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After declining $1 \%$ in April, the seasonally adjusted index of Texas business activity rose $2 \%$ in May to a new all-time high value of $177.2 \%$ of the average monthly value during 1957-59. The May peak was $1.2 \%$ above the April high. This index is based upon bank debits in twenty Texas cities adjusted for seasonal variation and price changes. Bank debits reflect all monetary transactions effected by means of check payments. These include payments for goods and services as well as payments for sales of securities and real property. Bank debits fluctuate in accordance with changes in the rate of general business activity. They are classified by the National Bureau of Economic Research as a coincident indicator of changes in the business cycle. This means that bank debits reach their cyclical peaks and lows at the same time as the general business cycle.

Adjustment for seasonal variation removes the influence of seasonal highs and lows during the course of a
year. The adjusted data show primarily cyclical and random changes in the short run. Small monthly changes are primarily random in character.

Adjustment for price changes removes the influence of inflation and deflation, showing changes in flows of goods and services undistorted by the effects of changes in prices in various items.

A glance at the table of indicators of business activity in twenty Texas cities reveals that in May there were nine increases, one unchanged index, and ten decreases. Austin led all cities with a $14 \%$ May increase. Dallas had a $1 \%$ increase, Fort Worth a $7 \%$ increase, and San Antonio a $3 \%$ increase. Houston had a $9 \%$ decrease. The net effect of the increases was to produce a $2 \%$ rise in the combined index of all twenty cities.

Comparison of the first five months of this year shows that state-wide business activity averaged $9 \%$ above the comparable 1965 period. The indexes for twenty Texas


BUSINESS ACTIVITY INDEXES FOR 20 SELECTED TEXAS CITIES
(Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-59=100)

| City | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year-to- } \\ & \text { date } \\ & \text { average } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | May 1966 from Apr 1966 | Year-todate average 1966 from 1965 |
| Abilene | 139.8 | 146.1 | 142.3 | - 4 | + 5 |
| Amarillo | 171.0 | 171.0 | 173.8 | ** | $+10$ |
| Austin | . 195.0 | 171.5 | 179.6 | $+14$ | + 5 |
| Beaumont | . 173.1 | 183.8 | 173.8 | - 6 | $+11$ |
| Corpus Christi | . 131.1 | 142.1 | 134.4 | - 8 | $+4$ |
| Corsicana .... | . 150.5 | 137.5 | 140.5 | + 9 | $+10$ |
| Dallas | . 196.2 | 193.4 | 191.4 | + 1 | $+11$ |
| El Paso | . 127.9 | 120.8 | 121.2 | $+6$ | - 3 |
| Fort Worth | . 139.9 | 130.3 | 132.8 | + 7 | + 7 |
| Galveston | . 113.3 | 120.2 | 113.4 | - 6 | $+2$ |
| Houston | . 178.1 | 196.2 | 183.2 | - 9 | + 9 |
| Laredo | 158.7 | 164.7 | 165.3 | - 4 | + 8 |
| Lubbock | 160.5 | 155.7 | 165.8 | $+3$ | + 4 |
| Port Arthur | . 100.8 | 115.7 | 110.6 | $-13$ | + 7 |
| San Angelo | . 141.0 | 139.1 | 141.5 | + 1 | $+10$ |
| San Antonio | 166.9 | 162.7 | 163.6 | + 3 | $+10$ |
| Texarkana | . 176.8 | 177.9 | 173.4 | - 1 | $+14$ |
| Tyler | . 143.3 | 145.6 | 144.7 | - 2 | + 4 |
| Waco | . 139.5 | 166.6 | 151.6 | $-16$ | + 8 |
| Wichita Falls | . 141.8 | 133.7 | 142.9 | $+6$ | $+10$ |

* Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
cities show nineteen rises and only one decline. Among the state's largest cities, Dallas led with an index average $11 \%$ above the first five months of 1965 . San Antonio was up $10 \%$, Houston $9 \%$, and Fort Worth $7 \%$. May was the sixty-third month of the current cyclical upswing. The current rate of business activity is unprecedented. This upswing is exceeded in length only by the eighty-month upswing during World War II.

