

# TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW 

VOL. XXXIX, NO. 2, FEBRUARY 1965

Editor: Stanley A. Arbingast / Associate Editor: Robert H. Ryan / Managing Editor: Robert H. Drenner Editorial Board: Stanley A. Arbingast, Chairman; John R. Stockton, Francis B. May, Robert H. Ryan, Robert H. Drenner

## CONTENTS

25: the business situation in texas by Francis B. May
30: texas industrial expansion: 1964 by Stanley A. Arbingast
35: texas retail sales: december and 1964 by Robert H. Drenner

37: TEXAS BUILDING CONSTRUCTION: DECEMBER AND 1964 by Robert B. Williamson

CHARTS AND TABLES
25: TEXAS BUSINESS ACTIVITY
26: LEADING OIL-PRODUCING STATES
26: SELECTED BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS
27: business activity indexes for 20 selected texas Cities
27: HOURS AND EARNINGS IN TEXAS
28: TEXAS INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION
28: texas industrial production, total manufactures
28: TEXAS INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION, DURABLE MANUFACTURES
28: TEXAS INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION, NONDURABLE MANUFACTURES
28: TEXAS INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION, MINING
28: CRUDE OIL PRODUCTION IN TEXAS
28: CRUDE OIL RUNS TO STILLS IN TEXAS
28: miscellaneous freight carloadings in the SOUTHWESTERN DISTRICT
29: BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS
29: TOTAL ELECTRIC POWER USE IN TEXAS
29: INDUSTRIAL ELECTRIC POWER USE IN TEXAS
29: ORDINARY LIfe insurance sales in texas
29: TOTAL NONAGRICULTURAL EMPLOYMENT IN TEXAS
29: MANUFACTURING EMPLOYMENT IN TEXAS
29: TOTAL UNEMPLOYMENT IN TEXAS
29: GASOLINE CONSUMPTION IN TEXAS
31: VINYL CHLORIDE PRODUCERS, TEXAS AND U. S.
31: ethylene capacity grows on gulf coast
32: ESTIMATES OF NONAGRICULTURAL EMPLOYMENT IN TEXAS
33: mineral production in texas
34: CARLOAD SHIPMENTS OF LIVESTOCK in texas
34: LOANS BY TEXAS SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATIONS
35: postal receipts: selected texas cities
35: estimates of total retail sales in texas
36: retail sales trends by kinds of business
36: CREDIT Ratios in department and apparel stores

37: estimated values of building authorized in texas
38: RESIDENTIAL BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS
38: NONRESIDENTIAL BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS
38: BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS: selected cities
39: LOCAL BUSINESS CONDITIONS

## business research council

John Arch White, Dean of the College of Business Administration (ex officio) ; John R. Stockton, Jim G. Ashburne, Jessamon Dawe, James R. Kay, Stephen L. McDonald, Kenneth W. Olm, and W. T. Tucker

## bureau of business research

Director: John R. Stockton
Associate Director and Resources Specialist: Stanley A. Arbingast
Assistant to the Director: Florence Escott
Consulting Statistician: Francis B. May
Administrative Assistant: Juanita Hammons
Research Associate: Charles O. Bettinger, Robert H. Drenner, Louise K. Iscoe, Joe H. Jones, Ida M. Lambeth, Robert M. Lockwood, Margaret Paulissen, Helmut J. Rehder, Robert H. Ryan, Elizabeth R. Turpin, Joyzelle Wilke, Robert B. Williamson
Research Assistant: Thomas B. Douglas, Jackson Gilmore, Dennis Hiser, Harold L. Sides, John Specht, Jr., William W. White, Robert Wood
Administrative Secretary: Margaret F. Smith
Senior Secretary: Diana Rausch
Senior Clerk Typist: Patricia Gable, Claire Howard, Carollin Mayes, Sharon Wheat
Cartographer: Lois Leonard
Library Assistant: Merle Danz
Statistical Assistant: Mildred Anderson, Constance Cooledge
Statistical Technician: Mary Gorham
Clerical Assistant: Pamela Binkley, Ross Kammlah
Offset Press Operator: Robert Dorsett, Daniel P. Rosas
The Bureau of Business Research is a member of the Associated University Bureaus of Business and Economic Research.


After fluctuating in the 149 -to- $151 \%$ range during the August-through-November period, the seasonally adjusted index of Texas business activity broke into new high ground in December. At $153 \%$ of its 1957-59 average value the index was at a new high, slightly above its July peak of $152.0 \%$ and $7.1 \%$ above its January low for 1964.

The index of Texas business activity averaged $148.3 \%$ for all of 1964. This was a $9.0 \%$ improvement over the 1963 average. Bank debits in major cities adjusted for price level change are the basic information used to compute the index. The data are averaged, expressed as percentages of their 1957-59 average, and adjusted for seasonal variation. Bank debits include all kinds of payments made by check, thus reflecting a very broad spectrum of economic transactions. A national index of bank debits is included among those economic indicators selected by the National Bureau of Economic Research as one of the small group of indexes which most faith-
fully portray the turning points of business cycles. Other members of the group of coincident indicators are industrial production, retail sales, personal income, and wholesale prices of all items except farm products and foods. Minor fluctuations in the index are not significant. A $9 \%$ increase in the average for 1964 is definite indication of a strong upsurge in business activity during the year.
Seasonally adjusted production of crude oil in December was unchanged from the November level. At $96.5 \%$ of average monthly production during the 1957-59 base period, the index was $1 \%$ above December 1963. During the year this index has risen very slowly from a value of $94.1 \%$ in January to its December level, which represented a $2.6 \%$ improvement. Adoption of the percentage formula which sets production allowables at a percentage of the maximum efficient rate of flow for Texas oil wells instead of using number of days' production as a proration formula has enabled the Texas
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Railroad Commission to set allowables much closer to market demand. The result has been a slow but noticeable improvement in total Texas production. Total production for the state during the first eleven months of 1964 was 910.8 million barrels, $2.3 \%$ over the comparable 1963 period. For the same period, United States production was $2,564.0$ million barrels, up $1.8 \%$. Texas production rose slightly more than total domestic production.

A comparison of Texas production with output in the five leading oil-producing states indicates how this state is faring in the competition for domestic petroleum markets.

LEADING OIL-PRODUCING STATES

|  | January-November <br> 1964 production <br> $(000$ barrels $)$ | Percentage <br> change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| State | 273,128 | -0.9 |
| Lalifornia | 508,696 | +6.5 |
| Okisiana | 186,160 | +1.5 |
| Texas | 910,814 | +2.3 |
| Wyoming | 132,343 | -0.4 |
| $\quad$ United States | $2,564,031$ | +1.8 |

## Source: World Oil, January 1965.

It is apparent from the table above that, although Texas production increased by a larger percentage than did total domestic production, Louisiana was the major beneficiary of increased domestic demand. Louisiana production rose 31.0 million barrels during the eleven-month period. This was a $6.5 \%$ increase over the comparable 1963 period. Of this total gain, 27.0 million barrels represented increased production in southern Louisiana, which includes offshore production.

Imports for the first eleven months of the year totaled 758.5 million barrels, of which 405.5 million barrels were crude oil. This was a $6 \%$ increase in crude-oil imports over the first eleven months of 1963. The percentage increase in crude-oil imports was more than three times as great as the percentage increase in domestic output of crude. Of the 405.5 million barrels of crude oil imported into this country, 131.3 million barrels were imported into the Pacific Coast area-most of it into California. This was an $11.3 \%$ increase in imports into the Pacific Coast area. A pipeline to carry surplus Texas and Louisiana production to California would alleviate this shortage of domestic production on the Pacific Coast. In the event of an emergency that cut off imports, California would suffer paralyzing shortages of petroleum products.

Seasonally adjusted crude oil runs to stills rose $4 \%$ in December to $114.7 \%$ of average monthly runs in the 1957-59 base period. At this level the index was $3 \%$ above December 1963.
The average value of the index of crude runs during 1964 was $114.5 \%$, up $3.0 \%$ from 1963. From the earliest days of oil discovery in Texas, the refining industry has shown a steady growth, affording a large volume of employment at high wages. Because of the readiness with which the refining process lends itself to automation, including computer control of individual parts of the refining process, employment has not grown in the same
way that output has. Employment reached a peak of 47,600 in 1956. Since that time, it has declined by more than 10,000 employees. During this period, output has risen $9.0 \%$.
Petrochemical manufacture uses some of the output of refineries, largely petroleum gases resulting from cracking heavy molecules. It is one of the state's large industries, contributing $\$ 1.4$ billion, or $22.6 \%$, of total value added to goods by the manufacturing process in 1962, the latest year for which value-added data are available. It was the largest contributor to value added in that year. Petroleum refining was third with value added of $\$ 872.0$ million. The rise in output of petrochemicals has been so rapid that, despite automation, employment has continued to increase. In October of this year the number of production workers was 49,100 . Last year was another year of expansion for this industry.

Food processing is the state's largest manufacturing industry if number of employees is used as the measure of size. In 1962 this industry employed 80,249 workers, of whom 50,941 were production workers. Total value added by manufacture was $\$ 880.2$ million, second only to petrochemical manufacture. In October of last year the number of production workers in the food processing industries had grown to 80,400 . A rapidly growing population and rising personal income assure continued rapid growth of this industry. The growth will not be as rapid as increase in personal income, because as family income rises a smaller proportion of it is spent on food. A population growth rate that is still higher than the national average is an important factor in assuring a continued growth for the industry.

SELECTED BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS
(Indexes-Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-59=100)

| Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Year-to date average 1964 1964 | Dec 1964 from Nov 196 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year-to- } \\ \text { date } \\ \text { average } \\ 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ 41963 \end{gathered}$ |
| Texas business activity | 153.0* | 148.9 r | r 148.3 | + | + 9 |
| Miscellaneous freight carload- <br> ings in S.W. district ........... $71.6 \quad 79.6 \quad 76.7$ - 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude oil production | 96.5* | 96.5* | * 95.8 | ** |  |
| Crude oil runs to stills | 114.7 | 110.5 | 114.5 | + 4 | + 8 |
| Total electric power use | 170.5* | 167.9* | 166.6 r | $+$ | + 11 |
| Industrial electric power use | .158.0* | 161.0* | 151.5 r | - 2 | + 12 |
| Bank debits | 154.1 | 149.9 | 149.1 | + | + 9 |
| Ordinary life insurance sales | 166.1 | 171.6 | 156.0 | 8 | +18 |
| Total retail sales | 128.3* | 120.4* |  | + 7 | + 5 |
| Durable-goods sales | 155.5* | 129.2* |  | + 20 |  |
| Nondurable-goods sales | . 114.2* | 115.9* |  | 1 | $+$ |
| Urban building permits issued | . 121.7 | 159.8 | 133.4 | - 24 |  |
| New residential | . 104.4 | 124.6 | 118.6 | - 16 | - 8 |
| New nonresidential | 181.1 | 226.8 | 156.4 | - 42 | $+24$ |
| Total industrial production | 129** | 128r | r 127 | $+$ |  |
| Total nonfarm employment | 112.3** | 112.4* | 111.3 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment | 110.8* | 110.1* | 109.2 |  | + 8 |
| Total unemployment | 100.6 | 102.3 | 97.2 | - 2 | - 11 |
| Insured unemployment | . 100.6 | 104.7 | 97.0 | - 4 | - 14 |
| Average weekly earningsmanufacturing ..................119.7* 117.4* $117.4+2+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average weekly hours- |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Preliminary. <br> rRevised. <br> ${ }^{* *}$ Change is less than one-ha | of $1 \%$. |  |  |  |  |

Seasonally adjusted total electric power use rose $2 \%$ in December despite a decline in industrial power consumption. Domestic and commercial users increased their power consumption more than the industrial decline. Both of the power use indexes were above their December 1963 values.

Total power consumption in 1964 averaged $166.6 \%$ of average monthly consumption in the 1957-59 base period. This was an $11.1 \%$ increase over 1963. Industrial power consumption averaged $151.5 \%$ in 1964 , up $12.2 \%$ over 1963. A high level of power consumption indicates a high level of business activity. Electric power generation is one of the state's rapidly growing industries.
December was a good month for retail sales. Total sales rose $7 \%$ to a level $11 \%$ above December 1963 sales. Durable-goods sales rose $20 \%$, powered by a high volume of automobile sales. Sales of nondurables fell $1 \%$. All of these comparisons are based on seasonally adjusted data, which means that total sales and durable-goods sales were higher than would be anticipated as a result of seasonal factors alone. High family incomes made this increase in Christmas buying possible.

Seasonally adjusted indexes of total building permits issued showed declines for both residential and nonresidential permits in December. The index of total permits was $7 \%$ above December 1963 because of the buoyancy of nonresidential permits, which were $24 \%$ above December 1963. The index of total permits averaged $133.4 \%$ of 1957-59, up $6.7 \%$ over the 1963 average. The increase was brought about by a high average for nonresidential permits, $156.4 \%$ of 1957-59, up $24.1 \%$ from 1963. Residential permits averaged $118.6 \%$, down $2.8 \%$ from 1963.

Last year was a good one for the state's economy. December was the forty-sixth month of the current
business activity indexes for 20 selected texas cities (Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-59=100)

| City | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec* } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov r } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec r } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| Abilene | 138.6 | 129.1 | 124.9 | + 7 | $+11$ |
| Amarillo | 168.7 | 146.5 | 130.3 | $+15$ | + 29 |
| Austin | 158.9 | 175.1 | 161.4 | - 9 | - 2 |
| Beaumont | 135.4 | 128.4 | 131.4 | + 5 | $+3$ |
| Corpus Christi | 125.3 | 120.4 | 124.0 | + 4 | $+1$ |
| Corsicana | . 125.1 | 121.2 | 112.5 | + 3 | $+11$ |
| Dallas | 161.0 | 168.7 | 142.1 | - 5 | $+13$ |
| El Paso | . 121.1 | 117.2 | 120.1 | $+3$ | $+1$ |
| Fort Worth | 123.3 | 129.6 | 115.1 | $-5$ | + 7 |
| Galveston | 106.4 | 104.0 | 108.1 | + 2 | $-2$ |
| Houston | . 168.4 | 153.0 | 147.7 | $+10$ | $+14$ |
| Laredo | 149.5 | 154.5 | 138.7 | - 3 | + 8 |
| Lubbock | 154.1 | 119.5 | 142.7 | $+29$ | + 8 |
| Port Arthur | 100.3 | 101.8 | 97.9 | $-1$ | + 2 |
| San Angelo | . 126.4 | 121.4 | 114.4 | $+4$ | $+10$ |
| San Antonio | . 147.8 | 144.1 | 139.8 | $+3$ | $+6$ |
| Texarkana | 159.0 | 150.3 | 147.4 | + 6 | + 8 |
| Tyler | 131.5 | 134.1 | 124.4 | - 2 | $+6$ |
| Waco | . 143.7 | 129.3 | 133.6 | $+11$ | $+8$ |
| Wichita Falls | 129.5 | 120.0 | 112.0 | + 8 | $+16$ |

${ }^{\text {tP }}$ Preliminary. rRevised.
cyclical upswing, making it the second-longest peacetime upswing in history. As the length of an upswing increases, speculation that a downturn will occur increases. Currently, there is a consensus among economists that business will be good during the first half of 1965 but that a "pause" or leveling off may occur in the second half. It is agreed that, if the pause occurs, overall results for the year will still be above 1964 levels.

## HOURS AND EARNINGS IN TEXAS

| Industry | Average weekly earnings |  |  | Average weekly hours |  |  | Average hourly earnings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec* } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec* } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec* } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Nov 1964 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ |
| Manufacturing-Total | \$103.99 | \$101.26 | \$ 99.19 | 42.1 | 41.5 | 41.5 | \$2.47 | \$2.44 | \$2.39 |
| Durable goods | 107.25 | 103.99 | 99.66 | 42.9 | 42.1 | 41.7 | 2.50 | 2.47 | 2.39 |
| Lumber and wood products | 66.91 | 64.96 | 62.88 | 41.3 | 40.1 | 41.1 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.53 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 78.74 | 77.82 | 73.53 | 40.8 | 39.5 | 40.4 | 1.93 | 1.97 | 1.82 |
| Stone, clay and glass products. | 87.09 | 88.91 | 84.58 | 42.9 | 43.8 | 42.5 | 2.03 | 2.03 | 1.99 |
| Primary metal industries | 122.35 | 119.89 | 112.00 | 41.9 | 41.2 | 40.0 | 2.92 | 2.91 | 2.80 |
| Fabricated metal products | 108.62 | 106.70 | 98.94 | 43.8 | 43.2 | 42.1 | 2.48 | 2.47 | 2.35 |
| Machinery, except electrical | 110.94 | 109.00 | 106.95 | 44.2 | 43.6 | 43.3 | 2.51 | 2.50 | 2.47 |
| Oil field machinery | 120.56 | 118.48 | 116.91 | 44.0 | 43.4 | 43.3 | 2.74 | 2.78 | 2.70 |
| Transportation equipment | 138.78 | 131.98 | 132.13 | 43.1 | 42.3 | 42.9 | 3.22 | 3.12 | 3.08 |
| Nondurable goods | 101.02 | 98.98 | 98.47 | 41.4 | 40.9 | 41.2 | 2.44 | 2.42 | 2.39 |
| Food and kindred products | 88.62 | 87.57 | 86.88 | 42.2 | 42.1 | 42.8 | 2.10 | 2.08 | 2.03 |
| Meat products | 96.95 | 95.41 | 97.71 | 42.9 | 40.6 | 42.3 | 2.26 | 2.35 | 2.31 |
| Textile mill products | 71.34 | 70.09 | 64.68 | 43.5 | 43.0 | 42.0 | 1.64 | 1.63 | 1.54 |
| Broad woven fabric mills | 73.15 | 72.31 | 65.83 | 43.8 | 43.3 | 42.2 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.56 |
| Apparel and other finished textile mills | 58.41 | 57.96 | 55.10 | 39.2 | 38.9 | 38.0 | 1.49 | 1.49 | 1.45 |
| Paper and allied products | 115.01 | 115.88 | 110.85 | 43.4 | 43.4 | 42.8 | 2.65 | 2.67 | 2.59 |
| Printing, publishing and allied industries | 105.45 | 102.76 | 105.87 | 39.2 | 38.2 | 39.8 | 2.69 | 2.69 | 2.66 |
| Chemicals and allied products | 140.19 | 137.45 | 136.45 | 42.1 | 41.4 | 41.6 | 3.33 | 3.32 | 3.28 |
| Petroleum refining and related industries | 145.25 | 142.42 | 142.80 | 42.1 | 41.4 | 42.5 | 3.45 | 3.44 | 3.36 |
| Leather and leather products | 64.75 | 60.56 | 59.08 | 42.6 | 41.2 | 42.2 | 1.52 | 1.47 | 1.40 |
| Nonmanufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mining | 121.70 | 125.42 | 122.54 | 42.7 | 43.1 | 43.3 | 2.85 | 2.91 | 2.83 |
| Crude petroleum and natural gas | 123.97 | 127.71 | 124.70 | 42.6 | 43.0 | 43.3 | 2.91 | 2.97 | 2.88 |
| Sulphur | 130.82 | 128.13 | 118.86 | 43.9 | 41.6 | 39.1 | 2.98 | 3.09 | 3.04 |
| Public utilities | 103.20 | 106.34 | 103.31 | 40.0 | 40.9 | 40.2 | 2.58 | 2.60 | 2.57 |
| Wholesale trade | 103.87 | 105.41 | 100.15 | 43.1 | 43.2 | 42.8 | 2.41 | 2.44 | 2.34 |
| Retail trade | 68.82 | 66.81 | 64.71 | 39.1 | 39.3 | 39.7 | 1.76 | 1.70 | 1.63 |

${ }^{*}$ Preliminary, subject to revision upon receipt of additional reports.
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# TEXAS INDUSTRIAL EXPANSION: 1964 

by Stanley A. Arbingast

Manufacturing employment in Texas increased by 14,200 during 1964, according to estimates released in late January by the Texas Employment Commission. Estimated total employment in the manufacturing category was 534,400 , a $3 \%$ increase from December 31, 1963. However, despite millions of dollars of new and expanded plant investment, the increase in the number of employees was about 1,000 less than 1963 showed from 1962. The effects of automation, rather than a drop in plant investment, are believed to be responsible for the slowdown in the rate of employment increase.

Durable goods manufacturing accounted for 12,300 of the employment gain, while nondurables were estimated to have increased by only 1,900 . Largest percent increases in the durable-goods categories were in primary metals ( $8 \%$ ), fabricated metals ( $9 \%$ ), nonelectrical machinery ( $9 \%$ ), and transportation equipment ( $6 \%$ ). Employment in ordnance was estimated to have declined $7 \%$ during the year. Smaller declines were registered in wood products manufacturing ( $-2 \%$ ) and in stone, clay, and glass products ( $-1 \%$ ).
In the nondurable-goods classification the largest increase was in apparel manufacturing ( $5 \%$ ). The only decline was in processing of petroleum products (-4\%).

Of the 254 Texas counties, 215 are now listed as oil producers. The first production in Coryell County was recorded in 1964. No new large refineries have been built in Texas for several years; however, investment at those already in place has been substantial. No decline in the rate of investment is expected, but the installation of expensive and highly automated new equipment has enabled refineries to increase output considerably while reducing their operating staffs. Technological advances and efficiency in refinery operations have resulted in recovering four times as much gasoline from a barrel of crude than was possible a half century ago. Employment at refineries declined by 1,600 during 1964 even though new investment was larger and output increased.

Oil companies are spending more on research than ever before. For example, Esso Production Research, an affiliate of Humble, was established at Houston last year. Employment at the center is expected to reach 700 this year, and facilities are to be greatly enlarged.

Helium capacity in the northern High Plains area was doubled during the year. Three new plants went on stream. Demand for helium is expected to continue to expand in both the government and commercial sectors of the market. A major commercial use of helium is in low-temperature refrigeration research.
The market for natural gas and for natural-gas products continued to expand. One of the largest new processing plants to be placed in operation during the year
was built at the Gohlke field by Coastal States Gas Processing Company. Several sulfur recovery units were built at gas plants and at refineries.

In late December a release issued by the Manufacturing Chemists Association contained a forecast that the outlook for the chemical industry in Texas was exceptionally promising for 1965 and 1966. The Association reported that 67 new plants are planned, and that 41 plants are currently under construction.

Employment increase in the chemical industry during the year was negligible, even if many millions were spent on new and expanded plants. Some economists estimate that investment in the Texas chemical industry is now approaching $\$ 5$ billion. There are about 200 plants with 49,000 employees.

