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## Business Review and Prospect

SEASONAL peaks in industrial production have apparently been reached and sagging tendencies may be expected during coming weeks although general business activity is likely to continue at a fairly high level when compared with the low point of the depression.

Recovery still is not proceeding at an equal rate in the various lines of industry. Greatest expansion has occurred in consumers' goods or in those industries stimulated by Covernment aid. On the other hand, industries which depend primarily upon stimulation from private capital continue to lag because legislative, monetary and trade uncertainties have discouraged placing funds in long term enterprises. Until the Congressional program is more clearly defined, and assurances provided against both further drastic reform legislation and unsound currency inflation measures, a moderate lag in trade volumes is likely. Heavy Government purchases of raw materials involved in the P. W. A. and other projects, however, will sustain certain of the lagging industries during the next several months and thus ameliorate any late spring recession.

Adjournment of Congress is expected to occur within the next six weeks, and, in the meantime, a certain amount of compromise legislation will probably be enacted. Although some concessions to the radical inflationists and social reformers are anticipated, the growing sentiment seems to be that the Administration will in the future place greater reliance for attaining improvement upon the removal of unnecessary obstacles to business management and enterprise and less upon artificial stimuli of doubtful immediate value and with potentially unfavorable results over the longer period.

Not alone economic but longer term political considerations seem to suggest clearly the wisdom of a policy which will be reassuring to efficient business management in both large and small enterprises. Successful economic planning can be carried out only as the wholehearted coöperation of private enterprise is enlisted; and such coöperation in turn presupposes confidence on the part of business men in the underlying philosophy of the Governmental program.

It is becoming increasingly clear that in any sound program of economic planning, promotion of international trade is of first importance. In this connection
the following statement by B. M. Anderson of Chase National Bank of New York is worthy of careful consideration. "Manufacturing activity is low, while aqricultural production and raw material production, apart from mining goes on on a large scale. The low prices received by farmers and producers of raw materials do not, however, enable them to buy even the relatively scant output of the factories at prevailing prices in adequate volume, and are far from being enough to enable them to consume the output of which the factory is capable. Equilibrium could be quickly restored by a restorat:on of the foreign markets for our excess farm products, our excess raw materials, giving the farmers and other producers of raw materials good prices once more which would enable them to buy vastly greater quantities of manufactured goods which, in turn, would permit a great expansion of manufacturing.
"There are many who recognize this but who, none the less, fear that the imports of manufactured goods which are needed to make posisble the exports of agricultural products would force upon the factories themselves a painful readjustment. There are many men who, fearing this, none the less propose to go on with the restoration of the export trade for agriculture by the acceptance of imports of manufactures, feeling that it is a matter of justice to the farmers to do it and feeling that in the long run it will be good for the country, but who still fear that what is gained for the farmers will, for a time at least, be taken away from the manufacturers.
"I do not share these fears. I believe that both farmers and manufacturers would gain enormously by the immense expansion of total production in the Linited States, by the immense growth in employment and the immense increase in the utilization of plant and equipment in manufacturing which the restoration of equilibrium would involve."

Although the restoration of equilibrium between agriculture and urban industry through expansion of trade rather than restriction of output would be beneficial to all classes of society and esperially to farmers over the entire Nation, the most direct and immediate benefits of such a program would be felt by producers of raw materials in Texas and the Gulf Southrest. The fact that at present 90 per cent of Texas cotton is shipped
albroad and oil products representing a substantial proportion of total production finds foreign outlets, suggests the importance to this region of broadening exchange relations with foreign nations.

The higher prices which now prevail for Texas raw materials as compared with the depression low, whether caused primarily by normal adjustments of supply and demand as in the case of wool and mohair, or by the devaluation of the dollar as in the case of cotton, continue to be reflected in retail trade throughout the State. More recently, cattle prices have strengthened materially, which fact, taken in conjunction with a sharp increase in marketings compared with last year, is contributing still further to the farm purchasing power throughout the State.

Dollar sales of merchandise as represented by 85 department and specialty stores in all parts of the State averaged 57 per cent above sales in the same stores during March 1933 and 41 per cent higher than in February of the current year. Collections amounted to 37 per cent of outstanding accounts whereas in March lest year only 28 per cent of outstandings were collected.
New passenger car registrations during March in 15 Texas counties, representing all parts of the State, were 53 per cent larger than in February and 156 per cent greater than in March 1933.

Cotton manufacturing in the State continued the sharp rise which has been noted in previous reports. Compared with March 1933, consumption of cotton by Texas
mills increased 43 per cent: cloth produced also rose $4: 3$ per cent: and unfilled orders on March 31 were 32 per cent higher than on the corresponding date last year. Sales of cloth during the month were practically the same as a year earlier.
Production of Portland cement was 54.6 per cent above that of February and 15.5 per cent higher than in March 1933. Shipments were up 27 per cent from February but down 8 per cent from March 1933. Stocks at the end of March were 9.5 per cent below those on the corresponding date a year ago.
The number of commercial failures in the State was 34. per cent lower and liabilities of firms that failed were 24 per cent below those in March 1933.
Employment conditions in Texas continue to show marked improvement over the corresponding period a year ago. For the week ending April 14, reports from 3.038 Texas establishments show a gain of 16.4 per cent in the number of workers employed and a rise of 15.5 per cent in payrolls in comparison with these same firms during the corresponding period last year.

An increase of 1.2 per cent in payrolls and less than $l$ per cent in the number employed occurred in these establishments between March and April.

Cities in which the increase in the number employed was above the average in comparison with April 1933 were: Port Arthur, San Angelo, Dallas, Austin, and Wichita Falls.