Crude oil production rose $6 \%$ in May after seasonal factors were taken into account. This was an unusually large month-to-month rise. At $107.0 \%$ of average monthly production during 1957-59, the index was at its highest level since June 1957 when it registered $115.2 \%$ of the base period average. During the nine years since June 1957, the index has been as low as $88.3 \%$ of its 1957-59 base value.

Data published in the June issue of World Oil show that January-April production of crude oil in Texas amounted to 353.0 million barrels, an increase of $6.6 \%$ over the first four months last year. United States production amounted to 989.7 million barrels, up $5.3 \%$ over production during the first four months of 1965. A comparison of production in the nation and the six largest producing states is made in the following table:

LEADING OIL-PRODUCING STATES, JANUARY-APRIL 1966

| State | $\begin{gathered} \text { January-April } \\ \text { production } \\ \text { (thousands of barrels) } \end{gathered}$ | Percentage change from January-April 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | 109,930 |  |
| Louisiana | 216,021 | + 11.7 |
| New Mexico | 42,345 | + 6.3 |
| Oklahoma | 72,530 | + 7.3 |
| Texas | 353,045 | + 6.4 |
| Wyoming | ... 43,233 | - 10.6 |
| United States | 989,663 | + 5.3 |

[^0]Louisiana had the largest increase, $11.7 \%$. New Mexico had the smallest, $6.3 \%$. Wyoming was the only state of the six having a decline. These six states produced a total of 837.1 million of the 989.7 million barrels of United States production during the first four months. This was $84.6 \%$ of the total. Texas produced $35.7 \%$ of the national total.

The $11.7 \%$ increase for Louisiana was a continuation of a pattern of large production increases in the state. In 1958, Louisiana was the third largest producer in the nation with a total output of 312.0 million barrels of crude oil in that year. Since that time it has risen to second rank as a producer, displacing California from that position. Last year Louisiana produced 513.3 million barrels, a $64.5 \%$ increase over 1958. Annual compounding of the respective percentage increases in production at a rate equal to $11.7 \%$ for Louisiana and $6.3 \%$ for Texas indicates that, if these rates were to continue, Louisiana production would equal Texas output in ten years.

The principal source of Louisiana production is the South Louisiana district, which includes the prolific offshore producing areas. During the January-April period, this area had the largest percentage increase in output, $12.0 \%$. The North Louisiana district had an $8.3 \%$ increase. The southern district produced $90.7 \%$ of total state production during the first four months of the year. A huge new oil pipeline of $36-42$ inches in diameter designed to carry an initial 400,000 barrels a day from southern Louisiana to central Illinois is being planned by a group of oil companies.

CRUDE-OIL PRODUCTION IN TEXAS


Of the ten Texas Railroad Commission districts, number five, the East Central District, had the largest percentage increase in output during the first four months of the year. The increase was $21.5 \%$, compared with $13.5 \%$, the second largest increase, which occurred in the West Central District. District 8, West Texas, which produced $41.7 \%$ of the state's output during the first four months, had a $10.2 \%$ increase over the comparable 1965 period. Only two districts, the upper Gulf and the Panhandle districts, had decreases.

Although there have been three significant gas discoveries in offshore Texas areas during the past year, there still is no boom in offshore drilling. This has been attributed to a complex of factors which include the low success ratio of wildcats drilled and the need for completion of seismic work currently in progress. In an effort to spur drilling of wildcat wells, the Texas Railroad Commission has increased the number of new wells

MISCELLANEOUS FREIGHT CARLOADINGS IN THE SOUTHWESTERN DISTRICT

entitled to discovery allowables from five wells in any new field to ten. The duration of the extra allowable production has been extended from 18 months to 24 months. These rules apply to onshore discoveries. Offshore wells lose their discovery allowable after 18 months or the completion of six wells in the field.