Investment per worker in the chemical industry is typically high because this industry, like the petroleum refining industry, is highly automated. A new plant may cost $\$ 100$ million, but employment may be less than 200. In contrast, investment in an apparel plant may be only $\$ 500,000$, but employment may be as many as 800 or 900 .

The accompanying chart on ethylene production and the table on vinyl chloride capacity illustrate well the high ranking of Texas among the states in two of the estimated 500 different types of chemicals which are manufactured here.

Although the chemical industry needs very large amounts of water for processing, three of the large chemical manufacturing complexes are located at Borger, Pampa, and Odessa, in water-short West Texas. In the latter city, El Paso Natural Gas Products Company has developed an unusual complex in association with several other major companies, including General Tire and Rubber, Rexall Chemical, and Shell. Last summer it was announced that Beaunit of New York would build a 40-million-pound capacity plant near the others for the purpose of making chemical feedstocks which the company will use in the manufacture of nylon $6 / 6$.
Equipment used by manufacturers of chemicals becomes obsolescent rapidly. The recurring expense for installation of new equipment and the modernization of that in place is well illustrated by the fact that Dow has announced a $\$ 100$-million modernization program for its Brazoria County installation at Freeport.

A trend for some chemical plants which furnish raw materials for other plants to locate near the buyer is noticeable. For example, Witco Chemical Company has built a plant at Point Comfort to supply Alcoa with pitch for the manufacture of electrodes; Big 3 Industrial Gas and Equipment plans to sell nitrogen to Du Pont's Victoria plant from a new factory located nearby; and National Polychemicals, Incorporated, a division of the

British company, Fisons Limited, is building a factory at Lufkin to make synthetic resins for sale to nearby manufacturers of plywood, particle board, and paper. Sometimes the reverse is true, however, for National Starch and Chemical Corporation has constructed a manufacturing facility near Union Carbide Chemicals at Seadrift. National will buy its raw materials from Union Carbide and will make vinyl acetate, which is used in paint and in adhesives.

Food processing is the nation's largest manufacturing industry, and it is Texas' largest. Slightly over 80,000 persons manufacture food and kindred products in Texas. The work force for this industry amounts to approximately $13.2 \%$ of the manufacturing work force in the state.

In 1964, for the first time, beet sugar was made at a Texas mill, the plant of Holly Sugar Corporation at Hereford. Several other communities in the Panhandle area are negotiating with other manufacturers of beet sugar in the hope of attracting a beet sugar mill. Prospects for another mill in the High Plains are believed promising. Imperial Sugar Co., a refiner of cane sugar at Sugar Land, announced a \$1-million expansion program.

Another nationally-known brewer is establishing a plant in Texas. Schlitz has contracted to build a $\$ 15$-million brewery at Longview. Other brewing firms which manufacture on a national scale and which have recently built or purchased plants in Texas include AnheuserBusch, Carling, Hamm, and Falstaff. Others may follow.

One of Texas' oldest and best-known food processing firms, the Collin Street Bakery of Corsicana, is building a new factory. This company specializes in fruit cakes and markets them all over the world. Frito-Lay is constructing a large new plant in Irving. Campbell Soup's new plant at Paris will approach full employment in 1965. The state's first castor-bean processing plant-a noteworthy diversification of the Texas vegetable oils in-dustry-is being built at Plainview. Meat packers expanded many of their facilities in the large cities and
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ETHYLENE CAPACITY GROWS ON GULF COAST

built new ones in such communities as Hereford, Corsicana, Greggton, Coleman, Amarillo, and Abilene.
Textile milling has not been a very dynamic industry in Texas for some time. In fact, a number of mills specializing in various types of cotton textiles have shut down, often because obsolescent equipment made it too expensive to compete for the market. Two important developments in this industry during the year were the anouncements that the P. J. Hanes Knitting Company would built a $\$ 3$-million mill at Lubbock which will employ $200-250$ persons and that Ralls-Tex would manufacture cotton-bale bagging at Ralls. Textile mills now employ 7,100 , a $3 \%$ gain during the year.

In December, 43,900 persons were at work in Texas manufacturing apparel, a $5 \%$ gain from 1963 . By the end of 1965 employment should be increased substantially, because several new plants and several large expansions have been announced. Most of the Texas apparel industry is concentrated in the Dallas-Fort Worth area, in the region north and east of Dallas, in San Antonio, and in the Rio Grande Valley from Brownsville to El Paso and including Weslaco, McAllen, Laredo, Eagle Pass, and Del Rio. However, two large new plants in the Panhandle area at Amarillo (Levi Strauss) and Pampa (Form-O-Uth) indicate that a new area of concentration may develop in that region. One sizeable new facility in northeast Texas is Henson-Kickernick's new plant at Atlanta. Among the significantly large expansions announced were two in Eagle Pass, one in Del Rio, one in Denison, and one in Kilgore, as well as several in the major centers of Dallas and El Paso. Outlook for the western wear (including boots and Texas-style hats) segment of this industry is believed particularly encouraging because President Johnson is a Texan.
Several developments in the wood products industry during the year were of special significance. Southland

ESTIMATES OF NONAGRICULTURAL EMPLOYMENT IN TEXAS

| Industry | Employment <br> (thousands) <br> Dec $^{\text {D }}$ <br> 1964 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL NONAGRICULTURAL | 2,828.1 | + 1 | + 3 |
| MANUFACTURING | 534.4 | ** | + 3 |
| Durable goods | 265.1 | ** |  |
| Ordnance | 5.2 | - 2 | - 7 |
| Wood products | 18.1 | - 1 |  |
| Furniture and fixtures | 11.4 | + 1 |  |
| Stone, clay, and glass | 24.1 | ** | - |
| Primary metal | 28.5 | ** |  |
| Fabricated metal | 36.0 | ** | + 9 |
| Machinery (except electrical) | 46.1 | + 1 | + 9 |
| Electrical equipment and machinery | 29.4 | + 2 |  |
| Transportation equipment | 55.5 | + 1 | $+6$ |
| Other durable goods | 10.8 | ** | + 1 |
| Nondurable goods | 269.3 | ** | + 1 |
| Food | 80.6 | - 1 | ** |
| Textile mill products | 7.1 | ** | + 3 |
| Apparel | 43.9 | ** | + 5 |
| Paper products | 11.4 | ** | ** |
| Printing and publishing | 32.3 | + 1 | + 2 |
| Chemical and allied products | 49.0 | ** | ** |
| Petroleum products | 34.3 | + 1 | - 4 |
| Leather products | 8.0 | ** | ** |
| Other nondurable goods | 7.7 | - 1 | + 4 |
| NONMANUFACTURING | 2,293.7 | + 2 | + 3 |
| Mining | 112.1 | ** | * |
| Petroleum and natural gas | 105.7 | ** | ** |
| Metal, coal, and other mining | 6.4 | ** | + 2 |
| Contract construction | 180.1 | 2 | + 7 |
| Transportation and utilities | 218.1 | + 1 | ** |
| Interstate railroads | 34.2 | ** |  |
| Other transportation | 103.9 | + 2 |  |
| Telephone and télegraph | 40.2 | ** | + 2 |
| Public utilities | 39.8 | ** | ** |
| Government | 508.8 | ** | + 4 |
| Federal government | 136.0 | + 1 |  |
| Trade | 725.8 | + 5 | + 2 |
| Wholesale trade | 199.2 | ** |  |
| Retail trade | 526.6 | + 6 |  |
| Building materials-hardware | 33.1 | - 1 |  |
| General merchandise | 123.8 | $+22$ |  |
| Food | 78.2 | + 1 |  |
| Automotive stores | 83.0 | + 1 |  |
| Apparel stores | 34.3 | $+16$ |  |
| Other retail stores . . . . . . . . . | . 174.2 | +1 |  |
| Finance, insurance, and real estate | te 148.6 | ** |  |
| Bank and trust companies | 36.1 | + 1 |  |
| Insurance ................. | 64.1 | ** |  |
| Real estate and finance....... | . 48.4 | ** |  |
| Service and misc. ........... | . 400.2 | + 1 |  |
| Hotels and lodging places | 31.0 | - 2 |  |
| Laundries and cleaners .... | 36.2 | ** |  |
| Other service ............. | . 333.0 | + 1 |  |

*Preliminary.
**hange is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
Source: Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U. S. Department of Labor.

Paper Mills, Inc., will build a second paper mill in Texas at Sheldon in northeast Harris County. Initial investment will be about $\$ 10$ million for a groundwood pulp mill and one paper machine with a capacity of 125,000 tons per year. Employment will be about 200 when production of newsprint begins. A kraft mill will be constructed later. Southland, headquartered at Lufkin, was a pioneer in the manufacture of newsprint from southern pine. Employment at the Lufkin mill is more than 900 and will be
expanded as the company makes further plant additions there; newsprint capacity was increased recently by 100,000 tons a year. Champion Papers has added a 275 -ton-per-day paper machine at Pasadena, as part of a
 and Paper increased capacity by $25 \%$ at Evadale.

Brownwood is the location of a new 175,000 -square. foot reflective liquid and plastic film plant, the first manufacturing plant built in Texas by Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing of Saint Paul. Southern Pine Lumber Company, a division of Temple Industries, built a new facility to make pressed board from wood chips at Pineland, and Ideal Millwork, Inc., of Waco added a unit to its already-large factory for the purpose of manufacturing doors.

New plywood manufacturing operations were established at Keltys, Diboll, and Silsbee. Demand for plywood has doubled in the last ten years. Because scientifically managed timberlands, such as those in East Texas, yield a high grade of timber and a dependable crop on a sustained basis, the outlook for expansion of the plywood industry in Texas is thought to be highly encouraging. Until recently, plywood manufacturers have concentrated production in the Pacific Northwest.

In the rubber-products manufacturing category, General Tire and Rubber added two buildings at its Waco plant. The W. F. Gates Rubber Company began output of molded rubber products at Wichita Falls. At Mount Vernon, Winzen Research of Minneapolis started production of a plastic film for the manufacture of balloons which are used in stratosphere research. American Polystyrene was established in Austin to make thermal cups.

Employment in the stone, clay, and glass sector declined in 1964. Yet Chattanooga Glass Company is doubling the size of its Corsicana plant; Monarch Tile of San Angelo is building a new $\$ 1.4$-million tile plant to be known as Marshall Tile at Marshall, and Vitro-Tech added a tunnel kiln and two new buildings at it Hearne plant, which manufactures vitreous china plumbing fixtures. For years the major source of silica sand for glass manufacture in Texas has been at Santa Anna in Coleman County. Now the pits at Santa Anna have been closed, and a major new source at Kosse in Limestone County, somewhat nearer to the major glass manufacturers at Waco, Corsicana, Houston, and Palestine has been opened by Magcobar, a division of Dresser Industries.

One of the largest employment increases in 1964 was in primary metals; a substantial portion of this increase was in Harris County where there are approximately 60 producers. An $\$ 18.8$-million expansion program is under way at Cameron Iron Works at Houston. LeTourneau is expanding steel capacity at its heavy equipment manufacturing plant at Longview. Dow boosted magnesium output substantially at Freeport and Velasco. Reynolds announced plans to increase output greatly for alumina at its Sherwin Works in San Patricio County. Wah Chang began processing molybdenum at Texas City and plans to double tin output at its smelter there. Superior Cable started construction on a 60,000 -square-foot wire and cable producing plant at Brownwood.

Sheffield Steel at Houston and Lone Star Steel at Daingerfield in Morris County operated at a high level. Production at Alcoa's Point Comfort operation hit a new

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Production as measured by mine shipments, sales, or marketable production (including consumption by producers).
$\ddagger$ Excludes certain clays, included with "Value of items that cannot be disclosed."
$\dagger$ Weight not recorded.
$\dagger \dagger$ Does not include $668,938,000$ cubic feet of crude helium valued at $\$ 6,858,000$ shipped to underground storage at Amarillo. Texas.
${ }^{* *}$ Includes $1,029,602,000$ cubic feet of crude helium valued at $\$ 10,411,920,000$ shipped to underground storage at Amarillo, Texas.
${ }_{8}$ Revised figure.
Source: Bureau of Mines, U. S. Department of the Interior, Area Report IV-184, p. 2 (prepared in cooperation with the Bureau of Economic Geology, The University of Texas).
record. There are 1,700 workers at Point Comfort; wages amounted to $\$ 12$ million in 1964. Bliss and Laughlin established a Houston facility to produce cold-drawn round, square, hexagonal, and flat bars. Border Steel at Vinton, near El Paso, which has hitherto specialized in reinforcing bars, branched out into the manufacture of other steel products.

The Texas steel industry, greatly expanded in recent years, can now supply a long list of products from local sources, according to a recent survey by the American Iron and Steel Institute. These products include hot rolled sheets, hot rolled strip, reinforcing bars, other hot rolled bars and light shapes, cold-finished bars, heavy structural shapes, plates, blanks, and tube rounds, skelp, pipe, and tubing, wire rods, plain wire, wire products, blooms, billets, and slabs. This impressive list of end products made by Texas steel manufacturers, plus those made by producers of aluminum, magnesium, lead, zinc, copper, tin, and other metals in Texas, will prove increasingly attractive as a plant location factor for other manufacturers who use substantial quantities of steel in their operations.

Manufacturers of fabricated metal products and of nonelectrical machinery prospered; employment in both categories was estimated to be up by $9 \%$. Again, most of the employment increase was in Houston and in Harris County. Two new plants are of special interestHexcel at Graham and Sta-Rite at Kaufman. Hexcel makes aluminum structural honeycomb and Sta-Rite, headquartered in Delavan, Wisconsin, manufactures electric submersible motors and submersible pumps. Both of
these companies were attracted to Texas by the growing market in the Southwest. Hexcel has been so encouraged that plans have been made to double the size of its Graham plant.

One of Texas' oldest industries is the Kelly Plow Company of Longview. During the Civil War the company shifted from production of farm machinery to the manufacture of ordnance for the Confederacy. Kelly, and other firms like it, may be in for sizeable expansions, because of increased demand for labor-saving farm equipment of all kinds.

Many small electronics plants, most of them in the Dallas-Fort Worth area, were established during the year, and announced plant expansions were numerous. A large new factory was dedicated by Litton Industries at Lubbock. Expanding markets encouraged Luminator, a fabricator of lighting fixtures and infra-red units, to build a factory at Plano and Wide-lite to increase the size of its San Marcos manufacturing facility substantially.

Several accomplishments of the Texas transportation equipment industry gained national attention during the year. The controversial F-111 (formerly known as the TFX) built by General Dynamics at Fort Worth made its maiden flight. If the Department of Defense begins procurement of this plane on a large scale, it will mean that billions will be spent in North Texas. At Grand Prairie on December 29, Ling-Temco-Vought, Incorporated, lifted its big tri-service transport, the XC 142A, in flight for the first time. Later in January 1965 the big plane performed vertical takeoff, transition to conventional flight, and a vertical landing without incident.

Undoubtedly, L-T-V will receive sizeable new contracts from the Department of Defense, too. Bell, the wellknown North Texas manufacturer of helicopters, has been awarded numerous contracts for its plants at Hurst and elsewhere in the Fort Worth area. General Motors is adding 300,000 square feet of factory space at its assembly plant at Arlington. Output at Ford's assembly plant in Dallas was also high and is expected to remain at peak levels through 1965. Other developments included the installation of a hydropress at Mooney Aircraft's plant in Kerrville and doubling of floor space at the Snow Aeronautical Corporation's facility at Olney. Overhaul and modification of commercial and military planes and engines by private companies under contract is an important activity at a number of locations in Texas. For example, Gary Aircraft employs about 300 in this type of work at Victoria. The largest plant of this type is L-T-V's facility at Majors Field, Greenville. Others are located at Brownsville, Fort Worth, Dallas, Hondo, San Antonio, and Corpus Christi.

The far-reaching economic impact of NASA's Manned Spacecraft Center at Clear Lake near Houston is becoming more pronounced. Actual expenditures for construction are now well above $\$ 100$ million with many more millions still to be spent. Procurement contracts to Texas firms in 1964 almost doubled from 1963. More significant than procurement contracts is the attraction of hundreds of well-paid researchers to the Houston area. The Center is expected to employ 4,600 with an annual payroll of $\$ 40$ million by the end of the fiscal year. However, these 4,600 employees do not count those employed by firms, such as Philco and Lockheed, which have established branches in Harris County to work in cooperation with scientists at the Center. In 1964 it was announced that one firm would open a Houston area research facility which will employ 1,200 scientists ultimately. Benefits which will accrue to Texas from the Center and associated activities cannot be forecast accurately, but they are minor now compared to what they will be.

Texas is about to have its first large-scale manufactur-
CARLOAD SHIPMENTS OF LIVESTOCK IN TEXAS*

| Classification |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent change } \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1963 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | January-December |  |  |
|  | 1964 | 1963 |  |
| TOTAL SHIPMENTS | 1,174,748 | 1,583,519 | - 26 |
| Cattle | 322,555 | 545,226 | - 41 |
| Calves | 225,842 | 278,503 | - 19 |
| Hogs | 975 | ...... |  |
| Sheep | 625,376 | 759,790 | - 18 |
| Interstate | 1,142,069 | 1,525,688 | - 25 |
| Cattle | 313,958 | 514,464 | - 39 |
| Calves | 206,430 | 252,925 | - 18 |
| Hogs ................ | 975 |  |  |
| Sheep ......... .... | 620,706 | 758,299 | $-18$ |
| Intrastate | 32,679 | 57,831 | - 43 |
| Cattle | 8,597 | 30,762 | - 72 |
| Calves | 19,412 | 25,578 | - 24 |
| Hogs | . ..... |  |  |
| Sheep . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4,670 | 1,491 | $\ldots$ +213 |

[^0]LOANS BY TEXAS SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATIONS

| Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | Jan-Dec |  | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1964 | 1963 |  |
| All loans Construction Purchase Other | Number |  |  |  |
|  | 5,786 | 72,702 | 68,034 |  |
|  | 1,097 | 13,789 | 12,891 | + 7 |
|  | 2,814 | 36,283 | 32,364 | + 12 |
|  | 1,875 | 22,630 | 22,779 | $-1$ |
|  | Value(thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |
| All loans | 79,991 | 1,014,788 | 909,048 | + 12 |
| Construction | 18,313 | 219,636 | 195,076 | + 18 |
| Purchase | 35,653 | 477,804 | 416,018 | + 15 |
| Other | 26,025 | 317,348 | 297,954 |  |

Source: Federal Home Loan Bank of Little Rock.
er of musical instruments. The Chicago Musical Instrument Company has purchased a small manufacturer and rebuilder of musical instruments at Abilene and expects to increase employment to several hundred.

Power is a major locational factor for industry, and Texas suppliers of energy have been so pressed to keep supply running ahead of demand that a new round of power plant expansion is under way. A study by Paul McCracken, a well-known economist at the University of Michigan, shows that sales of electricity to customers in Texas more than tripled between 1950 and 1960. And McCracken's study did not include captive power-generating facilities which are numerous in Texas; one of the heaviest concentrations of captive power generation in the world is centered at Houston. It is encouraging to note that Texas electric companies are looking far ahead, for ten of them have cooperated in forming the Texas Atomic Energy Research Foundation, which spends about $\$ 1$ million a year in research on fusion. The program on fusion research is a joint project with the Atomic Division of General Dynamics. Although Texas has plenty of oil and gas (and coal, too) to care for its energy needs for many years, it may take decades before man is able to create a controlled and self-sustaining nuclear fusion reaction. The foresight of Texas power companies in joining in this program is commendable.

Concentration of Texas industries in and around its major cities raises a number of problems. The shift of population from rural areas into urban centers to work in factories and in service industries means that careful attention must be given to the plan of the urban environment. For example, it is estimated that population increases by 70,000 in Houston each year. The problems of assimilation are tremendous. Will new slums develop where none have existed previously? Should the possibility of "metro government" for cities such as Dallas-Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio be given serious consideration?

As rural population continues to decline, should their counties combine governmental functions into larger units which could be operated more economically? Local taxes are almost certain to rise faster than state and federal taxes. The favorable tax situation in Texas has been one of the factors which industry has found attractive when decisions were made to locate new plants here. Yet the state must have new expressways, new elementary and secondary schools, new recreational areas, and expanded
airports, among many other needs. Higher education will take an increasing share of taxes if Texas is to keep abreast of the times and not fall behind. The number of students of college age who want a college education has grown astonishingly in the last ten years and will continue to grow. Lastly, it should be pointed out that Texas must place less reliance on its resources as an attraction for industry. Many new resource-based industries will continue to locate here, but the dynamic new industries of the last half of this century are those which are "footloose" and can operate efficiently any place where a labor force sufficiently skilled and educated to meet the needs of industry is available. To prepare the Texas labor force to meet adequately the challenges of science and the new technology will mean a vast increase in Texas budgets at the local and state level. There is less and less work for the uneducated and the unskilled.