## F. A. Buechel.

For complete data, see statistical tables at the end of this publication.

## Financial

A renewal of the agitation for inflation has featured the financial news from Washington during the past month. It will be remembered that one of the arguments advanced against an inflationary move a year ago was that the first dose would surely lead to a demand for a second. and the second for a third. etc. The first dose was taken. however, in the form of departing from the wold standard. the gold buying policy, and an eventual dollar devaluation of 41 per cent. This procedure, it was thought. would raise the level of commodity prices almost at once by some 40 per cent. Admittedly, the Administration is very much disappointed with the results thus far oltained from this scheme and the inflationists have seized upon the opportunity to push their plans for additional inflation. According to them, the first dowe was a step in the right direction. but it did now far enough: what is needed now is a bigger dose with a higher aleoholic content.

The serent sharp declines in commodity prices, parbiculart in wheat prices, have added materially to the inflation sentiment. If these prices do not improve in the near future it is entirely possible that the inflation pressure in Congress will prove irresistible. The attitude of the President with respect to new inflationary measures is no clear. Athough still committed to his policy of
price raising, he apparently has lost some faith in currency tinkering as an economic panacea and would prefer. for the present, to maintain the status quo, allowing time for the measures already taken to prove their efficacy or lack of efficacy.
New currency inflationary moves may follow any one or more of three programs. It is possible that the gold dollar will be further devalued or debased, or that fiat currency will be issued in large quantities, or that an extensive silver purchase program will be adopted.
Of these three plans, it is probable that the President favors the first. Under existing law, he has the authority to devalue the dollar by as much as 50 per cent. The January devaluation amounted to 40.94 per cent, leaving a further devaluation of some 9 per cent which could be carried into effect at a moment's notice by executive proclamation. The recent weakness of the dollar in terms of gold currencies suggests that the foreign exchange market regards this procedure as more than a mere possibility.

Pressure to issue fiat currency has taken various forms. Ender the famous Thomas Amendment, the President is empowered to redeem qovernment bonds up to $\$ 3,000$, $0(0,000$ with fiat currency. Thus far, he has not used this power, but it is possible that Congressional pressure
may compel him to act. Other plans involving new currency issues now being considered include: the bill to pay the soldier's bonus in fiat currency, the FrazierLemke Bill providing for the liquidation and refinancing of farm mortgages via bond and federal reserve note issues, and the McLeod Bill, which would require the federal government to pay off depositors in closed national banks with fiat currency. This latter measure has been disapproved by the President but might easily be passed over his veto as the bill is very popular with the depositors involved and might be expected to win many votes for the legislators who sponsor it. Should its provisions be extended to include closed state banks, the bill would involve a total outlay of some $\$ 4,000,000,000$.
Legislative proposals to do something more for silver take two general forms, the establishment of a bimetallic standard at some selected ratio of silver to gold, and a simple program requiring the government to purchase large quantities of silver at rising prices. The chief advocate of the former policy is Senator Borah who favors the free coinage of silver at the ratio of 16 to 1 . The latter policy is represented by numerous bills such as the Pitman Bill, the Feisenger Bill, and the Dies Bill. The latter Bill, as it was passed by the House, provides that the Treasury shall accept silver bullion in payment for American agricultural exports at higher than the market price for silver. In other words, it provides for dumping farm produce abroad. Real silver purchasing, however, is provided by an amendment unanimously adopted by the Senate Agricultural Committee. This amendment permits the redemption of all currency in silver, provides for the seizure by the Treasury of all monetary silver bullion domiciled in the United States, and requires the Treasury after January 1, 1935, to purchase $50,000,000$ ounces of silver each month in the world market until the domestic price level shall have risen to the 1926 plane or the price of silver shall have reached a price $1 / 16$ that of gold.
In view of the present great pressure to do something more for silver and of the possibility that further silver legislation will be enacted shortly, it may be well to examine briefly some of the probable effects which could be expected to result from such legislation.
Most authorities on money agree that an international bimetallic standard might possibly be successful, but that bimentallism adopted by a single country would be doomed to early failure. There is, at present, little prospect of obtaining an international agreement governing the remonetization of silver. Nor is there much likelihood that the Congress will attempt to establish a bimetallic standard independent of the rest of the world. If bimetallism is adopted, however, it will probably be at a mint ratio of 30 to 1 or lower. With gold at $\$ 35$ an ounce and silver at 44 c an ounce, the present market ratio of the two metals is about 80 to 1 .
A mint ratio of 30 to 1 , therefore, would greatly overvalue silver at the mint and under free bimetallism could be expected to produce the following results: The market price of silver would be forced up quickly. Gold would be withdrawn for hoarding purposes or to be shipped abroad and, the country's gold stock would tend to be
rapidly exhausted. As long as gold could be obtained to export, the foreign exhange rates would probably be held at or close to the gold export points. Within a short time, however, the exhaustion of gold stocks would place the country on an outright silver standard and the dollar exchange rates would rise rapidly to a level roughly measuring the world price of silier in terms of gold. Commodity prices probably would spurt at first, then drop back, and then start on a long aid fairly rapid rise, which would be most difficult to check.

Although bimetalism is unlikely of early adoption, an extensive silver purchase program, say at the rate of 25 to 50 million ounces a month, is quite possible. Such a program would duplicate on a larger scale the Bland-Allison Act of 1878 and the Sherman Act of 1890. The silver purchased under a plan of this sort would be paid for with silver certificates which would go into circulation temporarily. As there is no present need for more currency in circulation, however, this money would shortly hecome redundant and pile up in commercial banks, from where it would be sent to the Federal Reserve Banks, thus adding to the already topheavy excess reserve balances of the commercial banks and broadening the base for future credit expansion.

