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The business situation in Texas and the Southwest was favorable in June. A few reports are coming to hand which are not as optimistic as they might be, but jndustry generally made satisfactory gains and business begins the second half of the year in a much better condition than was hopeu ficr last January. The damage from drouth during April and May proved to be less severe than at first expected, because of beneficial rains throughout the State. Moreover, the markets for farm produce have advanced sharply over the past few weeks carrying prices of some agricultural products above last year's levels at this time.

Possibly the most perplexing situation at the present time is the petroleum industry. overproduction has demoralized the industry to such an extent that field activity is less than half of what it was a few months back. Unless further curtailment in production takes place it will pussibly be several months before the industry is operating normally again.

Last year's huge cotton crop is moving into consumptive channels at record rate, and the carryover of American cotton on August 1 will be only slightly larger than that of a year ago. During June, 664,000 bales were used in the Unitod States, and exports for the eleven months of the cotton year are nearly $11,000,000$ bales. The July report of the United States Crop Reporting Board shows a reduction of $12.4 \%$ from the acreage harvested last year. This reduction, coupled with the rather poor start of the present crop, has advanced cotton prices some $6 \notin$ a pound over the price last Docomber. ...Corn crop is uell above average tw year, and wheat harvesting is making rapid progress in the state.

Livestock and range conditions were very much improved in June. After the rains, livestock responded quickly to fresh pastures and gained in flesh more than enough to offset the loss in May. Shipments of beef and calves were rather light but producers received the highest prices in several years. Hogs and sheop suffersd a further decline in prices, although the market maintained a stronger tone. Wool and mohair were in good demind at higher pri,ces.

Allowing for seasonalleactors, building permits made a good showing. Activity in the lumber industry compared favorably with that of June, 1926. Daily average production of petroleum fell off slightly
and field activity dropped sharply.
Shipments of fruits and vegetables moved to market in large volume. While prices were lower than in May, producers are finding truck crops an important source of income. Tomatoes and watermelons are the most important crops going to market at the present time.

Better weather during the latter part of the month aided farmers in catching up with their work. Most crops made satisfactory progress, although some sections raport cotton plants are growing too much "to weed."

Trade at wholesale and retail declined seasonally. Retail sales were smaller by $8 \%$ than those of June last year, and five-and-ten-cent store sales shom an increase. Consumption of cotton by Texas mills was greater by $6.3 \%$ than the May figure.

Money continues easy. At the first of the month, interest rates firmed seaconally but most of the increase was lost before the end of the month. Arpie.funde are readily available for commercial needs and borrowers are experiencing little difficulty in making loans for production purposes. Eanz debits were below those of May and also under those of June a yelr ace. Net demand deposits fell off slightly from May, but time deposits show a small increase. The number of corporations receiving charters was increased over the previous month, and the number of failures was smaller.

Business generaily is in a position where production and consumption are about venly balunced... It is true that activity in the first half of the year was somewhat under the first half of 1926, but this situation is reason for optimism because of the stabilized position which industry is enjoying at the present time.

## Financial and Banking

Checks cashed in the llth Federal Reserve District declined seasonally in June and were $1.3 \%$ smaller than those of June last year. Debits to individual accounts in 17 principal cities, as reported by the Federal Reserve System for the 5 wreoks unding June 29, amounted to $\$ 802,000,000$ against $\$ 836,000,000$ in May and $\$ 813,000,000$ in June, 1926.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Government Securitios <br> Owned $64,073 \quad 59,657$ |  |  |
| Reserve Bank Loans (end of month) | $5,9180^{00} \quad 4,922$ | 12,294 |
| m | System rup |  |

The investment of momber banks in Government securities increased
nearly $\$ 5,000,000$, or from $\$ 59,657,000$ in May to $\$ 64,073,000$ at the end of June. Total loans decreased by $\$ 2,000,000$. Total deposits recorded very few and small changes during the month; net demand deposits fell off $\$ 3,000,000$, while time deposits gained $\$ 2,000,000$. Total bills discounted amounted to $\$ 5,918,000,000$ on June 29 compared to $\$ 4,922,000$ a month earlier and $\$ 12,294,000$ on June 30 last year.