Seasonally adjusted May crude oil runs to stills rose $4 \%$. At $120.0 \%$ of average monthly runs during the $1957-$ 59 base period, the index was at its highest level since its record peak of $120.6 \%$ reached in July 1964. Runs to stills have been at high levels during the first five months of the year. Strong demand for both gasoline and kerosene contributed to the rise.

The seasonally adjusted index of total electric power use in the state declined $2 \%$ in May. Industrial electric power use declined $1 \%$. Commercial and domestic power use contributed the remainder of the decline. For the first five months of the year both total power use and industrial power use were up substantially over the comparable 1965 period.

Seasonally adjusted sales of ordinary life insurance rose $9 \%$ in May to a value of $185.9 \%$ of average monthly sales during the 1957-59 base period. This was the highest rate of May sales in the history of the index. It was $11.1 \%$ above May 1965. Sales for the first five months of the year were $10 \%$ above the comparable 1965 period. Growing population and higher family incomes in the state have increased total need for life insurance coverage. Rising price levels during the post World War II era have meant that more insurance coverage is needed to provide for a given level of family needs.

Data supplied by the industry show that there were 261 life insurance companies domiciled in Texas at mid-year of 1964. This was an increase of $2 \%$ over the number

## TOTAL ELECTRIC POWER USE IN TEXAS



INDUSTRIAL ELECTRIC POWER USE IN TEXAS
INDEX-ADIUS LD FOR SEASONN VMRIATION-1957-1959-100

in 1954. In 1963 life insurance companies doing business in this state paid $\$ 410.3$ million in benefits. These include death payments, matured endowments, annuities, surrender values, and policy dividends.

Total retail sales in Texas declined $3 \%$ in May after seasonal factors were taken into account. Sales of durable consumer goods declined $2 \%$. Sales of nondurables fell $4 \%$. Cumulative data show that for the first five months of the year total retail sales were $4 \%$ above the comparable 1965 period. Sales of durables were up $4 \%$. Nondurables had a $3 \%$ sales rise.

Total May retail sales in the United States dropped $2.4 \%$ to a seasonally adjusted $\$ 24.4$ billion. This was the second consecutive monthly drop. It placed sales at a level $5 \%$ above May 1965. Sales of durable goods were down $7 \%$ from April. They were $2 \%$ below May 1965. The decline in automobile sales was primarily responsible for the drop. Sales of nondurables were at virtually the same level as in April. They were $8 \%$ above May

SELECTED BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS
(Indexes-Adjuted for seasonal variation-1957-59=100)

| Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | Year-to- <br> dateAppraverage$1966 \quad 1966$ |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Y } \\ \text { May } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Apr } 1966 \end{array}$ | Year-todate average 1966 1965 1965 |
| Texas business activity | 177.2 | 173.8 | 172.6 | + 2 | + 9 |
| Crude petroleum production | 107.0* | 100.8 * | 100.9 | + 6 | + 7 |
| Crude oil runs to stills | . 120.0 | 115.2 | 115.8 | + 4 | $+3$ |
| Total electric power use | 188.0* | 192.0* | 183.6 | 2 | $+10$ |
| Industrial electric power use. | .180.5* | 182.2* | 175.0 | - 1 | $+13$ |
| Bank debits ............... | 186.9 | 183.4 | 181.7 | + 2 | $+13$ |
| Miscellaneous freight carloadings in S.W. district |  | 83.7 | 82.1 | ** |  |
| Ordinary life insurance sales. | 185.9 | 170.2 | 174.7 | + 9 | + 10 |
| Total retail sales .......... | 130.4* | 134.8 r |  | 3 |  |
| Durable-goods sales | 149.7* | 152.8 r |  | 2 | $+4$ |
| Nondurable-goods sales | 120.5* | 125.5 r |  | - 4 | + 3 |
| Building construction authorized | 141.5 | 145.3 | 145.0 | - 3 | + 21 |
| New residential | . 110.1 | 96.5 | 111.3 | + 14 | $+10$ |
| New nonresidential ...... | 195.7 | 224.1 | 199.4 | $-13$ | $+43$ |
| Total nonfarm employment. | 122.3* | 122.1* | 121.6 | * |  |
| Manufacturing employment | 124.0* | 123.3* |  | $+1$ | $+6$ |
| Total unemployment | 78.6 | 75.2 | 77.4 | + 5 | $-17$ |
| Insured unemployment | 49.9 | 51.5 | 56.4 | - 3 | - 41 |
| Average weekly earnings manufacturing | 125.7* | 126.1* | 124.6 | ** |  |
| Average weekly hoursmanufacturing | $102.9^{*}$ | 103.0* | 102.6 | ** | ** |
| "Preliminary. <br> rRevised. <br> ** Change is less than one-half | $1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