Silver prices would tend to rise as the artificial government demand set in. Just how rapidly this rise would develop, it is hard to say. The estimated world production of silver is 250 to 300 million ounces annually, and the stock of silver bullion on hand is conservatively estimated at 12 billion ounces. The people who would benefit from the rise in price would of course be the silver speculators and silver producers such as Anaconda Copper and Phelps Dodge. When the artificial demand for silver ceased, the price could be expected to fall back to its natural level, leaving the Government with a huge loss on its silver inventory. Commodity prices probably would spurt at first, then fall back, after which the long-term trend would be upward, as it is at present, depending upon the utilization of excess reserves by the banks. The net effect of a silver purchase program would be to raise temporarily the price of silver at the expense of government credit and a loss of confidence in the currency.

The silver purchase pressure originates, now as heretofore, with the group of congressmen from the western silver-producing states, who, for political reasons, are interested in benefiting their home state industries. To this ever present group is now added the inflation group which thinks that higher silver prices will raise commodity prices and is willing to experiment to that end despite the example of past experience. The public in general favors inflation and can easily be led to believe that, by some mysterious process, raising the price of silver will somehow raise the price of com, furniture, and labor. This is the easier to believe since our fractional coins are largely made of silver and, in the popular imagination, silver has long been regarded as a mones metal. It is very much to be hoped that the President will not risk further impairing confidence in the national currency through any silver purchase plan.
J. C. Dolley.

## Petroleum

## CURRENT EXPANSION OF OIL PRODUCTION in UNited STATES

In considering the increased production of crude oil in the United States since the beginning of 1934 as compared with the production for the corresponding period in 1933, a few percentage figures may serve to give a more definite picture of what is happening in this part of the oil industry. The estimated daily average production of crude oil in this country for the week ending April 21, 1934 (as reported in the Oil and Gas Journal), is almost 23 per cent greater than that for the week ending April 22, 1933. This expansion of production is being paralleled by the greater activity that has become manifest in field operations and in field developments throughout the entire oil producing territory of the United States.

## LEADERSHIP OF TEXAS IN THIS EXPANSION

This expansion of operations, and particularly the expansion in producing oil fields, is not uniform by any means. Texas, for instance, which has been furnishing for some months more than 40 per cent of the national production, was producing during the week ending April 21, 1934, almost 42 per cent of the total crude oil for the country; for the week ending April 22 a year ago this State was producing a little more than 36 per cent of the national output. Moreover, it is important to note that the daily average production of Texas is now 41.6 per cent greater than it was a year ago. Certainly this increase is one of vast significance to Texas as well as to the oil industry.

Furthermore, in the week ending April 21, 1934, the three East Texas fields (Lathrop, Kilgore, and Joiner) furnished more than 48 pe rcent of the entire Texas output. And it should be noted that the East Texas fields, according to the data in the Oil and Gas Journal for April 26, 1931, have increased their output by more than 153 per cent over that of a year ago.
In general, fields in the major oil districts of the country show an increase in production over that of this time last year; a notable exception, however, occurs in
the larger fields of California, all of which currently are furnishing less oil than during this period of 1933 Such fields as Santa Fe Springs, Long Beach, and Kettle. man Hills all register decreases; the state of California as a whole, however, shows a slight increase. Another field showing a slight decrease is the Seminole-St. Louis of Oklahoma. The state of Oklahoma is producing considerably more oil than it was a year ago; Oklahoma City has increased its output by more than 74 per cent.

## TEXAS OIL DISTRICTS <br> EQUIVALENT TO <br> OTHER OIL STATES

Likewise, most Texas districts show substantial in. creases-West Texas, North Central Texas, Texas Panhandle, and the Gulf Coast. The current production of the Gulf Coast, for instance, registers a gain of 5.6 per cent over that for the same period in 1933. Various comparisons bring into perspective the dominance of Texas and of several of its various fields in the production of oil. For instance, the entire state of Kansas is producing currently less oil than even the single district of West Texas, and therefore considerably less than the Texas Gulf Coast. Arkansas is producing less than any one of the major Texas districts. All of Louisiana is producing considerably less than North Central Texas, and thus much less than either West Texas or the Texas Gulf Coast. The current output of the entire state of Oklahoma is less than one-half that of Texas; and the current output of Oklahoma is somewhat greater than that of California. Kettleman Hills, for instance, is producing only a little more than the Texas Panhandle; and the output of the three large California fields-Santa Fe Springs, Long Beach, and Kettleman Hills -is only a little more than that of the Texas Gulf Coast and is only a third that of the three fields of East Texas. And the total production of California is less than that of East Texas alone. Thus it is necessary to consider the major individual oil districts of Texas as comparable to or even exceeding in importance that of other oil producing states.

## Elmer H. Johinson.

## Cotton

## TIIE COTTO situAtio\.

World supply situation of American cotton is the strongest since 1931. According to Garside of the New York Colton Exchange, the world supply of American cotton wats $11.981,00 \%$ bales $A$ pril 1, 16,679,000 a year ago, 17.273 .000 two years aro, and 9,958.000) on April 1, 1030.

It is too carly yet to obtain definite information about increases in acreage in foreign countries, though it is generally conceded that there will be an increase. The only question is, how much?

