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HIGHLIGHTS OF TEXAS BUSINESS
APRIL 1950 COMPARED
WITH APRIL 1949*
Electric power consumption
Industrial electric power consumption.
Bank debits.
Miscellaneous freight carloadings
Crude petroleum production.
Farm cash income.
Postal receipts.
Retail sales.
Crude runs to stills.


## APRIL 1950 COMPARED <br> WITH MARCH 1950*

Farm cash income.
Crude petroleum production
Industrial electric power consumption.
Electric power consumption
Retail sales.
Bank debits.
Miscellaneous freight carloadings.
Postal receipts.
Crude runs to stills

*All percent changes are obtained from seasonally adjusted indexes.

## The Business Situation in Texas

The composite index of business activity in Texas compiled by the Bureau of Business Research declined slightly in April, but the movement was so small that the best summary of the over-all situation is to say that business in April was practically the same as in March. In fact, the level of business for the first four months of 1950 has been unusually stable at an extremely high level. The composite index for April was 201.5 compared to 202.2 for March. January and February values of the index were 196.9 and 203.3, respectively, giving an average of 201.0 for the first four months. This was $5.8 \%$ above the average of 189.9 for the year 1949. It will be noted that certain revisions have been made in the component series of the index of business activity. These revisions have changed the level of the index slightly, but have not appreciably altered the month-tomonth changes. Complete revised data for the four months of 1950 are given in the table on page 24 of this issue of the Review.

Reports on business activity for the United States indicate that April showed gains over March in nearly every category. It appears that manufacturers turned out more goods in April than in March and that more money was spent by consumers, in spite of the fact that their income was less than in March. The bulk of the veterans' insurance dividends were paid before April, resulting in a reduction of the total income payments to individuals during the month, although the other sources of personal income showed an increase over March. The volume of sales in certain lines of consumer goods, mostly in nondurable goods, is below the postwar peak. Department store sales, liquor sales, cigarette production, theatre admissions and luggage sales have declined from the peak of the boom, but the peak was so high that the decline leaves the volume of business still at a very prosperous level. Construction, automobile production and steel production are running at record levels. Building is enjoying the greatest boom in history; automobile production is at the highest rate ever
attained; and steel production by the end of April was at a rate of 99 million tons a year, exceeding the previ. ous record set in March 1949.
The high level of business activity gives promise of continuing throughout 1950, since there is no sign at the present of any curtailment in the demand for those products that are contributing most to the boom. The demand of consumers for housing, furniture, household equipment and automobiles still seems strong, bolstered with a liberal supply of credit. This business seems sufficient to keep the boom going, even though business buying of capital goods has decreased somewhat from the peak. For the first few years after the war, buying of machinery and equipment by business con. cerns was one of the mainstays of the boom, reinforced by the demand of consumers for the goods they could not buy during the war. Many business expansions have been completed, but consumers still are buying durable goods in unprecedented quantities. The level of activity is now so high that it has apparently stimulated some increase in business buying.
Businessmen are inevitably asking how long the present level of activity can be expected to continue, since future commitments must be made continually. An answer to this question must be based on an opinion as to the length of time consumers will maintain their present level of purchase of durable goods, particularly housing. The rate at which these durable goods are being produced exceeds the rate of consumption, and also exceeds the rate at which consumer income is being received. Much of the purchasing is being financed with increased consumer debt. Anything that will make consumers fear that their income will not continue at present high levels may be expected to bring on a reduction in buying of durable goods. At the present time it is impossible to forecast when this will happen, but alert business management must watch for signs of a change and be ready to adjust their plans accordingly.


The Texas Business Revisw is published by the Bureau of Business Research, College of Business Administration, The University of Texas. Entered as second-class matter on May 7, 1928 at the postoffice at Austin, Texas, under the act of August 24, 1912 .

In order to make a detailed analysis of the changes in the various phases of business in Texas, the seven components of the index of business activity are shown in the following table, together with their individual weight in the composite. Five of the components registered decreases, with only two showing increases. The net result of these changes was a decline of $0.3 \%$ in the composite, but this probably does not mean that there was any decline in business. The largest decrease in any component was in crude oil runs to stills, which reflected the effect of the refinery strike in Port Arthur.

| Series | Weight | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { March } \\ 1950}}$ | ${ }_{\text {April }}{ }_{1950}$ | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales, adjusted for price change $\qquad$ | 47.7 | 173.4 | 173.1 |  |
| Industrial power consumption |  | 324.1 | 328.4 | + 1.3 |
| Crude oil runs to stills | ${ }_{3.0}^{4.5}$ | 163.6 379.4 |  | 二 0.1 |
| Electric power consumption... Miscellaneous freight | 3.0 17.6 | 379.4 137.0 | 139.0 134.0 | 1 $-\quad 0.1$ $-\quad 2.2$ |
| Urban building permits, <br> adjusted for price change |  | 405.4 154.5 | 386.3 163.4 | $-\quad 4.7$ $+\quad 5.8$ |
| Crude petroleum production. |  | 154.5 | 163.4 |  |
| INDEX OF BUSINESS ACTIVITY (Composite) .-. | 100.0 | 202.2 | 201.5 | - 0.3 |

In an effort to measure the change in business activity without considering the decline in refining, the composite index was recomputed omitting crude oil runs to atills from both the March and the April indexes. This recomputed index showed no change in composite between March and April, which supports the conclusion that the level of business in the two months was very nearly the same.
The level of consumer spending in Texas continued in April at a level much higher than the average of 1949 and higher than the peak reached in 1948. The decline of $0.2 \%$ in the Bureau's index of retail sales after adjustment for seasonal variation has no significance, since the sampling error, growing out of the fact that the estimates are based on a sample of reporting firms, is larger than this percentage. Sales of nondurable goods stores continue below the average of 1949 and still farther below the average of 1948. Sales of durable goods stores, on the other hand, continue to exceed the level of 1949, although there was a decline of 2.9\% between March and April. Sales of automotive dealers were farther above the prewar level than any other type of retail store, with lumber, building materials and hardware stores a close second. These indexes parallel the data on a national basis which indicate that the automobile industry and the construction industry are operating at levels far exceeding anything ever seen before, while the stores selling nondurable goods are suffering some shrinkage in volume.
Industrial activity in Texas continued to operate during April at the high level of the first three months. Total employment in manufacturing industry was estimated to be 331,300 in April, compared to 331,900 in March and an average of 331,100 in 1949. With in-
creased crude oil production, the Bureau's seasonally adjusted index rose to 163.4 , just equal to the average production in 1949. However, the level of production in 1949 was substantially below the peak reached in 1948.

Building construction in Texas continued to boom, and the Bureau's index of the value of permits issued stood at more than seven times the level of 1935-39. The preliminary figure for the March index published last month was less than 700 , but later reports brought the revised index to 762.6. The preliminary figure published for April showed a decline of $4.7 \%$ from the final March figure, but the level is so far above previous years that a decline of this amount may be only an erratic variation inherent in the figures on new building starts. In spite of the high cost of building, it appears that people are going ahead with their plans to buy homes. There is a general feeling that since prices have failed to decline as expected, there is no good reason to continue waiting. Every indication points to 1950 as a record year for building in Texas.

Farm income has ceased to hold the spotlight as one of the more dynamic elements of the business situation, and the Bureau's index for April was only $212.9 \%$ of the 1935-39 level. For the first four months of 1950, the index was $9.1 \%$ above the same period of 1949, but it is not considered likely that income this year will exceed that of last. Since the first four months of a year do not produce a proportionate share of the total income for the 12 months, the favorable record for the year to date should not be taken as a forecast for the whole year. Prices remained steady in April, with the index of prices received by Texas farmers at 274 for both March and April, compared with an average of 275 for the year 1949.


In addition to the composite index of business activity, the Bureau constructs an index of bank debits for Texas cities from the reports compiled by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. By totaling the checks written in the major cities in the State, a measure of the changes in business activity is secured, since most business transactions are paid by check. The chart above shows the extent of the rise in business as measured by the volume of financial transactions. April showed a decline of $1.3 \%$ from March, the highest month on record, but the movement of the index of the first four months of 1950 clearly supports the evidence offered by the composite index of business activity that this year is setting a new record.

## TRADE

## Retail Trade

(The movement of soods into the hands of consumers is one of the fundamental series of statistical data on business activity, since for lusiness to be seund the volume of retail trade must be good. During a period of inflation an increase in sales results from a rise in prices as well as from an increase in the amount of business. The fluctuations in retail credit ratios are important conditioning factors of the volum of trade. Newspaper advertising linage and postal of the volume of trade. Newspaper ady

Consumer incomes and consumer purchasing, both at record high points, are supporting excellent retail business on the whole. But the distribution of such trade is spotty; not all types of retailers are profiting from the buying wave. Apparently the stimulus from the G.I. insurance refunds is tapering off. There are indications that the heavy volume of instalment buying is also increasing at a slower rate, as customers begin to recalculate their longer-term commitments in terms of expected incomes and possible higher housing costs.

Buying resistance of some months ago has weakened or disappeared, but customers continue to show approval of higher quality merchandise at "reasonable" prices. Customers are apparently becoming reconciled to stabilizing or firming prices. Retail prices (according to the Fairchild Retail Price Index at 137.1 on May 1) continue unchanged in total from April 1, with some firming and restricted fluctuations probable in various lines for months ahead. Prices $1.7 \%$ below a year ago and $3.5 \%$ under the 1948 high are still $9.6 \%$ above the July 1946 level.

Retailers' buying is still cautious but more confident, and the length of purchase commitments is increasing slowly in some lines. However, numerous stores are still reported as limiting assortments and losing even special-order sales through rigidly holding their stocks within predetermined limits. Expense ratios continue to challenge even established retailers, while mortality is rising among newer and less seasoned concerns. It is believed that the present heavy demand for housing and household equipment, especially major electrical appliances, will slow somewhat during the latter part of 1950, and that customers will then show renewed interest in "soft" lines, which even now are selling at two and three fourths times prewar figures while durable goods average four times.

With one less selling day this year and lacking the Easter sales stimulus that April 1949 enjoyed, total retail sales in April 1950 fell $2.1 \%$ below sales of a year ago. Durable goods stores sold $9.9 \%$ more than in April 1949, but the increases over 1949 had been $15.7 \%$ in January, $20.7 \%$ in February and $16.7 \%$ in March. Sales of nondurables dropped $8.9 \%$ from April 1949 after showing sales decreases of 6.6 to $4.1 \%$ in February

## ESTIMATES OF TOTAL RETAIL SALES

(in thousands)


RETAIL SALES TRENDS BY KINDS OF BUSINESS
Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Burean of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce

and March. Total sales for the four months of 1950 bettered 1949 by only $1.1 \%$.
The largest sales increases for April over 1949 were averaged by dealers in lumber, building material and hardware ( $18.4 \%$ ), automotive stores ( $17.8 \%$ ), liquor stores ( $10.8 \%$ ) and household goods stores ( $7.3 \%$ ). Meanwhile, country general stores decreased sales by $13.8 \%$, florists by $8.9 \%$, apparel stores $6.6 \%$ and general merchandise stores $6.4 \%$. After adjusting for the usual seasonal changes between months, apparel stores averaged $10.1 \%$ better sales than might seasonally be expected, eating and drinking places $6.6 \%$ and depart. ment stores $6.1 \%$. However, lumber, building material and hardware dealers were off $12.4 \%$, jewelers $8.5 \%$ and country general stores $5.9 \%$.
Nine of the 12 Texas districts (see map under Agriculture) averaged sales increases for April over 1949 and 11 of them for the four months. Leaders in April were the Southern High Plains ( $24.3 \%$ ), Edwards Plateau (15.7) and Trans-Pecos (11.9\%).
Of the 36 cities reported individually, 24 averaged increases for April over a year ago, and 32 for the four months of 1950. Largest sales increases for April were reported for Wichita Falls (41.0\%), Lubbock ( $28.1 \%$ ), Denton ( $25.5 \%$ ) and Lockhart ( $19.7 \%$ ). Lubbock's lead for the four months was $38.6 \%$, Denton's $29.5 \%$ and Lockhart's $25.8 \%$.

## RETAIL SALES TRENDS BY CITY-SIZE GROUPS

Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce

|  | Number of <br> reporting <br> establish- <br> ments | Apr. 1950 Apr. 1950 Jan.-Apr. 1950 <br> from <br> from <br> from |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Population |  |  |

ESTIMATED RETAIL SALES (mil. of dol.) All retail stores

Durable goods stores
Nondurable goods stores
IINDEXES OF RETAIL SALES, $1935-39=100$ (adjusted for seasonal variation)

A 11 retail stores, adjusted for price changes

All retail stores
Durable goods stores
Automotive stores
Furniture and household appliance stores
Lumber, building material and hardware stores Jewelry stores

Nondurable goods stores
Apparel stores
Country general stores
Department stores
Drug stores
Eating and drinking places
Filling stations
Food stores
General merchandise stores

| Jan. | Feb . | Mar. | Apr. | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 370.6 | 365.8 | 420.5 | 424.6 | 417 |
| 125.2 | 129.0 | 159.9 | 152.6 | 158 |
| 245.3 | 236.8 | 260.5 | 272.0 | 258 |
| 157.5 | 162.5 | 163.7 | 170.7 | 161 |
| 301.6 | 307.5 | 310.0 | 323.0 | 303 |
| 322.4 | 342.6 | 361.0 | 370.1 | 358 |
| 305.3 | 332.9 | 338.5 | 376.9 | 344 |
| 237.6 | 223.3 | 232.4 | 235.5 | 265 |
| 388.9 | 411.6 | 465.3 | 420.6 | 432 |
| 408.1 | 412.9 | 379.8 | 352.3 | 325 |
| 288.6 | 287.9 | 283.2 | 297.9 | 27 |
| 350.8 | 333.5 | 338.0 | 355.4 | 22 |
| 129.8 | 130.2 | 130.9 | 135.7 | 130 |
| 298.9 | 293.2 | 294.7 | 313.7 | 295 |
| 319.7 | 327.3 | 319.9 | 326.7 | 311 |
| 310.8 | 323.0 | 316.7 | 329.1 | 335 |
| 278.0 | 291.7 | 254.7 | 294.5 | 281 |
| 251.3 | 256.0 | 250.4 | 265.2 | 23 |
| 402.4 | 362.6 | 390.7 | 371.7 | 31 |

## IES IN TEXAS

| 1949 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Average month | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. |
| ji8 $x_{2} 1.7$ | 387.7 | 402.7 | 410.2 | 425.5 | 427.0 | 488.1 | 411.8 | 370.6 | 376.9 | 436.5 |
| 1.0 | 155.0 | 161.2 | 156.5 | 162.7 | 161.4 | 160.3 | 153.3 | 14.4 .9 | 155.8 | 186.7 |
| 1.0) $x=2.7$ | 232.7 | 241.5 | 253.7 | 262.9 | 265.6 | 327.8 | 258.5 | 225.8 | 221.1 | 249.9 |
| 515.51 .3 | 160.0 | 163.4 | 165.5 | 166.7 | 170.2 | 167.6 | 164.2 | 163.6 | 172.2 | 173.4 |
| 03.7 | 298.8 | 304.9 | 309.9 | 309.4 | 316.1 | 309.1 | 307.8 | 300.7 | 315.7 | 318.7 |
| (2) 62.3 | 359.4 | 380.1 | 397.9 | 392.6 | 386.2 | 353.9 | 365.0 | 371.2 | 411.6 | 419.4 |
| \% 42.9 | 345.5 | 403.6 | 434.2 | 430.5 | 387.7 | 333.6 | 363.5 | 369.5 | 431.4 | 423.7 |
| 2. 76.9 | 275.9 | 252.4 | 250.8 | 243.8 | 262.8 | 256.7 | 251.7 | 275.0 | 256.6 | 271.2 |
| (5). 42.4 | 426.7 | 393.5 | 395.0 | 387.7 | 442.5 | 439.7 | 420.7 | 422.4 | 448.5 | 486.9 |
| 157.3 | 362.1 | 359.9 | 368.0 | 358.3 | 367.9 | 364.7 | 365.7 | 342.6 | 359.6 | 34.4 .2 |
| 273.6 | 267.7 | 267.3 | 266.3 | 268.1 | 280.6 | 285.1 | 278.2 | 265.1 | 268.6 | 269.3 |
| 306.1 | 330.3 | 325.6 | 320.4 | 326.9 | 342.9 | 388.1 | 39.1 | 353.8 | 334.5 | 329.9 |
| 133.2 | 137.6 | 135.7 | 134.2 | 129.7 | 125.8 | 133.1 | 132.2 | 123.0 | 120.6 | 120.6 |
| 297.3 | 314.0 | 306.0 | 291.0 | 307.7 | 300.6 | 324.3 | 3.4 .3 | 299.6 | 296.3 | 292.5 |
| 308.8 | 305.0 | 306.8 | 308.0 | 312.3 | 333.2 | 333.4 | 317.9 | 330.8 | 345.6 | 341.6 |
| 336.9 | 330.4 | 331.7 | 330.5 | 332.9 | 339.9 | 332.8 | 329.3 | 306.8 | 310.3 | 302.6 |
| 253.6 | 233.5 | 253.8 | 248.7 | 260.8 | 275.4 | 294.1 | 266.5 | 286.2 | 289.4 | 297.1 |
| 247.8 | 238.1 | 224.5 | 232.2 | 225.8 | 236.3 | 236.8 | 241.5 | 213.7 | 225.1 | 228.4 |
| 307.4 | 248.6 | 299.8 | 293.5 | 286.5 | 339.7 | 272.0 | 19.6 | 259.3 | 259.9 | 267.2 |

Bureau of Business Research, University of Texas, Revised April 1950


The index (318.2) of total retail sales (based on 1935-39 and adjusted for seasonal variation) fell half a point from the high point registered in March, second only to 323.0 of April 1949. Deflated to remove the effects of price changes, the adjusted total sales index at 173.1 fell slightly from its March high (173.4). The durable goods index (407.3) dropped below its March (419.4) and February (411.6) high points.