POSTAL RECEIPTS SELECTED TEXAS CITIES

|  |  |  | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City | Dec 5, 1964- <br> Jan 1, 1965 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan 4, 1964- } \\ & \text { Jan } 1,1965 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } 4,1964- \\ \text { Jan } 1,1965 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan } 5,1963- \\ \text { Jan } 3,1964 \end{gathered}$ |
| Alvin | \$16,866 | \$129,549 | $+7$ |
| Angleton | 18,290 | 120.710 | + 4 |
| Ballinger | . 11,901 | 70,783 | - 1 |
| Bellaire | . 96,981 | 611,034 | + 12 |
| Belton | . 14,018 | 154,975 | + 7 |
| Breckenridge | 12,137 | 103,477 | + 3 |
| Carrizo Springs | 4,602 | 42,626 | - 2 |
| Carthage | . 14,144 | 95,526 | + 4 |
| Childress | . 11,701 | 86,724 | - |
| Cleveland | 9,024 | 79,213 | + 4 |
| Coleman | 10,605 | 99,091 | ** |
| Columbus | . 6,384 | 61,357 | ** |
| Commerce | 9,073 | 94,883 | + 1 |
| Crockett | 10,858 | 95,939 | $+13$ |
| Cuero | 9,068 | 89,657 | + 3 |
| Dalhart | . 17,288 | 91,870 | - |
| El Campo | 16,794 | 149,540 | + 1 |
| Electra | 7,457 | 60,822 | - 5 |
| Falfurrias | 7,797 | 69,426 | + 6 |
| Freeport | . 24,116 | 243,326 | + 6 |
| Galena Park | . 13,821 | 96,844 | + 7 |
| Gilmer | 9,017 | 83,455 | + 5 |
| Gonzales | . 11.574 | 92.688 | 1 |
| Groves | . 17,311 | 103,977 | $-1$ |
| Hearne | . 6,603 | 57,184 | + 4 |
| Hillsboro | . 10,854 | 109,809 | 3 |
| Hurst | . 20,576 | 136,721 |  |
| Kenedy | 6,685 | 58,602 |  |
| Kerrville | . 26,364 | 217,233 | + 2 |
| La Grange | 9.816 | 74,637 | + 6 |
| Lake Jackson | . 14,394 | 97,337 |  |
| Littlefield | . 12,118 | 112,631 | ** |
| Marlin | . 12,077 | 111,657 |  |
| Mathis | . 4,242 | 36,748 | + 1 |
| Navasota | 8,498 | 75,879 |  |
| Perryton | . 15,875 | 126,814 |  |
| Pittsburg | 5,915 | 56,603 |  |
| Port Lavaca | 15,737 | 147.721 |  |
| Rusk | 8,288 | 77,146 | - 1 |
| Seminole | . 8,423 | 68,098 | - 3 |
| Stephenville | . 20,015 | 142,182 | ** |
| Taft | . 6,054 | 44,625 |  |
| Wharton | . 13,246 | 126,144 | + 3 |
| Winnsboro | . 6,605 | 60,233 | + 11 |
| Yoakum | . . 16,391 | 206,248 | + 7 |

[^1]
## TEXAS RETAIL SALES: DECEMBER AND 1964

by Robert H. Drenner

Texas retail merchants recently concluded their best Christmas selling season in history and are busy now winding up their post-Christmas "sales" and stocking their spring lines to begin a new merchandising year. The state's retailers this past December sold a greater dollar volume of goods than in any previous December. A record level of consumer purchases for the holiday period was generally forecast for both the state and the nation, and most expectations were extremely optimistic. The evidence is that the optimism was largely justified. "The biggest season in our history," "exceeded our fondest expectations," "we broke all records," "our best Christmas season ever," "most satisfactory" were typical comments by Texas merchants on their December sales volume. Many merchants' comments also included a note of relief, along with the observation that the pace of consumer buying in the first week or two of the holiday season was much slower than had been expected. BeforeChristmas buying closed with a rush, however, that

ESTIMATES OF TOTAL RETAIL SALES IN TEXAS

| Classification | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ \text { 1964 } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 1,353.1 | 13,654.1 | +25 | +10 | $+5$ |
| Durable goods* | 456.3 | 5,227.5 | +17 | +17 | + 6 |
| Nondurable goods | 896.8 | 8,426.6 | +29 | + 7 | $+5$ |

*Contains automotive stores, furniture stores, and lumber, building material, and hardware stores.
cleared away the uncertainty that the slow early weeks had given rise to. The favored merchant explanation of those first slow weeks was that stocks were so plentiful and varied that consumers saw little reason to hurry their shopping and that the way in which Christmas fell on the calendar this past December was perhaps also partly responsible for the rush of the last two weeks.

Total dollar retail sales in Texas in December were $10 \%$ higher than in the same month a year earlier. December sales of nondurable goods, which accounted for over $66 \%$ of total retail volume for the month (and for nearly $11 \%$ of 1964 sales of nondurables), were $7 \%$ above the December 1963 figure, and sales of durables were $17 \%$ higher than in December a year ago. Total retail sales in the state this past December also rose substantially more from the preceding November than the normal seasonal change between the two months would have led one to expect. The extent of the greater-thannormal improvement in total retail volume from the one month to the other-and from December 1963-was largely the result of a strong recovery by Texas automobile dealers from the strike-caused exceptionally low level of new automobile sales in November (and in October, too, for that matter). There is, in fact, ordinarily a decline of approximately $6 \%$ from November to December in dollar volume by Texas motor vehicle dealers. Last year, however, this pattern was completely upset: De-
retail sales trends by kinds of business

| Kind of business | Number of reporting establishments | Percent change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal seasonal ${ }^{*}$ | Actual |  |  |
|  |  | Dec <br> from <br> Nov | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Nov. } 1964 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1963 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| DURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | 328 | $-6$ | +39 | +17 |  |
| Furniture \& household appliance stores | $\text { . . } 199$ | +31 | +33 | $+8$ | +6 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores |  | -12 | -3 | +12 | +2 |
| NONDURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores ... | . 317 | +68 | +84 | $+8$ | $+7$ |
| Drugstores | 227 | +43 | +44 | + 5 | $+4$ |
| Eating and drinking <br> places $\ldots \ldots . . \ldots .73+4+4+7$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food stores .... | . . 406 | +10 | +23 | $+3$ | + 2 |
| Gasoline and service stations | $262$ | $+5$ | $+9$ | + 7 | $+2$ |
| General merchandise stores | $319$ | +69 | +85 | + 6 | + 6 |
| Other retail stores | . 326 | +34 | +61 | +11 | + 3 |

*Average seasonal change from preceding month to current month. Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U. S. Department of Commerce.
cember sales in the automotive category rose $39 \%$ from the preceding month. They were $17 \%$ higher than in December 1963, also chiefly because of the relative unavailability of the new models last October and November.

Though this past December was also an exceptionally good one for virtually every other merchandise category, the outstanding performer, after automobiles, was apparel. In spite of the fact that apparel sales had been unusually brisk all year long, December sales were $84 \%$ above the November level-the normal seasonal gain between the two months for the category is $68 \%$-and $8 \%$ greater than in December 1963. Many apparel store managers reported exceptionally heavy demand this past Christmas season, by both their men and women customers, for higher-quality and more expensive apparel items. There is also considerable evidence that consumer purchases of apparel (and of housewares) were at an unusually high level in the first weeks after Christmas. (For example, department store volume in the two-week period ending January 9 was about $17 \%$ higher than in the comparable year-earlier period.) The explanation is probably that retail inventories in these categories were very high in order to generate the maximum in pre-Christmas sales and that most merchants consequently offered an unusually broad range of merchandise in their post-Christmas "clearances." This explanation, of course, implies that consumer disposable income was high enough to leave Texans able and willing to take advantage of the post-Christmas reductions, even after their record purchases during the pre-Christmas season.

Preliminary estimates by the U. S. Department of Commerce of December retail sales over the nation as a whole show that Texas approximated the national pattern. Total retail sales nationally were $9 \%$ above the December 1963 figure. Durable-goods stores reported a $14 \%$ gain, and volume by nondurable-goods stores showed
a $6 \%$ increase from the previous December. In the November-to-December comparison, U. S. durable-goods volume rose $22 \%$, very close to the gain shown in Texas ( $+17 \%$ ) by the category and also primarily because of a strong improvement in sales by motor vehicle dealers. Nondurables volume nationally was $34 \%$ above the November level ( $+29 \%$ in Texas), and total December retail sales for the country as a whole were $27 \%$ higher than in the preceding month ( $+25 \%$ in Texas).
The Department of Commerce data also show that total 1964 U. S. retail sales gained $6 \%$ from 1963 and that identical percentage gains from the preceding year were recorded in sales of both durables and nondurables. It is noteworthy that U. S. per capita disposable income is generally estimated to have risen last year in the neighborhood of $6 \%$. It is also noteworthy that Texas retail sales last year did not quite match the gains shown nationally: total sales in the state rose $5 \%$ from their 1963 level, even though sales of nondurable goods rose $6 \%$. The suggestion is that the growth of Texas per capita disposable income last year continued to lag somewhat behind the increase in U. S. per capita disposable income, in spite of the encouraging expansion in 1964 in Texas business activity and in the state's economy generally. It has been previously remarked that the population of Texas has been growing at a rate substantially higher than for the nation as a whole and that this fact has made it extremely difficult for the state's economy to expand fast enough to bring the rate of increase in per capita personal income up to the national rate (and the greater difficulty of narrowing the absolute gap between U. S. and Texas per capita income). There is some evidence, however, that the recent rate of population increase in Texas is slowing to more nearly the U. S. rate. If this is in fact happening, and if the Texas economy continues to expand as it did in 1964, the average Texas consumer will soon fully share the growing affluence that is revolutionizing U. S. retail merchandising.

CREDIT RATIOS IN DEPARTMENT AND APPAREL STORES

| Classification $\begin{gathered}\text { Number of } \\ \text { reporting } \\ \text { stores }\end{gathered}$ | Ratio of credit sales to net sales ${ }^{4}$ |  | Ratio of collections to outstandings $\dagger$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
| ALL STORES .......... ...... 30 | 60.7 | 61.1 | 31.5 | 31.0 |
| BY CITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas ....................... 3 | 65.5 | 67.0 | 46.0 | 47.6 |
| San Antonio ................ 4 | 67.6 | 68.5 | 33.4 | 88.4 |
| Waco ........................ . 8 | 52.4 | 55.2 | 42.1 | 40.8 |
| BY TYPE OF STORE |  |  |  |  |
| Department stores <br> (over $\$ 1$ million) | 61.1 | 60.9 | 28.6 | 28.0 |
| Department stores (under $\$ 1$ million) .......... 5 | 50.4 | 51.4 | 35.8 | 35.8 |
| Dry goods and apparel stores. . 5 | 65.3 | 65.7 | 54.4 | 58.7 |
| Women's specialty shops ..... 7 | 59.4 | 61.5 | 37.8 | 87.8 |
| Men's clothing stores .. ...... 6 | 61.9 | 63.6 | 39.9 | 41.6 |
| BY VOLUME OF NET SALES |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500,000 and over ........... 11 | 61.4 | 61.8 | 31.0 | 80.5 |
| \$500,000 to \$1,500,000 ......... 6 | 51.7 | 53.2 | 39.5 | 89.3 |
| \$250,000 to \$500,000 ......... 6 | 52.1 | 52.2 | 46.9 | 44.9 |
| Less than \$250,000 ....... . . . 7 | 53.8 | 52.7 | 32.7 | 29.6 |

[^2]TEXAS BUILDING CONSTRUCTION:

## RECEMBER AND 1964

by Robert B. Williamson

Building permits issued in Texas during 1964 reached a record value of $\$ 1.6$ billion, or $7 \%$ greater than the 1963 total. Providing most of the increase from 1963 was a $25 \%$ gain in permits for nonresidential buildings, which, in turn, was led by a sharp rise in authorizations for industrial buildings. Residential authorizations decreased $3 \%$, while permits for additions, alterations and repairs were up $2 \%$. Compared with the 1957-1959 averages, the index of total construction authorizations was up by one-third, the nonresidential building index was up by more than one-half, and the residential index reflected an increase of nearly one-fifth.

The direction of the current trend of Texas building permits at the end of 1964 was uncertain because of the erratic nature of the monthly data. The December index of total construction authorized in Texas reflected a seasonally adjusted decline from the record peak of the previous month but maintained an $8 \%$ margin over the level of December 1963. The largest part of the December decline was caused by a not-unexpected fall in nonresidential permits from their all-time high in November. This downward adjustment was fairly general, with educational buildings and churches the only major nonresidential categories showing gains from November.

Residential construction authorizations also showed a seasonally adjusted decline in December following an improvement in November. The December decline brought the residential index down $5 \%$ from its December 1963 value to a level only $104.4 \%$ of the 1957-1959 average and the lowest the index has been since December 1961.
The 1964 growth rate of $7 \%$ for total building permits issued in Texas represented a very satisfactory overall performance compared with past trends and with the national growth rate. It was better than the $5 \%$ average annual gain for Texas permits during the past five years and was slightly above the national $6 \%$ increase in value of new buildings completed in 1964. (Although the Texas permit data are not strictly comparable with the national building completions figures, experience indicates that permits are a reasonably accurate indicator of trends in building completions.) Accounting for the faster growth in the state during 1964 was the $25 \%$ gain in nonresidential authorizations, which was well above the national $10 \%$ gain in nonresidential building completions last year and the largest percentage increase for this category in Texas since the $26 \%$ increase recorded in 1960.

The outstanding feature of the 1964 expansion in Texas nonresidential authorizations was a $92 \%$ increase in the value of industrial building permits to a new postwar high. The corresponding national increase in industrial building completions was only $13 \%$. The Texas increase in industrial building permits followed respectable gains in each of the preceding two years and was on top of a 1963 level that had been the postwar record

ESTIMATED VALUES OF BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS

| Classification | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dee } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Dec } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | (thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |
| ALL PERMITS | \$100,782 | \$1,612,584 | - 27 | $+7$ |
| New construction | 87,103 | 1,440,030 | - 32 | + 8 |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |
| (housekeeping) | 47,165 | 809.658 | $-17$ | 3 |
| One-family dwellings | 35,439 | 582,284 | - 11 | + 1 |
| Multiple-family dwellings | 11,726 | 227,374 | - 30 | - 11 |
| Nonresidential |  |  |  |  |
| buildings | 39,938 | $\mathbf{6 3 0 , 3 8 1}$ | -44 | + 25 |
| Nonhousekeeping buildings (residential) | 1,400 | 29,181 | + 8 | + 44 |
| Amusement buildings | 993 | 17,722 | -41 | - 30 |
| Churches | 3,471 | 41,021 | + 28 | + 31 |
| Industrial buildings | 2,758 | 84,596 | - 56 | +92 |
| Garages (commercial and private) | 276 | 7,593 | $-28$ | + 3 |
| Service stations | 796 | 16,591 | - 36 | + 28 |
| Hospitals and institutions | 2,285 | 62.328 | -80 | + 20 |
| Office-bank buildings | 3,144 | 87.849 | -84 | 1 |
| Works and utilities | 1,610 | 30,811 | - 73 | + 39 |
| Educational buildings | 15,227 | 125,116 | + 63 | + 16 |
| Stores and mercantile buildings | 7,307 | 107,721 | - 29 | + 42 |
| Other buildings and structures | 671 | 19.902 | - 38 | + 14 |
| Additions. alterations, and repairs | 13,679 | 172,545 | +24 | + 2 |
| METROPOLITAN vs. <br> NONMETROPOLITAN $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total metropolitan ..... | 82,348 | 1,321,501 | - 29 | + 3 |
| Central cities | 67,204 | 1,037.804 | - 30 | + 4 |
| Outside central cities. | 15,144 | 283,697 | -26 | ** |
| Total nonmetropolitan. 10,000 to 50,000 | 18,434 | 291,083 | - 19 | + 30 |
| population ......... | 11,229 | 170,254 | + 1 | + 33 |
| Less than 10,000 population | 7,205 | 120,829 | - 39 | + 27 |

$\dagger$ As defined in 1960 Census.
${ }^{*}$ Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$
Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U. S. Department of Commerce.
up to that time. Although urban building permits cover only a portion of total industrial construction in the state, the sharp growth in this type of permit activity probably indicates a significant expansion in total industrial plant construction in Texas during the past year. After industrial buildings, the next largest dollar increase in Texas nonresidential building permits during 1964 was for stores and mercantile buildings. Following these gains in business construction, the next largest increases were for educational buildings, hospitals and other institutional buildings, and churches. The only important nonresidential categories showing declines were amusement buildings and office-bank buildings.

The value of Texas residential building permits in 1964, although down $3 \%$ from 1963 and showing a declining trend as the year ended, was at a level for the year second only to the record high of 1963 and continued to represent the largest major component of building authorizations in the state. All of the 1964 decline in

RESIDENTIAL BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS*

value of residential permits was for multiple-family dwellings. The value of permits for single-family dwellings showed an increase of $1 \%$. However, in terms of the number of dwelling units, both one-family dwellings and apartment units showed declines and the total number of dwelling units in Texas residential permits decreased $9 \%$ from 1963 to a 1964 total of 75,742 units. The national totals for residential construction showed somewhat greater strength during 1964, with the value of residential completions increasing $2 \%$ and the number of housing starts decreasing only $4 \%$. However, the national trend for residential building was also continuing downward at the end of the year, based on the national data for permits issued in December.

The greatest strength in Texas building authorizations during 1964 was in the nonmetropolitan areas of the state. Whereas the total value of construction authorizations in metropolitan areas increased only $3 \%$ last year,

## NONRESIDENTIAL BUILDING•

 AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS
the gain in nonmetropolitan areas was $30 \%$. These latter areas also showed increases in the number of dwelling units authorized, with especially strong gains registered for apartment units. In contrast, declines were recorded for all types of residential units in the combined metropolitan areas. The Texas city showing the greatest percentage gains in 1964 for both total permits and nonresidential permits and showing one of the greatest gains for residential permits was Longview, which is classified as "nonmetropolitan." Metropolitan area cities which recorded the greatest percentage gains in total value of building authorizations included San Angelo, Lubbock, and Tyler. Other metropolitan area cities which showed striking increases in residential building were Fort Worth, Odessa, and Irving.

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS
SELECTED CITIES

| City | Residential |  |  | Dwelling units (number) |  |  | Nonresidential |  |  |  | Total construction* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | January-December |  | Percent change | Jan-Dec |  | Percent change | January-December |  |  | Percent change | January-December |  | Percent change |
|  | 1964 | 1963 |  | 1964 | 1963 |  | 1964 |  | 1963 |  | 1964 | 1963 |  |
| Abilene | \$ 8,722,950 | \$ 11,329,607 | $-23$ | 638 | 722 | - 12 \$ | 2,846,054 | \$ | 2,925,202 | - 3 | \$ 12,180,806 | \$ 14,849,529 | - 18 |
| Amarillo | 22,288,650 | 26,456,335 | $-16$ | 1,565 | 2,060 | - 24 | 12,951,245 |  | 12,446,064 |  | 40,008,642 | 42,954,483 | - 7 |
| Arlington | 14,270,344 | 14,387,397 | 1 | 1,291 | 1,431 | - 10 | 14,980,866 |  | 7,579,166 | + 98 | 30,478,324 | 22,464,142 | + 36 |
| Austin | 42,897,280 | 51,300,493 | - 16 | 2,950 | 3,841 | - 23 | 22,867,709 |  | 23,701,602 | 4 | 70,427,410 | 80,640,966 | $-13$ |
| Beaumont | 5,396,548 | 6,416,257 | $-16$ | 491 | 609 | - 19 | 6,155,494 |  | 5,143,935 | + 20 | 12,582,643 | 12,481,424 | + 1 |
| Corpus Christi | 13,816,951 | 13,382,010 | + 3 | 1,519 | 1,265 | $+20$ | 14,939,237 |  | 8,605,707 | + 74 | 31,476,801 | 24,905,516 | + 26 |
| Dallas | 85,917,853 | 106,847,175 | $-20$ | 9,256 | 13,018 | - 29 | 80,900,961 |  | 76,477,977 | + 6 | 186,843,478 | 206,770,386 | $-10$ |
| El Paso | 23,745,115 | 20,171,281 | + 18 | 2,214 | 1,719 | + 29 | 17,007,890 |  | 17,181,491 | 1 | 46,262,532 | 42,546,769 | + 9 |
| Fort Worth | 26,265,909 | 18,213,057 | + 44 | 2,967 | 1,856 | + 60 | 31,066,922 |  | 23,408,034 | + 33 | 69,130,538 | 52,855,910 | $+31$ |
| Galveston | 3,248,859 | 2,809,021 | $+16$ | 280 | 235 | + 19 | 11,400,153 |  | 10,488,961 | + | 15,970,183 | 14,639,564 | + 9 |
| Garland | 9,582,563 | 17,639,649 | -46 | 795 | 2,172 | -63 | 7,085,865 |  | 8,403,076 | $-16$ | 17,535,860 | 26,978,729 | $\underline{+35}$ |
| Grand Prairie | 6,624,656 | 5,750,695 | + 15 | 471 | 461 | + 2 | 1,584,878 |  | 1,911,643 | $-17$ | 9,157,550 | 8,411,130 |  |
| Houston | 142,057,018 | 175,361,947 | - 19 | 14,274 | 19,675 | $-27$ | 122,765,020 |  | 93,236,167 | + 32 | 321,763,647 | 318,615,517 | $+1$ |
| Irving .. | 23,030,597 | 19,176,854 | + 20 | 3,071 | 2,301 | + 33 | 8,145,564 |  | 9,198,431 | - 11 | 31,794,595 | 28,826,225 | $+10$ |
| Longview | $4,074,000$ $33,092,023$ | $3,226,000$ $20,456,168$ | +26 +62 | 258 2.913 | 197 1.509 | +31 $+\quad 93$ | 23,824,300 |  | 2,351,514 | +913 | 28,614,800 | 6,359,814 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { + } \\ + \\ + \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Lubbock | $33,092,023$ $6,146,459$ | $20,456,168$ $8,369,963$ | +62 -27 | 2,913 | 1,509 | +93 +95 | 24,432,535 |  | 17,907,493 | + 36 | 59,585,522 | 41,122,102 | + 45 |
| Mesquite | $6,146,459$ $7,366,450$ | $8,369,963$ $6,822,500$ | +87 $+\quad 8$ | 748 | 880 | +15 +16 | 4,390,854 |  | 4,212,414 | + 4 | 11,069,146 | 13,020,265 | $-15$ |
| Midland | $7,366,450$ $\mathbf{3 , 2 8 4 , 6 5 0}$ | $6,822,500$ $2,616,822$ | $+\quad 8$ $+\quad 26$ | 490 | 388 | + 26 | 2,877,300 |  | 2,989,335 | - 4 | 11,270,445 | 11,143,802 | + 1 |
| Port Arthur | 1,194,421 | 1,415,846 | -16 | 115 | 134 |  | 1,49 |  | 3,973,823 |  | 5,897,464 | 7,192,865 |  |
| Richardson | 11,936,877 | 14,373,702 | - 17 | 681 | 1,060 | - 36 | 8,387,486 |  | $1,685,253$ $3,574,928$ | +42 +125 | $5,050,841$ $20,250,769$ | 4,222,293 18,248,871 | +11 +11 |
| San Angelo | 3,757,700 | 3,896,800 | $-4$ | 393 | 388 | + 1 | 4,879,521 |  | 1,549,817 | +215 | 9,332,605 | 6,053,715 | + 54 |
| San Antonio | 37,437,951 | 32,081,628 | $+17$ | 4,726 | 4,063 | + 16 | 22,672,366 |  | 19,432,434 | +17 | 69,324,981 | 60,420,281 | + 15 |
| Tyler | $8,356,350$ $9,127,777$ | $8,765,052$ $8,792,440$ | +5 $+\quad 4$ | 470 | 735 | - 36 | 8,944,473 |  | 2,895,534 | +209 | 17,889,500 | 12,701,316 | $+41$ |
| Wichita Falls | $9,127,777$ $2,595,250$ | $8,792,440$ $7,243,910$ | $+\quad 4$ -64 | 780 187 | 808 | - 3 | 3,843,387 |  | 4,031,073 | - 5 | 15,346,880 | 15,603,566 | - 2 |
| Wichita Falls | 2,595,250 | 7,243,910 | - 64 | 187 | 664 | - 72 | 7,604,260 |  | 5,647,675 | $+35$ | 12,767,591 | 14,923,014 | $-14$ |

[^3]

Indicators of business conditions in Texas cities published in this table include retail trade, postal receipts, building permits, banking, and employment. City information is published when a minimum of three indicators is available.