The number of commercial failures was smaller by 5 than those of May and 5 greater than those of June last year. R. G. Dun and Company report 42 insolvencies with liabilities of $\$ 531,000$ whereas there were 47 failures with liabilities of $\$ 833,000$ in May. Only three companies had liabilities of 335,000 or over; all the others were under $\$ 30,000$. It has been pointed out before that smaller companies account for the bulk of the faicuros, and each month the trend is in the same direction. This tendency bucisates at least that competition is rather keen and that profits to the smiler concerns are becoming more difficult to acquire.


## Stock Prices

Industrial stock prices movod sharply upward again in June for the sixth consecutive ronth. After a temporar: setback at the end of May, the bull moverment was in control and new inghs were recorded during the socond wok of June. During the last two weuks of the month prices were slightly downward and part of the gain was lost. Apprehension has been expressed for sone time past over mounting brokers loans secured by stocks and bonds and over the fact that many stocks are selling above their carning capacity. So far, this sentiment has met with little favor, and aside from a few minor reactions the bulls have been in control of the market. Using the average wekly high for the years 1923-24-25 as equal to 100, the Eureau of Business Research index of seven industrials listed on the New York Stock Exchange advanced 5 points to another new high record, a total rise of 29 points during the first half of the year.


In constructing thisindex, the University of Texas Bureau of Business Research aimed to select companies which are representative of industrial conditions in Texas and cther Southern States and at the same time listed on the New York Stock Exchange where quotations are
available for a number of years back. Included in the index are two sulphurs, one steel, one soft-drink company, one chemical, and two oils. The weekly high of each stock was averaged for the month, and the average of all seven stocks for the years 1923-24-25 is the base equal to 100 . The following are the companies included in the list as they are listed on the New York Stock Exchange: Coco Cola, Freeport-Texas, Gulf States Steel, Tennessee Copper and Chemical, Texas Company, Texas Pacific Coal and Oil, and Texas Gulf:Sulphur.

## Texas Charters

That business conditions continue favorable and that the future looks promising is indicated by the number of new enterprises receiving charters in the State. Each month many new companies are organized and old ones are increasing their capitalization. During June, 244 companies having a capitalization of $\$ 34,094,000$ received charters, as compared to 186 companies with a capitalization of $\$ 16,136,000$ in May. Thirty-two companies increased their capitalization by $\$ 3,000,000$, and 40 outside companies were issued permits to operate within the state. In the first half of the year, charters were granted to 1129 corporations as compared to 1105 in 1926. The number of oil and manufacturing companies decreased while public service and real estate firms increased.

There were only 21 oil companies chartered in June, against 28 in May and 33 in June last year... The number of manufacturing concerns went up from 5 to 22; in 1926 there were only 7.


Building
The building industry in Texas fell off somewhat in June from the records of the previous months. .... Building permits of 26 cities reporting to the University of Texas. Bureai of Business Research dropped from $\$ 10,840,000$ in May to $\$ 9,876,000$ in June; making a total of $\$ 62,296,000$ for the first six months of the year. Comparable figures for the se
cities are not available, but an estimate shows that permits in 1927 are considerably ahead of any former year. Most of the decrease in June is accounted for by the drop of almost $\$ 2,000,000$ in San Antonio.


Permits were again hicher in the larger cities; Dallas, Fort Worth, and San Antonio shored above a million dollars, and Houston reported over $\$ 2,000,000$. In the six months, Houston is far in the lead with nearly $\$ 16,000,000$ in permits, followed by Fort Worth and San Antonio with over $\$ 7,000,000$ each. Dallas reperts ${ }^{(1)} 4,800,000$,

Final figures for construction costs for June declined over a
point and are now about $3 \%$ below the level of a year aro, according to S. W. Straus and Company. Building material prices based on 1913 as equal to 100 decreased from 165.6 in May to 164.3 ..in June, compared to 171.2 for the same month last your. Prices of building material are relatively high in comparison with tho general wholesale price of all commodities, which stood at 143.7 for last, month.