CREDIT RATIOS IN DEPARTMENT AND APPAREL STORES (in percent)


* Credit sales divided by net sales.
†Collections during the month divided by the total accounts unpaid on the first of the month.
Advertising linage in 31 Texas newspapers in April averaged $1.1 \%$ below March but $4.9 \%$ over a year ago. Of these papers, 20 reported increases over April 1949 but only 11 above this March.

Sales of gasoline subject to tax totaled 224,325 thousand gallons in March, which were $16.4 \%$ over February and $13.3 \%$ above March 1949. Sales to the federal government amounted to 8,609 thousand gallons, or $33.7 \%$ down from February and $67.0 \%$ below a year
ago. The seasonally adjusted index of gasoline sales (1935-39 $=100$ ) stood at 232.2 in March against 204.9 a year earlier.

Visitors to State parks in April numbered 349,226 in 98,512 cars, or $13.3 \%$ more visitors than in April 1949 and more than double the number in March, with $8.1 \%$ more cars than a year ago.

## POSTAL RECEIPTS

| City | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | Mar.$1950$ | Apr. 1949 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL* | \$3,646,132 | \$4,103,097 | \$3,670,251 | - 0.7 | $-11.1$ |
| Brady | 3,844 | 3,621 | 3,771 | + 1.9 | + 6.2 |
| Burnet | 1,680 | 1,458 | 1,551 | + 8.3 | $+15.2$ |
| Childress | 4,489 | 4,298 | 4,170 | + 7.6 | + 4.4 |
| Cleburne ... | 7,780 | 7,617 | 7,210 | + 7.9 | + 2.1 |
| Coleman - | 4,530 | 4,361 | 5,528 | $-18.1$ | + 3.9 |
| Del Rio | 6,462 | 7,533 | 6,311 | + 2.4 | - 14.2 |
| Denton | 16,714 | 16,990 | 16,529 | + 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Gainesville | 7,057 | 6,993 | 7,419 | -- 4.9 | + 1.8 |
| Gladewater | 3,499 | 5,099 | 4,736 | $-26.1$ | - 31.4 |
| Graham | 3,951 | 3,923 | 4,316 | - 8.5 | + 0.7 |
| Greenville - .-. . - . | 14,681 | 13,844 | 13,320 | +10.2 | + 6.0 |
| Harlingen --- - - | 16,268 | 17,317 | 16,210 | + 0.4 | 6.1 |
| Hillsboro | 4,828 | 5,293 | 5,111 | 5.5 | $-8.8$ |
| Jacksonville ......- | 7,692 | 7,018 | 7,994 | - 8.8 | + 9.6 |
| Kenedy | 2,082 | 1,986 | 2,341 | $-11.1$ | +4.8 |
| Kerrville ...-...... | 6,375 | 6,633 | 6,201 | + 2.8 | - 3.9 |
| Lamesa | 5,781 | 6,517 | 5,635 | + 2.6 | -11.3 |
| Lufkin .-.....-...... | 9,597 | 10,822 | 10,578 | $-9.3$ | - 11.3 |
| Luling .-...-.- | 2,768 | 3,082 | 2,334 | + 18.6 | $-10.2$ |
| McAllen .-. | 13,070 | 13,040 | 12,843 | + 1.8 | + 0.2 |
| Midland - - - - - | 21,893 | 24,213 | 21,072 | + 3.9 | - 9.6 |
| Mission - | 5,838 | 5,091 | 5,809 | + 0.5 | $+14.7$ |
| Nacogdoches .-..... | 6,879 | 7,411 | 7,671 | $-10.3$ | - 7.2 |
| New Braunfels .-. | 8,452 | 8,545 | 6,879 | $+22.9$ | - 1.1 |
| Odessa - | 22,403 | 22,359 | 22,049 | + 1.6 | + 0.2 |
| Orange .............- | 11,669 | 11,054 | 12,287 | 5.0 | + 5.6 |
| Pampa | 11,928 | 10,594 | 12,336 | - 3.3 | $+12.6$ |
| Raymondville --.. | 4,924 | 4,388 | 4,895 | + 0.6 | + 12.2 |
| San Marcos .-. | 5,664 | 5,874 | 5,641 | $+0.4$ | $-3.6$ |
| Seguin - | 6,008 | 5,481 | 5,347 | + 12.4 | + 9.6 |
| Snyder - .- - - . | - 7,746 | 9,034 | 3,172 | + | $-14.3$ |
| Vernon --.-.- - - | 8,622 | 7,707 | 8,186 | + 5.3 | + 11.9 |
| Victoria ...-...- | 11,995 | 12,239 | 11,503 | $+\quad 4.3$ | - 2.0 |

*The total includes receipts for cities which are listed individually under "Local Business Conditions."

In order to use all retail sales reports, including those received in the Bureau too late to be included in the monthly sample, a final revised estimate of 1949 retail sales in Texas has been made. The estimate is based on all those co-operating firms which reported sales for each month of the year. A complete summary of the revised indexes by kinds of business and revised estimates of total retail sales for each month of 1949 is enclosed with this issue of the Review.

In addition to the revision in retail sales, several of the other business indexes developed by the Bureau were checked for postwar seasonal patterns. In most cases revisions of the indexes were necessary. The revised indexes for January-April 1950 appear in "Barometers of Texas Business" on page 24 of this issue. Data prior to 1950 are available from the Bureau upon request.

## Foreign Trade

(Tonnage figures for export shipments from the principal ports of the State provide an accurate nhysical measure of the current volume of forsign export trade. Value figures for exports and imports, however, represent a more common measurement of foreign trade transactions, but they are subject to adjustment for price changes.)

Total United States exports of merchandise during March rose to 867.1 million as compared to $\$ 773.0$ million during the shorter month of February. This leaves exports at a level about $26 \%$ below March 1949 and $13 \%$ below the monthly average for 1949. Imports increased to $\$ 663.3$ million in March from $\$ 600.1$ million in February, the highest level since December 1948, or about $20 \%$ above the 1949 average.

WATER-BORNE COMMERCE AT TEXAS PORTS
(tons)

| Port |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. <br> 1949 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. <br> 1950 |  |  | Apr. 1950 from Apr. 1949 | Apr. 1950 from Mar. 1950 |
| TOTAL …........ | 1,419,599 | 1,366,772 | 1,562,592 | $-9.2$ | $+3.9$ |
| Beaumont ...-.....- | 26,329 | 7,555 | 9,011 | -...- | --- |
| Brownsville .....-.-. | 83.562 | 64,951 | 105,921 | -21.1 | $+28.7$ |
| Corpus Christi .... | 1,309,708 | 1,294,266 | 1,447,660 | $-9.5$ | $+1.2$ |

EXPORT AND COASTAL CARS UNLOADED*
Source: Car Service Division, Association of American Railroads

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | Percent change |  |

*Excluding coal.
The New York Times published compilations of the American Merchant Marine Institute based on Department of Commerce figures showing the total overseas commerce of various ports (ports having foreign commerce exceeding that of Houston and Galveston have been listed below.)


## PRODUCTION

## Manufacturing

(The volume of manufacturing activity in any Industrial area, varying resularly with the seasons, is sensitive measure of the changes in business activity.)
Industrial activity in Texas, as measured by indus. trial power consumption, rose slightly during April, although several indicators recorded declines in produc. tion. The most significant drop was felt in the grapefruit juice canning industry when production was off $45.8 \%$ from the corresponding period in 1949. In addition, ship. ments were off $34.7 \%$ and stocks were $78.8 \%$ below last vear's level.


Crude runs to stills dropped $14.7 \%$ during April, reaching a level $12.1 \%$ below that of April 1949. Five million of the 6.7 million barrel drop was directly attributable to the shutdown of one of the largest refineries in the State because of a labor dispute. As a result of this large volume drop in crude runs, the seasonally adjusted index fell to $147.1 \%$ of the 1935-39 base, the lowest for any one month since April 1947 and lower than the average month for any year since 1943.

Following the lead of crude runs, refinery stocks of petroleum products showed declines in all phases during April. Gasoline stocks dropped $4.8 \%$ and distillate stocks were down $5.9 \%$ from March. Residual and kerosene recorded declines of 5.4 and $12.0 \%$, respectively.


The manufacture of dairy products showed continued seasonal increases with all production considerably above March's totals. Creamery butter was up $43.4 \%$, the manufacture of ice cream was $14.1 \%$ above March and American cheese production was $46.2 \%$ higher than last month. Total milk equivalent stood $32.6 \%$ above the March total. However, after adjustment for seasonal variation, the index of dairy product manufacturing was 84.4, $1.9 \%$ lower than the index for March.

## MANUFACTURE OF DAIRY PRODUCTS

| Product | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & (000 \text { 's }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL MILK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EQUIVALENT* | . lbs. | 77,596 | 58,504 | 75,839 | + 2.3 | $+32.6$ |
| Creamery butter | Ibs. | 1,904 | 1,328 | 1,870 | $+1.8$ | +43.4 |
| Ice cream $\dagger$ | - gals. | 1,994 | 1,748 | 1,890 | $+5.5$ | +14.1 |
| American cheese | .. lbs. | 655 | 448 | 595 | +10.0 | +46.2 |
| All other | lbs. | 3,523 | 2,761 | 3,927 | -10.3 | $+27.6$ | data.

$\dagger$ Includes sherbets and ices.
COTTON MANUFACTURING
Source: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Department of Commerce

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | Mar.$1950$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { far. } 1950 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| CONSUMPTION* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton | 12,080 | 15,090 | 10,748 | +12.4 | -20.0 |
| Linters | 2,196 | 2,073 | 2,519 | -12.8 | + 5.9 |
| SPINNING ACTIVITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spindles in place <br> ( 000 's) $\qquad$ | 219 | 216 | 246 | -11.0 | + 1.4 |
| Spindles active |  |  |  |  |  |
| ( 000 's ) _- | 197 | 201 | 198 | - 0.5 | $-2.0$ |
| Total spindle |  |  |  |  |  |
| hours ( 000 's) _ | 81,000 | 104,000 | 67,000 | +20.9 | -22.1 |
| Average spindle |  |  |  |  |  |
| hours | 370 | 481 | 272 | +36.0 | -23.1 |

Cotton consumption by textile mills declined $20.0 \%$ from March to April but showed a $12.4 \%$ rise over April 1949. Spinning activity, as evidenced by total spinning hours and average spinning hours, dropped 22.1 and $23.1 \%$, respectively, during April.

## REFINERY STOCKS*

(in thousands of barrels)
Source: The Oil and Gas Journal

| Section and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \end{aligned}$ |
| TEXAS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gasoline | 23,812 | 25,023 | 23,312 | + 2.1 | 4.8 |
| Distillate | 6,386 | 6,784 | 9,374 | - 31.9 | - 5.9 |
| Residual | 3,641 | 3,850 | 8,988 | - 59.5 | - 5.4 |
| Kerosene | 1,999 | 2,272 | 3,219 | $-37.9$ | $-12.0$ |
| TEXAS GULF COAST |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gasoline | 20,194 | 21,378 | 18,416 | + 9.7 | 5.5 |
| Distillate ..._-_-_-_- | 5,639 | 6,071 | 8,686 | $-35.1$ | - 7.1 |
| Residual | 3,060 | 3,288 | 8,028 | - 61.9 | - 6.9 |
| Kerosene .- | 1,748 | 2,060 | 2,776 | $-37.0$ | - 15.1 |
| INLAND TEXAS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gasoline | 3,618 | 3,645 | 4,896 | $-26.1$ | $-0.7$ |
| Distillate | 747 | 713 | 688 | + 8.6 | + 4.8 |
| Residual | 581 | 562 | 960 | $-39.5$ | + 3.4 |
| Kerosene ._. . . | 251 | 212 | 443 | $-43.3$ | + 18.4 |

${ }^{*}$ Figures shown for week ending nearest last day of month.
Lumber mills reporting to the Southern Pine Association showed a production increase of $14.8 \%$ in March. Shipments of lumber were also up $12.8 \%$ for the same period, while gross stocks showed a $1.2 \%$ decline. Cement production during March showed a $22.3 \%$ increase, while shipments rose $30.4 \%$ above February
levels. Cement stocks were reduced $19.7 \%$ during the month.
Wheat grindings showed a pronounced rise in March. The 2,799 thousand bushels ground during the month were $21.4 \%$ higher than February's total. Flour produced from wheat was also up $21.2 \%$ from the preceding month.

TEXAS INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITY
Sources: Southern Pine Association, Bureau of Mines, and Bureau of the Census.

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | Feb. <br> 1950 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mar. 1950 from Mar. 1949 | Mar. 1950 from <br> Feb. 1950 |
| Lumber (million board feet) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production | 766 | 667 | 706 | + 8.5 | $+14.8$ |
| Shipments | 785 | 696 | 718 | $+10.1$ | + 12.8 |
| Gross stocks* | 1,602 | 1,621 | 1,802 | $-11.1$ | - 1.2 |
| Cement ( 1,000 barrels) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production | 1,430 | 1,169 | 1,152 | $+24.1$ | $+22.3$ |
| Shipments | 1,569 | 1,203 | 1,235 | + 27.0 | $+30.4$ |
| Stocks* | 568 | 707 | 815 | - 30.3 | $-19.7$ |
| Cottonseed (tons) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Received at mills | 127,975 | 153,614 | 5,895 | - | $-16.7$ |
| Crushed | 171,138 | 172,063 | 82,867 | -- | $-0.5$ |
| Stocks* | 375,954 | 419,117 | 103,247 | - | $-10.3$ |
| Wheat |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ground (1,000 bushels) | 2,799 | 2,305 | 2,712 | $+3.2$ | +21.4 |
| Flour ( 1,000 sacks) | 1,197 | 988 | 1,189 | + 0.7 | +21.2 |

*End of month.

The Bureau announces the publication of "A Selected and Annotated Bibliography of Recent Sources of Information on the Industrialization of Texas" by Stanley A. Arbingast, Assistant Professor of Resources, and Marshall A. Beasley, Research Associate in the Bureau. This bibliography, the seventh in the series, was completed to fit the needs of the hundreds of people who contact the Bureau for information concerning the industrialization of Texas. Magazine articles, books, pamphlets and sources of statistical information are listed. Address of regional chambers of commerce, utility firms, transportation companies and other organizations with research staffs which are excellent sources of information on particular areas of the State are also noted. Copies can be secured without charge from the Publications Division, Bureau of Business Research, Austin 12.