The cities have been grouped according to Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas. In Texas all 21 SMSA's are defined by county lines and, for this reason, the counties are listed under the major heading for the area. ${ }^{2}$ The populations shown for the SMSA's are estimates for April 1, 1964, ${ }^{1}$ prepared by the Population Research Center, Department of Sociology, The University of Texas. The cities within the counties are listed with the appropriate SMSA; all other cities are listed alphabetically. The population shown after the city name is the 1960 Census figure with the exceptions of those marked (r), which are estimates officially recognized by the Texas Highway Department, and that given for Pleasanton, which is a combination of the 1960 Census figures for Pleasanton and North Pleasanton.
Retail sales data are reported in this tabulation only when three or more stores report for the category. The first column contains an average percent change from the preceding month marked by a dagger ( $\dagger$ ). This is the
normal statewide seasonal change in sales by that kind of business-except in the cases of Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio, where the dagger is omitted because the normal seasonal changes given are for each of these cities individually. The second column shows the percent change in actual sales reported for the month. The third column shows the change in sales from the same month of the preceding year. A large variation between the normal seasonal change and the reported change indicates an abnormal month. Waco retail sales information is reported in cooperation with the Baylor Bureau of Business Research.

Postal receipts information which is marked by an asterisk (*) indicates cash received during the four-week postal accounting period ended January 1, 1965.

End-of-month deposits as reported represent money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month and are indicated by the symbol ( $\ddagger$ ).

Figures under Texarkana with the following symbol (§) are for Texarkana, Texas, only.

Changes of less than one-half of $1 \%$ are marked with a double asterisk (**).

Data marked with a number sign (\#) are monthly averages.


| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { 1963 } \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent change } \\ \hline \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1968 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Dec } 1963 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMARILLO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 166,616 ${ }^{1}$; Potter and Randall ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 55,200 | + 1 | + 1 |  | 54,417\# |  | 54,888\# | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 6,500 | - 1 | + 5 |  | 6,431\# |  | 6,094\# | + 6 |
| Percent unemployed (area)...... | 3.6 | - 16 | - 3 |  | 8.7 \# |  | 8.8\# | -8 |
| AMARILLO (pop. 155,205r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales ....... | $+25 \dagger$ | $+29$ | - 2 |  | ......... |  | ........ | $+6$ |
| Apparel stores | $+68 \dagger$ | $+98$ | ** |  | ........ |  | ......... | $+8$ |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | $-23$ | - 32 |  | ........ |  | ........ | + 11 |
| Eating and drinking places. | $+4 \dagger$ | + 17 | + 17 |  | ........ |  | ........ | + 9 |
| Florists ...... |  | +154 | + 25 |  | ........ |  | ........ | - 9 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores... | + 31 $\dagger$ | $+47$ | + 11 |  | ......... |  | ........ | $+6$ |
| Gasoline and service stations.............. | $+5 \dagger$ | + 6 | - 6 |  | ......... |  | ........ | $+8$ |
| General merchandise stores. | + 69 $\dagger$ | + 68 | $-10$ |  | ........ |  | ......... | - 8 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $-12 \dagger$ | -23 | - 11 |  |  |  | ........ |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 369,623 | + 9 | + 7 | \$ | 3,493,163 | \$ | 3,308,911 | + 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 1,784,730 | -44 | - 11 | \$ | 0,008,642 |  | 4,954,488 | - 7 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 352,883 | + 22 | + 22 | \$ | 3,630,875 | \$ | 3,017,455 | $+20$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . . .$. | 129,870 | + 3 | + 4 | \$ | 127,144\# | \$ | 123,004\# | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 33.0 | + 19 | + 21 |  | 28.6\# |  | 24.5\# | $+17$ |
| CANYON (pop. 5,864) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drug stores | $+43 \dagger$ | + 26 | ** |  | ........ |  | ........ | $+8$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 16,269 | + 47 | + 3 | \$ | 116,875 | \$ | 96,859 | + 21 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 49,600 | $-17$ | - 54 | \$ | 1,320,580 | \$ | 1,880,159 | -28 |
| Bank debits (thousands)......................... . | 9,169 | $+23$ | + 24 | \$ | 89,526 | \$ | 84,070 | + 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . | 7,248 | - 5 | + 2 | \$ | 6,966\# | \$ | 6,762\# | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.8 | + 23 | + 18 |  | 12.9\# |  | 12.5\# | + 8 |
| ANDREWS (pop. 11,135) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 16,506 | +103 | $+23$ | \$ | 117,740 | \$ | 118,385 | - 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 57,225 | + 39 | +121 | \$ | 610,917 | \$ | 685,988 | - 11 |
| Bank debits (thousands)....................... \$ | 6,460 | + 7 | $+10$ | \$ | 71,039 | \$ | 69,628 | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . | 7,526 |  | + 1 | \$ | 7,052\# | \$ | 7,153\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.1 | + 6 | $+10$ |  | 10.1\# |  | 9.8\# |  |
| ARANSAS PASS (pop. 6,956) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 8,866 | + 78 | $+17$ | \$ | 70,286 | \$ | 68,459 | $+8$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 14,520 | $-20$ | $-53$ | \$ | 349,232 | \$ | 497,040 | -80 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... . \$ | 4,629 | $+6$ | $-12$ | \$ | 58,244 | \$ | 62,017 | $-6$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots . .$. | 5,251 |  | $-13$ | \$ | 5,295\# | \$ | 6,062\# | $-18$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 10.4 | + 6 | - ? |  | 10.9\# |  | 10.2\# | $+7$ |

## ARLINGTON: see FORT WORTH SMSA

| AUSTIN <br> Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 243,226 ${ }^{1}$; Travis ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonfarm employment (area) Manufacturing employment (area) | $95,000$ |  |  |  |  |  | 86,692\# |  |
| Percent unemployed (area)................... | 6,280 2.9 | +** | +6 $+\quad 4$ -17 |  | 6,231\#\# |  | 5,914\# 3.6\# | $\begin{aligned} & +5 \\ & -14 \end{aligned}$ |
| AUSTIN (pop. 186,545) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores | + $68 \dagger$ | +97 | + 21 |  | .......... |  | ........ | + 8 |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | +114 | + 37 |  |  |  |  | + 8 |
| Drug stores | $+43 \dagger$ | + 29 | - 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eating and drinking places. | + 4 $\dagger$ | - | - 1 |  |  |  |  | $-6$ |
| Furniture and household appliance stores... | + $31 \dagger$ | $+37$ | $+13$ |  |  |  |  | + 6 |
| General merchandise stores. | + 69 $\dagger$ | + 27 | -18 |  |  |  |  | - 18 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | - 12† | - 10 | 18 $+\quad 21$ |  | ....... |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................ . . | 656,740 | + 35 | $+\quad 9$ | \$ | 6,859,470 | 8 | 6,401,220 | + 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ . \$ | 3,722,075 | $+40$ | - 10 |  | 70,427,410 | \$ | 30,640,966 | - 18 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... . | 290,841 |  |  | \$ | 3,749,074 | \$ | 3,550,911 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . $\$$ | 188,156 | + 7 |  | \$ | 184,403\# | \$ | 178,296\# | + 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 19.2 . | $-7$ | - 8 |  | 38.8\# |  | 82.8\# | + 5 |


| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent change } \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1963 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ATHENS (pop. 7,086) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 17,812 | + 41 | + 18 | \$ | 164,627 | $\delta$ | 138,625 | + 19 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 74,000 | - 29 | + 38 | \$ | 1,747,300 | \$ | 1,814,875 | - 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands)......................... | 13,162 | - 5 | + 14 | \$ | 146,714 | \$ | 126,555 | $+16$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. | 10,155 | - 2 | - 10 | \% | 10,341 \# | \$ | 10,264 \# | + +1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.4 | + 4 | + 24 |  | 14.3\# |  | 12.4\# | \# + 15 |
| BAY CITY (pop. 11,656) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+25 \dagger$ | $+38$ | + 22 |  | ........ |  | ....... | + 12 |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | $+76$ | + 24 |  | ........ |  | . ....... | + 7 |
| Postal receipts* | 26,255 | + 74 | + 14 | \% | 198,986 | \$ | 187,738 | + 6 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | 18,178 | + 9 | + 9 | \$ | 213,427 | \$ | 193,396 | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . \$ | 27,176 | ** | + 4 | \$ | 25,473\# | \$ | 23,293\# | $\pm+9$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 8.0 | + 7 | + 4 |  | 8.4\# |  | 8.4\# | \# |
| Nonfarm placements | 86 | $-25$ | - 22 |  | 1,232 |  | 1.005 | + 23 |

## BAYTOWN: see HOUSTON SMSA

## BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE

Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
(pop. 314,7431 ; Jefferson and Orange ${ }^{2}$ )


| NEDERLAND (pop. 12,036) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 29,181 | +232 | + 23 | \$ | 136,246 | \$ | 129,122 | $+6$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 90,984 | $-18$ | $-7$ | \$ | 3,111,862 | \$ | 2,295,385 | $+36$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ | 6,729 | + 11 | $+28$ | \$ | 75,707 | \$ | 66,393 | + 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 5,043 | - 5 | $+11$ | \$ | 5,023\# | \$ | 4,226\# | $+19$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 15.6 | $+17$ | $+14$ |  | 15.2\# |  | 15.9\# | - 4 |
| ORANGE (pop. 25,605) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales ......... | $+25 \dagger$ | + 19 | + 19 |  |  |  | ........ | $+4$ |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | + 16 | + 27 |  | ........ |  | ........ | $+4$ |
| Furniture and household appliance stores. | $+31+$ | + 11 | +11 |  |  |  |  | +12 |
| General merchandise stores.............. | + $69 \dagger$ | +89 | $+3$ |  |  |  | . $\cdot$...... | $-10$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | - $12 \dagger$ | - 26 | + 16 |  |  |  |  | $+6$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 41,642 | + 8 | - 5 | \$ | 394,867 | \$ | 371,625 | $+6$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 557,372 | $+370$ | $-23$ | \$ | 2,215,682 | 8 | 3,659,156 | - 39 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ . | 32,167 | $+16$ | - 2 | \$ | 361,704 | 8 | 355,758 | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . .$. . | 26,555 | $-1$ | -1 | \$ | 25,445\# | \$ | 25,034 \# | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.5 | + 14 | $-3$ |  | 14.2\# |  | 14.2\# | ** |
| Nonfarm placements | 166 | - 29 | $+14$ |  | 2,244 |  | 1.810 | +24 |
| PORT NECHES (pop. 8,696) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \& | 14,031 | +93 | $+16$ | \$ | 109,940 | \$ | 99,579 | $+10$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 50,398 | $-63$ | +136 | \$ | 2,040,632 | s | 1,549,365 | + 32 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ . s | 11,918 | - 1 | + 31 | \$ | 118,913 | \$ | 102,001 | + 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . | 6,740 | + 5 | $+3$ | \$ | 6,589\# | \$ | 6,035\# | + 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. ................ | 21.7 | + 2 | $+25$ |  | 18.1\# |  | 16.9\# | + 7 |


| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1964 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent change } \\ \hline \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1963 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| PORT ARTHUR (pop. 66,676) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales ........... | $+25 \dagger$ | + 32 | +18 |  |  |  | ......... | + 9 |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | + 19 | + 59 |  | ........ |  | ........ | + 22 |
| Eating and drinking places | $+4 \dagger$ | - 8 | - 2 |  | . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ........ | $-15$ |
| Furniture and household appliance stores... | + 31 $\dagger$ | + 26 | $-12$ |  | . . ..... |  | ....... | - 4 |
| General merchandise stores. | $+69 \dagger$ | $+70$ | + 4 |  | ........ |  | ........ | -7 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | - $12 \dagger$ | $+1$ | $+17$ |  |  |  | . ....... | - 5 |
| Postal receipts* ............................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 105,404 | + 42 | + 6 | \$ | 787,893 | \$ | 765,725 | + 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 85,532 | - 55 | -65 | \$ | 5,050,761 | \$ | 4,222,293 | + 20 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 68,864 | + 9 | + 3 | \$ | 793,257 | \$ | 766,407 | + 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. \$ | 46,480 | + 4 | + 10 | \$ | 42,842\# | \$ | 42,837 \# | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.............. | 18.1 |  | - 3 |  | 18.6\# |  | 17.9\# |  |
| BEEVILLE (pop. 13,811) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drug stores | $+43 \dagger$ | + 39 | + 4 |  | ........ |  | ......... |  |
| Food stores | $+10 \dagger$ | + 14 | + 15 |  | . ....... |  | . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | + 1 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 22,574 | + 68 | + 6 | \$ | 173,630 | \$ | 175,369 | - 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ \$ | 4,435 | - 72 | $-76$ | \$ | 655,472 | \$ | 828,063 | - 21 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 11,442 | + 14 | + 5 | \$ | 132,957 | \$ | 131,203 | + 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . . .$. \$ | 15,820 | + 2 | + 6 | \$ | 14,929\# | \$ | 14,500\# | + 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.8 | + 11 | + 1 |  | 8.9 \# |  | 9.0\# | - 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 101 | - 20 | + 1 |  | 1,478 |  | 1,358 |  |
| BIG SPRING (pop. 31,230) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $25 \dagger$ | + 68 | + 9 |  | ........ |  | ........ | $+2$ |
| Apparel stores | + 68¢ | + 98 | - 4 |  | ........ |  | ........ | + 4 |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | + 64 | + 11 |  | ........ |  | ........ | + 4 |
| Drug stores | $+43 \dagger$ | + 63 | $-2$ |  | . $\cdot . . . .$. |  | ........ | - 6 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | - 12† | $+40$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 62,977 | + 78 | + 1 | \$ | 465,771 | \$ | 524,527 | - 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 662,132 | $+310$ | +705 | \$ | 4,390,779 | \$ | 4,225,175 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 43,084 | + 21 | + 8 | \$ | 451,357 | \$ | 480,022 | - 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . . . .$. | 25,063 | + 3 | - | \$ | 24,203\# | \$ | 25,713\# | - 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.9 | + 17 | + 16 |  | 18.6\# |  | 18.6\# | ** |
| Nonfarm placements | 116 | - 31 | $-13$ |  | 2,325 |  | 2,074 | + 12 |
| BISHOP: see CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BONHAM (pop. 7,357) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | + 42 | + 5 |  |  |  |  | + 11 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores | - 12† |  | 14 -14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . .............................. . | 16,661 | +129 | - 14 | \$ | 106,340 | \$ | 96,083 | + 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 15,000 | -49 | -69 | \$ | 898,200 | \$ | 1,697,425 | -47 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ \$ | 8,272 | + 2 |  | \$ | 97,703 | \$ | 101,296 | - 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.............. $\$$ Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.400 | ** |  | \$ | 8,044\# | \$ | 8,143\# | 1 |
| BORGER (pop. 20,911) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Puilding permits, less federal contracts . . . . . . | 36,099 | + 64 | + 8 | \$ | 282,621 | \$ | 276,265 | + 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... ${ }^{\text {S }}$ \$ Nonfarm placements ....................... | 110,600 166 | +45 $+\quad 3$ | +198 | \$ | 2,203,943 | \$ | 1,796,012 | + 23 |
| BRADY (pop. 5,338) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ | 8,841 13,550 | +54 +74 | + 9 | \$ | 77,409 | \$ | 74,714 $\mathbf{5 7 8}$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... | 13,550 5,899 | +74 $+\quad 19$ | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$ | 1,063,722 | \$ | 578,130 | +84 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 7,231 | +19 $+\quad 2$ |  | \$ | 68,106 | \$ | 66,880 | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover................. | 7,9 9.9 | $+\quad 2$ $+\quad 19$ |  | \$ | $7,383 \#$ $9.2 \#$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,534 \# \\ 8.9 \# \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 16,193 | + 50 |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 70,389 | +131 | + 62 | \$ | 1,482,382 | \$ | 136,182 845,716 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 12,494 | + 10 | +14 | \$ | $1,482,382$ 145,323 | \$ | 138,485 | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . . .$. s | 14,618 | +1 +1 | $+\quad 3$ | \$ | 13,629\# | \$ | 13,502\# | + 1 |
| BROWNFIELD (pop. 10,286) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts ........ s | 19,352 | $+73$ | +14 | \$ | 157,484 | \$ | 155,919 | + 1 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. | 38,025 | - 38 | +539 | \$ | 1,275,542 | \$ | 1,402,419 | -9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 32,877 16,965 | +47 $+\quad 32$ | + 30 | \$ | 273,085 | \$ | 230,187 | + 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover............... | 16,965 26.5 | +32 $+\quad 25$ | + $+\quad 40$ | \$ | 14,590\# | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,330 \# \\ 15.1 \# \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5 \\ & +\quad 27 \end{aligned}$ |



| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \\ \\ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent change } \\ \hline \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1963 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| BRYAN (pop. 27,542) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+25 \dagger$ | $+14$ | ** |  | ......... |  | . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | + 4 |
| Apparel stores | $+68 \dagger$ | +203 | + 4 |  | . . . . . . |  | . $\cdot .$. | + 7 |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | + 81 | + 14 |  | ........ |  | . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | + 5 |
| Food stores | $+10 \dagger$ | + 9 | - 7 |  | . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ......... | + 5 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $-12 \dagger$ | $-36$ | + 47 |  |  |  | . ....... | + 19 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 40,376 | + 32 | - | \$ | 382,888 | \$ | 874,405 | $+2$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 248,167 | -79 | + 73 | \$ | 6,547,739 | \$ | 3,562,908 | $+84$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 33,771 | + 10 | + 17 | \$ | 402,100 | \$ | 355,672 | + 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . . .$. . \$ | 23,194 | + 9 | + 14 | \$ | 20,497\# | \$ | 18,875\# | + 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.2 | + 4 | + 4 |  | 19.7\# |  | 18.9\# | + 4 |
| Nonfarm placements ............ | 195 | - 34 |  |  | 3,324 |  | 3,031 | + 10 |
| CALDWELL (pop. 2,204) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 4,788 | +96 | - 4 | \$ | 38,705 | \$ | 37,805 | + 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ \$ | 2,973 | + 17 | + 11 | \$ | 30,542 | \$ | 30,142 | + 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . .$. . $\$$ | 4,279 | + 3 | -1 | \$ | 4,024\# | \$ | 3,951\# | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.5 | $+16$ | $+13$ |  | 7.6\# |  | 7.7\# | - 1 |
| CAMERON (pop. 5,640) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 13,759 | +113 | + 19 | \$ | 89,607 | \$ | 95,267 | - 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 56,000 | +544 |  | \$ | 217,027 | \$ | 215,792 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ \$ | 6,068 | + 26 | + 12 | \$ | 64,182 | \$ | 61,005 | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . .$. . \$ | 5,918 | + 8 | + 4 | \$ | 5,447\# | \$ | 5,260\# | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover............ | 12.8 | + 23 | + 12 |  | 11.8\# |  | 11.6\# |  |

## CANYON: see AMARILLO SMSA

## CARROLLTON: see DALLAS SMSA

| CISCO (pop. 4,499) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 6,902 | $+36$ | - 19 | \$ | 61,910 | \$ | 66,485 | $-7$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ . \$ | 4,116 | + 12 | + 2 | \$ | 46,124 | \$ | 43,848 | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . . .$. . \$ | 3,493 | ** | $-9$ | \$ | 3,430\# | \$ | 3,760\# | - 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.1 | $+12$ | $+10$ |  | 13.4\# |  | 11.7\# | $+15$ |

## CLEBURNE: see FORT WORTH SMSA

| CLUTE (pop. 4,501) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 4,458 | $+71$ | + 7 | \$ | 32,399 | \$ | 29,812 | $+9$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 18,250 | $+871$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)...................... . \$ | 2,138 | + 15 | $+15$ | \$ | 24,063 | \$ | 21,102 | $+14$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . | 1,632 | - 2 | + 6 | \$ | 1,566\# | \$ | 1,448\# | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.6 | + 12 | + 8 |  | 15.4\# |  | 14.6\# | + 5 |
| COLLEGE STATION (pop. 11,396) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 23,552 | + 3 | $+1$ | \$ | 302,866 | \$ | 293,446 | + 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 70,003 | -32 | - 75 | \$ | 2,387,381 | \$ | 1,916,273 | +25 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... | 5,409 | + 12 | + 25 | \$ | 65,996 | \$ | 52,117 | +27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. . | 4,106 | + 4 | $+13$ | \$ |  | \$ | 8,254\# | $+18$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.1 | + 18 | +10 |  | $17.4 \#$ |  | 16.1\# | $+8$ |


| COLORADO CITY (pop. 6,457) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lumber, building material, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and hardware stores. | - 12† | + 73 | $-16$ |  |  |  |  | - 6 |
| Postal receipts** . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 9,694 | $+54$ | - 20 | \$ | 79,622 | \$ | 85,518 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ . \$ | 5,385 | + +4 | - 3 | 3 | 56,848 | \$ | 61,818 | - 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. . | 6,659 | + 6 | - 2 | \$ | 6,275 \# | \$ | 6,322\# | $-1$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover................ | 10.0 | ** | + 3 |  | 9.0\# |  | 9.7\# | -7 |
| COPPERAS COVE (pop. 4,567) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 9,387 | $+75$ | + 11 | \$ | 68,297 | \$ | 57,291 | + 10 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 83,415 | - 36 | - 60 | \$ | 2,687,967 | \$ | 2,572,047 | + 8 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... s | 1,713 | a $+\quad 9$ | +26 | \$ | 19,585 | \$ | 17,892 | +18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. \$ | 1,730 | + 7 | $+19$ | \$ | 1,641 \# | \$ | 1,388\# | + 28 |
| CRYSTAL CITY | 12.3 | + 8 |  |  | 12.0\# |  | 13.8\# | - 10 |
| CRYSTAL CITY (pop. 9,101) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \& | 5,166 | + 63 | - 11 | \$ | 50,426 | \$ | 50,496 | * |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 17,400 | - 36 | - 35 | \$ | 491,916 | \$ | 384,929 | + 47 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... s | 2,935 | -1 |  | \$ | 34,674 | \$ | 37,227 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . . .$. . \$ | 2,902 | + 11 |  | \$ | 2,632\# | 8 | 2,857\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.8 | - 5 | + 3 |  | 18.2\# |  | 18.1\# |  |