In some quarters much reliance was placed in the Bankhead Bill to solve the cotton problems. The fact is the Bankhead Bill taken alone will result in another holding movement similar to that of the Farm Board with this additional drawback, that it will have an unprecedented amount of Governmental administration machinery attached to it. The Bill does not limit either production or ginning. It looks as though we must have another demonstration that the total supply of cotton is the real market supply regardless of who holds it The fact is, we would probably have had less cotton and
higher prices without the Bankhead Bill than with it in the form it passed.

## SPIMNERS MARGII

Spinners margins continue to hold up remarkably well which indicates a fair demand. The ratio margin for March was 162, compared with 162 for February and 173 for March last year. The average pence margin during March was 4.07 d compared with 1.09 d for February and 3.72 d for March last year.

## COTTON BALANCE SHEET

Total supplies of cotton in the Lnited States April 1 were $10,895,000$ bales compared with $12,641.000$ bales last year. The decrease in supplies of cottom in the Cnited States and of American colton in European ports and afloat to Europe during the past year was 1,807,(0)0 bales.

Calculated changes in the index price of cottom based (1) these changes in -upply indicate an adsance of 321 peints wer the prier in tpril law sear. When change in the indes mumber and the spimines margin are put into the price calculation. the calculated cents price for
 The Bureau of Business Research ouppleprice chart indicates a price of athent 9 cemts. The price calculations based on percentage change indieate a price slighty under 9 cents.
These calculations do not take into amount devaluation of the dollar heyond that reflected in the index number. Als, they do not take into account very large increases of supplies of growths of cotton other than American. The fact is. the reduction in supplies of all cottom is considerahly less than a million bales.

## Livestock and Poultry

## TEXAS

## LIVESTOCK

## SHIPMENTS

7 per cent.
More significant, however, than the change in total March shipments as compared with last year is the change in shipments of the rarious classes of livestork. Catlle shipments for the two periods were respectively 2,127 and 1.858 cars, a gain of 14 per cent; calves, 407 and 390 cars, a rise of 4 per cent; hogs, 373 and 221 cars, a drop of 93 per cent: sheep, 305 and 493 cars, a slamp of 62 per cent.

For the first three months of the year shipments aggregated 10,390 cars compared with 9,113 cars during the corresponding period last sear, an increase of 14 per cent. Cattle shipments for the comparable quarters were 6,450 and 5.111 cars, an increase of 26 per cent; calves. 1,709 and 1.334 cars an increase of 29 per cent; hogs. 96.5 and 1,354 cars, a deeline of 29 per cent: and sheep, 1,272 and 1,314 rars, a decline of 4 per cent.

Shipments of catte and calves to the Fort Worth market during March were more than 20 per cent above those of a year aqo while receipts of Texas hogs and sheep at this market were only half those of last year. There was a sharp falling off of all classes of Texas livestock to the Las Angeles market compared with a year ago, hog shipments having fallen to only one-third of the number shipped to this market last year.

Sharp increases in shipments of sheep occurred t" Californa other than Los Angeles) Chicago. Kansas points, Missouri, and lowa. Thee increases were about offset by declines in shipments to East St. Louis. Omaha. and other Vebraska points and Kansas Cils the latter market having received lose than one-half as man! as in March 1933.

Receipts of hogs in Trxat from other states totalled 182 cars agains 121 cars in March last year, an increare
of $\overline{5}$ ) per rent. The bulk of these receipt: rame from Kansas, Vehraska. and Oklahoma.

Different sections of the State show considerahle variation in shipments from last sear. The northern half of the Panhandle showed a $i$ : per cent increase in shipments of cattle but a drop of $\overline{3}$ () per ceent in shipments of calres. OF per cent in hoges and of per cent in sheep: while in the southern half of the Panhandle there was but litte change from last vear. A dee line in shipments of all classes of livestock oceurred in the district lying adjacent to the cap rock on the east. Shipments of sheep from this district fell off 8() per rent from last sear. Frwer cattle but a greater number of calses and sheep were chipped from the Trans Pecos country. while ship. ment. from the Edwards Platean were lareer for all dasere of livestock.

In spite of the large increase in shipments of catte. mot only from Texas hut from other livestoch areas. price have heen rising steadily and suhstantially. Equecially has this heen true for the heter aroes of lisestuck. This situation is in marked contrat to the condition which prevailed during the fall and earls winter seaton. Horeoser. the price wethosk for the better elase of beef is constantly growing brighter.

On the other hand, hog prices continue to be depresed eren though the saughter under Federal inspertion in Vareh was the smalles sinee 1917 and was 16 per cent lese than in March 1933. It is oh ious therefore that the rurent depresed hog pries-are caused largels bs factors wher than the -uppl! stuation. If the hog processing lax of 32.25 per 100 pronds i induded. the eost of hogs (") saughterers during Varth "a- well abode so. (o) per toin peounde or more than 50 per eent greater than a sar earlier.

POTETRS
A VI) EGG

Interstate rail hipments of poultry and pgor from Tisas during Mareh totalled 1.51 tars asamet lag cars in March 1933. a deeline of 21 per cent. Poultry shipments for the two
periods were 102 and 133 cars respectively, accounting for most of the decline. Egg shipments of 52 cars almost equalled the 53 cars shipped in March last year.

Xo rail shipments of eggs were received from outside states in March this year whereas last year 5 cars were shipped in from Kansas and 3 from Missouri.