TEXAS INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION, TOTAL MANUFACTURES

1965.

Total urban building permits issued in May were down $3 \%$ after seasonal adjustment. For the first five months of the year both residential and nonresidential permits were well above the comparable 1965 period.

Total building permits for the United States in May were down $7 \%$ from April after seasonal adjustment. They were $12 \%$ below May 1966. Housing starts were down also, falling at an accelerated rate. Tightness of mortgage money has affected national building data sooner than it has Texas'. There are strong indications that the unavailability of long-term funds for housing will soon affect Texas home builders. Thus far, the credit stringency has been felt most in the homebuilding industry in the Northeast. The North Central, and Southern regions have not been affected so much.


May seasonally adjusted total nonfarm employment was virtually unchanged from the April level. For the first five months of the year total nonfarm employment averaged $4 \%$ above the comparable 1965 period.

Manufacturing employment was up $1 \%$ in May after seasonal adjustment. During the first five months it averaged $6 \%$ above the like 1965 period. Average weekly earnings in manufacturing averaged $4 \%$ above 1965 dur. ing the first five months of the year due to higher wages. Average hours were unchanged.

The first five months have been prosperous ones for Texans, resulting in high employment and high earnings, There is no sign of an end to the upswing. The problems of the housing industry in the nation may develop into a threat. This situation will bear watching.