Building permits over the entire country foll off slightly in June,
from May, but the contracts let were the highest for any month on record, according to the F. W. Dodge Corporation:

## Lumber

The lumber industry has experienced some unfavorable influences during the past few months which have not been altogether encouraging. Among these dampening factors may be mentioned the substitutions for lumber in industry and the fear of a bujlding slump which was expected soon after the turn of the year. As the year advances, no signs of a building curtailment have materialized, and the new uses for wood together with normal consumption have maintained the lumber industry about on the same level as that of a year ago. The June report of the National Lumber Association says that "in general; reported lumber production in the year to date has beon approximately the same as or slightly below what it was last year in the South. Despite an average of 10 fewer mills reporting weekly to the Southern Pine Association so far in 1927 than in 1926, production shows a decline of about $6.7 \%$."

```
The Lumber Situation*
    ( in M Feet )
June, 1927
May, 1927
```

Preliminary report of 104
Mills in the Southwest

| Average production per mill | 2,690 | 2,258 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Average shipments per mill | 2,654 | 2,349 |
| Average, unfilled orders, per |  |  |
| week | 1,935 | 2,134 |

Final report of 34 Texas mills

| Production | 70,311 | 72,856 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Shipments | 60,981 | 74,325 |
| Stocks on hand on June 30 | 208,378 | 176,459 |
|  | *From the Southern Pine Association |  |

Note- Beginning with this issue, the REVIEW will contain comparative monthly figures for 34 Texas sat mills. These figures are possible through the courtesy of the Southern Pine Association.

Production of 104 mills reporting to the Southern Pine Association for the five weeks ending July 1 amounted to 2;690,000 feet against 2,258,000 feet for the four weeks in May. Shipments amounted to 2,654,000 feet, compared to $2,349,000$ foet in May of this yoar. Average woekly unfilled orders fell from $2,134,000$ feet in May to $1,935,000$ foct in June.

## Coment

Production of cement in Texas mills continued at record rate in June. During the month, 469,000 barrels were produced, compared to 462,000 barrels in May, and 416,000 barrels in June last year. This is
the first year since 1922 that the output has recorded an increase from May to June, indicating a steady demand for the product.

During the first half of the year, 2,644,000 barrels were manufactured, against $2,456,000$ barrels for the same period in 1926, an increase of $7.7 \%$. Shipments amounted to 454,000 barrels, the same as in June last year, but considerably smaller than the 573,000 barrels loaded in May. Stocks on hand show a slight increase of 16,000 barrels over the May figure and a re smaller by $31 \%$ than stocks at this time last year.


## Petroleum

The petroleum industry is slowly yielding to the low prices of crude. Although production continues at a very high rate, slowing up movements are in evidence in most fields and a more hopeful attitude is shown by the larger producers. Curtailment of oporations during the month was general throughout the country, but the slump in field activity in the State was especially severe. Stocks of crude in the three states of Texas, Louisiana, and Arkansas on June 1 were estimated at about 100,000,000, as compared with an estimate of $90,000,000$ barrels on May 1.


Prices of crude recorded very few changes during the month and the market tone was considerably firmer than in May. Gasolino prices were marked up a fraction of a cent.in some localities in lino with similar advances during the previous month. . Production amounted to 20,609,000 barrels of crude oil afainst $12,900,000$ barrels in June, 1926.

Hew wells completed during the month amounted to 556 , of which 304 were producers, compared to 573 in May, 361 of which were producers. Daily averare production foll from 687,000 barrels in Msy to 683,000 barrels in June.

## Cotton Consumed

Cotton textile mills in dune experienced one of the most active months in the history of the industry in this State. All of the 17 mills except 3 reporting to the University of Texas Bureau of Business Rescarch show large increasss over lay in the amount of cotton used. Practically all of the 155,000 spindles were active during the month, and several of the mills were rimning night shifts. However, cotton goods sales fell off nearly $50 \%$ and unfilled orders dropped materially so that the industry over the next few months is quite likely to slow up somevhat from the present rate. At the present rate of productign, unfillod orders are equal to about two months output.

Durine the month, the 17 mills roporting manufactured 7,264,000 yards of cloth and soun 484,000 pounds of yarn, compared to 6,807,000 yards of cloth and 551,000 pounds of yarn in May. Cotton roods sales f'ell off from $21,000,000$ yards in May to $15,000,000$ yards in Junc and yarn sales went up from 159,000 pounds to 378,000 pounds.