## Subscription to the <br> TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW $\$ 1.00$ per year

## TEXAS COMMERCIAL FAILURES*

(In Thousands of Dollars)

|  | Mar. <br> 1934 $\dagger$ |  | Feb. 1934 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1933 \end{aligned}$ |  | Quarter 1933 $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 21 |  | 32 |  | 32 | 79 | 187 |
| Average Weekly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 4. |  | 8 |  | 8 | 6 | 14 |
| Liabilities _ \$ | 435 | \$ | 468 | \$ | 569 | \$1,206 | \$3,423 |
| Assets \$ | 321 | \$ | 265 | \$ | 285 | \$ 682 | \$1,519 |
| Average Liabilities per Failure $\qquad$ | 21 | \$ | 15 | \$ | 18 | \$ 15 | \$ 18 |
| *All figures have been revised to include failures in the El Paso area.†Five weeks.Thirteen weeks.Note: From Dun \& Bradstreet, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PETROLEUM
Daily Average Production (In Barrels)

|  | Mar. | Feb. | Mar. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 19334 | 1934 | 1933 |
| Panhandle | 55,300 | 49,350 | 48,510 |
| North Texas | 55,400 | 54,500 | 50,930 |
| West Central Texas | 26,750 | 26,150 | 23,770 |
| West Texas | 135,850 | 128,950 | 160,160 |
| East Central Texas | 43,650 | 43,150 | 58,740 |
| East Texas | 432,250 | 413,900 | 339,730 |
| Southwest Texas | 46,050 | 43,350 | 49,450 |
| Coastal Texas | 160,250 | 160,000 | 145,380 |
| STATE | 955,500 | 919,350 | 876,670 |
| UNITED STATES | $2,351,650$ | $2,245,700$ | $2,175,920$ |
| Imports | 108,143 | 127,607 | 167,000 |

## Note: From American Petroleum Institute. New Development in Texas

|  | Mar. | Feb. | Mar. | First |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Quarter

```
*Five weeks.
tThirteen weeks.
Note: From The Oil Weekly.
```

Gasoline sales as indicated by taxes collected by the State Comptroller: February, 193.4, 58,6.31.000 gallons; January, 1934, 6.5, 581,000 gallon: Felhruary, 1933, 18,088,000 gallons.

## APRIL EMPLOYMENT IN TEXAS CLASSIFIED BY CITIES AND EMPLOYMENT GROUPS*

(Week ending April 14)

|  | Workers |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Percentage } & \text { Change } \\ \text { from } & \text { from } \\ \text { March } & \text { April } \\ 1934 & 1933 \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lish. ments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 1934 \end{aligned}$ | March 1934 | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 1933 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Amarillo 64. | 1,399 | 1,354 | 1,293 | 3.3 | +8.2 |
| Austin _- 29 | 771 | 738 | 656 | + 4.5 | + 17.5 |
| Beaumont … 120 | 5,363 | 5,516 | 4,621 | 2.8 | $+16.1$ |
| Dallas - 427 | 18,857 | 19,423 | 15,989 | - 2.9 | $+17.9$ |
| El Paso . 112 | -3,407 | 3,282 | 2,976 | + 3.8 | +14.5 |
| Fort Worth _ 283 | 9,046 | 8,565 | 8,018 | + 5.6 | +12.8 |
| Galveston _- 25 | 1,236 | 1,303 | 1,271 | $-5.1$ | - . 28 |
| Houston .- 463 | 28,278 | 27,823 | 24,869 | + 1.6 | +13.7 |
| Port Arthur _- 10 | 6,800 | 7,471 | 4,509 | - 9.0 | + 50.8 |
| San Angelo $\dagger$-.... 9 | 246 | 242 | 203 | + 1.7 | $+21.2$ |
| San Antonio .-. 501 | 11,440 | 11,391 | 10,305 | + 0.4 | +11.0 |
| Waco 89 | 2,522 | 2,486 | 2,174 | $+1.4$ | +16.0 |
| Wichita Falls ... 87 | 1,837 | 1,751 | 1,576 | + 4.9 | $+16.6$ |
| Miscellaneous _ 819 | 26,385 | 25,862 | 22,546 | + 2.0 | $+17.0$ |
| STATE - 3,038 | 117,587 | 117,207 | 101,006 | $+0.3$ | +16.4 |
| Auto and Body |  |  |  |  |  |
| Works - . | 128 | 97 | 58 | $+32.0$ | +120.7 |
| Bakeries __ 41 | 1,734 | 1,763 | 1,555 | - 1.6 | $+11.5$ |
| Confectioneries 18 | 521 | 589 | 517 | $-11.5$ | +0.8 |
| Pure Food Products | 279 | 192 | 131 | $+45.3$ | +113.0 |
| Factories .-..- 9 | 421 | 401 | 400 | $+5.0$ | $+5.3$ |
| Beverages __ 19 | 298 | 290 | 259 | $+\quad 2.8$ $+\quad 3.0$ | +15.1 |
| Flour Mills _- 15 | 786 | 760 | 635 | + 3.4 | +23.8 |
| Ice Factories .... 56 | 856 | 787 | 594 | +8.8 | +44.1 |
| Laundries, Dry Cleaning $\qquad$ 77 | 2,481 | 2,404 | 2,405 | $+3.2$ | $+3.2$ |
| Meat Packing, 2, 2,01 |  |  |  |  | +8.1 |
| Cotton Oil Mills 20 Cotton Compresses $\qquad$ 5 | 883 | 945 | 708 | - 6.6 | $+24.7$ |
|  | 642 | 697 | 749 | 8.0 | 24.7 -14.3 |
| Men's Clothing $8.0-14.3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women's Cloth'g 2,125 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing 10 | 975 | 826 | 1,176 | $+18.0$ | $-17.1$ |
| Brick, Tile, |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foundries, Machine Shops 39 | 4,062 | 3,967 | 2,100 | 7.2 $+\quad 2.4$ | 36.0 +93.4 |
| Structural Iron $2,100+2.4+93.4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Railroad Car $240.4+8.1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electric Ry. 21 2, 27.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petrol. Refining 24 | 14,951 | 15,933 | 12,385 | - 6.2 | $+20.7$ |
| Saw Mills _- 20 | 3,245 | 3,253 | 2,519 | - 0.2 | +28.8 |
| Lumber Mills .. 16 | 305 | 306 | 379 | $-0.3$ | -19.6 |
| Furniture Mfg. 13 | 840 | 843 | 663 | $-0.4$ | +26.7 |
| Paper Box Mfg.. 8 | 234 | 263 | 278 | - 11.0 | -15.8 |
| Cotton Textiles . 13 | 2,878 | 2,894 | 1,804 | - 0.6 | $+59.5$ |
| Cement Plants .- 9 | 913 | 808 | 651 | $+13.0$ | $+40.2$ |
| Commer. Printing 51 | 891 | 894 | 847 | 0.3 | + 5.2 |
| Newspapers -- 22 | 2,351 | 2,342 | 2,154 | + 0.4 | + 9.1 |
| Quarrying _- 23 | 1,490 | 1,474 | 694 | $+1.1$ | $+114.7$ |
| Public Utilities 141 | 7,926 | 7,646 | 7,080 | $+3.7$ | $+11.9$ |
| Retail Stores _- 77 | 7,027 | 6,754 | 5,774 | + 4.0 | $+21.7$ |
| Wholesale Stores 102 | 2,952 | 2,978 | 2,474 | $-0.9$ | +19.3 |
| Hotels - - - - 42 | 3,172 | 3,119 | 2,784 | + 1.7 | +13.9 |
| Miscellaneous _ 2,076 | 46,063 | 46,410 | 42,299 |  | +8.9 |
| STATE - 3,038 | 117,587 | 117,207 | 101,006 | $+0.3$ | +16.4 |
| Total Payroll \$2,393,339 \$2,363,773 \$2,072,348 + $1.2+15.5$ |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]MARCH CARLOAD MOVEMENT OF POULTRY AND EGGS