DALLAS
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
(pop. 1,232,615 ${ }^{1}$; Collin, Dallas, Denton, and Ellis ${ }^{2}$ )

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonfarm employment (area) |  | 522,300 | + | 1 | $+$ | 4 |  | 509,200\# |  | 489,150\# |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). |  | 114,250 | $+$ | 2 | + | 4 |  | 113,616\# |  | 107,713\# | $+5$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) |  | 3.3 | - | 8 | - | 8 |  | 3.5\# |  | 3.8\# |  |
| CARROLLTON (pop. 4,242) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | . | 14,436 |  | 48 | $+$ | 33 | \$ | 107,492 | \$ | 88,427 | + 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. | \$ | 55,100 | - | 49 | - | 51 | \$ | 5,011,336 | \$ | 7,036,768 | $-29$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,545 | $+$ | 10 | $+$ | 7 | \$ | 73,864 | \$ | 68,622 | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | . | 3,336 | - | 5 | - | 15 | \$ | 3,345\# | \$ | 3,357 \# | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 22.9 | $+$ | 5 | $+$ | 13 |  | 21.9\# |  | 20.6\# |  |

DENTON (pop. 26,844)
Retail sales


| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1964 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent change } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1963 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | Dec 1964 from <br> Dec 1963 |  |  |  |
| DALLAS (pop. 679,684) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail saies . | $+32 \dagger$ | + 45 | + 8 | ........ | ........ | + 1 |
| Apparel stores | + $64 \dagger$ | + 85 | + 8 | ........ | ........ | + 5 |
| Automotive stores | $+8 \dagger$ | + 44 | + 23 | ........ | . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | + 1 |
| Florists .................................. | $+56 \dagger$ | + 82 | + 12 | . ....... | . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | + 11 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores... | $+23 \dagger$ | + 6 | + 3 | . . . . . . . | . ........ | + 7 |
| Gasoline and service stations............. | + 7† | + 16 | + 9 | . ....... | . | + 4 |
| General merchandise stores .............. | $+67 \dagger$ | +92 | + 14 | . ....... | . ....... | + 8 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | - 7† | + 6 | + 6 | ........ | ........ |  |
| Office, store and school supply dealers..... | $+13 \dagger$ | $-14$ | -9 |  |  | + 7 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 3,589,602 | + 7 | + 9 | \$ 40,642,139 | \$ 37,037,400 | $+10$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 9,057,447 | - 37 | - 35 | \$186,843,450 | \$206,770,350 | $-10$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... . \$ | 4,592,687 | + 17 | + 14 | \$ 46,635,468 | \$ 42,760,526 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . . .$. \$ | 1,519,556 | + 8 | + 4 | \$ 1,368,349\# | \$ 1,310,654\# | $+4$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.............. | 37.7 | + 13 | $+10$ | 34.1\# | 32.5\# |  |

ENNIS (pop. 9,347)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + $68{ }^{\dagger}$ | +121 | - 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fostal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 14,509 | $+5$ | - 11 | \$ | 157,487 | \$ | 155,280 | $+1$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 82,375 | +166 | $+12$ | \$ | 2,219,969 | \$ | 1,124,023 | $+98$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 6,842 | + 5 | $+5$ | \$ | 85,162 | \$ | 83,843 | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . . .{ }_{\text {\% }}$ \$ | 7.879 | $+1$ | $+3$ | \$ | 7,360\# | \$ | 7,221\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.5 |  | $+2$ |  | 11.6\# |  | 11.7\# |  |

## GARLAND (pop. 38,501)

| Retail sales |  | + $25 \dagger$ | $+42$ | + 56 |  | ........ |  | ........ | $+10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | + $68{ }^{+}$ | $+107$ | + 8 |  |  |  |  | $+8$ |
| Automotive stores |  | - $6 \dagger$ | $+40$ | + 68 |  |  |  |  | + 11 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 87,337 | + 76 | + 41 | \$ | 660,052 |  | 584,342 | + 18 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 1,028,518 | + 9 | - 38 | \$ | 17,535,860 |  | 26,978,729 | - 35 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 35,547 | + 2 | $-17$ | \$ | 434,228 | \$ | 395,587 | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 19,434 | + 1 | $+10$ | \$ | 18,706\# | \$ | 16,201\# | + 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 22.0 | + 7 | $-23$ |  | 23.4\# |  | 24.5\# | - 4 |


| GRAND PRAIRIE (pop. 30,386) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 57,832 | + 80 | - 2 | \$ | 432,887 | \$ | 372,530 | + 16 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 908,623 | + 73 | + 26 | \$ | 9,157,550 | \$ | 8,411,130 | + 9 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 18,649 | + 7 | $-2$ | \$ | 231,798 | \$ | 237,109 | - 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 11,809 | - 25 | + 2 | \$ | 11,971 \# | \$ | 11,536\# | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 16.3 |  | $-17$ |  | 19.5\# |  | 20.5\# |  |
| IRVING (pop. 45,985) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 85,644 | + 81 | + 30 | \$ | 659,376 | \$ | 583,513 | + 18 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 2,153,785 | +109 | + 68 |  | 31,794,717 |  | 28,826,225 | +10 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ | 39,633 | + 4 | -12 | \$ | 431,094 |  | 362,099 | +19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \% | 18,441 | - 8 | +6 | \$ | 17,831\# | \$ | 15,547\# | +15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... |  | 24.7 | + 6 | - |  | 24.3\# |  | 23.3\# |  |
| JUSTIN (pop. 622) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..... | \$ | 1,523 | $+25$ | + 2 | \$ | 11,083 | \$ | 10,191 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 1,523 |  |  | \$ | 174,509 | \$ | 142,003 | +28 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ | 1,037 | + 3 | - 26 | \$ | 14,978 | \$ | -18,561 | -19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 824 | - 7 | - 5 | \$ | 868\# | \$ | 829\# | + 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... |  | 14.5 | + 11 | $-23$ |  | 17.2\# |  | 22.4\# | -28 |
| McKINNEY (pop. 13,763) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 21,101 | + 62 | + 11 | \$ | 179,851 | \$ | 175,835 | + 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 2,279 | -99 | -97 | \$ | 1,431,162 | \$ | 1,259,529 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | \$ | 12,499 |  | +18 | \$ | 140,763 | \$ | 135,139 | + 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 11,067 |  | + + | \$ | 10,516\# |  | 9,939\# | + 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.4 | + 5 | + 12 |  | 13.4\# |  | 13.6\# | - 1 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 85 | - 23 | + 37 |  | 1,293 |  | 1,107 | $+17$ |

MESQUITE (pop. 27,526)
Retail sales


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

WAXAHACHIE (pop. 12,749)
Retail sales

| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. |  | - $12 \dagger$ | + 35 |  | 27 |  |  |  |  | + 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yostal receipts* | \$ | 20,739 | $+10$ |  | 6 | \$ | 230,218 | s | 256,538 | - 10 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 65,800 | - 53 |  | 53 | \$ | 2,217,397 | \$ | 1,879,277 | +18 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 12,108 | $+11$ | $+$ | 4 | \$ | 139,492 | \$ | 137,420 | + |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 10,844 | $-2$ | - | 2 | \$ | 10,338\# | \$ | 10.388\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.3 | + 15 | + | 6 |  | 13.4\# |  | 13.3\# | + 1 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 16 | -45 | - | 53 |  | 429 |  | 799 | - 46 |

## DEER PARK: see HOUSTON SMSA

## DEL RIO (pop. 18,612)

Retail sales

| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. |  | - 12† | $+3$ | +101 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 26,967 | + 56 | + 7 | 8 | 215,220 | \$ | 210,901 | + 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. | \$ | 100,749 | +127 | +161 | \$ | 1,935,020 | \$ | 1,734,864 | + 12 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ | 12,556 | + 2 | 4 | \$ | 147.150 | $\$$ | 144,776 | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 15,175 | + 3 | 2 | \$ | 14,975\# | \$ | 14,867 \# | + 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... |  | 10.1 |  | $-1$ |  | 9.8\# |  | 9.8\# | ** |

DENISON (pop. 22,748)

## Retail sales

| Apparel stores |  | + 68 $\dagger$ | +105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | - $6 \dagger$ | $+18$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Postal receipts* | . | 44,025 | $+63$ |  | 10 | 8 | 326,626 | 8 | 321,106 | + |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. | \$ | 155,623 | +158 | - | 7 | \$ | 2,910,168 | 8 | 5,395,384 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 20,010 | $+16$ | $+$ | 3 | \$ | 225,964 | 8 | 207.793 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 16,455 | + 2 | $+$ | 2 | \$ | 15,732\# | 3 | 15,301 \# | + 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 14.7 | $+14$ |  | ** |  | 14.4\# |  | 13.6\# |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 105 | - 24 | - | 2 |  | 2,130 |  | 1,824 |  |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\mathrm{Jan}_{1964}^{\text {Jec }}$ | $\underset{1963}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Jan-Dec 1964 from Jan-Dec 1963 |

DENTON: see DALLAS SMSA

DONNA (pop. 7,522)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 6,176 | $+65$ | $+3$ | \$ | 51,615 | \$ | 51,160 | + 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 7,000 | - 76 | - 56 | \$ | 305,785 | \$ | 388,825 | -20 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 2,521 | - 1 | + 5 | \$ | 30,188 | \$ | 31,192 | - 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 3,581 | ** | - 12 | \$ | 3,510\# | \$ | 3,750\# | $-6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... |  | 8.5 | 1 | + 16 |  | 8.6\# |  | 8.4\# |  |

DUMAS (pop. 8,477)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 16,622 | $+7$ |  | - 14 | \$ | 111,454 | \$ | 107,159 | $+4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. | \$ | 206,350 | - |  | +168 | \$ | 2,736,068 | \$ | 1,487,514 | $+84$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)........ | \$ | 11,018 | - | 8 | + 23 | \$ | 116,859 | \$ | 100,675 | $+16$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 11,054 |  | 3 | + 4 | \$ | 10,130\# | \$ | 9,877\# | + 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 12.1 |  | 8 | + 21 |  | 11.6 |  | 10.3 | + 18 |

## EAGLE PASS (pop. 12,094)

| Retail sales <br> Gasoline and service stations | + $5 \dagger$ | + 5 | - 10 |  |  |  |  | $+8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 13,013 | $+48$ | $+3$ | \$ | 113,520 | \$ | 105,003 | $+8$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts.........\$ | 78,449 | - 29 | +124 | \$ | 1,148,902 | \$ | 638,893 | $+80$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)....................... \$ | 6,476 | + 12 | $+16$ | \$ | 67,960 | \$ | 60,866 | $+12$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . . .$. \$ | 4,838 | $+3$ | $+3$ | \$ | 4,496\# | \$ | 4,372\# | $+8$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover............... | 16.3 | $+9$ | + 11 |  | 15.1\# |  | 14.0\# | $+8$ |

EDINBURG (pop. 18,706)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 17,278 | $+38$ | 4 | \$ | 172,696 | \$ | 168,801 | $+8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. | \$ | 105,150 | $+54$ | - 53 | \$ | 1,590,420 | \$ | 1,078,992 | $+47$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 16,293 | + 23 | $+28$ | \$ | 174,974 | \$ | 162,393 | $+8$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 9,118 | - 10 | $+16$ | \$ | 9,223\# | \$ | 8,965\# | $+8$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 20.3 | $+22$ | $+10$ |  | 19.1\# |  | 18.1\# | $+6$ |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 311 | $+18$ | $+4$ |  | 2,972 |  | 2.805 | $+6$ |

EDNA (pop. 5,038)
Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
Building permits, less federal contracts.

| .$\$$ | 7,511 | +41 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .$\$$ | 500 | -97 |
| .$\$$ | 6,840 | -4 |
| .$\$$ | 7,879 | -1 |
| . | 10.4 | -6 |

$-\quad 2$
-97
$+\quad 23$
$+\quad 6$
+14

| $\$$ | 73,687 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | 719,115 |
| $\$$ | 71,580 |
| $\$$ | $6,808 \#$ |
|  | $10.5 \#$ |


| $\$$ | 72,784 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | 386,577 |
| $\$$ | 89,718 |
| $\$$ | 6,621 |
|  | 13.7 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +1 \\
& +86 \\
& -20 \\
& +8 \\
& -23
\end{aligned}
$$

## EL PASO

Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
(pop. 339,240ㄹ ${ }^{1}$ El Paso ${ }^{2}$ )

| Nonfarm employment (area) | 95,000 |  | 1 | $+$ | 1 |  | 93,142\# |  | 92,983\# | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 16,460 | + | 1 | $+$ | 5 |  | 15,932\# |  | 15,624\# |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.9 | - | 8 | - | 2 |  | 5.0\# |  | 5.8\# | 6 |
| L PASO (pop. 276,687) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+25 \dagger$ |  | 44 | $+$ | 4 |  |  |  |  | + 10 |
| Apparel stores | + $68 \dagger$ | + | 75 |  | 10 |  |  |  | . ....... |  |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ |  | 22 |  | 15 |  | . ....... |  | ........ | + 18 |
| Drug stores | + 43 $\dagger$ | $+$ | 54 | $+$ | 2 |  | .... |  | ......... | + 5 |
| Food stores | $+10 \dagger$ | $+$ | 7 | $+$ | 7 |  |  |  |  | $+5$ |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | + $31 \dagger$ |  |  | + | 5 |  |  |  |  | + 9 |
| General merchandise stores. | + $69 \dagger$ |  |  | $+$ | 8 |  |  |  |  | + 10 |
| Postal receipts* ............................. . \& | 513,002 |  | 37 |  | ** | \$ | 4,570,150 | \$ | 4,459,704 | + 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 4,815,827 | $+$ | 5 |  | 74 | \$ | 46,262,532 |  | 42,546,769 | $+9$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 430,354 |  | 13 | $+$ | 1 | \$ | 4,530,937 | \$ | 4,385,544 | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . . .$. s | 203,505 | $+$ | 1 | $+$ | 5 | \$ | 195,933\# | \$ | 185,918\# | + 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 25.6 | $+$ | 9 | - | 3 |  | 23.2\# |  | 23.6\# | 2 |


|  |  | Percent change |  |  |  | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | Dec 1964 from <br> Dec 1963 | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ | $\underset{1963}{\substack{\text { Jan-Dec }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |

## ENNIS: see DALLAS SMSA

## EULESS: see FORT WORTH SMSA



## FORT WORTH

Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
(pop. 603,447 ${ }^{1}$; Johnson and Tarrant ${ }^{2}$ )
Nonfarm employment (area) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$
$\quad$ Manufacturing employment (area) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$
Percent unemployed (area) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$

| CLEBURNE (pop. 15,381) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 29,566 | +62 | $+9$ | \$ | 233,951 | \$ | 231,622 | $+1$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 36,900 | $-69$ | $-42$ | \$ | 1,490,497 | \$ | 1,426,729 | + 4 |
| Bank debits (thousands)....................... . | 13,777 | $+4$ | + 9 | \$ | 159.073 | \$ | 147,691 | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . | 13,214 | + 6 | - 3 | \$ | 12,458\# | \$ | 12,540\# | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.9 | $+1$ | $+15$ |  | 12.8\# |  | 11.8\# | $+8$ |
| EULESS (pop. 2,062) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 12,276 | $+93$ | - 6 | \$ | 89,147 | \$ | 77,030 | $+16$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... | 299,220 | + 21 | - 29 | \$ | 5,063,245 | \$ | 6,683,122 | $-24$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 5,840 | $+12$ | $+37$ | \$ | 61,579 | \$ | 40,928 | $+50$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . .$. . \$ | 2,801 | + 5 | $+21$ | \$ | 2,421\# | \$ | 2,079\# | $+16$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 25.7 | $+7$ | + 14 |  | 25.9\# |  | 19.9\# | $+30$ |
| FORT WORTH (pop. 356,268) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+26 \dagger$ | $+47$ | $+10$ |  | ........ |  | ........ | $+7$ |
| Apparel stores | + $67 \dagger$ | $+76$ | + 8 |  | ........ |  | ........ | $+7$ |
| Automotive atores | $+1+$ | $+55$ | $+15$ |  | . ....... |  | ........ | $+7$ |
| Drug stores | + $27 \dagger$ | $+37$ | + 4 |  | . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ........ | $+6$ |
| Eating and drinking places | - $2 \dagger$ | $-3$ | $+13$ |  | . ....... |  | ........ | $+4$ |
| Florista |  | $+81$ | + 7 |  | ........ |  | . ....... | $+1$ |
| Food stores | $+10 \dagger$ | + 16 | + 5 |  | ........ |  | . . . . . . | $+3$ |
| Furniture and household appliance stores... | $+12 \dagger$ | $+18$ | + 21 |  | ........ |  | ........ | $+9$ |
| Gasoline and service stations. | $+3 \dagger$ | + 3 | + 9 |  | ........ |  | ........ | $+4$ |
| General merchandise stores. | + 98 $\dagger$ | +112 | $+5$ |  |  |  |  | $+12$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | - 14t | - 8 | + 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,252,173 | + 22 | + 12 |  | 12,327.139 |  | 11,599,760 | + 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 2,923,638 | $-85$ | $-4$ |  | 69,130,538 |  | 52,855,910 | + 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands)....................... . | 1,014,739 | $+14$ | $+8$ | \$ | 10,680,457 |  | 10,263,352 | $+4$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. | 430,847 | $+1$ | $-4$ | \$ | 420.224\# | \$ | 411,774\# | $+2$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 28.3 | $+13$ | + 12 |  | 25.4\# |  | 25.0\# | $+2$ |

## GRAPEVINE (pop. 2,821)

| Postal receipts* | 8,330 | +111 | + 14 | \$ | 58,473 | \$ | 54,868 | $+7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts......... \$ | 21,250 | $-58$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 4,201 | $+16$ | $+27$ | \$ | 44,298 | $\$$ | 38,080 | $+16$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 8,585 | 6 | $+13$ | \$ | 3,447\# | \$ | 3,056\# | $+13$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.6 | $+16$ | + 8 |  | 12.9\# |  | 12.5\# | + 3 |


| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent change } \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1963 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Nov } 1964 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 19 € 4 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 19 € 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTH RICHLAND HILLS (pop. 8,662) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ . \$ | 257,072 | $-26$ | +160 | \$ | 3,226,404 | \$ | 2,881,072 | + 12 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ \$ | 7,271 | + 7 | + 29 | \$ | 72,864 | \$ | 40,993 | + 78 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. $\$$ | 3,916 | + 4 | + 23 | \$ | 3,633\# | \$ | $2,070 \#$ | $+75$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover............... | 22.7 |  |  |  | 20.2\# |  | 20.8\# |  |
| WHITE SETTLEMENT (pop. 11,513) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 5,150 | -82 | $-97$ | \$ | 957,276 | \$ | 1,092,943 | $-12$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 1,636 | + 29 | ... | \$ | 10,390 |  | ........ | ... |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . $\$$ | 1,098 | 2* |  | \$ | 748\# |  | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.............. | 17.9 | $+20$ | $\ldots$ |  | 9.7\# |  | $\ldots . . . .$. | $\cdots$ |

FREDERICKSBURG (pop. 4,629)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drug stores .............................. | + $43 \dagger$ | $+31$ | $+10$ |  | ........ |  | ....... | $+6$ |
| General merchandise stores. . . . . . . . . . . . | + $69 \dagger$ | + 54 | + 20 |  |  |  |  | + 19 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 11,071 | + 26 | $-7$ | \$ | 97,011 | \$ | 90,177 | + 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 55,650 | +108 | +168 | \$ | 669,440 | \$ | 658,397 | + 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 11,100 | $+12$ | + 7 | \$ | 114,931 | \$ | 106,620 | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . . . . . . . $\$$ | 9,607 | + 5 | - 3 | \$ | 9,157 \# | \$ | 9,262\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.............. | 14.2 | $+11$ | $+10$ |  | 12.5\# |  | 11.5\# |  |
| FRIONA (pop. 2,048) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ . \$ | 62,200 |  | +659 | \$ | 1,976,240 | \$ | 824,425 | +140 |
| Bank debits (thousands)....................... . \$ | 8,429 | $-4$ | + 4 | \$ | 87,528 | \$ | 78,871 | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots . .$. . \$ | 6,109 | $+11$ | $-31$ | \$ | 6,435\# | \$ | 6,694\# | - 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.4 | $-10$ | +61 |  | 13.7\# |  | 12.0\# | + 14 |

GAINESVILLE (pop. 13,083)
Retail sales

| Drug stores | $+43 \dagger$ | $+39$ | + 9 |  |  |  |  | $+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furniture and household appliance stores... | + $31 \dagger$ | $+71$ | + 21 |  |  |  |  | + 4 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 24,712 | + 58 | 4 | \$ | 213,568 | \$ | 205,580 | + 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. . . . . . . \$ | 84,600 | - 58 | + 18 | \$ | 2,464,564 | \$ | 1,266,672 | + 95 |

## GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY

## Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area

(pop. 149,4051; Galveston ${ }^{2}$ )


## LA MARQUE (pop. 13,969)

| Postal receipts* ...... | \$ | 22,932 | +1 |  |  |  | \$ | 148,779 | \$ | 142,240 | + 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 66,150 | + |  |  |  | \$ | 1,763,219 | \$ | 2,207,506 | - 20 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | \$ | 10,033 | - | 4 | + | 9 | \$ | 127,339 | \$ | 110,103 | + 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 6,430 | + | 2 | $+$ | 9 | \$ | 6,161\# | \$ | 5,793\# | $+6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 18.9 | - | 7 | - | 3 |  | 20.8\# |  | 19.1\# |  |


| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Percent change }}{\substack{\text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1963}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | Dec 1964 from Dec 1963 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TEXAS CITY (pop. 32,065) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 41,495 | + 54 | + 4 | \$ | 366,187 | \$ | 344,284 | + 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 529,650 | + 46 | + 38 | \$ | 5,296,977 | \$ | 4,809,462 | $+10$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 27,737 | + 20 | + 14 | \$ | 303,786 | \$ | 289,763 | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . .$. . \$ | 15,417 | + 6 | + 5 | \$ | 14,706\# | \$ | 14,207\# | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 22.2 | + 13 | + 9 |  | 20.7\# |  | 20.5\# |  |