## hours and earnings in texas

| Industry | Average weekly earnings |  |  | Average weekly hours |  |  | Average hourly earnings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{May}^{*} \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1966}{\underset{A p r}{r}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May r } \\ 1965 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May* } \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1966}{\text { Apr r }^{\text {r }}}$ | $\underset{1965}{\text { May } \mathbf{r}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May** } \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr r } \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May r } \\ 1965 \end{gathered}$ |
| Manufacturing-Total | \$107.52 | \$107.52 | \$103.99 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.1 | \$2.56 | \$2.56 | \$2.47 |
| Durable goods | 110.33 | 110.33 | 108.00 | 42.6 | 42.6 | 43.2 | 2.59 | 2.59 | 2.50 |
| Lumber and wood products. | 74.21 | 73.96 | 70.31 | 43.4 | 43.0 | 42.1 | 1.71 | 1.72 | 1.67 |
| Furniture and fixtures. | 68.94 | 70.46 | 73.13 | 38.3 | 38.5 | 37.5 | 1.80 | 1.83 | 1.95 |
| Stone, clay and glass products. | 95.70 | 100.57 | 88.36 | 44.1 | 45.1 | 43.1 | 2.17 | 2.28 | 2.05 |
| Primary metal industries. | 123.85 | 128.90 | 119.23 | 41.7 | 42.4 | 41.4 | 2.97 | 3.04 | 2.88 |
| Fabricated metal products. | 114.84 | 110.49 | 110.31 | 44.0 | 43.5 | 44.3 | 2.61 | 2.54 | 2.49 |
| Machinery, except electrical | $114.58$ | 112.92 | 113.09 | 43.4 | 43.1 | 44.7 | 2.64 | 2.62 | 2.58 |
| Oil field machinery | $120.98$ | 123.82 | 123.75 | 42.6 | 43.6 | 45.0 | 2.84 | 2.84 | 2.75 |
| Transportation equipment | 142.02 | 142.89 | 143.36 | 43.3 | 43.3 | 44.8 | 3.28 | 3.30 | 3.20 |
| Nondurable goods ......... | 103.91 | 103.82 | 100.21 | 41.4 | 41.2 | 40.9 | 2.51 | 2.52 | 2.45 |
| Food and kindred products | 92.10 | 89.10 | 87.98 | 41.3 | 40.5 | 41.5 | 2.23 | 2.20 | 2.12 |
| Meat products | 98.67 | 92.28 | 95.26 | 42.9 | 39.1 | 41.6 | 2.30 | 2.86 | 2.29 |
|  |  | 77.16 | 71.61 | 44.9 | 44.6 | 43.4 | 1.72 | 1.78 | 1.65 |
| Broad woven fabric mills |  | 79.83 | 73.35 | 44.7 | 44.6 | 43.4 | 1.77 | 1.79 |  |
| Apparel and other finished textile. | 61.07 | 60.83 | 56.85 | 39.4 | 39.5 | 37.9 | 1.55 | 1.54 | 1.50 |
| Paper and allied products........ | 118.16 | 114.17 | 114.54 | 43.6 | 42.6 | 42.9 | 2.71 | 2.68 | 2.67 |
| Printing, publishing and allied industries | 103.10 | 102.00 | 102.70 | 39.5 | 40.0 | 39.5 | 2.61 | 2.55 | 2.60 |
| Chemicals and allied products | 146.20 | 149.04 | 140.53 | 43.0 | 43.2 | 42.2 | 3.40 | 3.45 | 3.88 |
| Petroleum refining and related industries. | 150.84 | 154.82 | 143.72 | 41.9 | 42.3 | 41.9 | 3.60 | 3.66 | 3.48 |
| Leather and leather products | 63.79 | 66.60 | 67.20 | 43.1 | 45.0 | 45.1 | 1.48 | 1.48 | 1.49 |
| Nonmanufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mining ....... | 130.97 | 133.24 | 126.14 | 42.8 | 43.4 | 43.2 | 3.06 | 3.07 | 2.92 |
| Crude petroleum and natural gas. | $132.91$ | 135.53 | 127.71 | 42.6 | 43.3 | 43.0 | 3.12 | 3.18 | 2.97 |
| Sulphur | 146.88 | 143.37 | 148.71 | 43.2 | 41.8 | 45.2 | 3.40 | 8.43 | 8.29 |
| Public utilities | 111.35 | 111.78 | 108.00 | 40.2 | 40.5 | 40.3 | 2.77 | 2.76 | 2.68 |
| Wholesale trade | $107.10$ | $109.04$ | $105.27$ | $42.0$ | $43.1$ | $43.5$ | $2.55$ | $2.53$ | 2.42 1.88 |
| Retail trade | $68.44$ | $68.06$ | $69.36$ | 37.4 | 37.6 | 37.9 | 1.83 | 1.81 | 1.88 |

[^1]
# THE IMPORTANCE OF WILDLIFE RESOURCES IN TEXAS 

by Kenneth D. Starnes, Jr.*

Although Texans are constantly reminded of the bountiful supply of wildlife which their state contains, few realize how much economic and aesthetic benefit is reaped from this abundance. In 1960 , over $\$ 383$ million changed hands as a direct result of hunting and fishing activities. If all the dollars spent both directly and indirectly on hunting and fishing could be calculated, the total would be staggering. These expenditures reach into almost every segment of the state's economy.

Three prerequisites are necessary for a hunting and fishing "industry." First, there must be an adequate supply of wildlife. Second is the need for a population which makes use of wildlife resources. The third factor, and the one that directly benefits the economy, is that sportsmen must need and buy equipment and other items necessary to participate in the sports.