| New York _-..... 18 | 28 | -- | 2 | 33 | 48 |  | 2 | 9 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Illinois |  | --- |  | 8 | 1 | -. |  | 4 | 10 |
| Massachusetts | -..- | --- | 2 | 10 | 5 | -.. | 3 |  | 4 |
| New Jersey |  | -- |  | 5 | 7 | $\ldots$ | -... | 15 | 1 |
| Pennsylvania | - | --- | 1 | 9 | 17 | .... | -- | 1 | 8 |
| Louisiana _..... 2 | - | -- | - |  | 3 | - | - | 5 | 1 |
| Connecticut | - | - | .. | 5 | 4 | - |  |  | 1 |
| Georgia | --- | - | -.. | 1 | 2 | --- | - | 2 | 3 |
| Michigan |  | .... | - |  | 2 | - | - |  |  |
| California 4 | 3 | -- | -- | 3 | 3 | ---- | - | 4 | 4 |
| Alabama | $\ldots$ | -.. | -.. | $\ldots$ | 1 | $\ldots$ | ... | 2 |  |
| Florida |  | --- | --- |  | - | - | - | 2 | --. |
| Rhode Island |  | --- | -... | 3 | ...- | --- | - | - |  |
| Tennessee | -- | --.- | --- | . | -- |  |  | 1 |  |
| Maryland | - | -- | -..- | 1 | - | --. | --- |  |  |
| Oklahoma | --- | --- | - | -- | -... | .... | -- | 2 |  |


| Nebraska | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | - | $\ldots$ | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Iowa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

North Carolina
Receipts at Texas Stations

Interstate Receipts Classified
Kansas
Missouri

Note: These data are furnished the U. S. Department of Agriculture, Division of Crop and Livestock Estimates. by railway rfficials through agents at all stations
which originate and receive carload shipments of poultry and eggs. The data which originate and receive carload shipments of
are compiled by the Bureau of Business Research.

## LUMBER

|  | (In Board Feet) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. <br> 1934 | Feb. <br> 1934 | Mar. <br> Southern Pine Mills: <br> Average Weekly Production <br> per Unit |
| Average Weekly Shipments <br> per Unit | 215,471 | 204,190 | 165,238 |
| Average Unfilled Orders per <br> Unit, End of Month | 203,190 | 180,228 | 192,841 |

Note: From Southern Pine Association.

## COTTON MANUFACTURING IN TEXAS

|  | Mar. | Feb. | Mar. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bales of cotton used | 1934 | 1934 | 1933 |
| Yards of cloth: | 6,803 | 6,043 | 4,752 |
| Produced |  |  |  |
| Sold | $6,930,000$ | $5,899,000$ | $4,855,000$ |
| Unfilled orders | $5,199,000$ | $6,515,000$ | $5.228,000$ |
| Active spindles | $12,858,000$ | $16,653,000$ | $9,759,000$ |
| Spindle hours | 179,909 | 175,683 | 141,647 |
| Spyyy | $54,318,000$ | $48,855,000$ | $41,147,000$ |

