–1} cm^{–1})): 295 (26 300), 406 (17 900).

[Na]₂[Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)_{*n*}R_{f8})₄]. **A (general procedure)**. A Schlenk flask was charged with CH₃ONa (3.24 g, 60.0 mmol) and CH₃OH (60 mL), and HSC(CH₃)₃ (6.7 mL, 59.6 mmol) was added by syringe with stirring. After 5 min, a solution of anhydrous FeCl₃ (2.43 g, 15.0 mmol) in CH₃OH (40 mL) was added. After 5 min, sulfur (0.480 g, 15.0 mmol) was added. After 16 h, the mixture was filtered. The solvent was removed from the filtrate by oil pump vacuum to give a black solid, which was washed with hexane/Et₂O (80 : 20, v/v) and dried by oil pump vacuum to give crude [Na]₂[Fe₄S₄(SC(CH₃)₃)₄] (**10**; 3.21 g, *ca.* 4.26 mmol). Another Schlenk flask was charged with **10** (0.380 g, *ca.* 0.504 mmol, or 0.190 g, *ca.* 0.252 mmol) and CH₃CN (50 mL or 25 mL), and **3a** (0.981 g, 2.04 mmol) or **3b** (0.494 g, 1.00 mmol) was added by syringe with stirring. The mixture was kept at 50 °C for 4 h and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness. The residue was washed with hexane and dried by oil pump vacuum to give crude [Na]₂[Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)₂R_{f8})₄] (**11**; 0.816 g, 0.353 mmol, 81% based on FeCl₃) or analytically pure [Na]₂[Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)₃R_{f8})₄] (**12**; 0.254 g, 0.107 mmol, 50% based on FeCl₃) as black solids. **B.** (alternative procedure for **11**). A Schlenk flask was charged with CH₃ONa (0.81 g, 15.0 mmol) and CH₃OH (30 mL), and **3a** (7.20 g, 15.0 mmol) was added by syringe with stirring. After 5 min, a solution of anhydrous FeCl₃ (0.61 g, 3.75 mmol) in CH₃OH (15 mL) was added. After 5 min, sulfur (0.120 g, 3.75 mmol) was added. After 16 h, the mixture was filtered. The solvent was removed from the filtrate by oil pump vacuum. The residue was washed with hexane/Et₂O (75 : 25 v/v) and dried by oil pump vacuum to give crude **11** as a black solid (1.65 g, 0.713 mmol, 76%). The ¹⁹F NMR spectra for both preparations of **11** showed impurities not evident in the ¹H NMR spectrum, but these are removed in subsequent cation metathesis steps.

[Na]₂[Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)₃R_{f8})₄] (**12**). Anal. Calcd. for C₄₄H₂₄F₆₈Fe₄Na₂S₈: C, 22.29; H, 1.02; S, 10.82. Found: C, 21.92; H, 1.29; S, 9.71.³⁵

NMR (δ, THF-*d*₈): ¹H (500 MHz) 12.2 (br s, 8H, 4SCH₂), 2.90 and 2.66 (2 br s, 2 × 8H, 4CH₂CH₂R_{f8}); ¹³C{¹H, ¹⁹F} (125 MHz) 122.5 (s, C_F), 119.0 (s, C_F), 113.4 (s, C_F), 113.3 (s, C_F), 112.8 (s, C_F), 112.7 (s, C_F), 112.1 (s, C_F), 110.3 (s, C_F), 58.7 (s, SCH₂), 38.0 and 31.0 (2 s, CH₂CH₂R_{f8}); ¹⁹F (470 MHz) –80.1 (s, 12F, 4CF₃), –111.0 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), –120.2 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), –120.7 (m, 16F, 8CF₂), –121.4 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), –121.5 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), –125.1 (s, 8F, 4CF₂).