## Texas Wildlife

Texas is fortunate in having a wide variety of wildlife. This is due partly to the forests and other vegetation of the state. They serve as an excellent cover and nutrient resource to the thousands of varieties of game which roam Texas fields and forests. Although other species of game are perhaps more numerous, the white-tailed deer is by far the most important Texas game animal. According to the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, it numbers over $2,250,000$, and usually more than 100,000 are killed each year. In size, the Texas deer population is almost $2,000,000$ more than that of any other state. In 1960, the Texas deer kill was estimated to be 208,000 , a kill which ranked in numerical size among the five top deer states. In 1964, the Texas kill was almost $20 \%$ of the total U. S. kill. The white-tailed deer habitat covers almost all the state except for parts of the Panhandle and extreme West Texas. The Edwards Plateau region has some of the highest concentrations of deer found in the U. S. In fact, the deer population in some Edwards

[^2]

Plateau counties exceeds the livestock population.
Other members of the deer family, the mule deer and the antelope, are found primarily in the Davis Mountains and the open ranges of the Trans-Pecos region. Recently, the elk has been introduced to the Guadalupe and Davis mountains and promises to become a valuable game animal.

Texas ranks as the number one bird state in the United States. More than 540 species have been recorded in the state-three-fourths of all the species known to occur between Mexico and Canada. Nearly one-half of the

Table 1
MILEAGE TRAVELED BY AUTOMOBILE FOR FISHING AND HUNTING

| Activity | Total automobile miles (thousands) | Passenger total (thousands) | Average miles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fishermen and/or | 1,168,706 | 2,660,300 | 1,097 |
| Fishermen | 851,200 | 1,937,716 | 886 |
| Fresh water | 621,206 | 1,360,494 | 710 |
| Salt water | 229,994 | 577,222 | 1,040 |
| Hunters | 317,507 | 722,585 | 689 |
| Waterfowl | 27,352 | 72,625 | 494 |
| Small game | 186,138 | 430,008 | 538 |
| Big game | . 104,017 | 219,952 | 520 |


|  | Number of days (thousands) | Average number of days | Number of trips (thousands) | Average number of trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fishing and/or hunting | . 54,638 | 22.5 | 47,369 | 19.5 |
| Fishing | 40,160 | 18.4 | 34,122 | 15.6 |
| Fresh water | 33,924 | 17.7 | 29,230 | 15.3 |
| Salt water | 6,236 | 11.2 | 4,872 | 8.8 |
| Hunting | 14,478 | 13.8 | 13,247 | 12.6 |
| Waterfowl | 1,099 | 7.5 | 928 | 6.3 |
| Small game | 11,117 | 13.9 | 10,628 | 13.3 |
| Big game | 2,262 | 5.3 | 1,691 | 4.0 |

Source: U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Texas Hunting and Fishing Survey," 1960, pp. B-12, B-8.
wild turkeys in the United States are found in Texas, with the heaviest concentration being in the Hill Country or Edwards Plateau region. About 15,000 wild turkeys were killed in 1964. As well as having a wealth of native birds, the state benefits by being in the migratory path of practically all species of wild duck. The coastal area of the Gulf serves as the winter home of many. The Eagle Lake region is often referred to as the "Goose Capital" of the world.

The most widely hunted game bird is the mourning dove, a bird which migrates in great concentrations to South Texas each fall and winter. Another popular game bird, the quail, is found in almost all sections of the
state. Lesser game birds found throughout the state include pheasant, grouse, prairie chicken, partridge, woodcock, snipe, and rails.

For those who thrill to the hunt of dangerous game, the javelina or Texas collared peccary serves as a source of hunting adventure. This ferocious little animal is found in the border country of southwest Texas and is often hunted with dogs. The peccary's cousin, the wild boar, is found primarily in East Texas.

The Davis Mountains and Palo Duro areas are wild sheep and goat country. Wild sheep from Arabia recently have been introduced to the area as a complement to the native stock and promise to become excellent game animals.