## Public Utilities

[^0]According to figures revised on the basis of corrected reports, the consumption of electric power by all users during April was up $2.9 \%$ over the preceding month and $10.0 \%$ above April 1949.
All types of uses indicated monthly increases with the exception of commercial consumption, which recorded a slight decline $(0.2 \%)$ from the preceding month. In. dustrial uses were up $4.2 \%$ and residential $3.8 \%$. Adjusted for seasonal variation, the over-all index of power consumption dropped $0.1 \%$ to $379.0 \%$ of the 1935-39
average, while industrial power consumption increased $1.3 \%$ to 328.4 on the same basis.
Production of electric energy for public use in Texas totaled 1,290 million kilowatt hours in March, the latest month for which figures are available. This figure represented a $5.9 \%$ advance over March 1949.

Of significance in the public utility field is the report that the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 5 granted the Texas Utilities Company, Dallas, and its three subsidiaries an exemption from provisions of the Public Utility Holding Company Act. Texas Utilities Holding Company system has consolidated gross assets of $\$ 285,645$ thousand and is one of the largest holding companies ever to apply for an exemption order, the S. E. C. said. However, the company and its subsidiaries have complied with corporate simplification requirements of the Act, the system is confined to the State of Texas, and its properties are interconnected. The three subsidiaries are Dallas Power and Light Company, Texas Electric Service Company, Fort Worth, and Texas Power and Light Company, Dallas.

The number of Southwestern Bell telephones in service moved up $0.8 \%$ over March to a total of $1,209,606$ in the 40 Texas cities covered in the totals.


ELECTRIC POWER CONSUMPTION*
(in thousands of kilowatt hours)

*Prepared from reports of 10 electric power companies to the Bureau of Eusiness Research.
$\dagger$ Revised from corrected reports.

## Natural Resources

(The production of crude petroleum is a major industry in Texas, and the changes in the volume of production have a direct effect unon the income produced in the State. Figures on the number of well completions by districts indicate the extent to which new sources of oil and gas are being developed and the areas of the State in which drilling operations are in process.)
The production of crude petroleum showed the most significant increase among the more important resources extracted within the State. The daily average production of $2,016,850$ barrels in April was up $7.4 \%$ from the March total of $1,877,200$ barrels and stood $5.5 \%$ higher than the April 1949 total. The index of crude oil production, adjusted for seasonal variation, stood at 163.1 in April as compared to 151.0 in March and 154.5 in April 1949.

WELL COMPLETIONS
Source: The Oil and Gas Journal

| District | April 1950* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Oil | Gas | Dry |
| TEXAS | 1,244 | 848 | 35 | 361 |
| North Central Texas | 422 | 238 | 5 | 179 |
| West Texas | 361 | 315 | 0 | 46 |
| Panhandle | 61 | 42 | 11 | 8 |
| Eastern Texas | 88 | 66 | 5 | 17 |
| Texas Gulf Coast | 164 | 102 | 10 | 52 |
| Southwest Texas .......................... | 148 | 85 | 4 | 59 |

*For four weeks ending April 29, 1950.
value of natural resources produced
(in thousands)
Source: State Comptroller of Public Accounts

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \end{aligned}$ |
| Carbon black ........... \$ | 7,724 | \$ | 4,718 |  |  | \$ | 6,550 | $+17.9$ | $+63.7$ |
| Crude oil ...............-. | 146,066 |  | 143,885 |  | 167,508 | $-12.8$ | $+1.5$ |
| Natural and casinghead gas $\qquad$ | 15,879 |  | 15,321 |  | 13,820 | $+14.9$ | $+3.6$ |

Texas oil fields producing low cold test, lube-type crude got the biggest boost under the Railroad Commission proration order for May as a result of the statewide hearing in Austin during April. The Commis. sion set allowables at $2,179,586$ barrels daily. Of the gain for May, 36,241 barrels daily go to fields generally. They will operate on an 18 -day schedule for a 31-day month, causing a fractional increase over the the 17-day schedule in April.

Besides crude, the Commission estimates Texas will produce 307,136 barrels daily of natural gasoline and distillates in May. This will probably bring the total daily output to 2,300 thousand barrels of liquid hydrocarhons in the State during the month.


The value of crude oil and natural and casinghead gas produced showed slight increases over last month, crude oil being up $1.5 \%$ and natural and casinghead gas $3.6 \%$ over March's figure. The value of carbon black produced showed the most substantial increase during April. The $\$ 7,724$ thousand received from the production of carbon black was $63.7 \%$ above March's total value.

The number of well completions totaled 1,244 in April, of which 848 were oil producers and 35 gas producers. The other 361 were "dry" wells. The total number of well completions for the year, as of April 30, was 4,943, compared to 4,153 during the same period in 1949.

## CONSTRUCTION

(Because of the accumulated deficiency of building in all sections of the State, data on the volume of construction work are an extremely important part of the business situation. Building permits and contracts awarded are both generally used to measure building activity.)
The value of building permits issued in 46 Texas cities for April was $43.2 \%$ higher than the same month a year ago and only $9.8 \%$ below March of this year. Twenty cities reported year-to-year increases of over $100 \%$. The index of building permits issued, adjusted for seasonal variation, was 726.7 in April as compared with 762.6 in the previous month and 510.1 for April a vear aro.


Estimates of the value of building permits ior the entire State which were based on actual reports from city building officials registered a $33.1 \%$ increase over April 1949 and dropped $18.9 \%$ from March. Estimates in all new construction classifications except nonhousekeeping residental rose in the year-to-year comparison, but only nonresidential building increased ( $15.3 \%$ ) from March to April of this year. In the city-size comparison, the 25,000-50,000 group reported the largest rise over April 1949, with only one group (over 100,000 ) registering a decline.

For the first two months of 1950, the city of Houston ranked fifth in the nation in construction started, surpassed only by Los Angeles, New York, Chicago and Detroit in that order. Seven Texas towns (Del Rio Overton, Gainesville, Gilmer, New Boston, Pharr and Quanah) have been approved by the administration as recipients of federal loans totaling $\$ 191$ thousand for the construction of 490 low rent dwelling units.

Construction activity for the nation as a whole is booming, with greatest rises being recorded in residen-

PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN VALUE OF BUILDING PERMITS ISSUED BY TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION*

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. 1950 from Mar. 1950 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | + 33.1 | - 18.9 |
| New construction | + 39.7 | - 18.9 |
| Residential | + 66.6 | $-28.6$ |
| Housekeeping | $+78.5$ | $-27.8$ |
| Single family unit | + 78.5 | $-26.8$ |
| Multiple family units | + 78.4 | - 34.8 |
| Nonhousekeeping ___ . | -89.4 | - 77.9 |
| Nonresidential | + 8.3 | + 15.3 |
| Additions, alterations and repairs _-_ | - 6.5 | $-18.6$ |

[^1]tial construction. According to estimates by the Departments of Commerce and Labor, the total value of new construction put in place in April was $24 \%$ more than for the same month a year ago and $10 \%$ above March of this year, with total expenditure for new homes up 11\% from March. New residential construction in the first four months of 1950 was moving $50 \%$ ahead of the same period in 1949. In March of this year, the number of housing starts in the United States reached 110 tho "sand for this month, an all time high, and a few preliminary estimates indicate that this figure was even higher in April. The Bureau of Labor Statistics has estimated that about 270 thousand housing starts were made in the first quarter of 1950, a yearly rate of about 1,200 thousand starts.

The Department of Commerce has estimated the current backlog of demand for dwelling units at 1,250 to 2,500 thousand. At the building rate of the first quarter of the year, this backlog could be reduced in one or two years, leaving only the normal growth in demand which has been estimated at a little over 500 thousand a year.

The increased construction activity has a far reaching effect on many phases of the nation's economy. It is carrying along with it increased demands for lumber, building material and hardware, and for refrigerators, washing machines, television sets, other household applianoes and furniture. This activity has touched heavily upon the credit system. Most purchases of new homes are made with loans, and many of the purchases of items which have been stimulated by increased construction are bought on instalment plans. Because this activity permeates the entire business system and so many activities are closely related, it has assumed more than normal importance and should be watched carefully for any sign of weakening.

The Housing Act of 1950, which was recently passed by Congress, liberalizes the provisions for veterans who wish to obtain home loans. G. I. widows who have not remarried will be eligible for benefits under the home loan provision of the G. I. bill, and guaranty on G. I. loans by the Veterans Administration will be increased from 50 to $60 \%$ of the loan. However, the end of combination FHA-GI loans on October 20 will probably slow down building for a time.

Loans by savings and loans associations were down $2.1 \%$ from March but were up $50.0 \%$ from April 1949. All classes registered increases from April 1949, but purchase and refinance loans were down from March of this year.
percentage change in value of building permits ISSUED BY CITY-SIZE GROUPS*

| Population $\dagger$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | $+33.1$ | $-18.9$ |
| Over 100,000 | - 5.7 | - 37.0 |
| 50,000-100,000 | $+56.7$ | - 7.6 |
| 25,000-50,000 | $+214.9$ | $+31.8$ |
| Below 25,000 | + 40.3 | -16.0 |

[^2]
## PRICES

(Changes in the leval of wrices are as important to businessmen as changes in the volume of production and sales. The index of consumers prices reprosents changes in prices at retail; the index of wholesalo prices is a measure of changes in the prices of commodities whalesimary miceskets.)

The rise of $1.4 \%$ in the index of wholesale prices which occurred during April is further evidence that we may have entered into another upward cycle of prices. According to figures compiled and supplied by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the over-all index reached the lowest point since 1947 at the end of December when it stood at 151.3. By the end of January it had risen to 151.5 and by February to 152.7. It dropped slightly to 152.1 on March 28 but on May 2 stood at 154.3.

A rise in prices during April was experienced by all commodity groups except textiles which declined by $1.1 \%$. Increases ranged from $0.5 \%$ for metals and $0.6 \%$ for fuels to $5.3 \%$ for livestock and $6.9 \%$ for meats. In spite of these increases, many commodities are still selling at levels below those of a year ago. Farm products are down $4.0 \%$ from April of 1949 and textiles are off $5.0 \%$, but meats, livestock and grains are up substantially from the 1949 levels and fuels and metals are up slightly. At the end of March only grain exceeded the 1949 figure, while other commodities were off, generally by larger percentages than they were on May 2.

Despite the increase during April of the wholesale price index, consumer prices in Houston declined slightly. ( $0.6 \%$ ). The greatest decrease was made by food prices which dropped $1.5 \%$ although the prices of meats and grains rose most sharply in the wholesale markets. Furthermore, consumer prices throughout the United States rose $0.2 \%$. When compared with prices paid by housewives last April, the 1950 index shows a slight rise for Houston but a decline for the United States as a whole. In any event, the picture is too spotty to indicate much of a trend one way or another,

## INDEXES OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES $(1926=100)$

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, U. S. Department of Labor

| Group $\mathrm{May}^{\text {May }}$ | $\underset{1950}{\text { Mar. } 28}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } 3 \\ 1949 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May 2, } \\ 1950 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { May } 3, \\ 1949 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May 2, } \\ 1950 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Mar. 28, } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ |
| ALL COMMODITIES .-..... 154.3 | 152.1 | 155.3 | -0.6 | +1.4 |
| Farm products .- 162.1 | 158.5 | 168.8 | $-4.0$ | $+2.3$ |
| Foods - 159.0 | 155.9 | 162.3 | $-2.0$ | $+2.0$ |
| All commodities other |  |  |  |  |
| Textile - 184.6 | 136.1 | 141.7 | $-5.0$ | $-1.1$ |
| Fuel and lighting <br> material $\qquad$ 131.3 | 130.5 | 130.3 | + 0.8 | $+0.6$ |
| Metal and metal products 170.5 | 169.6 | 168.9 | + 0.9 | $+0.5$ |
| Building materials $\qquad$ 194.4 Chemicals and allied | 192.9 | 195.4 | $-0.5$ | $+0.8$ |
| products $\quad 116.7$ | 116.6 | 117.5 | $-0.7$ | $+0.1$ |
| Special indexes |  |  |  |  |
| Grain _ | 167.3 | 162.2 | +6.4 | + 3.1 |
| Livestock ... | 199.2 | 198.9 | + 5.5 | + 5.3 |
| Meats +-_-_-_- 229.2 | 214.5 | 222.3 | +3.1 | +6.9 |

## INDEXES OF CONSUMERS' PRICES

$(1935-89=100)$
Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, U. S. Department of Labor

| Grous | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> Apr. 1950 from Apr. 1949 | change <br> Apr. 1950 <br> from <br> Mar. 1950 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOUSTON, ALL ITEMS | 171.9 | 172.9 | 171.0 | $+0.5$ | $-0.6$ |
| Food | 205.1 | 208.3 | 212.6 | $-3.5$ | $-1.5$ |
| Clothing | 195.7 | 195.5 | 204.4 | $-4.3$ | $+0.1$ |
| Rent | 143.2 | 142.9 | * | - .... | + 0.2 |
| Fuel, electricity and ice .... | 98.4 | 98.4 | 99.4 | $-1.0$ | 0.0 |
| Housefurnishings .-............. | 184.0 | 185.2 | 190.1 | $-3.2$ | $-0.6$ |
| Miscellaneous | 157.9 | 157.9 | 153.5 | + 2.9 | 0.0 |
| UNITED STATES, |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALL ITEMS ...-. - .-........- | 167.3 | 167.0 | 169.7 | $-1.4$ | $+0.2$ |

*Not surveyed.
but if we are right in predicting an upward trend for wholesale prices, it is reasonable to asssume that consumer prices will follow.

Since 1939 is considered by most economists to have been the last normal prewar year, it is time to look at the net change existing at the end of the war and postwar decade. The over-all index of wholesale prices averaged 77.1 in 1939 and 155.0 in 1949, an increase of $101.0 \%$. The largest gain was registered by meats, fish and poultry which were $171.6 \%$ higher last year than they were 10 years previously. Grains were $170.1 \%$ higher, live. stock $157.3 \%$ greater and all farm products together were up $153.6 \%$.
Among nonfarm commodities the greatest increases from 1939 to 1949 were registered by building materials, up $113.6 \%$, and textiles, which rose $101.4 \%$. The smallest increases were shown by the index of chemical prices, which was only $56.1 \%$ above 1939, and that for housefurnishings, which rose only $68.3 \%$.

Consumers fared better than industrial buyers on the whole. The consumer price index for Houston stood at 100.8 in 1939 and at 171.3 in 1949, an increase of $69.9 \%$. Among individual groups of items which make up the consumer price index, the greatest change was shown by the index of food prices which was $115.7 \%$ above the 1939 index. Clothing prices were up $99.9 \%$ and housefurnishings $79.2 \%$. Fuel, electricity and ice prices were only $2.5 \%$ and rents only $21.0 \%$ above those prevailing 10 years before.
A glance at the accompanying chart will show that prices reached their peak in 1948, so that the indexes for 1949 do not show the full effects of the war and postwar inflation.