[PhCH₂P((CH₂)₃R_{f6})₃][Br] (**13**). A closed Schlenk flask was charged with P((CH₂)₃R_{f6})₃ (0.277 g, 0.248 mmol),²⁵ benzyl bromide (0.051 g, 0.298 mmol), and toluene (4 mL). The mixture was kept at 100 °C for 2 d with stirring and cooled to

room temperature. The upper layer was decanted. The lower layer was shaken with hexane and allowed to stand. The upper layer was decanted. Then C₆F₆ was added to give a homogeneous solution. Then hexane was added (*ca.* 10 fold volumetric excess), and after 2 d the upper layer was decanted and the lower layer dried by oil pump vacuum to give **13** (0.205 g, 0.159 mmol, 64%) as a colorless sticky liquid. Anal. Calcd. for C₃₄H₂₅BrF₃₉P: C, 31.77; H, 1.96. Found: C, 31.56; H, 1.96.

NMR (δ, CDCl₃): ¹H (500 MHz) 7.42 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 4.36 (d, *J*_{HP} = 14.9 Hz, 2H, PhCH₂P), 2.79 (m, 6H, 3PCH₂CH₂CH₂R_{f6}), 2.29 (m, 6H, 3PCH₂CH₂CH₂R_{f6}), 1.85 (m, 6H, 3PCH₂CH₂CH₂R_{f6}); ¹³C{¹H, ¹⁹F} (125 MHz) 130.1 (s, C_{Ar}), 129.7 (d, *J*_{CP} = 4.2 Hz, C_{Ar}), 129.4 (s, C_{Ar}), 126.8 (d, *J*_{CP} = 8.3 Hz, C_{Ar}), 117.8 (s, C_F), 117.1 (s, C_F), 110.9 (s, C_F), 110.7 (s, C_F), 110.1 (s, C_F), 108.4 (s, C_F), 31.2 (d, *J*_{CP} = 16.7 Hz, CH₂), 26.9 (s, CH₂), 18.6 (d, *J*_{CP} = 48.0 Hz, CH₂), 13.7 (s, CH₂); ¹⁹F (470 MHz) –80.9 (t, *J*_{FF} = 9.5 Hz, 9F, 3CF₃), –113.9 (m, 6F, 3CF₂), –121.9 (s, 6F, 3CF₂), –122.9 (s, 6F, 3CF₂), –123.4 (s, 6F, 3CF₂), –126.2 (m, 6F, 3CF₂); ³¹P{¹H} (202 MHz) 28.9 (s).

[PhCH₂P((CH₂)₃R_{f6})₃]₂[Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)_{*n*}R_{f8})₄] (**general procedure**). A Schlenk flask was charged with **11** (0.145 g, 0.066 mmol) or **12** (0.095 g, 0.040 mmol) and then **13** (0.171 g, 0.133 mmol or 0.102 g, 0.079 mmol), and CH₃OH (20 mL) with stirring. After 0.5 h, the supernatant was removed by syringe. The solid was extracted with THF. The extracts were concentrated to dryness and the crude product was crystallized from THF/hexane (20 : 80, v/v) at –35 °C and dried by oil pump vacuum to give **14** (0.119 g, 0.025 mmol, 39%) or **15** (0.120 g, 0.025 mmol, 63%) as a dark brown solid.

[PhCH₂P((CH₂)₃R_{f6})₃]₂[Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)₂R_{f8})₄] (**14**). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₀₈H₆₆F₁₄₆Fe₄P₂S₈: C, 27.72; H, 1.42; S, 5.48. Found: C, 27.52; H, 1.48; S, 5.21.