In the first half of the year these companios manufactured 40,734 bales of cotion into $31,564,000$ yards of cloth and 2,988,000 pounds of yurn. The 17 mills reprosent about $80 \%$ of the industry in Texas

| Texas cotton Manufacturers'. Reports |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| (Seventeen mills) June, 1927 May, 1927 Eirst Half* |  |
| Bales of cotton used | $\begin{array}{lll}9,140 & 8,596 & 40,734\end{array}$ |
| Yards of cloth produced | 7,264,000 6, 807,000 31,564,000 |
| Pounds of yarn produeed | $489,000 \quad 551,000 \quad 2,988,000$ |
| Salos of cotton goods, yürds |  |
|  | 14,927,000 . 21, 104,000 - - - $9,656,000$ |
| Sales of yarn, poundsUnfilled Orders, Cloth, |  |
|  |  |
| Yards, | $12,883,000 \quad 17,326,000 \quad 49,360,000$ |
| Unfilled orders, Yarn, |  |
| Pounds | 900,000 990,000 - 9 - 9 |
| Active Spindles | 155,000 |
| Spindle Hours | 87,848,000 |

Spinners' Marinin
Spinners' Marcir, as determined by the University of Texas Bureau of Business Resoarch ront up sharply in June. From a high point of 194 during last October, the ratio has been steedily downward at the rate of 3 or 4 points a month, until May, when a low point for this movement was reached. Starding at 165 , or only 5 points above nomal in May, the ratio went up 7 points to 172 in Juno. Th dvance was due to the rise in yarn prices, although cotton also was higher. American middling cotton in

Livorpool averaged 9.01-d in June against 8.71-d in May, and American 32 -twist yarn in Manchester averaged 15.50-d conpared to 14.35-d in May. Cotton prices have trended upward since last fall at a greater rate than have yarn prices, thereby causing the spinners' margin to fall. The situation was reversed in June but it seems quits unlikely that the ratio will go much higher. $A_{S}$ the ratio increases, derand for cotton is strengthened and prices are likely to advance.

|  | Spinners' | Margin |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1927 | 1926 | 1925 |
| January | 174 | 150 | 174 |
| February | 170 | 160 | 168 |
| March | 173 | 156 | 165 |
| April | 168 | 155 | 166 |
| May | 165 | 153 | 163 |
| June | 172 | 157 | 152 |
| July |  | 158 | 147 |
| August |  | 160 | 153 |
| Soptomber |  | 165 | 153 |
| October | 194 | 157 |  |
| November | 187 | 163 |  |
| December |  | 186 | 162 |

Spinners' margin refers to the ratio between the price of American 32-twist cotton yarn in Manchester and the Liverpool price of middling American cottor. Normally, the price of 32 -twist should be $60 \%$ above the spot price of American middling cetton.

If prices change so that the ratio increases, the spinners' margin of profit is increased and thereby the demand for cotton is strengthened. Or the other hand, when tho ratio decreases, the spinners' margin is also relatively decreased, and then the domand for cotton falls.

## Agriculture

The arricultural situation in Texas enters the second half of the year rather fiworably. After a partial setback in lay, conditions changed for the bettor in Juns and many of the unfavorable factors were overcome. Bensficial rains fell generelly over the State and onded the drouth which was checking the growth of crops. While it is true that the moisture came rather late and thet crops suffered materially, yet improvement has beon rapid and the ferming situation looks much brighter now than it did at the beginning of June.

Threshing of wheat and oats has mado good progress so far this year. In some sections the vield is reported as rather light and kernels are small because of dry weather during the growing season, but the rarket demand is good and orowers aro experiencing little difficulty in marketing their crops at $\quad$ good prices. The widespread use of the combined harvester in the plains area is very noticeable this year and more of these labor-saving mechinos are likoly to be used because of reduced harvesting costs.

The July crop report of the United States Department of Agricul-
ture shows Texas in a much more favorable condition than it was a month earlier. Most of the crops show improvement and with a spell of good weather the retarding influences of unfavorable woather earlier in the year will be overcome to a very large extent.

Cotton and grain prices were steady mos't of the month with a slight upward trend. After the unusual rise in prices of these products in May, a period of horizontal prices was to be expected in order for the technical position of the market to be adjusted. At this time of the year the weather is the most important market influence and prices respond very readily to the daily reports. Operators for the advance point out the unferorable factors of the growing icrons and look for much higher prices. On the other hand, the bears show that all unfavorable influences have been more than discounted and prices should be downward. At the present time, the bullish factors are about in even balance with the bearich influences.

The composite price of all commodities, according to the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics fell only .4 of a point during the month or from 144.1 in May to 143.7 in June. Last year in June the index stood at 252.3. The farm products index went up from 137.4 in May to 138.2 in June, but it is still 5.5 points below the index of June, 1926.