# LOCAL BUSINESS CONDITIONS 

|  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{1950}{\text { April }^{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \end{aligned}$ |


|  |  | Percent change <br>  <br> City and item |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| ABILENE: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  | + 9.1 | 6.1 |
| Department and apparel store sales |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts _ | 43,243 | + 5.9 | + 0.3 |
| Building permits | 1,133,057 | + 93.9 | 39.8 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ \$ | 40,775 | $+17.2$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* | 43,186 | + 3.7 | $+0.6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.4 | + 14.0 |  |
| Air express shipments | 211 | + 43.5 | 4.5 |
| Unemployment | 900 | $-40.0$ | 0.0 |
| Placements in employment | 546 | + 36.5 | $+11.2$ |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force- | 19,500 | + 2.9 | + 2.1 |

## AMARILLO:

| Retail sales |  | - 1.9 | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| Automotive stores |  | + 4.5 |  |  |
| Furniture and household stores |  | $-15.2$ | $+$ |  |
| Lumber, building material and hardware stores |  | - 11.0 |  |  |
| Department and apparel store sales - |  | 11.7 |  | 7.7 |
| Postal receipts | 85,571 | + 7.4 |  | 7.8 |
| Building permits \$ | 2,706,369 | +160.0 |  |  |
| Air express shipments | 561 | + 18.4 | - | 2.6 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ | 97,698 | + 9.6 | - | 6.9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)*\$ | 92,024 | + 12.3 |  | 0.0 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.7 | 2.3 | - | 5.2 |
| Unemployment | 1,300 | - 23.5 | - | 7.1 |
| Placements in employment | 1,213 | + 50.1 |  |  |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force- | 36,800 | + 1.5 | $+$ | 0.5 |


| AUSTIN: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  | $+10.4$ | 7.0 |
| Apparel stores |  | $-12.6$ | + 13.7 |
| Food stores | - | + 4.9 | - 2.2 |
| Purniture and household stores |  | + 7.1 | + 8.7 |
| Lumber, building material and hardware stores $\qquad$ |  | $+37.1$ | $-18.3$ |
| Department and apparel store sales_ |  | 7.5 | + 7.4 |
| Postal receipts | 125,774 | - 11.9 | $-24.2$ |
| Building permits \$ $\$$ | 2,515,175 | $-20.0$ | + 5.9 |
| Air express shipments | 548 | + 34.3 | + 7.9 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts (thousands) $\qquad$ $\$$ | 122,392 | + 2.9 | $-15.2$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)*\$ | 113,367 | + 9.7 | 0.9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover - | 12.8 | - 6.6 | - 15.8 |
| Unemployment | 1,625 | $-18.3$ | $-13.8$ |
| Placements in employment | 1,350 | + 51.9 | + 3.5 |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force- | 45,875 | + 1.2 |  |

## BROWNWOOD:

| Retail sales |  | - 9.6 | - 9.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and apparel store sales |  | 5.0 | + 14.5 |
| Postal receipts | 12,853 | $+1.4$ | 2.4 |
| Building permits $\$$ | 138,450 | +702.4 | $+42.3$ |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ \% | 9,647 | + 9.5 | + 4.4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* \% | 13,227 | + 11.2 | + 1.8 |
| Air express shipments | 33 | + 43.5 | 8.3 |

[^3]
## BEAUMONT:

| Retail sales |  | $-1.7$ | $-4.8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 5.3 | + 13.8 |
| Automotive stores |  |  | 2.5 |
| Eating and drinking places |  | $-12.2$ | + 9.1 |
| Food stores |  | 2.0 | + 7.5 |
| Furniture and household stores .- |  | $-13.5$ | $-16.8$ |
| General merchandise stores |  | 14.3 | + 5.0 |
| Lumber, building material and hardware stores |  | + 27.3 | $-14.8$ |
| Department and apparel store sales - |  | - 12.6 | + 5.9 |
| Postal receipts | 59,268 | - 7.1 | - 12.2 |
| Building permits ._ \$ | 1,475,946 | +255.5 | +232.5 |
| Air express shipments | 366 | + 31.7 | + 2.8 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ | 94,647 | + 3.3 | 2.9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* \$ | 90,402 |  | 0.0 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover --. | 12.6 | + 12.5 | 0.8 |
| Unemployment (area) | 9,075 | + 30.6 | + 4.6 |
| Placements in employment (area) .-.. | 2,035 | + 5.2 | $+68.5$ |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force (area) $\qquad$ | 75,775 | - 1.3 | $+0.5$ |
| Export and coastal cars unloaded .-.- | 349 | +139.0 | + 2.3 |

BIG SPRING:

| Retail sales |  | + 8.2 | $-5.2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and apparel store sales |  | + 2.7 | + 2.9 |
| Postal receipts | 10,570 | 1.4 | 19.7 |
| Building permits | 255,315 | +283.1 | 14.8 |
| Air express shipments | 60 | + 81.8 | + 5.3 |

BROWNSVILLE:

| Retail sales $\qquad$ <br> Department and apparel store sales |  | $+8.5$ | -8.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.3 | + 82.8 |
| Postal receipts ._- \$ | 16,454 | $+4 . \mathrm{k}$ | 2.0 |
|  | 338,705 | +117.9 | 58.4 |
| Air express shipments | 296 | 4.2 | 28.5 |
| Export cars unloaded | 298 | + 38.0 | + 88.0 |
| Coastal cars unloaded | 56 | $+330.8$ | + 19.1 |

## BRYAN:

| Department and apparel store sales... |  | $-18.1$ | + 13.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts ._- | 12,387 | + 9.0 | - 2.2 |
| Building permits _-_ \$ | 171,550 | +106.9 | - 42.7 |
| Air express shipments | 21 | $-25.0$ | $-34.4$ |

## CISCO:

| Retail sales |  | + 11.4 | $+11.3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts | 3,325 | 9.0 | + 10.6 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) | 1,776 | 8 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) * | 3,237 | 0.5 |  |

## CORSICANA:

| ostal receipts | 10,365 | 5.3 |  | 0.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits | 81,215 | +293.8 |  | 8.2 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousand) | 9,492 | 8 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* | 20,789 | + 5.0 |  | 1.6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 5.4 | 8.6 |  | 6.9 |

[^4]| City and item | $\underset{1950}{\text { April }}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Apr. 1950 from Apr. 1949 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \end{aligned}$ |

CORPUS CHRISTI:

|  |  | + 1.0 | 9.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 0.3 | +14.8 |
| Furniture eand household stores -- |  | 9.8 | $-17.0$ |
| General merchandise stores .......-. |  | 6.0 | + 3.0 |
| Lumber, building material |  | + 6.7 | 1.8 |
| Department and apparel store sales... |  | 4.8 | + 4.8 |
| Postal receipts _._-_ \& | 81,238 | + 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Building permits ___ \$ | 2,153,187 | $+93.3$ | 6.7 |
| Air express shipments | 379 | 3.6 | 9.8 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts (thousands) $\qquad$ $\$$ | 88,490 | $+23.6$ | 8.5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)*\$ | 88,753 | $+12.1$ | 0.5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.0 | $+10.1$ | 6.2 |
| Unemployment | 2,500 | + 42.9 | - 12.8 |
| Placements in employment | 1,542 | + 14.8 | + 21.4 |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force. | 54,000 | + 1.3 | + 0.1 |
| Export and coastal cars unloaded | 130 | +420.0 | $-25.3$ |
| Water connections | 26,127 | + 9.3 | + 1.0 |
| Electric connections | 30,105 | + 8.6 | + 0.6 |


| DALLAS: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores |  |  | 7.1 |
| Automotive stores |  | + 20.7 | - 6.4 |
| Drug stores ${ }_{\text {Eating and drinking places }}$ |  | + 8.5 | - 10.9 |
|  |  | + 5.7 |  |
| Filing statio |  | + 1.9 |  |
| Florists |  |  | + 43.8 |
| Food stores |  | - 4.5 | $-3.5$ |
| Furniture and household stores -- |  | + 12.0 | - 2.1 |
| General merchandise stores --- |  |  |  |
| Lumber, building material and hardware stores |  | $+25.0$ | -13 |
| Office, store and school |  | - 1.1 | - 17.6 |
| Department and apparel store sales..- |  | 2.2 |  |
| Postal receipts | . 996,843 | + 2.6 | - 11.2 |
| Building permits ...-.-.-.-.- | 87,016,198 | + 12.4 | 27.9 |
| Air express shipments | 7,01 | + 14.4 | - 6.3 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts (thousands) $\qquad$ | \$ 1,087,779 | + 9.4 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* \% | 8 785,954 | + 6.1 | 1.1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.6 | + 2.5 | 4.6 |
| Unemployment | 8,000 | 0.0 | - 5.9 |
| Placements in employment | 4,84 | + 21.9 | + 31. |
| Nonagricultural civilian lab | 254,40 |  |  |

DENISON:

| eta |  |  | + 8.6 | 8.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and | apparel store sales |  | 2.8 | + 20.2 |
| Postal receipts | \$ | 10,404 | 3.7 | + 3.6 |
| Building permits | 3 | 69,212 | + 12.4 | - 34.3 |

LONGVIEW :

| Postal receipts _._ | 19,617 | 5.4 | 7.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits __-_._-_._- | 443,000 | +147.6 | 9.3 |
| Air express shipments | 142 | $+31.5$ | 9.0 |
| Unemployment | 1,650 | $+10.0$ | 5.7 |
| Placements in employment | 480 |  | + 15.7 |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force- | 22,600 | + 2.0 | 0.0 |

[^5]| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. 1950 from Mar. 1950 |
| EL PASO : |  |  |  |
| Retail sales ._-_ |  | $+14.5$ | + 5.6 |
| Apparel stores |  | $-14.0$ | + 12.6 |
| Automotive stores | $\cdots$ | + 36.7 | + 4.9 |
| Furniture and household stores .- | - | + 14.2 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { + } \\ + \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| General merchandise stores ...-..... |  | + 2.0 | + 12.9 |
| Lumber, building material and hardware stores $\qquad$ |  | +23.3 | -18.1 |
| Department and apparel store sales... |  | - 0.7 | + 25.8 |
| Postal receipts ._-_ | 132,377 | + 3.6 | $-4.6$ |
| Building permits ._-_ \$ | 924,684 | + 5.1 | $-68.8$ |
| Air express shipments .-_ _-_ | 1,287 | + 15.1 | $-7.1$ |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ $\$$ | 134,593 | + 1.2 | $-13.0$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* \$ | 128,602 | + 11.6 | $-1.6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .-. | 12.5 | $-8.8$ | $-12.0$ |
| Unemployment .-.-.....................-- | 2,700 | - 1.8 | 0.0 |
| Placements in employment _-_ | 1,405 | + 73.0 | +24.9 |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force - | 54,300 | +3.9 | + 0.6 |

## FORT WORTH:

| Retail sales |  | + 13.1 | + 2.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | - | - 6.0 | + 22.9 |
| Automotive stores |  | + 84.5 | $-13.0$ |
| Eating and drinking places | - | + 10.0 | + 1.6 |
| Filling stations |  | $-30.8$ | $-2.2$ |
| Florists |  | $-10.5$ | + 48.9 |
| Food stores |  | + 8.4 | + 6.0 |
| General merchandise stores |  | $-2.2$ | + 6.0 |
| Lumber, building material and hardware stores |  | 1.3 | $-13.2$ |
| Department and apparel store sales.... |  | 2.8 | + 7.9 |
| Postal receipts .............................. | 351,303 | 5.7 | $-15.1$ |
| Building permits ..._ \$ | 2,627,009 | + 36.9 | - 40.1 |
| Air express shipments | 1,691 | + 25.8 | - 7.2 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ \$ | 334,091 | + 14.0 | - 7.4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* \$ | 307,576 | + 7.2 | + 0.2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ..... | 13.1 | + 7.4 | - 7.7 |
| Unemployment | 7,400 | $-14.9$ | $-7.5$ |
| Placements in employment | 3,970 | + 45.7 | + 30.3 |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force..- | 140,500 | + 2.4 | + 0.7 |

## GALVESTON:

| etail sales |  | $-10.7$ | $-1.1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel s |  | $-10.0$ | +14.9 |
| Department stores |  | - 11.9 | + 4.6 |
| Food stores |  |  | + 1.7 |
| Lumber, building material and hardware stores |  | $-10.9$ | $-10.8$ |
| Department and apparel store sales.... |  | -10.8 | +10.7 |
| Postal receipts ......_-_-_-_-_ \$ | 51,202 | - 9.1 | - 15.4 |
|  | 693,116 | +479.8 | +103.9 |
| Air express shipments | 382 | + 12.9 | $-17.6$ |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ $\$$ | 65,875 | 5.3 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* \$ | 93,045 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .-. | 8.4 |  | - 7.7 |
| Unemployment (area) | 3,200 | +6.7 | . 0 |
| Placements in employment (area) | 491 | $+14.7$ | +19.5 |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force (area) $\qquad$ | 51,550 | $-1.1$ | 0.0 |
| Export and coastal cars unloaded - | 7,644 | $-25.1$ | - 6.2 |

[^6]|  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. 1950 from Mar. 1950 |

## HOUSTON:

| Retail sales |  | + | 4.3 | +15.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Apparel stores |  |  | +10.2 | + |

## LAREDO:

| Department and apparel store sales - |  | - 11.7 | $-11.3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts _._ \$ | 18,611 | 2.2 | + 1.7 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ $\$$ | 15,962 | 8.0 | 9.9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)*\$ | 24,649 | + 11.4 | + 11.7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.2 | $-13.7$ | $-14.6$ |
| Air express shipments | 206 | $-0.5$ | $-12.0$ |
| Electric power consumption (thousand k.w.h.) $\qquad$ | 3,024 | + 17.4 | 1.0 |
| Natural gas consumption (thousand $\mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{ft}$.) $\qquad$ | 44,527 |  | $-10.7$ |
| Tourists entering Mexico | 8,302 | + 26.4 | 0.0 |
| Tourists cars entering Mexico | 2,586 | $+27.3$ | 1.5 |

## SAN ANGELO:

| Retail sales |  | $+15.0$ | - 3.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and apparel store sales.. |  | 0.5 | 2.8 |
| Postal receipts _-_ \$ | 33,148 | 2.1 | 1.2 |
| Building permits $\quad \$$ | 1,203,427 | +338.1 | + 31.0 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ | 30,659 | + 17.2 | 8.6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $*$ \$ | 43,614 | $+16.7$ | 0.1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.4 | 0.0 | 8.7 |
| Air express shipments | 380 | - 14.6 | + 5.0 |
| Unemployment | 1,050 | $+23.5$ | $+10.5$ |
| Placements in employment | 628 | + 91.5 | + 32.5 |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force | 18,950 | + 7.7 | + 2.4 |

[^7]| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \end{aligned}$ |

## LOCKHART:

| Retail sales | --------- | + 19.7 | - 14.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and apparel store sales |  | $-2.2$ | + 17.8 |
| Postal receipts | 2,483 | + 0.4 | + 3.2 |
| Building permits _ \$ | 22,600 | +209.6 | - 16.1 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ | 2,725 | + 1.5 | 6.9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)*\$ | 4,546 | + 5.5 | 0.5 |

## LUBBOCK:

| Retail sales |  | +28.1 | $+0.2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | + 14.2 | + 4.1 |
| Furniture and household stores |  | + 65.2 | $-13.1$ |
| General merchandise stores |  | + 2.9 | 6.0 |
| Lumber, building material and hardware stores |  | $+86.5$ |  |
| Department and apparel store sales |  | + 8.0 | 0.8 |
| Postal receipts ..._ \$ | 61,569 | + 7.7 | $+17.8$ |
| Building permits | 3,526,984 | +324.8 | + 69.7 |
| Air express shipments | 275 | - 32.6 | $-16.7$ |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ \$ | 71,051 | +24.5 | 10.8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* | 81,153 | + 31.7 | 6.2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover -- | 10.2 | 5.6 | 8.9 |
| Unemployment | 900 | - 10.0 | 0.0 |
| Placements in employment | 975 | + 51.4 |  |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force.... | 27,400 | + 1.1 |  |

## MARSHALL:

| Retail sales |  | $-7.8$ | $+1.4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and apparel store sales.. |  | 10.3 | + 13.1 |
| Postal receipts | 13,569 | + 3.4 | $+10.9$ |
| Building permits ...._-_ \$ | 153,287 | $-33.5$ | $+80.3$ |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ | 10,662 | + 7.2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* \$ | 18,425 | + 9.6 | 0.8 |