NMR (δ, THF-*d*₈): ¹H (500 MHz) 12.4 (br s, 8H, 4SCH₂), 7.32 (br s, 10H, 2C₆H₅), 3.75 (br s, 4H, 2C₆H₅CH₂P), 2.31 (m, 32H, 6PCH₂CH₂CH₂R_{f6}, 6PCH₂CH₂CH₂R_{f6} and 4CH₂R_{f8}), 1.93 (br s, 12H, 6PCH₂CH₂CH₂R_{f6}); ¹³C{¹H, ¹⁹F} (125 MHz)^{36b} 133.5 (s, C_{Ar}), 131.6 (s, C_{Ar}), 130.6 (s, C_{Ar}), 129.9 (d, *J*_{CP} = 4.2 Hz, C_{Ar}), 125.2 (s, C_F), 120.7 (s, C_F), 119.1 (s, C_F), 119.0 (s, C_F), 114.4 (s, C_F), 113.5 (s, C_F), 113.0 (s, C_F), 112.8 (s, C_F), 112.7 (s, C_F), 112.1 (s, C_F), 110.4 (s, C_F), 110.3 (s, C_F), 73.7 (s, SCH₂), 37.4 (s, CH₂), 31.5 (s, CH₂), 31.1 (s, CH₂), 17.8 (s, CH₂); ¹⁹F (470 MHz) –82.1 (s, 30F, 10CF₃), –112.3 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), –113.8 (s, 12F, 6CF₂), –122.3 (s, 20F, 10CF₂), –122.7 to –122.8 (m, 24F, 12CF₂), –123.2 (s, 12F, 6CF₂), –123.6 (s, 20F, 10CF₂), –127.0 (s, 20F, 10CF₂); ³¹P{¹H} (202 MHz) 35.0 (s). UV-visible (nm (ε, M^{–1} cm^{–1})): 5.56 × 10^{–5} M in THF, 292 (23 700), 410 (17 800); 7.78 × 10^{–5} M in FC-72, 415 (11 700).

[PhCH₂P((CH₂)₃R_{f6})₃]₂[Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)₃R_{f8})₄] (**15**). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₁₂H₇₄F₁₄₆Fe₄P₂S₈: C, 28.41; H, 1.58; S, 5.42. Found: C, 28.57; H, 1.56; S, 5.22.

NMR (δ, THF-*d*₈): ¹H (500 MHz) 12.9 (br s, 8H, 4SCH₂), 7.42–7.34 (m, 10H, 2C₆H₅), 3.83 (br s, 4H, 2C₆H₅CH₂P), 2.90 and 2.65 (2 br s, 2 × 8H, 4CH₂CH₂R_{f8}), 2.41 (br s, 24H, 6PCH₂CH₂CH₂R_{f6} and 6PCH₂CH₂CH₂R_{f6}), 2.02 (br s, 12H, 6PCH₂CH₂CH₂R_{f6}); ¹³C{¹H, ¹⁹F} (125 MHz)^{36c} 132.0 (s, C_{Ar}), 129.7 (s, C_{Ar}), 128.7 (s, C_{Ar}), 128.0 (d, *J*_{CP} = 8.1 Hz, C_{Ar}), 120.5

(s, C_F), 118.9 (s, C_F), 117.2 (s, C_F), 117.1 (s, C_F), 111.4 (s, C_F), 111.4 (s, C_F), 111.1 (s, C_F), 110.9 (s, C_F), 110.9 (s, C_F), 110.8 (s, C_F), 110.3 (s, C_F), 108.5 (s, C_F), 108.4 (s, C_F), 36.2 (s, CH₂), 35.7 (s, CH₂), 16.2 (s, CH₂); ¹⁹F (470 MHz) -80.1 to -80.2 (m, 30F, 10CF₃), -111.3 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), -112.0 (s, 12F, 6CF₂), -120.4 (m, 20F, 10CF₂), -120.8 (s, 20F, 10CF₂), -121.3 (s, 12F, 6CF₂), -121.7 (s, 24F, 12CF₂), -125.1 (s, 20F, 10CF₂); ³¹P{¹H} (202 MHz) 35.3 (s). UV-visible (nm (ε, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)): 7.18 × 10⁻⁵ M in THF, 290 (27 800), 415 (21 900); 9.88 × 10⁻⁵ M in FC-72, 422 (16 900).