## GARLAND: see DALLAS SMSA

| GATESVILLE (pop. 4,626) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 11,769 | + 79 | $+28$ | \$ | 83,549 | \$ | 82,540 | + 1 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 5,962 | $-4$ | + 5 | \$ | 70,906 | \$ | 69,604 | $+2$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . | 6,594 | ** | + 8 | \$ | 6,180\# | \$ | 6,128\# | $+1$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.9 | 6 | ** |  | 11.5\# |  | 11.3\# | + 2 |
| GEORGETOWN (pop. 5,218) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 9,866 | $+55$ | $+3$ | \$ | 83,575 | \$ | 84,528 | - 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 89,500 | - 79 | +147 | \$ | 981,295 | \$ | 617,300 | $+59$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)..................... \$ | 4,461 | - 10 | $-17$ | \$ | 60,975 | \$ | 57,462 | + 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . . . . . . . . \$ | 5,580 | + 2 | + 11 | \$ | 5,483\# | 8 | 4,842\# | $+13$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.............. | 9.7 | $-9$ | - 22 |  | 11.2\# |  | 11.9\# | - 6 |
| GIDDINGS (pop. 2,821) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 11,010 | +138 | $+25$ | \$ | 63,259 | \$ | 59,519 | $+6$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........\$ | 3,800 | $-54$ | $+36$ | \$ | 257,865 | \$ | 293,158 | $-12$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 3,476 | + 9 | $-3$ | \$ | 41,099 | \$ | 39.510 | + 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . .$. . $\$$ | 4,574 | $+6$ | $+7$ | \$ | 4,189\# | \$ | 4,201\# | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.4 | $+4$ | $-7$ |  | 9.9\# |  | 9.4 \# |  |
| GLADEW ATER (pop. 5,742) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | 10,933 | $+53$ | + 16 | \$ | 105,104 | \$ | 105.984 | - 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 8,400 | -69 |  | \$ | 842,869 | \$ | 414,492 | +103 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 4,814 | + 40 | $+9$ | \$ | 48.748 | \$ | 43,126 | + 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . .$. . \$ | 5,285 | $+1$ | $+23$ | \$ | 4,381 \# | \$ | 4,207\# | $+4$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.0 | $+38$ | $-12$ |  | 11.4\# |  | 10.2\# | $+12$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 30,450 | ** | $+6$ |  | 29,479\# |  | 28,667 \# | $+3$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area)......... | 6,870 | $+2$ | $+20$ |  | 6,313\# |  | 5,660\# | + 11 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.6 |  | $-23$ |  | 4.1\# |  | 4.9\# | - 16 |
| GOLDTHWAITE (pop. 1,383) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 7,780 | +189 | +151 | \$ | 37,451 | \$ | 30,398 | + 23 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 3,347 | $+1$ | - 7 | \$ | 45,407 | \$ | 48,242 | - 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . | 5,652 | + 2 | ** | \$ | 5,551 \# | 8 | 4,973\# | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7.2 | ** | $-6$ |  | 8.2\# |  | 9.9\# | $-17$ |

GRAHAM (pop. 8,505)


## GRAND PRAIRIE: see DALLAS SMSA

## GRAPEVINE: see FORT WORTH SMSA



| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \\ & \text { Tan-Dec } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent change } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1968 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| GREENVILLE (pop. 22,134r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+25 \dagger$ | + 43 | + 14 |  | ......... |  | ........ | $+9$ |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | + 50 | + 19 |  | ........ |  | ........ | + 15 |
| Drug stores | $+43 \dagger$ | + 40 | + 8 |  | . . . . . . . |  |  | + 7 |
| Food stores | $+10 \dagger$ | + 17 | + 18 |  | . $\cdot$. $\cdot$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | . $\cdot . .$. | + 2 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $-12 \dagger$ | $-20$ | + 14 |  |  |  |  | - 12 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 46,828 | + 22 | + 4 | \$ | 390,232 | \$ | 348,918 | + 18 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 184,515 | $-23$ | + 71 | \$ | 2,723,839 | \$ | 3,230,874 | $-16$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ \$ | 19,008 | + 8 | $+20$ | \$ | 206,647 | \$ | 194,578 | + 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . $\$$ | 14,879 | + 3 | + 3 | \$ | 14,264 \# | \$ | 13,761\# | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.6 | + 8 | + 20 |  | 14.5\# |  | 14.1\# | + 8 |
| Nonfarm placements | 90 | - 19 | + 20 |  | 1,659 |  | 848 | + 97 |
| HARLINGEN: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HENDERSON (pop. 9,666) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 18,167 | + 36 | + 1 | \$ | 168,098 | \$ | 166,671 | + 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 28,086 | -85 | +121 | \$ | 754,872 | \$ | 1,387,264 | -46 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ \$ | 8,336 | - 4 | + 11 | \$ | 97,298 | \$ | 97,320 | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . .$. . \$ | 18,198 | ** | - 1 | \$ | 17,805\# | \$ | 17,380\# | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover............ | 5.5 | - 4 | + 12 |  | 5.5\# |  | 5.5\# | ** |
| HEREFORD (pop. 9,584r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 26,757 | + 79 | $+23$ | \$ | 187,999 | \$ | 163,232 | $+15$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 177,000 | - 78 | -66 | \$ | 4,498,600 | \$ | 4,879,630 | + 8 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ \$ | 26,804 | $-10$ | + 18 | \$ | 304,601 | \$ | 288,032 | + 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . . .$. . \$ | 18,415 | + 6 | + 6 | - | 16,236\# | \$ | 14,200\# | + 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover............. . | 18.0 | - 13 |  |  | 18.9\# |  | 17.0\# | +11 |

## HOUSTON

## Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area

(pop. 1,373,872 ${ }^{1}$; Harris ${ }^{2}$ )


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## IOWA PARK: see WICHITA FALLS SMSA

| IRVING: see DALLAS SMSA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JACKSONVILLE (pop. 10,509r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | 24,171 | - 12 |  | \$ | 271,334 | 8 | 253,815 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ s | 20,750 | $-75$ | - 88 | s | 1,257,444 | \$ | 1,179,950 | + 7 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .................... | 13,952 | $+7$ | + 16 | \$ | 163,383 | 8 | 142,735 | + 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. s | 11,695 | $+10$ | + 15 | \$ | 10,141\# | 8 | 9.647\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.0 | ** |  |  | 16.2\# |  | 14.8\# |  |
| JASPER (pop. 4,889) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | - $6 \dagger$ | + 48 | + 8 |  |  |  |  | $+8$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 18,629 | +116 | $-3$ | 8 | 119,770 | 5 | 112,629 | $+6$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \& | 38,500 | -15 | + 13 | s | 1,115,090 | 5 | 353,420 | +216 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ......................s | 10.122 |  | + 6 | \$ | 131,011 | 8 | 113,615 | + 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 8,295 |  | - 6 | 8 | 8,562\# | 8 | 8,316\# | + 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover............ | 14.7 |  | + 11 |  | 15.3\# |  | 13.7\# | + 12 |


|  |  | Percent change |  |  |  | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nov } 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ | ${ }_{1963}^{\text {Jan-Dec }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Dec } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |

## KATY: see HOUSTON SMSA

KERMIT (pop. 10,465)
Retail sales

| Drug stores |  | $+43 \dagger$ | $+18$ | $+23$ |  | ....... |  |  | $+5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stal receipts* | \$ | 14,103 | $+81$ | + 1 | \$ | 112,793 | \$ | 116,191 | - 8 |
| uilding permits, less federal contracts. | \$ | 3,400 | $-90$ | - 56 | \$ | 397,691 | \$ | 586,496 | - 82 |

KILGORE (pop. 10,092)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 23,673 | + 67 | $-2$ | \$ | 208,789 | \$ | 214,944 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. | \$ | 108,400 | + 48 | $\ldots$ | \$ | 1,076,757 |  | 1,159,989 | 7 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 12,506 | + 12 | $+10$ | \$ | 146,631 | \$ | 139,861 | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 13,681 | - 1 | + | \$ | 13,027 \# | \$ | 12,600\# | +8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 10.9 | + 10 | $+$ |  | 11.3\# |  | 11.1\# | + 2 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) |  | 30,450 | ** | $+6$ |  | 29,479\# |  | 28,667\# | + 8 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). |  | 6,870 | + 2 | $+20$ |  | 6,313\# |  | 5,669\# | +11 |
| Percent unemployed (area) |  | 3.6 |  | $-23$ |  | 4.1\# |  | 4.9\# | $-16$ |

## KILLEEN (pop. 23,377)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 74,114 | +62 |  | \$ | 569,630 | \$ | 554,777 | + 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. | \$ | 708,865 | $+42$ | $+9$ | \$ | 13,853,403 |  | 11,622,480 | + 19 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 21,341 | + 9 | $+16$ | \$ | 231,375 | \$ | 198,127 | $+17$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 16,602 | +26 | $+44$ | \$ | 12,908\# | \$ | 10,641\# | + 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 17.2 | 3 | $-11$ |  | 18.3\# |  | 18.8\# | 8 |

KINGSLAND (pop. 150)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 1,826 | +118 | $+24$ | \$ | 14,887 | \$ | 14,023 | $+6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ | 712 | -16 | + 44 | \$ | 9,485 | \$ | 5,218 | $+82$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . . .$. | 694 | + 14 | $+50$ | \$ | 605\# | \$ | 388\# | + 79 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.1 | $-20$ | + 3 |  | 16.0\# |  | 12.5\# | +28 |
| KINGSVILLE (pop. 25,297) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + 43 $\dagger$ | + 41 | $+5$ |  | ........ |  | ... | $+7$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 26,273 | + 29 | - 4 | \$ | 246,612 | \$ | 236,000 | $+4$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ . \$ | 505,250 | + 5 | ... | \$ | 4,003,839 | \$ | 1,720,637 | +138 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... . \$ | 13,007 | + 19 | ** | \$ | 144,965 | \$ | 144,868 | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . . .$. . | 14,211 | $-6$ |  | \$ | 14,335\# | \$ | 13,183\# | $+9$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.7 | $+20$ | - 1 |  | 10.1\# |  | 11.0\# | -8 |
| KIRBYVILLE (pop. 1,660) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5,641 | + 77 |  | \$ | 51,618 | \$ | 52,166 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 2,238 | $-27$ |  | \$ | 28,788 | \$ | 27,404 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . | 3,804 | ** | + 6 | \$ | 3,455\# | \$ | 3,213\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 7.1 | - 26 | $-11$ |  | 8.4\# |  | 8.6\# |  |

## LA FERIA: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA

## LA MARQUE: see GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA

## LAMESA (pop. 12,438)

## Retail sales

| Drug stores | $+48 \dagger$ | $+36$ | - 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 26,767 | +80 | - 13 | \$ | 176,337 | \$ | 197,779 | - 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 16,300 | -78 | - 96 | \$ | 1,884,052 | \$ | 2,512,160 | - 25 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 24,196 | + 48 | - 8 | \$ | 215,514 | \$ | 239,795 | - 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. \$ | 18,635 | + 24 | - 11 | \$ | 16,699\# | \$ | 17,666\# | - 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.2 | + 29 | + 8 +18 |  | 12.7 \# |  | 13.5\# | - 6 |
| Nonfarm placements | 44 | $-46$ | $+16$ |  | 786 |  | 912 | - 14 |

## LAMPASAS (pop. 5,061)

| Postal receipts* . .............................. . | 9,877 | + 29 | + 10 | \$ | 83,621 | \$ | 81,645 | + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 5,500 | -90 | - 69 | \$ | 698,200 | \$ | 857,468 | - 19 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... | 7,395 | + 3 | $+\quad 7$ | \$ | 69,635 | \$ | 89,745 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . | 6,537 | + 4 | - 5 | \$ | 6,316\# | \$ | 6,707\# | $-6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.9 |  | + 14 |  | 14.2\# |  | 18.4\# |  |


| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ | Percent changeJan-Dec 1964 <br> from <br> Jan-Dec 1963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from <br> Nov 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

LA PORTE: see HOUSTON SMSA

## LAREDO

Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
(pop. 69,044 ${ }^{1}$; Webb ${ }^{2}$ )

| Nonfarm employment (area) |  | 20,150 | $+2$ | $+4$ |  | 19,346\# |  | 18,858\# | $+3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing employment (area) |  | 1,340 |  | $+1$ |  | 1,343\# |  | 1,289\# | + 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area). |  | 11.9 |  | +1 |  | 10.1\# |  | 10.1\# | ** |
| LAREDO (pop. 60,678) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 58,393 | + 32 | + 9 | \$ | 552,863 | \$ | 530,021 | + 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 159,450 | + 5 | $+320$ | \$ | 3,357,292 | \$ | 2,604,716 | + 29 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | \$ | 41,376 | $+10$ | + 8 | \$ | 451,970 | \$ | 429,230 | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 29,996 | $+10$ | $\pm 13$ | \$ | 26,761\# | \$ | 25,277\# | $+6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 17.3 | + 2 | $-3$ |  | 17.0\# |  | 17.0\# | ** |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 401 | $-22$ | $+14$ |  | 6,694 |  | 4,622 | $+45$ |

## LEVELLAND (pop. 10,153)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $-6 \dagger$ | + 55 | $-11$ |  |  |  |  | $+4$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 17,964 | $+70$ | $+4$ | \$ | 141,220 | \$ | 135.938 | + 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 846,381 | +168 | +250 | \$ | 2,676,190 | \$ | 3,181,634 | - 16 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 24,868 | + 62 | $+10$ | \$ | 191,234 | \$ | 173,539 | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . . .$. . \$ | 18.355 | + 65 | + 23 | \$ | 11,841\# | \$ | 12,171\# | - 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.2 | + 19 | $-3$ |  | 16.1\# |  | 14.2\# | $+13$ |

## LIBERTY (pop. 6,127)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 9,983 | $+34$ | - 8 | \$ | 105,176 | \$ | 104,847 | ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 12,050 | $-91$ | ... | \$ | 745,526 |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ \$ | 8,501 | -6 | ... |  |  |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 10,365 | $+21$ | $-11$ | \$ | 11,141\# | \$ | 10,891\# | $+2$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.8 | - 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| LLANO (pop. 2,656) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | . | 5,305 | + 62 | + 10 | \$ | 43,495 | \% | 43,219 | + 1 |
| Building dermits, less federal contracts. | 8 | 37,500 |  | - 29 | 8 | 146,002 | 8 | 243,239 | $-40$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 3,030 | - 9 | - 4 | \$ | 40,628 | \$ | 43,573 | - 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 4,426 | + 1 | + 1 | \$ | 4,190\# | \$ | 4,035\# | $+4$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 8.2 | - 11 |  |  | 9.7\# |  | 10.8\# | $-10$ |
| LOCKHART (pop. 6,084) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 8,949 | +105 | + 7 | \% | 67,492 | \$ | 64,122 | $+5$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. | 8 | 24,450 | + 15 | +561 | \$ | 861,242 | \$ | 1,068,838 | - 19 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | . | 5,458 | + 20 | + 21 | \$ | 63,926 | \$ | 64,101 | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | . | 5,765 | $-2$ | $-1$ | \$ | 5.699\# | \$ | 5,519\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 11.2 | + 20 | + 17 |  | 11.2\# |  | 11.8\# |  |
| LONGVIEW (pop. 40,050) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | + $25 \dagger$ | + 15 | + 13 |  | ........ |  | ........ |  |
| Automotive stores |  | $-6 \dagger$ | - 3 | $+16$ |  | ........ |  |  |  |
| Drug stores ...... |  | + ${ }^{43} \dagger$ | $+37$ | $+6$ |  | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. |  | - 12† | + 22 | + 63 |  |  |  |  | + 15 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 96,015 | + 53 | + 16 | \$ | 813,139 | \$ | 759,670 | $+7$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. | \% | 1,344,500 | +148 | + 93 |  | 8,614,800 | \$ | 6,359,814 | +350 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | . | 63,099 | + 20 | + 30 | \$ | 654,220 | 8 | 584,925 | +12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \% | 46,799 | + 6 | + 6 | \$ | 42,128\# | 8 | 38,683\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 16.6 | $+16$ | +20 |  | 15.6\# |  | 15.3\# | $+2$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area). |  | 30,450 | ${ }^{* *}$ | $+6$ |  | 29,479\# |  | 28,667 \# |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) |  | 6,870 |  | + 20 |  | 6,313\# |  | 5,669\# | $+11$ |
| Percent unemployed (area). |  | 3.6 |  | - 23 |  | 4.1\# |  | 4.9\# | - 16 |


| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1963 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Dec } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |

## LUBBOCK

Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
(pop. 174,844 ${ }^{1}$; Lubbock ${ }^{2}$ )

| Nonfarm employment (area) | 59,800 | $+2$ | $+1$ |  | 57.717\# |  | 55,125\# | + 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing employment (area)........ | 6,460 | ** | + 9 |  | 6,198\# |  | 5,978\# | + 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.7 | - | $-16$ |  | 3.5\# |  | 8.9\# | $-10$ |
| LUBBOCK (pop. 128,691) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+25 \dagger$ | + 55 | $+7$ |  | ........ |  | ........ | ** |
| Apparel stores | $+68 \dagger$ | + 72 | + 7 |  | ........ |  | ......... | + 7 |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | + 42 | $+9$ |  | ......... |  | ......... |  |
| Drug stores | + 43† | + 29 | + 10 |  | . . . . . . . |  | ........ | + 5 |
| Florists | ... | + 64 | + 9 |  | ........ |  | ......... | $+14$ |
| Food stores | $+10 \dagger$ | + 15 | + 19 |  | ........ |  | ........ | + 5 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores... | + 31 $\dagger$ | $+80$ | + 5 |  | . ....... |  | ......... |  |
| General merchandise stores. | + $69 \dagger$ | $+85$ | $+3$ |  | ........ |  | ......... | + 2 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $-12 \dagger$ | + 12 | + 21 |  | , ........ |  | ......... | + 2 |
| Postal receipts* ............................. \& | 305,185 | + 25 | $+10$ | \$ | 2,960,783 | \$ | 2,755,245 | + 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 5,731,736 | +135 | +238 | \$ | 59,585,522 | \$ | 41,122,102 | $+45$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... \$ | 363,919 | + 46 | + 8 | \$ | 3,239,054 | \$ | 2,970,437 | + 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . . .$. \$ | 159,995 | $+19$ | + 12 | \$ | 135,101\# | \$ | 127,460\# | + 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 29.6 | $+30$ | $-1$ |  | 23.9\# |  | 28.1\# | + 8 |
| SLATON (pop. 6,568) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 7,482 | + 39 | $-15$ | \$ | 62,270 | \$ | 60,478 | + 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 7,350 | $-88$ | ... | \$ | 537,330 | \$ | 470,830 | + 14 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ . \$ | 5,939 | + 50 | + 15 | \$ | 52,847 | \$ | 51,457 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . \$ | 4,626 | $+17$ | - 5 | \$ | 4,109\# | \$ | 4,318\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.6 | + 33 | + 19 |  | 12.8\# |  | 12.0\# |  |

## LUFKIN (pop. 17,641)

## Retail sales

| Automotive stores |  | - $6 \dagger$ | $+40$ | + 67 |  |  |  | ........ | + 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 38,267 | + 8 | + 1 | \$ | 398,862 | \$ | 372,711 | + 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. | \$ | 301,970 | 9 | +409 | \$ | 4,060,017 | \$ | 5,546,380 | $-27$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 44,277 | + 35 | $+15$ | \$ | 424,846 | \$ | 369,725 | + 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 32,301 | 1 | $+14$ | \$ | 28,499\# | \$ | 27,648\# | $+8$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 16.4 | $+30$ | + 3 |  | 15.1\# |  | 13.4\# | $+18$ |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 57 | - 41 | + 21 |  | 857 |  | 718 | +19 |


| McALLEN (pop. 32,728) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | $+25 \dagger$ | $+55$ | $+20$ |  |  |  | $\ldots$ | + 11 |
| Apparel stores .......................... | + $68{ }^{+}$ | + 79 | + 18 |  | .... |  |  | + 16 |
| Automotive stores | $-6 \dagger$ | +57 | $+30$ |  | ....... |  | ........ | + 12 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores... | + ${ }^{1} \dagger$ | + 26 | + 29 |  | . |  | ....... | + 21 |
| Gasoline and service stations. | + $5 \dagger$ | $+27$ | $+8$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 55,669 | + 45 | + 1 | \$ | 470,718 | \$ | 460,402 | + 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........s | 769,375 | +333 | +689 | \$ | 3,194,345 | \$ | 2,568,044 | + 25 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... 8 | 35,009 | + 25 | +18 | \$ | 379,947 | \$ | 850,657 | +8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. \% | 23,951 | + 6 | + 5 | \$ | 22,310\# | \$ | 21,467\# | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.0 | + 16 | $+10$ |  | 17.0\# |  | 16.4\# | + 4 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ................. | 43,050 | ** | + 1 |  | 42,029\# |  | 40,854\# | + 8 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 4,770 | - 5 | $-2$ |  | 4,560\# |  | 4,709\# |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 7.5 | $+10$ | - 13 |  | 7.3\# |  | 7.7\# | - 5 |
| Nonfarm placements | 294 | - 38 | + 4 |  | 4,860 |  | 8,726 | + 80 |
| McCAMEY (pop. 3,375) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 4,518 | + 52 | - 15 | \$ | 42,693 | \$ | 44,417 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... \& | 1,680 | - 4 | - 2 | \$ | 21,713 | \$ | 21,024 | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ \% | 1,618 | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ |  | \$ | 1,684\# | \$ | 1,776\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.4 | - 4 | ** |  | 12.9\# |  | 11.8\# | $+9$ |