## PORT ARTHUR:

| Retail sales |  | $-19.5$ | $-17.6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | $-26.3$ | + 8.3 |
| Automotive stores |  | - 15.1 | $-23.2$ |
| Eating and drinking places |  | $-21.2$ | 5.7 |
| Food stores |  | - 11.8 | 3.7 |
| Furniture and household stores .... |  | $-35.5$ | $-29.4$ |
| Lumber, building material and hardware stores $\qquad$ |  | $+0.8$ | 8.3 |
| Department and apparel store sales... |  | $-24.6$ | 3.3 |
| Postal receipts | 26,483 | 7.7 | $-10.4$ |
| Building permits ._- \$ | 398,044 | + 42.5 | $-16.8$ |
| Air express shipments | 94 | -43.0 | - 16.1 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ $\$$ | 29,659 | $-12.1$ | $-12.3$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* \$ | 38,388 | 2.1 | 4.9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover -- | 9.0 | - 11.8 | $-10.0$ |
| Unemployment | 9,075 | + 30.6 | + 4.6 |
| Placements in employment | 2,035 | + 5.2 | + 68.5 |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force.... | 75,775 | 1.3 | + 0.5 |
| Export cars unloaded | 115 | -88.6 | - 57.4 |
| Coastal cars unloaded | 35 | -92.0 | - 91.6 |

[^8]
# LOCAL BUSINESS CONDITIONS 

| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \end{aligned}$ |
| ODESSA: |  |  |  |
| Retail sales .-. |  | - 6.4 | $-4.4$ |
| Department and apparel store sales.-. |  | $+11.5$ | + 13.2 |
| Postal receipts ..........................------ \$ | 22,403 | + 1.6 | + 0.2 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ $\$$ | 22,801 | $+22.8$ | - 2.9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* \$ | 21,536 | $+20.4$ | - 2.9 |
|  | 175 | - 11.6 | $-15.9$ |
| PARIS : |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $-5.7$ | $-20.1$ |
| Department and apparel store sales --. |  | - 0.6 | + 19.5 |
|  | 28,120 | -38.6 | - 68.6 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts (thousands) $\qquad$ \$ | 10,926 | + 9.8 | $-12.9$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)*\$ | 14,687 | $+10.5$ | - 6.8 |
|  | 39 | + 77.3 | - 4.9 |
| PLAINVIEW : |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | ---- | + 12.5 | $-0.1$ |
| Department and apparel store sales..-. |  | - 3.8 | + 18.2 |
| Postal receipts .-_-- | 9,811 | + 11.1 | + 2.1 |
| Building permits _-- \$ | 132,000 | - 2.9 | $+28.8$ |
| Bank debits to individual accounts (thousands) $\qquad$ $\$$ | 13,606 | + 17.3 | - 3.5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* \$ | 17,780 | +16.1 | - 4.6 |
|  | 44 | + 18.9 | + 22.2 |
| SAN ANTONIO: |  |  |  |
|  | - | + 5.4 | $-3.5$ |
|  | ------- | - 2.5 | + 8.4 |
|  | $\cdots$ | + 9.8 | $-20.0$ |
|  | --------- | + 8.0 | - 3.5 |
|  | ----- | + 8.9 | - 2.8 |
| Eating and drinking places .-.-.-.---. | --.------ | $-1.7$ | $+11.0$ |
|  | $\cdots$ | - 0.8 | - 6.9 |
| Florists | ------- | - 22.6 | + 18.8 |
| Food stores | $\cdots$ | $-5.7$ | - 3.0 |
| Furniture and household stores ---- | --.------ | + 7.2 | - 10.4 |
| General merchandise stores -_- | ----- | $+18.7$ | + 3.4 |
| Lumber, building material and hardware stores $\qquad$ | --- | + 58.5 | - 15.8 |
| Department and apparel store sales...- |  | + 4.1 | + 0.4 |
|  | 328,299 | - 5.2 | - 14.7 |
| Building permits ..-mon | 3,604,218 | + 93.2 | - 9.4 |
| Air express shipments -_-_ | 2,651 | + 40.3 | - 9.7 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ | 289,881 | $+16.0$ | - 9.9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)*\$ | 344,606 | $+11.5$ | 0.0 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .---- | 10.1 | + 5.2 | - 9.8 |
|  | 6,500 | $+62.5$ | - 7.1 |
| Placements in employment -- | 2,608 | + 14.4 | +27.3 |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force.- | 157,700 | - 1.6 | - 0.1 |


| City and item | $\underset{1950}{\text { April }}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \end{aligned}$ |


| SHERMAN: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  | + 6.0 |  | 16.1 |
| Department and apparel store sales... |  | - 13.6 |  |  |
| Postal receipts .-._-_ \$ | 15,629 | $-5.7$ |  | 14.0 |
| Building permits _-_ \$ | 101,314 | $-10.9$ | $+$ | 6.0 |

## TEMPLE:

| Retail |  | + 13.4 | $-7.3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and apparel store sales |  | 5.8 | + 18.1 |
|  | 18,911 | + 1.2 | 0.6 |
|  | 301,490 | + 81.7 | + 1.8 |
| Air express shipments | 42 | $-44.7$ | $+10.5$ |

[^9]
## TEXARKANA:

| Retail sales |  | $-4.8$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and apparel store sales...- |  | -15.9 | + 11.0 |
|  | 30,090 | + 1.8 | -21.9 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ $\$$ | 26,435 | + 11.3 | 3.3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)*\$ | 23,418 | + 4.1 | - 1.3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .-.- | 7.8 | + 6.8 | 0.0 |
| Air express shipments | 102 | +85.5 | + 3.0 |
| Unemployment | 4,000 | + 2.6 | $-12.1$ |
| Placements in employment | 568 | + 2.9 | + 7.6 |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force-.. | 34,650 | 2.0 | $-0.3$ |

## TEXAS CITY:

| Retail sales |  |  | - 11.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9,348 | + 3.0 |  |
|  | 205,218 | +388.3 | $-10.0$ |
| Bank debits to individual accounts <br> (thousands) $\qquad$ | 11,976 |  | 8.8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)*\$ | 11,993 | + 0.6 | 9.5 |
| Unemployment (area) | 8,200 | + 6.7 | 0.0 |
| Placements in employment (area) | 491 | + 14.7 | +19.5 |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force (area) $\qquad$ | 51,550 | - 1.1 | 0.0 |
| Coastal cars unloaded ..........-- | 610 | + 52.1 | + 2.8 |

## TYLER:

| ail sales |  | + 5.1 | 5.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and apparel store sales. |  | $-13.9$ | + 8.8 |
| Postal receipts .-_ \$ | 38,498 | 4.7 | 9.3 |
| Building permits .-_-_-_ \$ | 320,899 | $+16.4$ | $-41.8$ |
| Bank debits to individual accounts (thousands) $\qquad$ | 43,346 | + 14.2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* \$ | 51,212 | + 3.3 | 2.1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .-. | 10.1 | $+11.0$ | 1.9 |
| Air express shipments | 163 | - 4.1 | + 27.3 |

## WACO:

| Retail sales |  | $-6.6$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | $-12.3$ | + 4.8 |
| Automotive stores |  | $-10.7$ | -14.1 |
| Drug stores |  | 8.4 | + 6.0 |
| Furniture and household stores |  | + 18.5 | + 1.5 |
| Department and apparel store sales..- |  | 9.2 |  |
| Postal receipts .-_ \$ | 81,893 | + 1.9 | 2.4 |
|  | 1,089,555 | + 53.5 | $-55.8$ |
| Air express shipments | 206 | 6.8 | 1.0 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts (thousands) $\qquad$ | 68,231 | + 43.5 | + 11.3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)* \$ | 70,139 | + 6.2 | 4.3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.4 | + 32.6 | + 11.8 |
| Unemployment | 2,000 | - 13.0 | 0.0 |
| Placements in employment ............... | 588 | + 21.7 | + 11.2 |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force- | 44,200 | 2.8 | + 0.1 |

## WICHITA FALLS:

| Retail sales |  | + 41.0 | - 18.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and apparel store sales.... |  | $-15.3$ |  |
|  | 54,749 | + 4.2 | - 11.3 |
| Building permits ...-_ | 1,004,815 | +263.7 | +188.7 |
| Bank debits to individual accounts (thousands) $\qquad$ $\$$ | 61,431 | $+10.9$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)*\$ | 89,527 | + 12.9 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ....... | 8.2 | - 1.2 |  |
| Air express shipments | 285 | $+20.3$ | +22.3 |
| Unemployment | 1,130 | - 23.1 | $-11.0$ |
| Placements in employment | 630 | + 7.9 | +17.3 |
| Nonagricutural civilian labor force.-. | 34,630 | + 6.7 | + 1.3 |

*Excludes degosits to credit of banks.

## AGRICULTURE

## Income

(The ameunt of income received by farmers is a complete measure the prosperity of agriculture, taking into account both the volume products sold and the prices received. Since the marketings of many products are concentrated in certain seasons of the year, it is thaportant that the data be adjusted for seasonal variations in order to show the basic changes in the situations of agriculture.)

Total farm cash income for the State in April exceeded that registered in March by $37.1 \%$, as every crop-reporting district except the Northern High Plains showed increases over the preceding month. The districts with the largest gains were the Edwards Plateau and the South Texas Plains as the marketing of sheep and cattle boosted the total income in these districts.

This increase in April further strengthened the seasonal upturn that began in March after the extreme low appearing in February. Despite this low, farm cash income for the first four months of 1950, through increases featured in March and April. stood $9.1 \%$ above the corresponding period in 1949.


The twelve crop-reporting districts of Texas were split evenly between increases and decreases in income for the total of the first four months as compared with the first four months of last year. The northern and southern sections of the State contributed most strongly to the rise as the Southern High Plains, the Red Bed

FARM CASH INCOME*

| District | Indexes, $1935-39=100$, adjusted for seasonal variation |  |  | Amount <br> (in thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 1949 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Janv } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-April } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ |
| TEXAS | 212.9 | 199.6 | 212.2 | \$247,413 | \$226,689 |
| $1-\mathrm{N}$ | 481.0 | 390.8 | 543.9 | 43,924 | 39,063 |
| 1-S | 215.3 | 186.9 | 226.0 | 31,716 | 21,095 |
| 2 | 213.9 | 250.1 | 384.0 | 26,589 | 18,232 |
| 3 | 345.4 | 347.5 | 398.2 | 9,518 | 11,011 |
| 4 | - 157.8 | 143.3 | 138.7 | 25,783 | 27,300 |
| 5 | . 182.8 | 190.3 | 196.6 | 10,558 | 12,341 |
| 6 | -128.1 | 131.1 | 92.9 | 6,755 | 7,321 |
| 7 | - 314.7 | 350.6 | 254.9 | 16,730 | 14,916 |
| 8 | 203.6 | 285.2 | 193.9 | 21,962 | 22,333 |
| ${ }^{9}$ | . 383.1 | 409.8 | 538.8 | 18,833 | 25,480 |
| 10 | 329.7 | 493.0 | 269.1 | 17,792 | 15,849 |
| 10-A | 122.3 | 82.7 | 119.5 | 17,253 | 11,757 |

${ }^{*}$ Farm cash income as computed by the Bureau understates actual farm cash income by from 6 to $10 \%$. This situation results from the fact that means of securing complete local marketings, especially by truck, have not yet been fully developed. In addition, means have not yet been developed for computing cash income from all agricultural specialties of local importance in scattered areas. This situation does not impair the accuracy of indexes.


Plains and the Lower Rio Grande Valley showed increases in excess of $40 \%$. These were trailed by increases of $12.4 \%$ in the Northern High Plains and $12.3 \%$ in the South Texas Plains. The Coastal Prairies, with a loss of $26.1 \%$, showed the largest decrease among the districts for the year to date comparison.

The seasonally adjusted index for April 1950, standing at 212.9 , indicated a slight gain ( $0.3 \%$ ) over April of last year and rose $6.7 \%$ above March 1950. The somewhat favorable outlook for farm cash income represented by the figures will likely be dimmed in future comparisons with corresponding months of last year as the expected low yield from the wheat crop in the northern part of the State is reflected in the total income. Although drought breaking rains have come to most of the State, bringing moisture for planting for fall harvest, in the Panhandle and South Plains area it is estimated that as much as $60 \%$ of the wheat crop there has already been abandoned. Of the remaining $40 \%$, the production is expected to be far below normal. Compare this sit-

## INDEXES OF PRICES RECEIVED BY FARMERS <br> (1909-14 $=100$ )

Source: Bureau of Agricultural Economics, U. S. Department of Agriculture

| Indexes (unadjusted) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \end{aligned}$ |
| ALL FARM PRODUCTS __ 274 | 274 | 288 | - 4.9 | 0.0 |
| All crops | 224 | 237 | 5.1 | + 0.4 |
| Food grains $\quad 222$ | 220 | 246 | $-9.8$ | $+0.9$ |
| Feed grains and hay _-_ 163 | 159 | 185 | $-11.9$ | + 2.5 |
| Potatoes and sweet potatoes 180 | 178 | 254 | - 29.1 | + 1.1 |
| Fruit -179 | 179 | 28 |  | 0.0 |
| Truck crops _ 242 | 266 | 287 | $-15.7$ | 9.0 |
| Cotton -233 | 231 | 244 | - 4.5 | + 0.9 |
| Oil-bearing crops _ 221 | 213 | 257 | $-14.0$ | + 8.8 |
| Livestock and products _- 340 | 839 | 354 | $-4.0$ | + 0.3 |
| Meat animals $\quad 428$ | 421 | 423 | + 1.2 | + 1.7 |
| Dairy products _-_ 229 | 241 | 246 | - 6.9 | - 5.0 |
| Poultry and eggs $\qquad$ 187 | 195 | 261 | $-28.4$ | $-4.1$ |
| Wool $\longrightarrow$ | 354 | 379 | $-5.0$ | + 1.7 |

uation with last year's when the second largest crop in history was harvested.
The index of prices for all farm products in Texas dropped $4.9 \%$ from April 1949 while remaining the same as March 1950. All elements of the index showed a decrease from April 1949 with the outstanding exception of fruit and meat animal prices. Prices received by fruit growers have exhibited startling increases and at the present time are over $500 \%$ above last year. This is the natural result of crop scarcity. The heavy freeze in January 1949 devastated the fruit crop that would have been harvested this year. This scarcity has driven prices to their present high level.

## SHIPMENTS OF LIVESTOCK

(in carloads) *
Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of Agricultural Economics, U. S. Department of Agriculture

| Classification | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } \\ 1949 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percen } \\ \hline \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{array}$ | t change <br> Apr. 1950 from Mar. 1950 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL SHIPMENTS | 9,705 | 5,558 | 8,463 | + 14.7 | $+74.6$ |
| Cattle | 7,360 | 3,613 | 7,172 | + 2.6 |  |
| Calves | 481 | 450 | 362 | + 32.9 | + 6.9 |
| Hogs | 862 | 959 | 625 | $+37.9$ | - 10.1 |
| Sheep | 1,002 | 536 | 304 | -...- | + 86.9 |
| INTERSTATE PLUS |  |  |  |  |  |
| FORT WORTH | 9,356 | 5,331 | 8,108 | + 15.4 | $+75.5$ |
| Cattle | 7,105 | 3,439 | 6,877 | + 3.3 |  |
| Calves | 416 | 404 | 322 | + 29.2 | $+3.0$ |
| Hogs | 857 | 958 | 625 | + 37.1 | $-10.5$ |
| Sheep | 978 | 530 | 284 | - | +84.5 |
| INTRASTATE MINUS |  |  |  |  |  |
| FORT WORTH $\dagger$. .-..... | 349 | 227 | 355 | - 1.7 | + 53.7 |
| Cattle .-.-. | 255 | 174 | 295 | $-13.6$ | + 46.6 |
| Calves .-.- - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 65 | 46 | 40 | +62.5 | + 41.3 |
|  | 5 | 1 | ---- |  | --7. |
| Sheep - - - | 24 | 6 | 20 | +20.0 | --...-- |

${ }^{*}$ Rail-car basis: cattle, 30 head per car: calves, 60 ; hogs, 80 ; and sheep, 250.
$\dagger$ Intrastate truck shipments are not included. Fort Worth shipments are combined with interstate forwardings in order that the bulk of market disappearance for the month may be shown.
Total shipments of livestock were up $74.6 \%$ from March 1950 and $14.7 \%$ from April of last year. Sheep shipments demonstrated the most outstanding gains over last year, while cattle shipments gained the most over last month. Total sheep shipments were up $229.6 \%$ from April of last year; the major portion of this increase was due to shipments out of the State. Cattle shipments, while only up $2.6 \%$ over last year, were $103.7 \%$ above last month. A large portion of these cattle ship. ments went to Midwestern states where the cattle will be finished on corn. Corn-fed cattle command a higher price than range cattle, and this factor, combined with the current drought, has brought about this large increase in shipments over last month.
Rail shipments of fruits and vegetables showed a small increase over March 1950 and considerable increase over April of last year. Total shipments for this month are $60.9 \%$ above last year. This was a continuation of a rising trend started in earlier months of this year.