[PPN]₂[Fe₄S₄(S(CH₂)₂R_{f8})₄] (**16**). A Schlenk flask was charged with **11** (0.218 g, 0.094 mmol), [PPN][Cl]²⁶ (PPN = Ph₃P⁺·N⁻·PPh₃; 0.120 g, 0.209 mmol), and CH₃OH (10 mL) with stirring. After 2 h, the mixture was cooled to 0 °C and concentrated to ca. 1 mL. A solid formed, and the supernatant was removed by syringe. The solid was extracted with THF (8 mL). The solvent was removed from the extract by oil pump vacuum. The solid was washed with Et₂O/hexane (17 : 83, v/v), crystallized from THF/hexane (20 : 80 v/v) at -35 °C, and dried by oil pump vacuum to give **16** (0.112 g, 0.034 mmol, 36%) as dark brown plates. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₁₂H₇₆F₆₈Fe₄N₂P₄S₈: C, 40.21; H, 2.29; N, 0.84; S, 7.67. Found: C, 39.75; H, 2.27; N, 0.89; S, 6.90.³⁵

NMR (δ, THF-d₈): ¹H (500 MHz) 11.4 (br s, 8H, 4SCH₂), 7.58–7.48 (m, 60H, 12C₆H₅), 2.46 (br s, 8H, 4CH₂R_{f8}); ¹³C{¹H, ¹⁹F} (125 MHz) 136.6 (s, C_{Ar}), 135.3 (t, J_{CP} = 4.6 Hz, C_{Ar}), 132.6 (t, J_{CP} = 5.6 Hz, C_{Ar}), 129.9 (d, J_{CP} = 107.9 Hz, C_{Ar}), 125.7 (s, C_F), 119.0 (s, C_F), 114.6 (s, C_F), 113.6 (s, C_F), 112.9 (s, C_F), 112.7 (s, C_F), 112.1 (s, C_F), 110.3 (s, C_F), 75.7 (s, SCH₂), 33.1 (s, CH₂R_{f8}); ¹⁹F (470 MHz) -80.0 (t, J_{FF} = 10.2 Hz, 12F, 4CF₃), -110.1 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), -120.0 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), -120.7 (s, 24F, 12CF₂), -121.6 (s, 8F, 4CF₂), -125.1 (m, 8F, 4CF₂); ³¹P{¹H} (202 MHz) 21.5 (s). UV-visible (nm, 9.97 × 10⁻⁵ M in CH₃CN (ε, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)): 406 (10 900).

Partition coefficients

A. A volumetric flask (25 mL) was charged with **15** (0.0140 g, 0.00296 mmol) and CF₃C₆F₁₁ (25 mL), capped, and vigorously shaken. Then 5.0 mL was transferred to a vial and toluene (5.0 mL) was added. The mixture was thoroughly shaken and allowed to stand. The two phases were separated and analyzed by UV-visible spectroscopy under an inert atmosphere. The A values associated with the 413 nm bands were 2.0731 (CF₃C₆F₁₁) and 0.0073 (toluene, an upper bound). Given the biased ratio (>99.65 : <0.35), no correction for ε values was applied. An analogous protocol with **14** gave the same limiting ratio (>99.65 : <0.35). **B.** A volumetric flask (25 mL) was charged with **15** (0.0117 g, 0.00247 mmol) and FC-72 (25 mL), capped, and vigorously shaken. Then 5.0 mL was transferred to a UV-visible cell, and the 420 nm band gave an A value of 1.6668. Another 5.0 mL aliquot was shaken with THF (5.0 mL). The sample was allowed to stand. The two phases were separated, and the fluororous phase exhibited a 420 nm band with an A value of 1.5523. This gave a FC-72/THF partition coefficient of 93.1 : 6.9. An analogous protocol with **14** gave a similar ratio (93.2 : 6.8).