## Carlot Shipments of Eruits and Vegetables

Shipments of fruits and vegetables were up sharply from the comparatively light loadings in May. During the month, 5,643 cars were shipped against 3,973 cars in May and 5,408 cars in April. Watermelons and tomatoes account for the bulk of the loadings, although some onions and early potatoes are still being shipped. During the month, carlots of celery, peppers and grapes were reported for the first time this year.

During the first six months of the year, a total of 27,966 cars wero loaded. Figures previous to January are not available for comparison but it is quite possible that this year's shipments are higher because of the devolopment of the trucking buciness in the state in recent years.

Prices wre generally downward for the month. As the season advances, Texas fruits and vegetables are in competition with produce from other parts of the country and prices normally fall. The market for watermelons was excoptionally strong because of smaller shipments from other parts of tho country, and Texas growers have found this crop very profitable so far this year.

The season is over for spinach, sweet potatoes, cauliflower, strawberries, lettuce, green peas, string beans, and mixed vegetables. onions and cabbage are likely to be over by the end of this month.

Carlot shipments of fruits and vegotables during the months of June and May and also for the first half of this year are shown in the

## table below:


$\therefore$ The setback which livestock experienced in May was recovered in June and the industry begins the summer in a favorable condition. Rains fell cenerally over the stn, be beang the drouth which was beginning to look seryous, espegidaly in the western and southwestern areas. While some suctions are still rather dry, ranges generally are good. insuring most growers against feeding or shipping stock to pastures, for another month at least. Sherp and goats for the most part are in good flesh and the kid and lamb cropseare moving to market freely although in smaller shipmonts than at this time last year. Cattle are fattening obout as usual and the calf crop is exceptionally good this year.

Fly infectation this season is causing considerable damage to stock. Growers are being forced to omploy additional help in order to combat this pest. So far; the loss has not been serious but a spell of favorable fly-weather may cause some loss bofore the summer is over.

The condition of livestock on July 1 as reported by H. H. Schutz, State Statistician for the United States Department of Agriculture, was very much improved over the Juno condition. Cattle ranges went up 5 points or from $83 \%$ of normal to $88 \%$; the condition of cattle increased 1 point to $89 \%$. This compares with a oondition of $97 \%$ for both cattle
and ranges on July 1, 1926. Sheep and goat ranges were placed at $89 \%$ of normal; up 3 points from the previous month. Condition of sheep was $93 \%$ and goats were placed at $94 \%$, each up 1 peint in the month.

Some wool and mohair in storage which had not been marketed earlier was sold at higher prioes. "Several clips of wool were sold to Eastern firms at $36 \phi^{\prime}$ per pound and several lots of mohair exchanged at $65 \not \subset$ to $70 \not \subset$ a pound. Sales earlier in the year were reported at $3 \not \subset$ to $5 \not \subset$ under the se figures.

Total shipments of livestock fell off sharply during the month. Receipts at Fort Worth of all classes of stock except calves were below those of May. Total receipts amounted to 171,000 head against 239,000 in Nay. Shipments for the first six months were 992,000 , compared to 949,000 for the first half of 1926 .

Cattle and calves were in good demand most of the month although heavy runs depressed the market on several occasions. Hog and sheep prices continued the downward trend but at a slower rate, indicating that buyers are purchasing more freely and that the market is not likely to go much lower for the present at least. For the week ending July 2, handy weight hogs on the Fort Worth market were selling at $9 \not \subset$, against $9.25 \not \subset$ for the same week in June, and prime beef steers went up from $9.50 \not \subset$ to $10 \not \subset$. Calves brought $10 \not \subset$ compared to $9.40 \not \subset$ the previousimonth, and muttons dropped from $7.75 \not \subset$ to $7.25 \not \subset$. Lambs fell of $f$ ariother cent a pound and sold at $11.75 \not \subset$, the lowest point of the season.

| Livestock Receipts at Fort Worth ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June, 1927 May, 1927 June, 1926 |  |  | First Half |  |
| Cattle | 82,570 | 93,180 | 95,090 | 4.21,000 | 486,000 |
| Calves | 21,810 | 19,470 | 12,040 | 88,000 | 78,000 |
| Hogs | 21,410 | 30,230 | 16,160 | 210,000 | 116,000 |
| Sheep | 45,240 | 96,300 | 98,540 | 273,000 | 269,000 |
| Total | 171,030 | 239,180 | 221,870 | 992,000 | 949,000 |
|  | om the For | Worth | Yards | any |  |
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