Texas is well known for its abundance of small game. Despite its being one of the most heavily hunted animals in the state, the rabbit seems to maintain a fairly large and constant population. Hunters also find plentiful supplies of coyote, bobcat, fox, hawk, owl, squirrel, and other various small game animals.

The only member of the reptile family which could be classified as a game animal is the western diamondback rattlesnake. In some sections of the state, an annual rattlesnake hunt is staged, normally sponsored by the local chamber of commerce or some other civic organization. These hunts often attract hunters from as far away as Canada and New England.

Various factors influence the levels of game population. Rainfall, vegetation, climate, and the profusion of predatory animals all serve as checks on the growth of the game population. Should one animal become to numerous, the balance is soon restored through nature's selfcorrecting adjustments. However, it it not uncommon from season to season to note cyclical patterns in the growth of game animals.

True to its reputation as a land of diversity, Texas has a wide variety of both fresh-water and salt-water fish. Red snapper, sea trout, and tarpon are probably the most popular of the salt-water species. The largemouthed bass and the catfish are found widely in the
fresh-water lakes and streams of the state. Plans for water development and the creation of new reservoirs will add thousands of acres of fishable lakes and streams to what is already a sizeable water system.

## Hunters and Fishermen

In 1960, the U. S. Bureau of the Census listed 9,579,677 persons living in Texas, ranking the state sixth in total population among the states. In that same year, the Bureau of the Census also found that 2.4 million, or one in every three, Texans twelve years of age or older engaged in sport fishing and hunting. Forty-six percent of all males fished and/or hunted and $21 \%$ of all females fished and/or hunted. Almost one out of every two males and one female in five fished. There were fewer hunters, with one male in four hunting and only one female in thirty participating. The number of persons hunting and fishing has increased almost 800,000 since 1955 , or from about $26.8 \%$ to $33.2 \%$ of the population.
The highest percent of sportsmen is found in small cities or suburbs of large cities, with $36 \%$ of the population being participants. The lowest percent is found in large cities, with $29 \%$ participating. From $31 \%-33 \%$ of the population of rural areas and towns are listed as participants.

Participation by age group varied little except that fewer older persons hunted and fished. About $35 \%$ of each age group under 65 participated, whereas only $20 \%$ over 65 participated.

Of the 2.1 million fishermen in Texas, 1.9 million fished in fresh water, and 555,000 fished in salt water. Because some participated in both fresh-water and salt-water fishing, the totals do not balance.

There were over a million hunters in 1960 with 147,000 hunting waterfowl, 800,000 hunting small game, and some 423,000 hunting big game.

Altogether, 47 million trips were taken by sportsmen in 1960, which totaled over 2.6 billion passenger miles.

Table 2
NUMBER OF PERSONS TWELVE YEARS OLD AND OVER WHO FISHED AND HUNTED IN 1960, BY SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS (thousands)

| Characteristics | Population twelve years and over | Fished and/or hunted |  | Fished and hunted |  | Fished only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
| Total . . . . . . . . . | . 7, 7,296 | 2,425 | 33.2 | 811 | 11.1 | 1,375 | 18.8 |
| Density |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big cities | - 1,728 | 502 | 29.1 | 155 | 9.0 | 305 | 17.7 |
| Small cities and suburbs | - 2,654 | 963 | 36.3 | 264 | 9.9 | 594 | 22.4 |
| Towns | 1,055 | 329 | 31.2 | 102 | 9.7 | 203 | 19.2 |
| Rural areas | . 1,859 | 631 | 33.9 | 290 | 15.6 | 273 | 14.7 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 3,458 | 1,615 | 47.7 | 721 | 20.9 | 694 | 20.1 |
| Female | - 3,837 | 810 | 21.1 | 90 | 2.9 2.3 | 681 | 17.7 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-17 years | ... 1,189 | 398 | 33.5 | 171 | 14.4 | 211 | 17.7 |
| 18-24 years. | .. 947 | 318 | 33.6 | 132 | 13.9 | 160 | 16.9 |
| 25-34 years | ... 1,326 | 481 | 36.3 | 163 | 12.3 | 276 | 20.8 |
| $35-44$ years | .. 1,228 | 434 | 35.3 | 126 | 10.3 | 245 | 20.0 |
| 45-64 years | .. 1,957 | 663 | 33.9 | 201 | 10.3 | 386 | 19.7 |
| 65 and over | .. 650 | 132 | 20.3 | 19 | 2.9 | 97 | 14.9 |
| (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2
NUMBER OF PERSONS TWELVE YEARS OLD AND OVER WHO FISHED AND HUNTED IN 1960, BY SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS (Continued)
(thousands)