Cyclic voltammetry

Electrochemical studies were performed utilizing a Metrohm Eco Chemie Autolab PGSTAT30 potentiostat/galvanostat with a FRA2-module for electrochemical impedance studies and a bipotentiostat module. The General Purpose Electrochemical Software (GPES) supplied by the potentiostat manufacturer was utilized to record and process the data. All manipulations and studies were performed in a dry box under a nitrogen atmosphere. The DMF was dried using an Innovative Technology, Pure Solv solvent purifier with a double purifying column and thoroughly degassed by a nitrogen sparge prior to use. Experiments were performed using a three electrode cell fitted with a Pt working microelectrode (10 μM diameter, CH Instruments, Inc., CHI107), a Pt wire counter electrode, a Ag/AgNO₃ reference electrode (Ag wire dipped in a 0.010 M AgNO₃ solution prepared in a 0.10 M [n-Bu₄N][PF₆] solution in acetonitrile), a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹, and DMF solutions that were 5.0 × 10⁻⁴ M in analyte and 3.0 × 10⁻³ M in [n-Bu₄N][B(C₆F₅)₄] supporting electrolyte (synthesized by a literature procedure).³⁷ Potentials were referenced to an external ferrocene/ferrocenium reference redox couple (E° = 0.0919 V vs. Ag/AgNO₃).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Qatar National Research Fund (project number 5-845-1-142; C.-P.Z., Z.X., H.S.B., J.A.G.), Welch Foundation (F-1631; K.M.M., B.J.H.), and US National Science Foundation (CHE-0847763; K.M.M., B.J.H.) for support, Dr Debaprasad Mandal for preliminary observations and a mass spectrum, and Prof. William E. Geiger (University of Vermont) for helpful discussions and recommendations on electrolytes.

Notes and references

- 1 *Iron Sulfur Clusters in Chemistry and Biology*, ed. T. Rouault, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2014.
- 2 (a) P. V. Rao and R. H. Holm, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 527–559; (b) S. C. Lee, W. Lo and R. H. Holm, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 3579–3600.
- 3 (a) B. A. Averill, T. Herskovitz, R. H. Holm and J. A. Ibers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1973, **97**, 3523–3532; (b) G. Christou and C. D. Garner, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1979, 1093–1094; (c) G. Christou, C. D. Garner, A. Balasubramaniam, B. Ridge and H. N. Rydo, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1982, 33–37.
- 4 (a) L. Que Jr., M. A. Bobrik, J. A. Ibers and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1974, **96**, 4168–4178; (b) G. R. Dukes and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1975, **97**, 528–533; (c) R. C. Job and T. C. Bruice, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1975, **72**, 2478–2482; (d) R. W. Johnson and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, **100**, 5338–5344; (e) J. A. Weigel and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 4184–4191; (f) R. J. M. Klein Gebbink, S. I. Klink, M. C. Feiters and