Rail shipments of individual commodities exhibited their usual erratic increases and decreases. This is, of course, a result of the variable seasonal pattern that

RAIL SHIPMENTS OF FRUIT AND VEGETABLES
(in carloads)
Source: Compiled from reports of Bureau of Agricultural Economics, U. S. Department of Agriculture

|  |  |  |  | Percent <br> change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Item |  |  |  |  |

is an inherent part of fruit and vegetable production. Beets and carrots, lettuce, onions and tomatoes showed the most startling increases over April 1949. Onions and potatoes were well above March 1950 shipments. The valley onion crop was one of the earliest on record, producing some of the best quality onions in years, and yet the growers got some of the lowest returns in years. This early maturity was largely a result of widespread use of an early strain of Yellow Bermudas. It is probable that not more than $50 \%$ of this year's onion crop in the Coastal Bend will be marketed. Farmers in this area are plowing onions under because low prices and slow demand have combined to make harvesting the crop unprofitable.

## COLD STORAGE HOLDINGS

Source: Production and Marketing Administration, U. S. Department of Agriculture

| Item $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { Unit } \\ (000 ' s\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. 1950 from Mar. 1950 |
| Fresh vegetables ...-. lbs. | 1,805 | 1,912 | 1,559 | $+15.8$ | - 5.6 |
| Frozen vegetables ... lbs. | 4,527 | 4,166 | 2,530 | + 78.9 | + 8.7 |
| Dried and evaporated fruits $\qquad$ lbs. | 272 | 252 | 331 | - 17.8 | + 7.9 |
| Frozen fruits ___ lbs. | 8,462 | 2,793 | 3,676 | $-5.8$ | $+24.0$ |
| Nuts ........................ lbs. | 19,226 | 19,900 | 18,496 | + 3.9 | 3.4 |
| Dairy products ........ lbs. | 8,569 | 4,729 | 2,485 |  | +81.2 |
| Cream ._-_- Ibs. | 99 | 100 | 361 | - 72.6 | 1.0 |
| Fluid .-.....-...... lbs. | 90 | 98 | 150 | - 40.0 | - 8.2 |
| Plastic .............. lbs. | 9 | 2 | 211 | - 95.7 |  |
| Creamery butter ... lbs. | 810 | 633 | 283 | - | $+28.0$ |
| Evaporated and condensed milk.... lbs. | 81 | 89 | 555 | -85.4 | $-9.0$ |
| Cheese, all varieties lbs. | 7,570 | 3,907 | 1,286 | --- | +93.8 |
| Eggs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shell - ................... cases | 32 | 6 | 20 | $+60.0$ | - |
| Frozen ...-. | 9,955 | 3,806 | 3,991 | - | - 5 |
| Dried _-.............. lbs. | 5,611 | 5,928 | 2,858 | + 96.3 | $-5.3$ |
| Poultry (frozen) .-... lbs. | 2,599 | 2,490 | 1,358 | + 39.9 | + 4.4 |
| Meat and meat products $\qquad$ lbs. | 24,374 | 22,584 | 81,672 | $-23.0$ | + 8.2 |
| Hides and pelts ..._. lbs. | 1,089 | 1,046 | 1,409 | $-22.7$ | + 4.1 |

RAIL SHIPMENTS OF POULTRY AND EGGS FROM TEXAS STATIONS
(in carlosds)
Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of Agricultural Economics, U. S. Department of Agriculture

| Classification | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | Mar.$1950$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change <br> Apr. 1950 from <br> Apr. 1949 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL SHIPMENTS |  |  |  |  |
| Chickens | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1 | + 50.0 |
| Turkeys | . 5 | . 5 | 1 | - 50.0 |
| Eggs-shell equivalent* | 105 | 84 | 185 | - 43.2 |
| Shell | 8 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Frozen | 19 | 26 | 24 | - 20.8 |
| Dried | 8 | 4 | 17 | - 52.9 |

*Dried eggs and frozen eggs are converted to a shell-egg equivalent on the following basis: 1 rail-carload of dried eggs $=8$ carloads of shell eggs and 1 carload of frozen eggs $=2$ carlosds of shell eggs.

> INTERSTATE RECEIPTS OF EGGS BY RAIL AT TEXAS STATIONS
> (in carloads)

Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of Agricultural Economics, U.S. Department of Agriculture

| Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL RECEIPTS-SHELL |  |  |  |
| EQUIVALENT** | 6 | 12 | 18 |
| Shell | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Frozen | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Dried _-_ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*Dried eggs and frozen eggs are converted to a shell-egg equivalent on the following basis: 1 rail-carload of dried eggs $=8$ carloads of shell eggs and 1 carload of frozen eggs $=2$ carloads of shell eggs.

The increase in tomato shipments is quite surprising. A great deal of the increase can be attributed to the frost loss last year while the rest is probably a result of the regulation that tomatoes may be shipped only after each one is individually wrapped in paper. This raises the price received by farmers and encourages the growers to send more tomatoes to market.

Interstate receipts of frozen eggs were down $50 \%$ from last year and $67 \%$ from last month. Interstate rail shipments of eggs from Texas were also down from last year and last month. More of Texas eggs are remaining in the State with fewer being shipped in from other states. The rate of lay per hen in Texas was lower this year
than in April 1949, but more laying hens were on the farms giving an increase in egg production of 8 million over April 1949. This larger supply available in the State has driven the price received by poultry and egg producers down $28.4 \%$ from last year and $4.1 \%$ from March 1950.

Total shipments of chickens were up $50 \%$ from April 1949 and $200 \%$ from last month. There was no change in turkey shipments this month compared with March 1950, but this month's shipments were only half of what they were in April 1949.

## Cotton

(The cotton balance sheet shows the basic demand and supply factors affecting cotton which is an outstanding element in the farm income of the State.)

The cotton situation is on the constructive side. This cotton year's world consumption will be about 29.5 million bales. If increases in population are taken into account, world consumption needs to increase another 2.2 million bales to equal the rate of consumption in 1938-39.

World production of cotton during the 1949-50 crop year is about 31 million bales. Of this total the United States produced roughly 16 million bales and all foreign countries 15 million. Under the government's acreage control program, production in the United States is expected to be reduced about 3 million bales in the 1950 51 crop year. Foreign production is expected to increase some during 1950-51 but certainly not 3 million bales. It is thus possible that world production may be substantially below consumption. During the summer months the market will be very sensitive to weather conditions.

The total disappearance of cotton in the United States is over 2.5 million bales more than at this time last year, as shown by the balance sheet. It is evident now that the carryover on August 1 will be about 7.5 million bales, which is certainly not excessive.

The greatest worries in the cotton situation are the devaluation of currencies by about $30 \%$ in most cotton importing countries and the sharp reductions in the price of rayon staple fibers. In some European countries rayon staple fiber is being offered at $25 \%$ below the price of Middling 15/16 inch American cotton. These two things combined will make it extremely difficult for cotton to avoid loss of markets in the United States, and more especially in foreign countries.

COTTON BALANCE SHEET FOR THE UNITED STATES AS OF MAY 1, 1950
(in thousands of running bales except as noted)

| Year | Carryover Aug. 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Imports } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { May } 1^{*} \end{aligned}$ | Government final ginnings total Mar. $20^{*}$ | Total | Consumption to May 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exports } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { May } 1 \end{gathered}$ | Total | Balance as of May 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1940-41 | 10,596 | 119 | 12,298 | 23,013 | 6,993 | 885 | 7,878 | 15,135 |
| 1941-42 | 12,367 | 247 | 10,495 | 23,109 | 8,250 | 878 | 9,128 | 13,981 |
| 1942-43 | 10,590 | 169 | 12,488 | 23,197 | 8,436 | 823 | 9,259 | 13,938 |
| 1943-44 | -10,687 | 127 | 11,129 | 21,943 | 7,581 | 943 | 8,524 | 13,419 |
| 1944-45 | 10,727 | 108 | 11,839 | 22,674 | 7,279 | 1,126 | 8,405 | 14,269 |
| 1945-46 | 11,164 | 262 | 8,813 | 20,239 | 6,770 | 2,320 | 9,090 | 11,149 |
| 1946-47 | 7,522 | 203 | 8,513 | 16,238 | 7,802 | 2,634 | 10,436 | 5,802 |
| 1947-48 | 2,521 | 225 | 11,552 | 14,206 | 7,131 | 1,686 | 8,817 | 5,389 |
| 1948-49. | 2,823 | $154 \dagger$ | 14,540 | 17,517 | 6,162 | 2,958 ${ }^{\text {; }}$ | 9,120 | 8,397 |
| 1949-50 | 6,283 | $240 \dagger$ | 15,908 | 21,431 | 6,689 | $3,755 \dagger$ | 10,444 | 10,987 |

[^10]
## FINANCE

Business Finance

(Since the condition of business is both reflected by and dependent upon financial conditions, various indicators of financial activity are ossential for proper analysis of the business situation.)

The volume of bank credit in use in Texas during April did not vary greatly from that in use during March 1950. Total bank deposits increased only $0.1 \%$ while bank loans decreased $1.0 \%$. During the same period, both total bank debits and the rate of deposit turnover decreased $7.2 \%$. The changes from the same period last year were more decided and indicated a definite increase in business activity from April 1949. When compared to last year, bank deposits increased $8.3 \%$, loans $10.6 \%$, bank debits $7.5 \%$, but the rate of deposit turnover decreased $0.8 \%$.

The various cities throughout the State have had about the same experiences as the entire State. End-of-themonth denosits increased from April 1949 in all except 3 of the 20 reporting cities. Beaumont ( $7.9 \%$ ), Galveston ( $2.0 \%$ ) and Port Arthur ( $2.1 \%$ ) reported decreases while Lubbock, with $31.7 \%$, reported the largest increase. Only slight changes occurred from last month. Galveston and Port Arthur were the only cities reporting decreases in bank debits from last year, while increases ranging from $43.5 \%$ in Waco to $1.2 \%$ in El Paso were reported by the remaining 18. The rate of denosit turnover showed varying degrees of increase and decrease when compared to April 1949 as 10 cities reported decreases, 9 reported increases and one reported no change. There was a general decrease of deposit turnover from March 1950 as 19 of the 20 cities reported decreases.

The Bureau's index of bank debits points to an increase in general business activity from last year. At

CHANGES IN CONDITION OF WEEKLY REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN THE DALLAS DISTRICT* ${ }^{*}$
Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

| Item A | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. 1949 from Mar. 1949 |
| ASSETS |  |  |
| Loans and investments .__ 12.2 | $-0.3$ | $-0.5$ |
| Loans +10.6 | $-1.0$ | 2.3 |
| Total U. S. Government securities +13.6 | + 0.3 | + 1.6 |
| Treasury bills | + 7.3 | +111.8 |
| Treasury certificates of indebted- <br> ness $\qquad$ $+0.8$ $-9.1 \quad-3.9$ |  |  |
|  | + 18.1 | 2.4 |
| United States bonds $\quad \square \quad-\quad 9.9$ | - 0.2 | + 1.1 |
| Other securities ___ 13.4 | + 1.5 | 2.5 |
| Reserve with Federal Reserve Banks - 13.9 | - 0.4 | + 1.2 |
| Cash in vault - $\quad$ - | $-8.1$ | + 5.9 |
| Balances with domestic banks _-_..... +31.9 | + 10.8 | + 5.5 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |
| Total deposits (except interbank) $\ldots .+4.7$ | 0.0 | $+0.4$ |
| Demand deposits adjusted ........... +4.8 | + 0.2 | + 0.3 |
| Time deposits | + 3.4 | + 6.0 |
| United States Government deposits +25.0 | $-28.6$ | $-35.5$ |
| Interbank deposits |  |  |
| Domestic banks .................... 34.1 | + 2.7 | $-0.7$ |
| Foreign banks $\ldots+\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $+14.3$ | 0.0 |
| CAPITAL ACCOUNTS .................. +6.5 | + 1.9 | + 1.5 |

## BANK DEBITS*

(in thousands)
Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

| City | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. 1950 from Mar. 1950 |
| TOTAL | \$3,774,024 | \$4,065,741 | \$3,511,128 | $+7.5$ | $-7.2$ |
| Abilene | 40,775 | 43,509 | 34,802 | +17.2 | $-6.3$ |
| Amarillo ___ _ | 97,698 | 104,909 | 89,128 | + 9.6 | -6.9 |
| Austin | 122,392 | 144,393 | 118,992 | +2.9 +2.9 | -15.2 |
| Beaumont | 94,647 | - 97,482 | 91,619 | + 3.3 | -2.9 |
| Corpus Christi - | 88,490 | . 96,663 | 71,583 | +23.6 | -8.5 |
| Corsicana ___ | 9,492 | 10,249 | 9,322 | + 1.8 | - 7.4 |
| Dallas | 1,087,779 | 1,152,524 | 994,521 | + 9.4 | $-5.6$ |
| El Paso | 134,593 | 154,625 | 133,045 | $+1.2$ | -13.0 |
| Fort Worth | 334,091 | 360,742 | 293,020 | +14.0 | $-7.4$ |
| Galveston -_-_-_- | 65,875 | 72,092 | 69,553 | - 5.3 | $-8.6$ |
| Houston | 1,061,537 | 1,142,512 | 1,056,782 | + 0.4 | $-7.1$ |
| Laredo | 15,962 | 17,722 | 17,354 | -8.0 | $-9.9$ |
| Lubbock | 71,051 | 79,697 | 57,063 | +24.5 | -10.8 |
| Port Arthur | 29,659 | 33,829 | 33,727 | -12.1 | -12.3 |
| San Angelo _- | 30,659 | 33,549 | 26,151 | $+17.2$ | $-8.6$ |
| San Antonio _... | 289,881 | 321,784 | 249,838 | $+16.0$ | $-9.9$ |
| Texarkana $\dagger$ - | 26,435 | 27,332 | 23,745 | $+11.3$ | $-3.3$ |
| Tyler | 43,346 | 44,970 | 37,951 | +14.2 | -3.6 |
| Waco | 68,231 | 61,286 | 47,536 | $+43.5$ | +11.3 |
| Wichita Falls _-. | 61,431 | 65,882 | 55,396 | $+10.9$ | -6.8 |

*Debits to deposit accounts except interbank accounts.
$\dagger$ Includes two banks in Arkansas, Eighth District.
the end of April 1950 it stood at approximately $\mathbf{4 8 6 . 6 \%}$ of the 1935-39 average. Except for the March 1950 index (493.2), this was higher than the index has been at any time since the war.