## McGREGOR: see WACO SMSA

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } \\ & \substack{\text { 1963 }} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent change } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1963 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| MARSHALL (pop. 23,846) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $+25 \dagger$ | +100 | $+13$ |  |  |  |  | + 3 |
| Apparel stores | + $68 \dagger$ | + 91 | + 5 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 42,306 | $+60$ | + 3 | \$ | 386,386 | \$ | 390.611 | - |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 156,035 | + 28 | +582 | \$ | 1,950,012 | \$ | 3,220,793 | - 39 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ . | 21,474 | + 35 | + 19 | \$ | 221,317 | \$ | 210.726 | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . .$. . | 24,542 | $+\quad 9$ | + 9 | \$ | 23,187\# | s | 22,336\# | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.0 | + 29 | $+15$ |  | 9.6\# |  | 9.4\# | + 2 |
| Nonfarm placements | 150 | - 33 | $-10$ |  | 2,514 |  | 2,096 | + 20 |
| MERCEDES (pop. 10,943) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 8,589 | +24 | - 4 | \$ | 78.087 | \$ | 77.614 | + 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 12,040 | -70 | $-51$ | \$ | 1,151,945 | \$ | 816,636 | + 41 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ \$ | 5,496 | + 9 | $+10$ | \$ | 71,406 | \$ | 68,346 | + 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . . .$. . | 3,878 | + 6 | + 2 | \$ | 3,837\# | \$ | 3,743\# | + 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover............... | 17.5 | + 9 | + 8 |  | 18.5\# |  | 18.3\# |  |
| MESQUITE: see DALLAS SMSA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEXIA (pop. 6,121) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 9,730 | $+70$ | + 3 | \$ | 89,025 | \$ | 86,873 | + 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 8,000 | -62 | $-73$ | \$ | 603,401 | \$ | 237,802 | +154 |
| Bank debits (thousands)....................... . \$ | 4,561 | $+15$ |  | \$ | 54,062 | \$ | 50,971 | + 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... | 5,316 | + 5 |  | \$ | 5,119\# | \$ | 4.814\# | + 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.............. | 10.6 | + 13 | + 2 |  | 10.6\# |  | 10.6\# | ** |

## MIDLAND

## Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area

(pop. 66,890 ${ }^{1}$; Midland ${ }^{2}$ )

| Nonfarm employment (area) | 56,700 | + 1 | $-2$ |  | 56,275\# |  | 57,650\# | - 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 4,160 | ** | $+3$ |  | 4,139\# |  | 4,159\# | ** |
| Percent unemployed (area). | 3.3 | ** | + 8 |  | 3.3\# |  | 3.7\# | - 11 |
| MIDLAND (pop. 62,625) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $25 \dagger$ | + 56 | $+9$ |  | ........ |  |  | $+4$ |
| Apparel stores | + $68 \dagger$ | + 69 | $+16$ |  | ........ |  | ........ | $+7$ |
| Drug stores | $+43 \dagger$ | + 48 | + 3 |  |  |  |  | $+7$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 180,578 | $+82$ | + 6 | \$ | 1,364,408 | \$ | 1,351.582 | $+1$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 616,620 | $+24$ | +164 | \$ | 11,270,445 | \$ | 11,143,802 | $+1$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 142,904 | + 8 | - 3 | \$ | 1,603,361 | \$ | 1,638,264 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. s | 124,705 | + 5 | $+11$ | \$ | 107.877 \# | 5 | 103,203\# | + 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover................ | 14.1 | $+1$ | -10 |  | 15.0\# |  | 15.9\# | - 6 |
| Nonfarm placements . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 570 | $-10$ | + 12 |  | 8,574 |  | 7,698 | + 11 |


| MINERAL WELLS (pop. 11,053) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 24,945 | $+57$ | + 42 | 8 | 199,893 | $\delta$ | 208,093 | - | 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 140,100 | $-36$ | $-34$ | \$ | 1,999,244 | \$ | 1,452,250 | $+$ | 38 |
| Bank debits (thousands)...................... . \$ | 13,442 | + 4 | $+5$ | \$ | 149,952 | \$ | 154,076 | - | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . . .$. . | 12,248 | $+4$ | $-5$ | \$ | 11,824\# | 8 | 12,360\# | - | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... | 13.4 | + 1 | + 11 |  | 12.8\# |  | 12.4\# | $+$ | 3 |
| Nonfarm placements | 58 | $-50$ | - 36 |  | 1,066 |  | 1,028 | $+$ | 4 |


| MISSION (pop. 14,081) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drug stores | $+43 \dagger$ | $+17$ | + 12 |  |  |  |  | +11 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | 15,135 | $+47$ | + 1 | \$ | 126,898 | \$ | 128,781 | $-1$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... 8 | 35,580 | $-17$ | +288 | \% | 1,743,482 | \$ | 552,031 | +216 |
| Bank debits (thousands)....................... | 11,955 | + 7 | $+10$ | \$ | 140,911 | \$ | 129,330 | + 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 9,542 | +13 | + 5 | \$ | 8,692\# | \$ | 8,431 \# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 16.0 | + 1 | + 6 |  | 16.3\# |  | 15.3\# |  |
| MONAHANS (pop. 8,567 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 18,535 | $+78$ | + 26 | \$ | 140,598 | \$ | 134,561 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... | 171,600 | +342 | +214 | \$ | 1,788,697 | \$ | 827.731 | +116 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 10,938 | + 15 | - 1 | \$ | 121,471 | \$ | 122.074 | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. . $\$$ | 7,929 | $+11$ | ** | \$ | 7,354 \# | 8 | 7,553\# | - 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.4 | $+12$ | + 2 |  | 16.5\# |  | 16.0\# |  |
| MOUNT PLEASANT (pop. 8,027) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel atores | $+68 \dagger$ | +158 | $-7$ |  | . $151 .$. |  |  | - 3 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 15,450 | $+45$ | + 4 | 8 | 151,056 | \$ | 146,220 | + 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 64,750 | $-87$ | + 39 | \$ | 1,969,500 | 8 | 709,751 | $+177$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)...................... ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 10.083 | + 1 | - 2 | \$ | 128,665 | 8 | 118,689 | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\$ \ldots . . . . .$. \& | 8,530 |  |  | \$ | 8,473\# | 8 | 7.535\# | $+12$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover................. | 14.5 | $-1$ | -5 |  | 15.2\# |  | 15.8\# |  |


| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | $\underset{1963}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent change } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-Dec } 1963 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } 1964 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Dec } 1968 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUENSTER (pop. 1,190) + + \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 4,599 | +241 | + 79 | \$ | 26,346 | \$ | 24,255 | + 9 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 23,000 | -50 | + 48 | \$ | 175,703 | \$ | 52,254 | +236 |
| Bank debits (thousands)....................... \$ | 2,577 | + 29 | + 25 | \$ | 27,676 | \$ | 27,574 | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. \$ | 2,265 | + 3 | - 8 | \$ | 2,176\# | \$ | 2,243\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover............... | 13.9 | + 26 | + 29 |  | 12.7\# |  | 12.3\# |  |

## NACOGDOCHES (pop. 12,674)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + $68 \dagger$ | + 71 | + 11 |  |  |  |  | + 11 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 27,392 | + 12 | $+8$ | \$ | 284,341 | \$ | 246,500 | +15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 90,015 | -92 | $+6$ | \$ | 4,539,527 | \$ | 2,879,492 | + 58 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 22,388 | + 2 | $+3$ | \$ | 267,426 | \$ | 236,672 | + 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . \$ | 20,091 | ** | - | \$ | 19,881\# | \$ | 19,468\# | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.4 | + 2 | $+8$ |  | 13.4\# |  | 12.2\# | $+10$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 110 | - 29 | + 36 |  | 1,490 |  | 1,293 | +15 |

## NEDERLAND: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR SMSA

| NEW BRAUNFELS (pop. 15,631) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 38,307 | $+25$ | $+$ | 6 | \$ | 289,448 | \$ | 286,427 | + 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 84,681 | + 53 | - | 44 | \$ | 1,962,233 | \$ | 2,240,009 | - 12 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ \$ | 13,990 | $+14$ | $+$ | 9 | \$ | 161,524 | \$ | 155,826 | $+4$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . .$. . $\$$ | 12,913 | 5 | $+$ | 3 | \$ | 12,849\# | \$ | 12,478\# | $+8$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.7 | $+17$ | $+$ | 3 |  | 12.6\# |  | 12.5\# | + 1 |

## NORTH RICHLAND HILLS: see FORT WORTH SMSA

| ODESSA <br> Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (pop. 86,153 ${ }^{1}$; Ector ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 56,700 | $+1$ | $-2$ |  | 56,275\# |  | 57,650\# | - 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,160 | ** |  |  | 4,139\#\# |  | 4,159\# | * |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.3 | ** | + 3 |  | 3.3\# |  | 3.7\# | - 11 |
| ODESSA (pop. 80,338) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $25 \dagger$ | $+61$ | $+6$ |  |  |  |  | $+16$ |
| Apparel stores | + $68 \dagger$ | $+97$ | + 24 |  |  |  | ......... | $+17$ |
| Furniture and household appliance stores. | + 31 $\dagger$ | $+37$ | $+6$ |  | ........ |  |  | $+7$ |
| General merchandise stores. | + $69 \dagger$ | +106 |  |  |  |  |  | $+1$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 139,367 | + 52 | $+8$ | \$ | 1,190,127 | \$ | 1,155,048 | $+8$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 267,400 | -49 | + 24 | \$ | 5,897,464 | \$ | 7,192,865 | -18 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ . | 95,402 | $+17$ | $+16$ | \$ | 1,027,659 | \$ | 922,940 | $+11$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots . .$. . | 62,207 | + 3 | $-23$ | \$ | 77,203\# | \$ | 75,776\# | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.7 | $+30$ | + 46 |  | 13.3\# |  | 12.2\# | + 9 |
| Nonfarm placements | 347 | - 39 | ** |  | 5,359 |  | 5,370 | ** |

## ORANGE: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA

| PALESTINE (pop. 13,974) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 35,026 | +102 | $+18$ | \$ | 230,890 | \$ | 222,350 | $+4$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 49,600 | $-21$ | $-40$ | \$ | 1,342,886 | \$ | 1,604,018 | $-16$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)....................... $\$$ | 12,460 | $-1$ | + 9 | \$ | 146,563 | \$ | 137,468 | + 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . .$. . \$ | 17,540 | $+1$ | $+6$ | \$ | 16,150\# | \$ | 15,751\# | $+8$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover............... | 8.6 | - 3 | +1 |  | 9.1\# |  | 15, 8.8 |  |
| PAMPA (pop. 24,664) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+25 \dagger$ | $+40$ | $+2$ |  |  |  |  | - 2 |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | + 34 | + 6 |  | .. |  |  |  |
| Eating and drinking places. | $+4 \dagger$ | + 2 | + 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 46,139 | $+\quad 57$ | $+6$ | \$ | 382,029 | \$ | 375,928 | + 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 159,859 | $+175$ | +252 | \$ | 1,277,575 | \$ | 1,276,541 | ** |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ . | 29,814 | + 19 | $+17$ | \$ | 314,205 | \$ | 299,521 | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . .$. . \$ | 22,321 | $-2$ | + 2 | \$ | 21,489\# | \$ | 22,172\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.8 | + 21 | $+10$ |  | 14.6\# |  | 18.4\# | + 9 |
| Nonfarm placements | 111 | $-45$ | +10 |  | 2,385 |  | 1,696 | + 41 |
| PECOS (pop. 12,728) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .............................. . | 15,692 | + 33 | - 13 | \$ | 168,494 | \$ | 175,621 | - 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 2,400 | -75 | $-80$ | \$ | 362,011 | \$ | 687,866 | -47 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 20,647 |  | ** | \$ | 204,364 | \$ | 220,230 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . \$ | 11,304 |  | $-10$ | \$ | 10,739\# | \$ | 11,573\# | 7 |
| Annusl rate of deposit turnover | 22.8 | - 5 | + 11 |  | 18.9\# |  | 19.1\# | 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 6: | -41 | + 42 |  | 857 |  | 846 | +1 |


| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ |  | Percent changeJan-Dee 1964 <br> from <br> Jan-Dec 1963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| PARIS (pop. 20,977) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+25 \dagger$ | + 29 | $+1$ |  | ......... |  | . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $+5$ |
| Apparel stores | + $68 \dagger$ | + 54 | + 1 |  | ........ |  | . . . . . . | + 5 |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | + 7 | + 3 |  | ........ |  | . ....... | + 3 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores | $-12 \dagger$ | $-17$ | $-13$ |  |  |  |  | $+10$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 36,204 | + 14 | $-7$ | \$ | 342,735 | \$ | 339,753 | + 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 87,620 | $-42$ | -89 | \% | 4,620,273 | \$ | 4,083,103 | + 13 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ | 21,882 | + 11 | + 14 | \$ | 245,397 | \$ | 223,432 | + 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . .$. . \$ | 17,622 | + 6 | $-2$ | 8 | 16,052\# | S | 15,424\# | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover............... | 15.3 | + 6 | + 15 |  | 15.3\# |  | 14.5\# | $+6$ |
| Nonfarm placements . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 154 | - 20 | +114 |  | 1,546 |  | 1,148 | $+35$ |

## PASADENA: see HOUSTON SMSA

PHARR (pop. 14,106)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 17,397 | +158 | + 56 | \$ | 98,456 | 8 | 93,624 | $+5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 53,330 | $+34$ | $-60$ | \$ | 455,629 | \$ | 1,133,325 | - 60 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 4,392 | $+21$ | $+6$ | \$ | 49.834 | \$ | 50,784 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . | 4,488 | $+19$ | $+5$ | \$ | 4,207 \# | \% | 4,249\# | - 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.8 |  | * |  | 11.9\# |  | 12.0\# | - 1 |

## PILOT POINT: see DALLAS SMSA

PLAINVIEW (pop. 18,735)
Retail sales

| Automotive stores |  | - $6 \dagger$ | $+13$ | - |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General merchandise stores |  | + 69 $\dagger$ | + 90 |  | ** |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Postal receipts* | . | 55,067 | + 86 | $+$ | 9 | 8 | 383,378 | \$ | 355,637 | + 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 548,450 | $-21$ | - | 5 | \$ | 8,380,450 | \$ | 4,652,750 | $+80$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \% | 52,121 | + 10 | + | 3 | \$ | 554,184 | \$ | 512,572 | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 35,013 | +24 | $+$ | 2 | \$ | 29,361\# |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 19.8 | -1 |  | . |  | 19.0\# |  | ........ |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 250 | $-16$ | - | 4 |  | 3,639 |  | 3,263 | + 12 |

PLANO: See DALLAS SMSA

## PLEASANTON (pop. 4,485)

Retail sales


## PORT ARTHUR: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA

## PORT ISABEL: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA

## PORT NECHES: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA

| QUANAH (pop. 4,564) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 8,150 | +72 | $+1$ | \$ | 65,924 | \$ | 69,020 | 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ . \$ | 5,000 | ... | $+11$ | \$ | 446,952 | \$ | 640,951 | $-30$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 6,487 | $+25$ | $+7$ | \$ | 64,307 | \$ | 70,573 | - 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . | 5,556 | + 8 | $-8$ | \$ | 5,416\# | \$ | 5,888\# | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... | 14.5 | $+24$ | $+17$ |  | 11.8\# |  | 11.9\# | - 1 |
| RAYMONDVILLE (pop. 9,385) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 10,140 | + 64 | $+9$ | \$ | 92,484 | \$ | 90,183 | $+3$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 16,500 | $-20$ | $-72$ | \$ | 343,700 | \$ | 467,250 | $-26$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... \$ | 6,648 | + 11 | + 30 | \$ | 96,624 | \$ | 84,626 | $+14$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. | 8,017 | $-4$ | $+8$ | \$ | 7,988\# | \$ | 7.680\# | $+4$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.7 | $+13$ | + 18 |  | 11.9\# |  | 11.0\# | $+8$ |
| Nonfarm placements ... | 87 | + 32 | - 52 |  | 635 |  | 782 | -19 |

REFUGIO (pop. 4,944)

## Retail sales



|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1963}{\text { Jan-Dec }^{2}}$ | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |

## RICHARDSON: see DALLAS SMSA

## ROBSTOWN: see CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

| ROCKDALE (pop. 4,481) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts**.... | \$ | 7,257 | + 47 | - 12 | \$ | 65,089 | \$ | 65,879 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. |  | 12,850 | $-7$ | $-14$ | \$ | 459,875 | \$ | 274,571 | + 67 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 4,869 | $+23$ | $+13$ | \$ | 55,043 | \$ | 50,455 | $+9$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 6,602 | $+1$ | + 9 | \$ | 6,274\# | \$ | 5,914\# | $+6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 8.9 | + 22 | + 3 |  | 8.8\# |  | 8.5\# |  |
| ROSENBERG (pop. 9,698) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 16,457 | $+86$ | - 2 | \$ | 126,895 | \$ | 126,528 | * |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. | \$ | 88,976 | $-17$ | $-51$ | \$ | 996,341 | \$ | 1,672,844 | -40 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 10,754 | + 2 |  | \$ | 9,854\# | \$ | 9,524\# |  |
| SAN ANGELO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (pop. 70,582 ${ }^{1}$; Tom Green ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) |  | 20,850 | + 1 | $+3$ |  | 20,258\# |  | 19,929\# |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) |  | 3,500 | $-1$ | + 11 |  | 3,422\# |  | 3,188\# |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) |  | 4.2 | $-16$ | $-18$ |  | 4.8\# |  | 5.8\# |  |
| SAN ANGELO (pop. 58,815) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $+25 \dagger$ | + 63 | + 5 |  | ........ |  | ......... | $+8$ |
| General merchandise stores. |  | + $69 \dagger$ | + 88 | + 6 |  | . ....... |  | ........ | $+7$ |
| Jewelry stores |  |  | +205 | - 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 131,212 | + 23 | + 3 |  | 1,222,572 | \$ | 1,176,992 | $+4$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. |  | 528,850 | $-73$ | +123 | \$ | 9,332,605 | \$ | 6,053,715 | $+54$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 65,425 | $+10$ | + 11 |  | 758,179 | \$ | 697,621 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 52,776 | + 4 |  | \$ | 50,081\# | \$ | 49,254\# | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 15.1 |  |  |  | 15.2\# |  | 14.2\# |  |

## SAN ANTONIO

Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
(pop. 784,2691; Bexar and Guadalupe ${ }^{2}$ )

| Nonfarm employment (area) Manufacturing employment (area) | 217,500 26,000 | $+\quad 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & +\quad 1 \\ & +\quad 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 215,700 \# \\ 25,871 \# \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 210,792 \# \\ 25,421 \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +2 \\ & +2 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.1 | $-7$ | - 13 |  | 4.5\# |  | 5.1\# | $-12$ |
| SAN ANTONIO (pop. 587,718) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+25 \dagger$ | + 23 | $+9$ |  |  |  | ........ | +8 |
| Apparel stores | + $54 \dagger$ | $+62$ | + 5 |  | . |  | ....... | + 12 |
| Automotive stores | + 7¢ | + 6 | $+7$ |  |  |  |  | $+5$ |
| Drug stores | $+32 \dagger$ | + 32 | + 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eating and drinking places. | + 7 $\dagger$ | $+6$ | - 3 |  | ........ |  | ........ | $-2$ |
| Florists |  | +97 | +15 |  | ......... |  |  | +14 |
| Food stores | $+9 \dagger$ | + 11 | + 8 |  |  |  |  | ** |
| Furniture and household appliance stores... | + 31 $\dagger$ | + 42 | + 2 |  |  |  | ........ | +7 |
| Gasoline and service stations | $+2 \dagger$ | + 12 | +28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| General merchandise stores | $+49 \dagger$ | $+39$ | + 8 |  |  |  |  | $+5$ |
| Jewelry stores | ... | $+296$ | + 13 |  |  |  |  | $-1$ |
| Liquor stores |  | +88 | $+11$ |  | ......... |  |  | + 11 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | - ${ }^{4 \dagger}$ |  |  |  | ........ |  |  |  |
| Nurseries |  | + 12 | +25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................. | 1,264,899 | $+31$ | $+\quad 8$ | \$ | 11,705,870 |  | 11,258,890 | + 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 4,634,339 | - 22 | -30 |  | 69,324,981 |  | 60,420,281 | $+15$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ \$ | 861,941 | + 14 | + 6 | \$ | 9,397,025 | \$ | 8,966,783 | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 463,809 | + 2 |  | \$ | 436,890\# | \$ | 420,836\# | $+4$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 22.6 | $+11$ | +1 |  | 21.5\# |  | 21.8\# |  |
| SCHERTZ (pop. 2,281) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3,801 | + 78 | + 23 | \$ | 22,464 | 8 | 18,668 | +20 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ | 616 | + 8 | + | \$ | 2,464 |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots$. . | 1,151 | + 3 |  | \$ | 1,125\# |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 6.5 |  |  |  | 6.0\# |  |  |  |
| SEGUIN (pop. 14,299) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .............................. . ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 20,398 | + 67 | + 11 | \$ | 171,164 | \$ | 166,944 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... | 97,830 | $-58$ | + 87 | \$ | 1,853,816 |  | 1,023,747 | $+81$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... . | 15,212 | + 26 | $+35$ | \$ | 155,189 | \% | 189,277 | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots$. . | 16,259 | - 1 | a $+\quad 7$ | \$ | 15,734\# | \$ | 15,283\# | +8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.2 | $+27$ | + 29 |  | 9.9\# |  | 9.2\# | +8 |


| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { Jan-Dec } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ |  | Percent change <br> Jan-Dec 1964 <br> from <br> Jan-Dec 1963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SAN BENITO: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SAN JUAN (pop. 4,371) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................ ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 5,170 | + 79 | + 5 | \$ | 36,866 | \$ | 36,579 | + 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 9,500 | +121 | +107 | \$ | 162,185 | \$ | 77,722 | +109 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ | 2,256 | - 8 | $+15$ | \$ | 25,861 | \$ | 23,785 | $+9$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . . . . . . . $\$$ | 2,341 | ** | +16 | - | 1,975\# | \$ | 1,955\# | $+1$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover................ | 11.6 | - 11 | - 2 |  | 13.2\# |  | 12.2\# | + 8 |
| SAN MARCOS (pop. 12,713) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................ ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 17,699 | + 47 | - 1 | \$ | 171,341 | \$ | 170,030 | + 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 54,350 | $-19$ | $-51$ | \$ | 4,158,633 | \$ | 1,393,010 | +199 |
| Bank debits (thousands)......................... \$ | 9,815 | + 7 | + 20 | \$ | 113,744 | \$ | 102,392 | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . . . . . . . .$. . \$ | 11,546 | - 4 | $+23$ | \$ | 10.882\# | \$ | 9,483\# | + 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 10.0 |  | - 2 |  | 10.6\# |  | 10.9\# | - 3 |
| SAN SABA (pop. 2,728) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................................ | 5,546 | + 32 | - 15 | \$ | 47,052 | \$ | 46,809 | + 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 4,000 | ... | ... | \$ | 238,152 | \$ | 75,056 | +217 |
| Bank debits (thousands)....................... | 4,544 | $+9$ | - 18 | \$ | 53,191 | \$ | 55,145 | - 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . . .$. \$ | 4,729 | + 7 | - 4 | \$ | 4,561\# | \$ | 4,772\# | - 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover................ | 11.9 | + 6 | $-11$ |  | 11.6\# |  | 11.6\# | ** |