- R. J. M. Nolte, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, 2087–2099; (g) M. Ueda and T. Mochida, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2003, **353**, 306–309; (h) W. Lo, S. Huang, S.-L. Zheng and R. H. Holm, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 11082–11090.
- 5 (a) A. K. Sharma, N. Kim, C. S. Cameron, M. Lyndon and C. B. Gorman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 5072–5078; (b) W. Lo, T. A. Scott, P. Zhang, C.-C. Ling and R. H. Holm, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2011, **105**, 497–508.
- 6 (a) B. V. DePamphilis, B. A. Averill, T. Herskovitz, L. Que Jr. and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1974, **96**, 4159–4167; (b) J. Cambray, R. W. Lane, A. G. Wedd, R. W. Johnson and R. H. Holm, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1977, **16**, 2565–2571; (c) Y. Okuno, K. Uoto, O. Yonemitsu and T. Tomohiro, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1987, 1018–1020; (d) A. Lenormand, P. Iveson and J. Jordanov, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1996, **251**, 119–123; (e) C. Zhou, J. W. Raebiger, B. M. Segal and R. H. Holm, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2000, **300–302**, 892–902; (f) E. P. L. van der Geer, Q. Li, G. van Koten, R. J. M. Klein Gebbink and B. Hessen, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2008, **361**, 1811–1818.
- 7 (a) R. W. Lane, A. G. Wedd, W. O. Gillum, E. J. Laskowski, R. H. Holm, R. B. Frankel and G. C. Papaefthymiou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1977, **99**, 2350–2352; (b) C. L. Hill, J. Renaud, R. H. Holm and L. E. Mortenson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1977, **99**, 2549–2557; (c) J. G. Reynolds, E. J. Laskowski and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, **100**, 5315–5322; (d) E. J. Laskowski, R. B. Frankel, W. O. Gillum, G. C. Papaefthymiou, J. Renaud, J. A. Ibers and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, **100**, 5322–5337; (e) J. G. Reynolds, C. L. Coyle and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 4350–4355.
- 8 (a) E. E. van Tamelen, J. A. Gladysz and J. S. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1973, **95**, 1347–1348; (b) E. E. van Tamelen, J. A. Gladysz and C. R. Brûlet, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1974, **96**, 3020–3021; (c) K. D. Karlin, *Science*, 1993, **261**, 701–708; (d) J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, P. Amara, C. Cavazza, Y. Nicolet and A. Volbeda, *Nature*, 2009, **460**, 814–822.
- 9 (a) T. A. Scott and H.-C. Zhou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **116**, 5746–5749, (*Angew. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 5628–5631); (b) T. A. Scott, C. P. Berlinguette, R. H. Holm and H.-C. Zhou, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2005, **102**, 9741–9744.
- 10 L. Deng and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 9878–9886.
- 11 E. Münck and E. L. Bominaar, *Science*, 2008, **321**, 1452–1453.
- 12 *Handbook of Fluorous Chemistry*, ed. J. A. Gladysz, D. P. Curran and I. T. Horváth, Wiley/VCH, Weinheim, 2004.
- 13 (a) C. Emnet, K. M. Weber, J. A. Vidal, C. S. Consorti, A. M. Stuart and J. A. Gladysz, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2006, **348**, 1625–1634; (b) C. S. Consorti, M. Jurisch and J. A. Gladysz, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 2309–2312; (c) D. Mandal, M. Jurisch, C. S. Consorti and J. A. Gladysz, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2008, **3**, 1772–1782; (d) D. Mandal and J. A. Gladysz, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, **66**, 1070–1077, and literature from other groups cited therein.
- 14 S. K. Ghosh, A. S. Ojeda, J. Guerrero-Leal, N. Bhuvanesh and J. A. Gladysz, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 9369–9378.
- 15 J. A. Gladysz and C. Emnet, Fluorous Solvents and Related Media, in *Handbook of Fluorous Chemistry*, ed. J. A. Gladysz, D. P. Curran and I. T. Horváth, Wiley/VCH, Weinheim, 2004, ch. 3.
- 16 J. A. Gladysz, C. Emnet and J. Rábai, Partition Coefficients involving Fluorous Solvents, in *Handbook of Fluorous Chemistry*, ed. J. A. Gladysz, D. P. Curran and I. T. Horváth, Wiley/VCH, Weinheim, 2004, ch. 6.
- 17 (a) J. K. Nelson and I. F. M. Hashad, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 1976, **9**, 203–210; (b) H. K. Christenson and P. M. Claesson, *Science*, 1988, **239**, 390–392.
- 18 (a) B. Remy, G. Deby-Dupont and M. Lamy, *Br. Med. Bull.*, 1999, **55**, 277–298; (b) D. R. Spahn, *Critical Care*, 1999, **3**, R93–R97.
- 19 (a) J. R. Postgate, *Nitrogen Fixation*, Cambridge, UK, 3rd edn, 1998; (b) K. C. MacLeod and P. L. Holland, *Nat. Chem.*, 2013, **5**, 559–565.
- 20 (a) *IUPAC Solubility Data Series*, ed. R. Battino, Pergamon, New York, 1981, vol. 7, pp. 320 and 321; (b) *IUPAC Solubility Data Series*, ed. C. L. Young, Pergamon, New York, 1981, vol. 5/6, p. 243; (c) C. R. Patrick, *Preparation, Properties, and Industrial Applications of Organofluorine Compounds*, ed. R. E. Banks, Ellis Horwood, New York, 1982, p. 53.
- 21 R. Battino, T. R. Rettich and T. Tominaga, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data.*, 1984, **13**, 563–600.
- 22 I. T. Horváth, D. P. Curran and J. A. Gladysz, Fluorous Chemistry: Scope and Definition, in *Handbook of Fluorous Chemistry*, ed. J. A. Gladysz, D. P. Curran and I. T. Horváth, Wiley/VCH, Weinheim, 2004, ch. 1.
- 23 (a) F. Szonyi and A. Cambon, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 1989, **42**, 59–68; (b) C. Naud, P. Calas, A. Blancou and A. Commeyras, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2000, **104**, 173–183; (c) C. Rocaboy and J. A. Gladysz, *New J. Chem.*, 2003, **27**, 39–49.
- 24 C. Rocaboy, D. Rutherford, B. L. Bennett and J. A. Gladysz, *J. Phys. Org. Chem.*, 2000, **13**, 596–603.
- 25 (a) L. J. Alvey, D. Rutherford, J. J. J. Juliette and J. A. Gladysz, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1998, **63**, 6302–6308; (b) G. Vlád, F. Richter and I. Horváth, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 4559–4561; (c) The exact route employed to this compound can be found in M. Jurish, doctoral dissertation, University Erlangen-Nürnberg, 2008. See also: C. Emnet and J. A. Gladysz, *Synthesis*, 2005, 1012–1018.
- 26 V. Y. Kukushkin and A. I. Moiseev, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1990, **176**, 79–81.
- 27 (a) W. R. Dolbier, *Guide to Fluorine NMR for Organic Chemists*, Wiley, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2009, p. 196; (b) J. A. Gladysz and M. Jurisch, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2012, **308**, 1–24.
- 28 M. Crozet, M. Chaussade, M. Bardet, L. Emsley, B. Lamotte and J.-M. Mouesca, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2000, **104**, 9990–10000.