CORPORATION CHARTERS ISSUED BY CLASSIFICATIONS
Source: Secretary of State

| Classification | Apr. <br> 1950 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. <br> 1949 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DOMESTIC CORPORATIONS |  |  |  |
| Capitalization (thousands) | \$6,552 | \$6,599 | \$7,627 |
| Number | 383 | 372 | 370 |
| Banking-finance | 17 | 15 | 6 |
| Construction | 22 | 25 | 25 |
| Manufacturing | 21 | 20 | 38 |
|  | 67 | 76 | 76 |
| Oil | 19 | 10 | 10 |
| Real estate | 74 | 58 | 49 |
| Transportation | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| Nonprofit (no capital stock) | 69 | 69 | 70 |
| All others | 93 | 94 | 97 |
| FOREIGN CORPORATIONS |  |  |  |
| Number -_-_ _-_ | 65 | 89 | 47 |

## CORPORATION CHARTERS ISSUED BY CAPITALIZATION

Source: Secretary of State

| Capitalization | $\underset{1950}{\text { Apr. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Over \$100,000 ........... | 9 | 12 | 12 | $-25.0$ | $-25.0$ |
| \$5,000 to $\$ 100,000$.-. | 198 | 159 | 190 | + 4.2 | + 24.5 |
| Less than $\$ 5,000$ | 107 | 119 | 95 | + 12.6 | - 10.1 |
| No capital stock | 69 | 69 | 71 | $-2.8$ | 0.0 |
| Capitalization not specified $\qquad$ | 0 | 18 | 2 | - | - |

More new corporations were issued charters during April than in either last month or in April of last year, as 383 new ventures were given permission to begin operations, compared to 372 in March 1950 and 370 in April 1949. Real estate ventures with 74 new starts and merchandising with 67 led all classes. The mediumsized businesses, those capitalized at $\$ 5,000-\$ 100,000$, accounted for 198 of the new charters. Only nine of the new corporations were capitalized for more than $\$ 100$, 000 . The number of business failures in Texas remained almost stable as 17 went out of operation in April 1950, 18 in March 1950 and 17 in April 1949.
In Texas, life insurance sales increased $8.9 \%$ over April of last year but decreased $12.5 \%$ from last month. In the United States as a whole the increase from last year was $0.5 \%$ and the decrease from last month $9.2 \%$.

## BUSINESS FAILURES

Source: Dun and Bradstreet, Inc.

|  | Apr. 1950 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. $1949$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 17 | 18 | 17 | 22 |
| Liabilities* | \$ 412 | \& 933 | \$ 363 | \$1,117 |
| Average liabilities per failure*. | \$ 24 | \$ 52 | \$ 21 | \$ 51 |

*In thousands.

## Government Finance

(Federal and State tax collections vary directly with the level of ceminess prosperity and consequently serve as an index of economie cosditions.)
Revenue receipts of the State comptroller for the first eight months of the 1949-50 fiscal year were $3.5 \%$ above the same period of 1948-49. Ad valorem tax collections showed the greatest increase ( $74.0 \%$ ) over the preceding fiscal year. Crude oil production and unemploy-

## REVENUE RECEIPTS OF STATE COMPTROLLER

Source: State Comptroller of Public Accounts

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | September 1-April 30 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1949-50 | 1948-49 | Percent change |
| TOTAL | \$55,170,173 \$ | 364,605,915 | \$352,303,478 | + 3.5 |
| Ad valorem taxes | 843,528 | 29,836,316 | 17,148,376 | + 74.0 |
| Crude oil production taxes $\qquad$ | 6,440,447 | 51,493,975 | 64,128,758 | - 19.7 |
| Motor fuel taxes (net) | 9,046,627 | 66,821,620 | 59,274,418 | + 12.7 |
| Cigarette tax and licenses $\qquad$ | 2,505,974 | 17,679,546 | 15,368,798 | $+15.0$ |
| Mineral leases, rentals and bonuses $\qquad$ | 46,225 | 3,561,502 | 7,361,393 | 15.0 -51.6 |
| Interest on securities owned $\qquad$ | 372,482 | 5,584,994 | 4,803,448 | +16.3 |
| Unelassified receipts from county tax collectors $\qquad$ | 169,268- | 30,624 | 1,984,007 | + 98.5 -8.3. |
| Federal aid-highways. | 2,442,873 | 19,612,004 | 18,051,966 | + 8.6 |
| Federal aid-public welfare $\qquad$ | 6,061,115 | 49,188,488 | 46,885,658 | $+4.9$ |
| Federal aid-public education | 1,228,107 | 15,366,379 | 12,958,046 | +18.6 |
| Unemployment compensation tax $\qquad$ | 1,198,050 | 12,865,887 | 16,018,172 | - 19.7 |
| All other receipts | 25,163,513 | 92,564,580 | 88,320,443 | $+4.8$ |

ment compensation taxes each decreased $19.7 \%$ from the 1948-49 fiscal year to date, and receipts from mineral leases, rentals and bonuses showed a $51.6 \%$ drop. The reduction in crude oil production tax receipts was a direct result of cuts in crude oil allowables. Cigarette tax receipts rose sharply, reflecting the $10 \%$ tax increase levied by the special session of the State legislature.

Total federal internal revenue collections in Texas during April rose $3.4 \%$ from the same month a year ago. Collections were up $51.7 \%$ from April of last year in the second district but were down $26.2 \%$ in the first district. In the fiscal year to date comparisons, all collections were down in both districts with the exception of employment collections in the second which rose $2.0 \%$. For the State as a whole, the "other" group reg. istered the largest decline ( $17.0 \%$ ).

With estimates of the total federal deficit for the 1949-50 fiscal year at $\$ 6.7$ billion, cuts in postal services were ordered by Postmaster Jesse M. Donaldson. The rising deficit in the Post Office Department prompted the immediate cut in postal services which applied to all sections of the country. Reductions were made in post office window, delivery and processing services.

## FEDERAL INTERNAL REVENUE COLLECTIONS

Source: Office of the Collector, Internal Revenue Service, Treasury Department

| District | July 1-April 30 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1949-50 | 1948-49 | Percent change |
| TEXAS | \$1,074,185,101 | \$1,151,779,662 | $-6.7$ |
| Income .__ | 676,793,057 | 720,060,301 | 6.0 |
| Employment _-_ | 550,869,919 | 56,835,703 | - 8.1 |
| Withholding -_-_ | 221,989,272 | 229,924,624 | - 3.5 |
| Other | 120,315,853 | 144,959,034 | $-17.0$ |
| FIRST DISTRICT | 578,392,922 | 627,041,113 | - 7.8 |
| Income _ | 374,783,960 | 398,143,229 |  |
| Employment _-_ | 23,675,970 | 26,030,136 | - 9.1 |
| Withholding | 117,948,086 | 125,455,635 | $-6.0$ |
| Other | 61,984,906 | 77,412,113 | $-19.9$ |
| SECOND DISTRICT- | 495,792,179 | 524,738,549 | $-5.5$ |
| Income | 302,009,097 | 321,917,072 | - 6.2 |
| Employment | 31,410,949 | 30,805,567 | + 2.0 |
| Withholding | 104,041,186 | 104,468,989 | $-0.4$ |
| Other | 58,330,947 | 67,546,921 | - 13.6 |

Reecent additions to the list of Small Business Aids reproduced by the Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Office of Small Business of the United States Department of Commerce are as follows:

Cost Cutting Ideas for Retail Sales
The Meanings, Purposes, and Uses of Discounts and Invoice Terms Facts About Retail Outdoor Advertising Proper Care and Handling of Meat Ten Factors in Successful Retailing
Copies of these Aids are available without charge from the Bureau of Business Research.

## LABOR

## Employment

(Employment statistics include data on both the employed and unemployed portions of the labor force and on the number of placements made by the State Employment Service during the month. These data serve as measures of the demand for and the supply of workers.)

The April estimates of manufacturing employment in Texas, made bv the Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, indicate a drop of 3,200 persons in the nondurable goods industries which offsets an increase of 2,600 persons employed in durable goods production. The largest decline was registered by petroleum and coal companies which dropped approximately 5,100 employees from the pay rolls during April. However, in spite of this and other small declines from March, manufacturing employment remains higher than the April 1949 totals, with the number of persons employed in the manufacture of "hard" goods at its highest point since February 1949.

In the nonmanufacturing groups, every reported classification showed employment increases from March ex-

ESTIMATES OF EMPLOYMENT IN SELECTED INDUSTRIES IN TEXAS
(in thousands)
Source: Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U. S. Department of Labor

| Industry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & \text { 1950* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr. } 1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1950 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar. } 1950 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL MANUFACTURING | 331.3 | 331.9 | 324.3 | $+2.2$ | $-0.2$ |
| Durable goods | 136.7 | 134.1 | 134.0 | + 2.0 | + 1.9 |
| Primary metals | 13.3 | 13.0 | 12.5 | + 6.4 | +2.3 |
| Machinery (except |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation equipment | 32.7 | 31.3 | 29.7 | +10.1 | + 4.5 |
| Fabricated metal products. | 13.0 | 13.3 | 13.4 | $-3.0$ | $-2.3$ |
| Lumber and wood products | 31.5 | 30.9 | 30.7 | +2.6 | + 1.9 |
| Furniture and fixtures .-- | 8.2 | 8.3 | 7.7 | $+6.5$ | $-1.2$ |
| Stone, clay and glass ...-- | 12.8 | 12.7 | 12.4 | $+3.2$ | + 0.8 |
| Other durable goods ........... | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.2 | -13.6 | $-5.0$ |
| Nondurable goods | 194.6 | 197.8 | 190.3 | +2.3 | $-1.6$ |
| Textile mill products ...-. - | 9.0 | 9.0 | 8.0 | +12.5 | 0.0 |
| Apparel | 24.8 | 24.9 | 24.6 | + 0.8 | $-0.4$ |
| Food | 60.1 | 57.7 | 54.5 | +10.3 | + 4.2 |
| Paper and allied products. | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.0 | +8.0 | 0.0 |
| Printing and publishing -- | 22.3 | 22.3 | 21.6 | + 3.2 | 0.0 |
| Chemicals and allied products $\qquad$ | 30.3 | 30.8 | 26.7 | +13.5 | $-1.6$ |
| Petroleum and coal | 35.3 | 40.4 | 43.0 | -17.9 | -12.6 |
| Leather | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.7 | +35.3 | + 9.5 |
| Other nondurable goods | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.2 | $-1.9$ | $-1.9$ |
| NONMANUFACTURING |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mining | 101.5 | 100.5 | 100.9 | + 0.6 | $+1.0$ |
| Crude petroleum andnatural gas products |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal, coal and other mining $\qquad$ | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.6 | $-3.0$ | + 1.6 |
| Transportation and public utilities $\qquad$ 220.3 $223.3 \quad 218.4+3.2$ $-1.3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade | 503.5 | 498.0 | 499.3 | + 0.8 | + 1.1 |
| Wholesale trade | 133.6 | 184.9 | 134.6 | $-0.7$ | $-1.0$ |
| Retail trade | 369.9 | 363.1 | 364.7 | + 1.4 | + 1.9 |
| Finance, service and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government .......................... | 271.3 | 265.1 | 265.0 | + 2.4 | + 2.3 |

[^11]cept transportation and public utilities. Without exception, all primary groups recorded larger pay rolls than at this time last year.

In contrast to the estimated drop in the number of per. sons employed throughout the State, reports from 17 labor market areas in Texas indicated a $4.3 \%$ decrease in unemployment figures during April. Only two areas, Beaumont - Port Arthur (4.6\%) and San Angelo ( $10.5 \%$ ) had more persons unemploved than in March; while decreases ranged from $3.1 \%$ in Houston-Baytown to a high of $13.3 \%$ in Austin. Five areas reported no change in the unemployment picture.
The nonagricultural civilian labor force in the 17 labor market areas crept upward during April for the first time since December, showing an over-all gain from March of $0.5 \%$. Only three cities-Austin, San Antonio and Texarkana-showed slight decreases, while all others reported either no change or increases of less than $3 \%$. In comparison with April of last year, the labor force in the 17 areas stood $1.6 \%$ higher. San Angelo and Wichita Falls reported the largest increases (7.7 and $6.7 \%$, respectively).
Placements by the Texas Employment Commission made remarkable gains during April, exceeding those in March by $25.0 \%$ and those in April 1949 by $27.1 \%$. The Beaumont-Port Arthur area was the most outstanding with an increase of $68.5 \%$ over March placements. Dallas ( $31.0 \%$ ), Fort Worth ( $30.3 \%$ ), San Angelo (32.5\%) and San Antonio ( $27.3 \%$ ) registered large gains over last month. In comparison with April of last year, San Angelo and El Paso with increases of 91.5 and $73.0 \%$, respectively, led all the other areas. Other changes ranged from a gain of $2.9 \%$ in Texarkana to an increase of $51.9 \%$ in Austin.

The number of persons in Texas available for employment during May is expected to show some decline as the great force of migrant farm laborers begin their annual move to the farms and orchards of the North and Middle West. Usually the great majority of these workers leave the State during the first two weeks of May, returning in the fall after circling from the vegetable canneries of the Great Lakes region to the sugar beet fields and late cotton harvests in the West.
In the nation as a whole, total employment again crept toward the 60 million mark. According to Census Bureau reports, approximately 59,998 thousand persons were holding jobs early in April. This was the high point in the 1950 employment record. Accompanying this increase, unemployment was down 608 thousand from March, following an earlier decrease of 561 thousand from February.


## Hours and Earnings

(Avaraco hourry cornings are computed by dividing the total pay
Average hourly and weekly earnings in April for all manufacturing firms continued above those of a year ago. Transportation equipment workers set the pace with an increase of $\$ 6.97$ per week over last year's wages. This rise was the combined result of a 9.9 cent an hour increase in wages plus two hours additional working time per week. With the effects of an 11.9 cent wage increase and a 1.2 hour longer work week, employees in the stone. clay and glass industries boosted their weekly earnings to $\$ 52.35, \$ 6.60$ greater than for the same period last year.
Nondurable goods industries as a whole showed an average hourly wage increase of 4.3 cents, which brought weekly earnings $\$ 1.09$ above those of last April in spite of a 0.5 hour drop in the work week. Persons employed in the production of "hard" goods, with a wage increase of 7.3 cents and 1.5 hours more working time per week, raised their weekly pay $\$ 4.97$ over the same period.
The general increase in weekly earnings over April 1949 was also reflected in nonmanufacturing industries. Employees in wholesale trade received $\$ 8.53$ more per week for 0.1 hour less work; those working in the production of crude petroleum earned $\$ 6.25$ more but spent 2.3 hours more time on the job.
In the comparison with March of this year, only a few large increases in take-home pay were noted. Workers in crude petroleum production received an average of $\$ 4.32$ more per week.

## Industrial Relations

(A knowledge of current developments in industrial relations is nocescary to an understanding of the State's labor picture.)
The Texas Company refinery workers who were on strike will get no unemployment benefits, according to a Texas Employment Commission ruling. The T.E.C. held that the work stoppage was due to a labor dispute and therefore no claim could be approved. The union had contended that the strike was called off when the workers tried to return to work but the company refused to allow them to enter the plants.

In rejecting the claims, the T.E.C. quoted the law: "An individual shall be disqualified for benefits for any benefit period with respect to which the Commission finds that his total or partial unemployment is due to a work stoppage which exists because of a labor dispute . . . ." An immediate appeal and court test of the case is expected.

A year ago in a similar case the Commission upheld the claims of employees of the Ford assembly plant at Dallas. The T.E.C. found that the stoppage was due to a lack of parts resulting from a strike at the main plant in Detroit. However, claims of many employees who were on vacation when the stoppage occurred were denied.

A decision has not yet been reached in the legal fight before the Third Court of Civil Appeals in Austin concerning the authority of the Texas Highway Commission to contract minimum wages at rates lower than those considered to be prevailing by union labor. The case is being appealed from an earlier judgment which held in effect that the Highway Commission possessed the authority to set its own prevailing wages.

HOURS AND EARNINGS IN TEXAS*
Source: Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics,
U.S. Department of Labor
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}\hline \hline \text { Average weekly earnings } \\ \text { (in dollars) }\end{array}\right)$
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# Some Comparative Advantages and Disadvantages in Shipping Fruits and Vegetables by Truck 

By

Jean D. Neal, Associate Professor of Transportation, College of Business Administration

The shipper of citrus fruits and vegetables from Texas is confronted with difficulties which arise out of the distance which he must ship these products to market and the nature of the product itself. Since much of the winter produce and citrus fruit produced in the Rio Grande Valley is marketed in the Middle West and in the Northeast, a long haul is involved. Of all vegetable shipments moving from Texas, $35 \%$ moved a distance of from 800 to 1,250 miles and $52 \%$ were shipjed beyond 1,250 miles. This is nearly four times the national average for all traffic.