[^1]TEXAS RETAIL DEPARTMENT STORE SALES*

|  | Number of Stores Reporting | Percentage Change in Dollar Sales |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } 1934 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. } 1934 \\ \text { from } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1934 \text { from } \\ & \text { Year-to-date } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Feb. 1934 | Mar. 1933 | 1933 |
| Abilene | 3 | + 48.7 | $+50.2$ | $+37.4$ |
| Austin | 5 | $+42.5$ | +29.1 | $+24.7$ |
| Beaumont | 5 | + 51.1 | $+59.7$ | +32.1 |
| Corsicana | 3 | $+40.9$ | $+61.8$ | $+32.7$ |
| Dallas | 8 | $+25.2$ | $+55.5$ | $+36.5$ |
| Fort Worth | 6 | $+39.7$ | $+45.2$ | $+33.0$ |
| Galveston | 4 | $+69.7$ | $+58.5$ | $+24.4$ |
| Houston | 9 | + 47.9 | $+65.8$ | $+48.7$ |
| Port Arthur | 3 | + 60.1 | + 72.9 | $+59.8$ |
| San Angelo | 3 | $+58.8$ | $+39.7$ | $+31.0$ |
| San Antonio | 5 | $+45.9$ | $+56.5$ | $+36.9$ |
| Temple | 3 | + 53.4 | $+84.7$ | $+35.0$ |
| Tyler | 3 | $+32.6$ | + 74.4 | $+78.2$ |
| Waco | 4 | $+50.8$ | $+66.3$ | $+45.6$ |
| Wichita Falls | 3 | $+59.1$ | $+50.7$ | $+35.0$ |
| All Others | 18 | $+48.7$ | $+63.3$ | $+46.6$ |
| STATE | 85 | $+41.1$ | $+57.4$ | $+40.0$ |
| Department Stores (Annual volume over $\$ 500,000$ ) | 16 | $+41.7$ | $+55.1$ | $+39.8$ |
| Department Stores (Annual volume under $\$ 500,000$ ) | 29 | $+49.2$ | $+58.9$ | $+46.1$ |
| Dry Goods and Apparel |  |  |  |  |
| Stores - | 13 | $+49.8$ | $+46.5$ | $+26.1$ |
| Women's Specialty Shops | 12 | +32.6 | $+56.4$ | $+34.4$ |
| Men's Clothing Stores | 15 | $+33.3$ | $+85.0$ | $+47.0$ |

[^2]BUILDING PERMITS

|  | Mar. $1934$ | Feb. $1934$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1933 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{1934}^{\text {Fir }}$ | Quarter 1933 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abilene _ \$ | 970 \$ | 5,280 | \$ 2,995 | \$ 42,045 | \$ 5,440 |
| Amarillo | 8,378 | 5,083 | 10,160 | 76,315 | 26,4.11 |
| Austin | 85,406 | 29,300 | 72,355 | 145,494 | 508,469 |
| Beaumont | 17,690 | 10,335 | 8,652 | 38,575 | 35,835 |
| Brownsville | 17,135 | 3,021 | 1,450 | 44,863 | 3,055 |
| Brownwood | 325 | 30 | 25 | 1,655 | 765 |
| Cleburne |  | 4,025 | 2,250 | 4,450 | 4,750 |
| Corpus Christi | 13,215 | 13,335 | 12,490 | 89,474 | 26,253 |
| Corsicana - . | 27,450 | 600 | 4,600 | 44,800 | 22,275 |
| Dallas | 347,551 | 257,211 | 116,350 | 856,642 | 564,660 |
| Del Rio | 26,430 | 1,181 | 3,662 | 29,153 | 7,536 |
| Denison | 12,500 |  | 1,200 | 14,700 | 2,550 |
| Eastland |  |  | 16,000 |  | 17,500 |
| El Paso | 11,525 | 38,905 | 11,036 | 73,566 | 68,685 |
| Fort Worth | 78,600 | 40,000 | 255,123 | 171,020 | 407,623 |
| Galveston | 36,624 | 36,278 | 57,690 | 93,774 | 106,775 |
| Harlingen | 5,600 | 12,700 | 75,735 | 22,130 | 77,400 |
| Houston | 301,840 | 252,495 | 143,365 | 714,760 | 513,287 |
| Jacksonville | 2,000 | 10,375 | 1,700 | 14,375 | 2,815 |
| Laredo | 1,200 | 700 | 600 | 1,900 | 1,700 |
| Longview | 39,000 | 25,520 | 89,900 | 95,320 | 176.880 |
| Lubbock | 7,706 | 5,379 | 2,426 | 19,794 | 17,945 |
| McAllen | 550 | 735 | 1,050 | 2.875 | 1,750 |
| Marshall | 7,725 | 1,345 | 12,057 | 11,150 | 18,119 |
| Paris | 10,094 | 1,600 | 8,755 | 14,394 | 44,070 |
| Plainview | 9,200 |  | 3,500 | 22,400 | 8,500 |
| Pt. Arthur | 11,406 | 12,989 | 7,755 | 34,209 | 15,960 |
| San Angelo | 16,750 | 1,886 | 1,125 | 22,711 | 6,085 |
| San Antonio | 66,281 | 68,990 | 222,133 | 204,718 | 297,291 |
| Sherman | 3,868 | 15,038 | 718 | 20,381 | 5,342 |
| Snyder |  | 750 |  | 2,650 |  |
| Sweetwater | 1,000 | 500 | 545 | 4,670 | 1,845 |
| Tyler | 55,074 | 26,645 | 70,166 | 123,408 | 144,735 |
| Waco | 15,067 | 8,907 | 25,826 | 50,121 | 74,645 |
| Wichita Falls | 4,995 | 2,160 | 4,422 | 30,480 | 11,029 |
| TOTAL \$ $\quad 1,243,155$ \$ |  | 893,298 | \$1,247,816 | 83,138,972 | \$3,227,980 |

[^3]