- 29 H. Jiao, S. Le Stang, T. Soós, R. Meier, K. Kowski, P. Rademacher, L. Jafarpour, J.-B. Hamard, S. P. Nolan and J. A. Gladysz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 1516–1523.
- 30 C. Goh, B. M. Segal, J. Huang, J. R. Long and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 11844–11853.
- 31 *Microelectrodes: Theory and Applications*, ed. I. Montenegro, M. A. Queirós and J. L. Daschbach, Springer, 1991.
- 32 A reviewer has noted that the shape of the CV traces in Fig. 1 (sigmoidal) differs from those often depicted in the literature (with cathodic and anodic peaks). This is a simple consequence of using microelectrodes as opposed to conventional electrodes; it is connected to edge effects (radial diffusion) that dominate over linear diffusion with very small electrodes: A. M. Bond, *Analyst*, 1994, **110**, R1–R21.
- 33 (a) J. F. Harris Jr. and W. A. Sheppard, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1961, **26**, 354–358; (b) R. Freter, E. R. Pohl, J. M. Wilson and D. J. Hupe, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1979, **44**, 1771–1774; (c) D. J. Hupe and E. R. Pohl, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 1985, **26**, 395–399.
- 34 N. Privitera, R. Naon and J. G. Riess, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 1994, **104**, 41–48.
- 35 These data do not indicate an analytical pure sample, but are provided nonetheless to illustrate the best fit obtained to date.
- 36 Several carbon signals were not observed: (a) two CF₂ signals; (b) one CH₂ and two CF₂ signals; (c) four CH₂ and one CF₂ signals.
- 37 D. Türp, J. Wagner, V. Enkelmann and K. Müllen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 4962–4965, (*Angew. Chem.*, 2011, **123**, 5064–5067).