Since fresh vegetables and citrus fruits are perishable, they require fast and specialized handling. They must be chilled to protect them from heat and heated to protect them from extreme cold-sometimes both services are needed on the same haul. The need for these special services adds to the cost of transporting them. These products are high in bulk and weight compared to their value. As a consequence of these factors, a large portion of the delivered price is accounted for by the transportation charge, and shippers of fruits and vegetables are especially vulnerable to any changes in freight rates-particularly those adjustments which are upward.

Following several general rate increases in the last four years, the general level is now approximately $57 \%$ above the prewar level. Since advancing highway costs have lagged somewhat behind the increase in rail rates, there has been a shift of traffic to the highways.

The amount of traffic that is moving to market in trucks is difficult to establish because there are no records such as those kept on the volume of freight traffic moving by rail. However, some statistics are available on the amount of citrus fruit that is hauled by trucks since the United States Department of Agriculture and the State maintain stations for the inspection of this fruit. These inspection station reports indicate that the percentage of the total carlot (car-load equivalent of 500 boxes to the car) shipments of grapefruit and oranges which move to market by truck fluctuated over the past 15 years. The trend was steadily upward from a low of $23.1 \%$ in the crop year 1936-37 to a peak of $59.6 \%$ in 1940-41. In the following year there was a shift of this traffic back to the railroads as a result of a sharp reduction in rates posted by the southwestern railroads in the spring of 1941. In the year 1941-42 the percent of citrus fruit moving by truck dropped to $35.5 \%$ of the total. In the succeeding years the trend continued downward until a low of $14.0 \%$ was reached in 1944-45. This trend was reversed in the following year, and each increase in rail rates has been succeeded by a further increase in the percentage of traffic moved by highway carriers. In the past season this amounted to $62 \%$ of the total.

Testimony was presented in 200 ICC $665^{*}$ to the effect that 16,000 cars of vegetables were moved by truck from

[^13]the Rio Grande Valley during the 1946-47 season. There is considerable support for the belief that practically all vegetables moving distances up to 500 miles are now going by truck. The Department of Agriculture reports that $44 \%$ of all fruits and vegetables received at 12 major wholesale markets in 1948 arrived by truck.

The use of trucks to reach northern and eastern markets has certain definite advantages, but it also has some limitations. Among the advantages to the shipper, one that ranks high on the list is the lower cost. For purposes of comparison the rail rates and the truck rates to six markets are set forth in the following table.
COMPARATIVE RATES ON CITRUS FRUIT FROM THE TEXAS RIO GRANDE VALLEY

| To: | Charge per $13 / 5$ bushel box |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rail freight and tax | Refrig. charge | Total rail | Truck |
| Chicago |  |  |  |  |
| Grapefruit | \$1.10 | \$ . 13 | \$1.23 | \$ 90 |
| Oranges | -. 1.19 | . 13 | 1.32 | 1.00 |
| Minneapolis |  |  |  |  |
| Grapefruit | - 1.11 | . 13 | 1.24 | 1.00 |
| Oranges | --1.21 | . 13 | 1.34 | 1.10 |
| St. Louis |  |  |  |  |
| Grapefruit | --. .95 | . 13 | 1.08 | . 80 |
| Oranges | --1.04 | . 13 | 1.17 | . 85 |
| Seattle |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland |  | . 14 | 1.63 | 1.60 |
| Grapefruit | --... 1.30 | . 14 | 1.44 | 1.15 |

Source: Exhibit of Texas Citrus and Vegetable Growers and Shippers Association in ICC Docket No. 30074.
In each case the advantage is in favor of the highway carrier.
Another advantage of the truck, which is a particularly important one in the handling of perishables, is its speed. A truck load of fruit moves as an individual unit from the packing shed to the market city with only brief stops enroute. As an example of this speed, motor common carrier schedules call for third morning delivery in St. Louis. The best rail schedules show third evening deliveries in East St. Louis in time for placement for the fourth morning auction in St. Louis. Third evening arrival in East St. Louis is early enough to make eastbound connections for eastern markets. The private trucker is often able to cut as much as 24 hours off the motor common carrier's schedule.

Use of the truck at times results in a saving in handling. This is true in those cases where the carlot receiver does not have a private rail siding. When the shipment moves by truck, the carrier unloads on the wholesaler's dock. If this wholesaler receives a shipment by rail, it must be spotted on a public team track and then trucked to his place of business. Physical handling is also saved in those cases where the produce is trucked (by merchant truckers) direct from the field to "produce row" in the market city.
In the foregoing paragraphs a few of the advantages of shipping by truck have been set forth. However, shipping by truck has some very serious disadvantages,
$s 0$ far as the shipper of fruits and vegetables is concerned. The rail carriers offer certain valuable services which are not offered by highway carriers. The present system of marketing citrus fruit and fresh vegetables is one that is complex and highly organized. It has been developed over a long period of time and is based on rail transportation. The relationship between shipper and carrier is a close personal one of long standing. In the words of one shipper, "We are railroad minded."
In a number of northern cities the auction facilities are controlled by the railroads. In Chicago, where they are jointly owned by two railroads, fruit and produce are accepted regardless of whether they arrive by truck or rail. In other cities, however, the packer shipping by truck has marketing difficulty because the terminal auction will not accept his fruit for sale.
Another disadvantage of shipping by truck is the lack of control which a shipper has over a shipment after it leaves the packing shed. Since much of the fruit is sold "rolling," the shipper must be able to stop the car enroute and divert it to the buyer. It works as follows: a rail shipment may be started towards a distant market before it is sold; then a buyer is located and the deal is closed by wire. By means of passing reports, the shipper can locate his car and change its destination. There is a small charge levied for this transit privilege, but the through rate is protected so long as there is no back haul involved.
If the car of fruit is not sold enroute, and the market is not favorable upon arrival at its original destination, the owner may hold it on track for 48 hours without charge unless there is need for re-icing. He may also elect to reconsign it to a more favorable market. This is a valuable service which the truck operator does not offer. He is under compulsion to unload as soon as possible and start seeking another payload. Equipment tied up at the terminal is earning nothing. As traffic congestion grows more acute, carlot receivers are reluctant to tie up dock space that is needed for serving their customers.
In the preceding paragraphs there have been set forth a few of the advantages and disadvantages of shipping fruit and vegetables bv truck as compared with rail. It is impossible to determine the extent to which the present trend towards a greater use of trucks will continue. However, there are some indications that the rail carriers are making a determined effort to regain some of this lost business and to hold that which they still have. Last year the New England roads requested permission to reduce the rates on potatoes, a traffic which had gone over to the highways almost entirely. This winter the eastern roads filed tariffs of reduced rates on iron and steel products, while currently reduced rates on petroleum in the State are under suspension by the Texas Railroad Commission. Perhaps the pendulum is at the end of its swing. In any ovent, it is to be hoped that all carriers will proceed with a vigorous program that is designed to fit the needs of the business community.
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## BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ { }_{1950} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { February } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ 1949 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL BUSINESS ACTIVITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\dagger$ Index of Texas Business Activity | 201.5 | 202.2 | 203.3 | 196.9 | 189.9 |
| Index of bank debits in Texas cities. | 486.6 | 493.2 | 478.2 | 467.1 | 448.6 |
| Income payments to individuals in the U.S. (billions-seasonally adjusted at annual rate) $\qquad$ |  | \$ 222.7 | \$ 219.1 | \$ 218.1 | \$ 209.9 |
| Index of wholesale prices in the U.S. ( $1926=100$, unadjusted) | $153.1 \ddagger$ | 152.6** | $152.7$ | $151.5$ | 154.9 |
| Index of consumers', prices in Houston (unadjusted) -- | 171.9 | 172.9 | 172.0 | 172.8 | 171.3 |
| Index of consumers' prices in the U.S. (unadjusted) | 167.3 | 167.0 | 166.5 | 166.9 | 169.1 |
| Index of postal receipts in Texas cities | 277.4 | 303.9 | 287.2 | 292.1 | 286.2 |
| $\dagger$ Index of miscellaneous freight carloadings in the Southwestern District (17.6) § $\qquad$ | 134.0 | 137.0 | 137.5 | 134.6 | 131.4 |
| Business corporation charters issued (number) | 314 | 309 | 228 | 319 | 250 |
| Business failures (number) | 17 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 17 |
| TRADE |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\dagger$ Index of total sales (adjusted for price changes) (47.7)*. | 173.1 | 173.4 | 172.2 | 163.6 | 164.2 |
| Index of total retail sales** | 318.2 | 318.7 | 315.7 | 300.7 | 307.8 |
| Durable goods stores | 407.3 | 419.4 | 411.6 | 371.2 | 365.0 |
| Automotive stores | 436.6 | 423.7 | 431.4 | 369.5 | 363.5 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | 256.1 | 271.2 | 256.6 | 275.0 | 251.7 |
| Lumber, building material and hardware stores | 426.7 | 486.9 | 448.5 | 422.4 | 420.7 |
| Nondurable goods stores | 274.1 | 269.3 | 268.6 | 265.1 | 278.2 |
| Apparel stores --- | 363.1 | 329.9 | 334.5 | 353.8 | 339.1 |
| Country general stores | 113.5 | 120.6 | 120.6 | 123.0 | 132.2 |
| Department stores | 310.2 | 292.5 | 296.3 | 299.6 | 304.3 |
| Drug stores | 333.9 | 341.6 | 345.6 | 330.8 | 317.9 |
| Eating and drinking p | 322.5 | 302.6 | 310.3 | 306.8 | 329.3 |
| Food stores | 228.5 | 228.4 | 225.1 | 213.7 | 241.5 |
| General merchandise stores | 254.9 | 267.2 | 259.9 | 259.3 | 319.6 |
| Index of denartment store sales in the U.S. | $289 \ddagger$ | 274** | 279 | 282 | 285 |
| Ratio of credit sales to net sales in department and apparel stores. | 64.6 | 65.7 | 65.2 | 63.7 | 62.5 |
| Ratio of collections to outstandings in department and apparel stores .-..- | 46.1 | 50.1 | 49.0 | 50.3 | 49.1 |
| Index of gasoline sales |  | 232.2 | 230.6 | 217.5 | 208.9 |
| PRODUCTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\dagger$ Index of industrial electric power consumption (14.8)§ | 328.4 | 324.1 | 330.4 | 327.6 | 302.1 |
| $\dagger$ Index of crude runs to stills (4.5) § | 147.1 | 163.6 | 154.9 | 158.9 | 165.1 |
| Index of wheat grindings |  | 116.9 | 103.4 | 103.4 | 117.7 |
| Index of cottonseed crushed§ | --- | 190.8 | 157.8 | 132.9 | 122.8 |
| Index of Southern pine production |  | 129 | 112 | 118 | 119 |
| Index of dairv nroduct manufacturing | 84.4 | 86.0 | 78.5 | 84.3 | 70.6 |
| $\dagger$ Index of urban building permits, (adjusted for price changes) (3.8)§.--- | 386.3 | 405.4 | 436.0 | 392.3 | 304.2 5609 |
| Index of urban building permits§ | 726.7 | 762.6 | 820.2 | 735.9 | 560.9 |
| Value of construction contracts awarded (thousands) | \$ 61,920 | \$ 77,205 | \$ 64,979 | \$ 61,892 | \$ 78,282 |
| $\dagger$ Index of crude petroleum production (8.6) § | 163.4 | 154.5 | 153.7 | 156.7 | 163.4 |
| Index of natural gas production |  |  | 368.7 | 407.1 | 379.1 |
| $\dagger$ Index of total electric power consumption (3.0) § | 379.0 | 379.4 | 376.9 | 370.3 | 353.3 |
| Index of industrial production in the U.S. | $188 \ddagger$ | 186* | 180 | 183 | 176 |
| Index of cement production |  | 277.1 | 277.9 | 263.8 | 243.2 |
| AGRICULTURE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Index of farm cash income | 212.9 | 199.6 | 175.9 | 287.9 | 442.0 |
| Index of prires received by farmers (unadjusted) | 274 | 274 | 272 | 260 | 275 |
| Index of prices paid by farmers in U.S. (parity index, unadjusted) (1910-14=100) | 251 | $250 *$ | 248 | 249 | 244 |
| Parity ratio for Texas | 109 | 110 | 110 | 104 | 113 |
| Shipments of poultry and eggs (carloads) | 32 | 31 | 4 | 2 | 54 |
| Index of prices received by farmers-livestock (unadjusted) | 340 | 339 | 335 | 320 | 332 |
| Index of prices received by farmers-all crops (unadjusted) | 225 | 224 | 224 | 216 | 228 |
| FINANCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans, reporting member banks in Dallas district (millions) | \$ 1,166 | \$ 1,178 | \$ 1,186 | \$ 1,192 | \$ 1,075 |
| Loans and investments, reporting member banks in Dallas district (millions) | \$ 2,488 | \$ 2,495 | \$ 2,500 | \$ 2,547 | \$ 2,335 |
| Demand deposits adjusted, reporting member banks in Dallas district (millions) | \$ 1,195 | \$ 1,991 | \$ 1,992 | \$ 1,984 | \$ 1,940 |
| Bank debits in 20 cities (millions) | \$ 3,774 | \$ 4,066 | \$ 3,560 | \$ 3,980 | \$ 3,625 |
| Revenue receipts of State Comptroller (thousands) | \$ 55,170 | \$ 46,665 | \$ 39,905 | \$ 52,487 | \$ 43,294 |
| Federal internal revenue collections (thousands) | \$ 80,091 | \$136,817 | \$160,615 | \$157,214 | \$118,465 |
| LABOR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total manufacturing employment (thousands) | $331.3 \ddagger$ | 331.9** | 330.0 | 332.5 | 331.1 |
| Durable goods employment (thousands) | $136.7 \ddagger$ | $134.1^{*}$ | 133.4 | 131.7 | 134.4 |
| Nondurable goods employment (thousands) | $194.6 \ddagger$ | 197.8* | 196.6 | 200.8 | 196.7 |
| Nonagricultural civilian labor force in 17 labor market areas (thousands) | 1,410 | 1,402 | 1,406 | 1,407 | 1,393 |
|  | 69,430 | 72,520 | 81,640 | 77,910 | 64,915 24,278 |
| Placements in 17 labor market areas ..-_-_- | 28,650 | 22,922 | 20,735 | 19,873 | 24,278 |

All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated. All indexes are based on the average months for $1935-39$ except where indicated and are adjusted for seasonal variation (except annual indexes).
$\dagger$ The index of business activity is a weighted average of the indexes indicated by a dagger ( $\dagger$ ). The weight given each index in computing the composite is given in parenthesis.

## \$Preliminary.

Revised. All retail sales indexes have been revised back through January 1949 on the basis of new tabulations (see explanatory note on page 5 of this issue).

Revised on basis of postwar seasonal pattern. Figures for months prior to January 1950 can be obtained upon request.


[^0]:    (The sales of public utilities fluctuate less than the averaze of all business but, because the industry requires an unusally large amount of fixed investment, it is a strategic factor in the business situation.)

[^1]:    Only building for which building permits were issued within the incorporated area of the city is included. Federal contracts are excluded. All percent changes are based on estimates for the State made from reports of cooperating cities.

[^2]:    *Only building for which building permits were issued within the incorporated area of the city is included. Federal contracts are excluded. All percent changes are based on estimates for the State made from reports of cooperating cities.
    $\dagger 1940$ Census.

[^3]:    *Bxeludes deposits to credit of banks.

[^4]:    *Excludes deposits to credit of banks.

[^5]:    *Excludes deposits to credit of banks.

[^6]:    *Excludes deposits to credit of banks.

[^7]:    ${ }^{*}$ Excludes deposits to credit of banks.

[^8]:    *Excludes deposits to credit of banks.

[^9]:    *Excludes deposits to credit of banks.

[^10]:    The cotton year begins August 1.
    ${ }^{*}$ In 478 pound bales.
    $\dagger$ To April 1 only.

[^11]:    *Preliminary.

[^12]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Figures do not cover proprietors, firm members, or other principal executives. All series revised January 1950 and not strictly comparable with previously published data.
    $\ddagger$ Preliminary-subject to revision upon receipt of additional reports.

[^13]:    *Docket Number 29645; "Transcontinental Rates and Estimated Weights on Vegetables."