## TEXAS CHARTERS

(In Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Compiled from records of the Secretary of State.
CEMENT

|  | Mar. | Feb. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Debits to individual accounts | 1934 | 1934 |
| Condition of reporting | $\$ 491$ | $\$ 512$ |
| member banks on | Mar. 28 | Feb. 28 |
| Deposits (total) | 1934 | 1934 |
| Time | 401 | 402 |
| Demand | 122 | 121 |
| Borrowings from Federal Reserve | 279 | 281 |
| Loans (total) | 187 | 189 |
| On securities | 64 | 60 |
| All other | 123 | 129 |
| Government securities owned | 170 | 190 |

*Data for March, 1933, are not available due to the banking holiday.
Note: From Federal Resr e Board.
(In Barrels)

|  | 1934 | 1934 | Mar. |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Texas Mills |  |  |  |
| Production | 433,000 | 280,000 | 375,000 |
| Shipments | 346,000 | 273,000 | 375,000 |
| Stocks | 579,000 | 492,000 | 640,000 |
| United States |  |  |  |
| Production | $5,257,000$ | $4,168,000$ | $3,684,000$ |
| Shipments | $4,618,000$ | $2,952,000$ | $3,510,000$ |
| Stocks | $21,401,000$ | $20,762,000^{*}$ | $21,298,000$ |
| Capacity Operated | $23.0 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |

Note: From U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Mines.

## COTTON BALANCE SHEET FOR THE UNITED STATES <br> AS OF APRIL 1

(In Thousands of Running Bales Except as Noted)

|  | Final Ginnings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carryover Aug. 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Imports } \\ & \text { to Apr. 1* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Report } \\ & \text { Mar. } 20 \dagger \end{aligned}$ | Total | Consumption to Apr. 1 | Exports to Apr. 1 | Total | Balance Apr. 1 |
| 1926-1927 | 3,543 | 273 | 17,755 | 21,571 | 4,712 | 8,649 | 13,361 | 8,210 |
| 1927-1928 | 3,762 | 267 | 12,783 | 16,812 | 4,782 | 5,719 | 10,501 | 6,311 |
| 1928-1929 | 2,536 | 283 | 14,297 | 17,116 | 4,674 | 6,746 | 11,420 | 5,696 |
| 1929-1930 | 2,313 | 244 | 14,548 | 17,105 | 4,316 | 5,771 | 10,087 | 7,018 |
| 1930-1931 | 4,530 | 51 | 13,756 | 18,337 | 3,384 | 5,518 | 8,902 | 9,425 |
| 1931-1932 | 6,369 | 66 | 16,595 | 23,030 | 3,570 | 6,854 | 10,424 | 12,606 |
| 1932-1933 | 9,682 | 88 | 12,703 | 22,473 | 3,747 | 6,085 | 9,832 | 12,641 |
| 1933-1934 | 8,176 | 102 | 12,660 | 20,938 | 3,945 | 6,098 | 10,043 | 10,895 |

The cotton year begins August 1.
*In 500 -pound bales.
$\dagger$ Gin run bales, counting round bales as half bales.

## MARCH SHIPMENTS OF LIVESTOCK CONVERTED TO A RAIL-CAR BASIS:

Total Interstate Plus Fort Worth $\dagger$

| Cattle |  | Calves |  | Hogs |  | Sheep |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1934 | 1933 | 1934 | 1933 | 1934 | 1933 | 1934 | 1933 | 1934 | 1933 |
| 2,127 | 1,858 | 407 | 390 | 373 | 721 | 305 | 493 | 3,212 | 3,462 |
| 494 | 343 | 154 | 129 | 3 | 46 | 92 | 22 | 743 | 540 |
| 2,621 | 2,201 | 561 | 519 | 376 | 767 | 397 | 515 | 3,955 | 4,002 |

TEXAS CAR-LOT* SHIPMENTS OF LIVESTOCK JANUARY 1 TO APRIL 1

Total Interstate Plus Fort Worth ${ }^{\dagger}$
Total Intrastate Omitting Fort Worth TOTAL SHIPMENTS

| Cattle |  | Calves |  | Hogs |  | Sheep |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1934 | 1933 | 1934 | 1933 | 1934 | 1933 | 1934 | 1933 | 1934 | 1933 |
| 6,450 | 5,111 | 1,709 | 1,334 | 965 | 1,354 | 1,272 | 1,314 | 10,396 | 9,113 |
| 1,148 | 943 | 551 | 470 | 21 | 141 | 293 | 195 | 2,013 | 1,749 |
| 7,598 | 6,054 | 2,260 | 1,804 | 986 | 1,495 | 1,565 | 1,509 | 12,409 | 10,862 |

Hort Worth shipments are combined with interstate forwardings in order that the bulk of market disappearance for the month may be shown.
$\ddagger$ Rail-Car Basis: Cattle. 30 head per car; calves, 60 ; hogs, 80 ; and sheep, 250.
Note: These data are furnished the United States Bureau of Agricultural Economics by railway officials through more than 1,500 station agents, representing evers livestock shipping point in the State. The data are compiled by the Bureau of Business Research,


[^0]:    *These figures do not include workers on the Federal Emergency Program. $\dagger$ Eight San Angelo firms are included in Miscellaneous.

[^1]:    Note: Reported to the Bureau of Business Research by Texas Cotton Mills.

[^2]:    *The classification by towns includes all of the stores reporting as indicated in the classification by types of stores.
    Note: Reported to the Bureau of Business Research by Texas Department Stores.

[^3]:    Note: Reported by Texas Chambers of Commerce.