## SCHERTZ: see SAN ANTONIO SMSA

## SEAGOVILLE: see DALLAS SMSA

## SEGUIN: see SAN ANTONIO SMSA

| SHERMAN (pop. 24,988) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | $+25 \dagger$ | $+76$ | $+3$ |  |  |  |  | + 1 |
| Apparel stores | + $68 \dagger$ | +161 | - 4 |  |  |  |  | - 1 |
| Automotive stores | $-6 \dagger$ | $+77$ | $+8$ |  |  |  |  | + 5 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores... | + $31 \dagger$ | $+17$ | $+4$ |  |  |  |  | $+3$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 58,638 | $+54$ | + 14 | \$ | 509,429 | \$ | 500,124 | + 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 719,483 | $+33$ | +397 | \$ | 7,953,933 | \$ | 4,677,411 | $+70$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 36,881 | $+6$ | $+13$ | \$ | 389,046 | \$ | 367,072 | $+6$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . | 24,046 | $+3$ | $+5$ | \$ | 20,948\# | \$ | 19,825\# | $+6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 18.7 | $+1$ | + 4 |  | 18.6\# |  | 18.6\# | * |
| Nonfarm placements | 77 | - 21 | - 29 |  | 1,672 |  | 2,046 | -18 |
| SILSBEE (pop. 6,277) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 14,192 | + 57 | $+13$ | \$ | 118,214 | \$ | 111,549 |  |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts......... \$ | 16,600 | ... | -86 | 8 | 576,632 | \$ | 567,727 | $+2$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ | 4,848 | $+12$ | $-3$ | \$ | 57,963 | \$ | 54,829 | $+6$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. . $\$$ | 5,786 | + 4 | $+2$ | \$ | 5,570\# | \$ | 5,576\# | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.2 |  | - |  | 10.4\# |  | 9.9\# | + 5 |
| SINTON (pop. 6,008) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............................... \& | 7,550 | + 31 | - 35 | \$ | 93,786 | \$ | 93,975 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ \$ | 32,125 | + 37 | $-27$ | \$ | 230,096 | \$ | 425,604 | -46 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..................... | 4,368 | - 2 | - 6 | \$ | 60,724 | \$ | 54,562 | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. \$ | 4,833 | - 3 | ** | \$ | 4,750\# | \$ | 4,815\# | $-1$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover........... | 10.7 | ** | - 8 |  | 12.8\# |  | 11.3\# | $+13$ |

## SLATON: see LUBBOCK SMSA

| SMITHVILLE (pop. 2,933) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 3,811 | $+80$ | 6 | \$ | 31,427 | \$ | 31,468 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 0 |  |  | \$ | 106,932 | \$ | 79.113 | + 35 |
| Batik debits (thousands)........................ | 1,450 | + 31 | $+18$ | \$ | 16,084 | \$ | 14,963 | + 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. . | 2,471 | + 2 | - 6 | \$ | 2,457 \# | \$ | 2,426\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.............. | 7.1 | $+29$ | $+27$ |  | 6.5 \# |  | 6.2\# | + |
| SNYDER (pop. 13,850) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | $+65$ | - 1 |  |  |  | ...... | $-9$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 23,587 | $+87$ | + 2 | \$ | 172,726 | \$ | 172,460 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 77,500 | ... | +496 | \$ | 654,370 | \$ | 820,484 | -20 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... | 17,342 | $+17$ | 5 | \$ | 186,803 | 8 | 160,062 | $+17$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. \% | 18,833 | $-2$ | - 5 | \$ | 17,986\# | \$ | 17,771\# | +1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover....... .... | 10.9 | $+17$ | 2 |  | 10.4\# |  | 9.0\# | $+16$ |


|  |  | Percent change |  |  |  | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dee } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{1964}^{\text {Jan-Dec }}$ | $\underset{1963}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Dee 1964 } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Dee } 1968 \end{aligned}$ |

## SOUTH HOUSTON: see HOUSTON SMSA

| SULPHUR SPRINGS (pop. 9,160) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | + 57 | + 22 |  | ......... |  | ......... | + 18 |
| Food stores | $+10 \dagger$ | + 19 | + 22 |  | ........ |  | ........ | $+5$ |
| Postal receipts* . ............................. $\$$ | 21,944 | $+22$ | $+24$ | \$ | 202,178 | \$ | 174,197 | + 16 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 129,874 | $-17$ | - 68 | \$ | 1,595,798 | \$ | 1,754,426 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........................ \$ | 14,478 | $+16$ | + 19 | \$ | 157,238 | \$ | 144,738 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . . .$. | 14,389 | $+3$ | + 7 | \$ | 13,025\# | \$ | 12,946\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover............... | 12.3 | + 12 | $+15$ |  | 12.1\# |  | 11.2\# | $+8$ |
| SWEETW ATER (pop. 13,914) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | $+36$ | + 32 |  |  |  |  | $+4$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 20,903 | + 58 | + 3 | \$ | 192,424 | \$ | 187,850 | $+8$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 34,030 | + 79 | $+86$ | \$ | 758,995 | \$ | 1,199,681 | -87 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........................ . \$ | 14,641 | $+17$ | + 1 | \$ | 144,654 | \$ | 149,667 | - 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \$ | 10,842 | $+3$ | - 4 | \$ | 10,195\# | \$ | 10,321\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.5 | + 16 | + 4 |  | 14.1\# |  | 14.4\# | - 2 |
| Nonfarm placements | 73 | $-37$ | $+35$ |  | 1,353 |  | 1,182 | + 20 |
| TAYLOR (pop. 9,434) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | $+35$ | - 1 |  |  |  |  | $+6$ |
| Postal receiptz* .............................. . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 13,552 | + 22 | - 2 | \$ | 127,999 | \$ | 125,873 | $+2$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ . \$ | 69,570 | +253 | + 32 | \$ | 1,010,141 | \$ | 660,565 | + 58 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ....................... \$ | 9,248 | ** | + 12 | \$ | 115,161 | \$ | 107,046 | $+8$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 16,640 | - 1 | -1 | \$ | 15,567\# | \$ | 14,982\# | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 6.6 | + 5 | $+12$ |  | 7.4\# |  | 7.2\# | + 8 |
| Nonfarm placements | 19 | + 12 | +171 |  | 381 |  | 318 | + 22 |
| TEMPLE (pop. 30,419) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+25 \dagger$ | + 45 | + 9 |  | ........ |  | ......... | $+6$ |
| Apparel stores | + $68 \dagger$ | +96 | - 4 |  | ......... |  | ......... | $+2$ |
| Automotive stores | - $6 \dagger$ | $+47$ | + 13 |  | ......... |  | ......... | $+8$ |
| Eating and drinking places. | $+4 \dagger$ | + 4 | - 14 |  | ......... |  | ........ |  |
| Furniture and household appliance stores. | + 31 $\dagger$ | + 58 | + 6 |  |  |  | ....... | $+6$ |
|  | 70,912 | + 29 | $+15$ | \$ | 641,373 | \$ | 613,087 | $+5$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ . \$ | 177,191 | -87 | $-40$ | \$ | 9,992,895 | \$ | 7,240,582 | + 38 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ...................... . . \$ | 35,534 | + 7 | + 8 | \$ | 423,961 | \$ | 877,652 | + 12 |
| Nonfarm placements | 175 | $-28$ | + 23 |  | 3,109 |  | 2,280 | + 86 |
| TERRELL (pop. 13,803) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 19,537 | + 59 | $-7$ | \$ | 139,766 | \$ | 189,355 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........ . \$ | 63,638 | - 6 | $-17$ | \$ | 2,476,629 | \$ | 1,537,862 | +61 |
| Rank debits (thousands) ..................... . \$ | 10,283 | + 19 | + 11 | \$ | 118,548 | \$ | 110,026 | $+8$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \ldots . . .$. . \$ | 9,918 | + 11 | + 6 | \$ | 8,881 \# | \$ | 8,727\# | + 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.1 | $+16$ | $+10$ |  | 13.5\# |  | 12.7\# | + 6 |

## TEXARKANA

Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
(pop. 64,614 ${ }^{1}$; Bowie, excluding Miller, Ark. ${ }^{2}$ )

| Nonfarm employment (area) Manufacturing employment (area) | 32,600 6,850 | ** | $+\underset{*}{1}$ |  | $32,054 \#$ 6,740\# |  | 81,838\# | +1 +1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 5.9 | $-9$ | + 4 |  | 6.1\# |  | 6.0\# | + 2 |
| $\underset{\text { Retail sales }}{\text { TEXARKANA (pop. } 30,218)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Furniture and household appliance stores. | + $31 \dagger$ | + 64 | $+22$ |  |  |  |  | $+6$ |
| Postal receipts* ............................. \& | 98,802 | + 34 | + 10 | \$ | 937,156 | \$ | 899,049 | + 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 317,575 | 40 | +310 | \$ | 4,463,660 | \$ | 2,996,392 | $+49$ |
| Bar.k debits (thousands) ....................... | 72,754 | + 12 | $+8$ | \$ | 815,976 | \$ | 788,185 | $+4$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . .$. | 20,633 | + 2 | + 4 | \$ | 19,972\# | \$ | 18,783\# |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.2 | $+6$ | 2 |  | 18.8\# |  | 18.9\# | 1 |

## TEXAS CITY: see GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA



| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | $\underset{1964}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ | $\underset{1963}{\text { Jan-Dec }}$ | Percent change <br> Jan-Dec 1964 <br> from <br> Jan-Dec 1963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec 1964 from Nov 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } 1964 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Dec } 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

## WAXAHACHIE: see DALLAS SMSA

WEATHERFORD (pop. 9,759)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 18,057 | + 52 | ** | \$ | 157,649 | \$ | 159,896 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 70,500 | + 21 | -32 | \$ | 1,226,381 | \$ | 1,980,616 | $-88$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$........... \$ | 15,147 | + 2 | + 3 | \$ | 14,321\# | \$ | 18,760\# | + |

WESLACO (pop. 15,649)
Retail sales

| Food stores | $+10 \dagger$ | $+20$ | + 3 |  | ........ |  | ......... | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* ................................ | 16,137 | + 29 | $-12$ | \$ | 142,728 | \$ | 144,880 | 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts......... \$ | 46,700 | - 52 | 6 | \$ | 1,358,668 | \$ | 3,986,948 | - 66 |
| Bank debits (thousands)...................... \$ | 8,942 | $+23$ | $+14$ | \$ | 102,822 | \$ | 94,787 | +88 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . .$. | 8,017 | + 4 | $+7$ | \$ | 7,622\# | \$ | 7,269\# | $+5$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.7 | + 20 | + 5 |  | 13.5\# |  | 13.0\# |  |

## WHITE SETTLEMENT: see FORT WORTH SMSA

## WICHITA FALLS

Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
(pop. 134,040 ${ }^{1}$; Archer and Wichita ${ }^{2}$ )


WICHITA FALLS (pop. 101,724)

| Retail sales | + $25 \dagger$ | + 46 | $+19$ |  |  |  |  | $+9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + 68 $\dagger$ | +103 | - 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | $-6 \dagger$ | + 41 | +28 |  |  |  |  | $+8$ |
| Furniture and household appliance stores... | + 31 $\dagger$ | + 27 | + 6 |  |  |  |  | + 4 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 200,506 | + 66 | $+1$ | \$ | 1,571,244 | \$ | 1,674,760 | - 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts.........\$ | 828,046 | $-21$ | - 41 | \$ | 12,767,591 |  | 14,923,014 | $-14$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)...................... \$ | 152,233 | +20 | +16 | \$ | 1,631,737 | \$ | 1,484,748 | + 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots . . . . . . .$. \$ | 110,590 | + 9 | ** | \$ | 101,875\# | \$ | 102,343\# | * |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.2 | $+15$ | $+15$ |  | 16.0\# |  | 14.6\# | + 10 |

## LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY

(pop. 352,086 ${ }^{1}$; Cameron, Willacy, and Hidalgo ${ }^{2}$ )

| Retail sales | + $25 \dagger$ | $+36$ | $+21$ | ........ | ........ | $+7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + $68 \dagger$ | $+86$ | $+18$ | ........ | ........ | $+15$ |
| Automotive stores | $-6 \dagger$ | $+37$ | $+29$ | . ........ | ........ | $+8$ |
| Drug stores | $+43 \dagger$ | $+55$ | $+10$ |  |  | $+7$ |
| Eating and drinking places. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | + 4 $\dagger$ | $+18$ | ** | ........ | ........ |  |
| Florists |  | +80 | $+18$ |  |  | + 5 |
| Food stores | $+10 \dagger$ | $+13$ | $+12$ |  |  | + 1 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores... | + 31 $\dagger$ | + 18 | $+19$ | ......... | ......... | + 7 |
| Gasoline and service stations. | $+5 \dagger$ | + 24 | + 12 |  | ........ | - 8 |
| General merchandise stores. | + 69 $\dagger$ | $+63$ | + 8 | ......... |  | + 11 |
| Jewelry stores ............................. . |  | +129 | + 24 |  |  | $+9$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $-12 \dagger$ | $+17$ | + 22 |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | ... | $+51$ | + 2 |  |  | +1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts........... | ... | + 4 | + 39 |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | . . | $+13$ | + 13 |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | + 3 | + 4 |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.3 | + 9 | + 5 | 17.7\# | 17.1\# | $+4$ |

# BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS 

All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated. All indexes are based on the average months for $1957-59$, except where indicated; all are adjusted for seasonal variation, except annual indexes. Employment estimates are Texas Employment Commission data in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor. The index of Texas business activity is based on bank debits in 20 cities, adjusted for price level. An ast erisk (*) indicates preliminary data subject to revision. Revised data are marked ( r ). Data marked ( $\S$ ) are dollar totals for the fiscal years to date.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ |  | Year-to-date average |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1964 |  |  |  | 1963 |
| GENERAL BUSINESS ACTIVITY | 153.0* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 148.3 |  | 136.0 |  |
| Texas business activity, index |  |  | 148.9r |  | $137.8 \mathrm{r}$ |  |  | 148.3 |  | 136.8 |
| Miscellaneous freight carloadings in SW District, index |  | 71.6 |  | 79.6 1007 |  | 71.9 100.3 |  | 100.5 |  | 100.3 |
| Wholesale prices in U. S., unadjusted index |  | 100.7* |  | 100.7 |  | 100.3 |  | 100.5 |  | 105.6 |
| Consumers' prices in Houston, unadjusted index |  | 108.8 |  | 108.7 |  | 107.6 |  | 108.1 |  | 106.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income payments to individuals in U. S. (billions, at seasonally adjusted annual rate) | \$ | 505.7* | S | 502.3r | S | 477.1 r 49 | S | 491.5 58 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 463.7 \\ 51 \end{array}$ |
| Business failures (number) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 61 7179 |  | 42 9.597 |  | 49 3,878 |  | 58 6,127 |  | 4,377 |
| Business failures (liabilities, thousands) | \$ | 7,179 114.4 | S | 9,597 115.0 | \$ | 3,878 107.3 | S | 6,127 109.9 |  | 105.6 |
| Newspaper linage, index......... |  | 114.4 166.1 |  | 171.6 |  | 1079.3 |  | 156.0 |  | 137.5 |
| Ordinary life insurance sales, index TRADE |  | 166.1 |  | 171.6 |  | 149.3 |  | 156.0 |  | 137.5 |
| Total retail sales, index |  | 128.3* |  | 120.4* |  | 115.7 r |  | . . |  |  |
| Durable-goods sales, index |  | 155.5** |  | 129.2* |  | 133.0 r |  | ... |  |  |
| Nondurable-goods sales, index |  | 114.2* |  | 115.9* |  | 106.7 r |  |  |  | 68.4 |
| Ratio of credit sales to net sales in department and apparel stores |  | 60.7* |  | 65.6* |  | 61.1 r |  | 67.6 |  | 68.4 |
| Ratio of collections to outstandings in department and apparel stores.. |  | 31.5* |  | 36.5* |  | 31.0r |  | 33.1 |  | 32.4 |
| PRODUCTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total electric power use, index |  | 170.5* |  | 167.9* |  | 151.9* |  | 166.6 |  | 149.9 |
| Industrial electric power use, index |  | 158.0* |  | 161.0* |  | 138.8 |  | 151.5 |  | 135.0 |
| Crude oil production, index. |  | 96.5* |  | 96.5* |  | 93.4 r |  | 95.8 |  | 94.5 |
| Average daily production per oil well (bbl.) |  | 13.4 |  | 13.1 |  | 13.1 |  | 13.1 |  | 12.8 |
| Crude oil runs to stills, index |  | 114.7 |  | 110.5 |  | 112.0 |  | 114.5 |  | 111.2 |
| Industrial production in U. S., index |  | 137.0* |  | 134.8r |  | 127.0r |  | 131.9 |  | 124.1 |
| Texas industrial production-total, index |  | 129** |  | 128r |  | 121 r |  | 127 |  | 120 |
| Texas industrial production-manufactures, index |  | 148* |  | 145 r |  | 138 r |  | 144 |  | 134 |
| Texas industrial production-durable manufactures, index |  | 145** |  | 140* |  | 131 r |  | 138 |  | 126 |
| Texas industrial production-nondurable manufactures, index |  | 150* |  | 149 r |  | 143r |  | 148 |  | 139 |
| Texas industrial production-mining, index. |  | 104* |  | 105 r |  | 99r |  | 104 |  | 101 |
| Building construction authorized, index |  | 121.7 |  | 159.8 |  | 112.5 |  | 133.4 |  | 125.0 |
| New residential building authorized, index |  | 104.4 |  | 124.6 |  | 110.0 |  | 118.6 |  | 122.0 |
| New nonresidential building authorized, index |  | 131.1 |  | 226.8 |  | 107.8 |  | 156.4 |  | 126.0 |
| AGRICULTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prices received by farmers, unadjusted index, 1910-14=100 |  | 237 |  | 238 |  | 255 |  | 245 |  | 260 |
| Prices paid by farmers in U. S., unadjusted index, 1910-14=100 |  | 313 |  | 313 |  | 311 |  | 313 |  | 312 |
| Ratio of Texas farm prices received to U.S. prices paid by farmers |  | 76 |  | 76 |  | 82 |  | 78 |  | 84 |
| FINANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits, index |  | 154.1 |  | 149.9 |  | 138.2 |  | 149.1 |  | 136.4 |
| Bank debits, U. S., index |  | 175.4 |  | 169.5 |  | 160.4 r |  | 165.0 |  | 150.9 |
| Reporting member banks, Dallas Federal Reserve District: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans (millions) | \$ | 4,356 | \$ | 4,284 | \$ | 4,178 | S | 4,179 |  | 3,701 |
| Loans and investments (millions) | \$ | 6,459 | \$ | 6,401 | \$ | 6,337 | \$ | 6,266 | S | 5,850 |
| Adjusted demand deposits (millions) | \$ | 2,948 | \$ | 2,851 | \$ | 2,938 | S | 2,846 |  | 2,866 |
| Revenue receipts of the State Comptroller (thousands) | \$123,626 |  | \$153,299 |  | \$112,718 |  | \$142,823 |  | \$133,823 |  |
| Securities registrations: Original applications: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutual investment companies (thousands) | All other corporate securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas companies (thousands) | \$ | 4,111 |  |  | \$ | 2,419 | \$ | 4,014 |  | 37,788§ |  | 14,639§ |
| Other companies (thousands) | \$ | 4,525 | \$ | 7,773 | \$ | 2,849 |  | 19,150§ |  | 14,131§ |
| LABOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment in Texas, index |  | 110.8* |  | 110.1* |  | 107.9 |  | 109.2 |  | 106.2 |
| Total nonagricultural employment in Texas, index |  | 112.3* |  | 112.4* |  | 109.0 r |  | 111.3 |  | 108.6 |
| Average weekly hours-manufacturing, index... |  | 101.1* |  | 100.3* |  | 99.7 r |  | 101.3 |  | 100.6 |
| Average weekly earnings-manufacturing, index |  | 119.7* |  | 117.4* |  | 114.2 r |  | 117.4 |  | 112.9 |
| Total nonagricultural employment (thousands) |  | 2,828.1* |  | 2,792.5r |  | 2,745.1r |  | 2,754.5 |  | 2,687.5 |
| Total manufacturing employment (thousands) |  | 534.4* |  | 533.1 r |  | 520.2 r |  | 528.8 |  | 514.6 |
| Durable-goods employment (thousands) .... |  | 265.1* |  | 264.0 r |  | 252.8 r |  | 261.3 |  | 249.8 |
| Nondurable-goods employment (thousands) .......... |  | 269.3* |  | 269.1 r |  | 267.4r |  | 267.5 |  | 264.8 |
| Total nonagricultural labor force in selected labor market areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment in selected labor market areas (thousands) ........ |  | 2,404.2 |  | 2,380.1 |  | 2,326.1 |  | 2,346.9 |  | 2,272.5 |
| Manufacturing employment in selected labor market areas (thousands) | Manufacturing employment in selected labor market areas 2, 2, 2, 2, |  |  |  |  | 412.3 |  | 426.3 |  | 406.8 |
| Total unemployment in selected labor market areas (thousands). . Percent of labor force unemployed in selected labor market |  | 89.8 |  | 99.8 |  | 104.1 |  | 100.0 |  | 111.8 |
| areas . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 3.5 |  | 3.9 |  | 4.2 |  | 4.0 |  | 4.6 |

## CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS CITIES

Construction is one of the basic industries of any region. Failure of construction to keep pace with the need for housing, commercial buildings, and manufacturing plants hampers economic growth. Construction data are consequently useful in diagnosing the economic problems of a given town and area. They are especially useful in the case of smaller localities, where frequently few series of economic data are available.

In these volumes, data are included for nonresidential and residential permits, as well as for additions, alterations, and repairs. In the residential category, data are given for the value of permits in dollars, the number of buildings, and the number of dwelling units. This information should throw considerable light on local building activity.
. . . 2 vols.; $\$ 5.00$ the set
order your copies from

## BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH

(Texas residents add $2 \%$ sales tax)



[^0]:    Rail-car basis: Cattle, 30 head per car; calves, 60; hogs, 80; and sheep, 250.

    Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Agricultural Marketing Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture.

[^1]:    ${ }^{* *}$ Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.

[^2]:    *Credit sales divided by net sales.
    $\dagger$ Collections during the month as a percent of accounts unpaid on the first of the month.

[^3]:    *Includes additions, alterations, and repairs.