| Characteristics | Population twelve years and over | Total fished |  | Hunted only |  | Total hunted |  | Neither fished nor hunted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
| Total . . . . . . . . . . . . | . 7,296 | 2,186 | 30.0 | 239 | 3.3 | 1.049 | 14.4 | 4,871 | 66.8 |
| Density |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big cities | 1,728 | 460 | 26.6 | 42 | 2.4 | 197 | 11.4 | 1,226 | 70.9 |
| Small cities and suburbs | s. 2,654 | 857 | 32.3 | 105 | 4.0 | 368 | 13.9 | 1,691 | 63.7 |
| Towns ............. | . 1,055 | 305 | 28.9 | 24 | 2.3 | 126 | 11.9 | 726 | 68.8 |
| Rural areas .......... | ... 1,859 | 563 | 30.3 | 68 | 3.7 | 358 | 19.3 | 1,228 | 66.1 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | . 3,458 | 1,415 | 40.9 | 200 | 5.8 | 921 | 26.6 | 1,843 | 53.3 |
| Female ............. | . 3,837 | 771 | 20.1 | 39 | 1.0 | 129 | 3.4 | 3,027 | 78.9 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-17 years | 1,189 | 381 | 32.0 | 16 | 1.3 | 187 | 15.7 | 791 | 16.3 |
| 18-24 years | 947 | 292 | 30.8 | 26 | 2.7 | 158 | 16.7 | 629 | 12.9 |
| 25-34 years ........ | . 1,326 | 439 | 33.1 | 42 | 3.2 | 205 | 15.5 | 845 | 17.3 |
| 35-44 years ......... | ... 1,228 | 371 | 30.2 | 63 | 5.1 | 189 | 15.4 | 794 | 16.4 |
| 45-64 years .... | $1,957$ | 586 | 29.9 | 76 | 3.9 | 276 | 14.1 | 1.294 | 26.6 |
| 65 and over......... | .... 650 | 116 | 17.8 | 16 | 2.5 | 34 | 5.2 | 518 | 10.2 |

Source: U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Tex as Hunting and Fishing Survey," 1960, p. B-1.

The average sportsman took 19.5 trips and traveled over 1,000 miles. Fifty-five million man-days were spent in hunting and fishing.

In making these trips, 418,000 Texans hunted deer, killing 242,000 (including 34,000 out of state). Three hundred twenty-one thousand quail hunters bagged 9.8 million quail for an average of 31 each. Over 14 million doves were shot by 450,000 hunters for an average of 32 each, and 137,000 duck hunters took an average of 25 each.

## Economic Significance

The economic impact of hunting and fishing is significant. Texans spent twice as much money on these sports as they did for admission to all football, baseball, and basketball games, plus all movies and other stage attractions combined. More was spent on these sports than the total amounts spent for medical care, plus dental care, plus hospitalization insurance. Such is the impact of hunting and fishing on the economy of Texas.

Texas sportsmen spent more than $\$ 383$ million on fishing and hunting during 1960 . There was a $131.9 \%$ increase in expenditures from 1955 to 1960. This sharp increase is the result of three factors:
(1) the growth of the population;
(2) the increased rate of participation in fishing and hunting; and
(3) the higher average spending by sportsmen.

The average sportsman spent $\$ 158$ during 1960 as compared to $\$ 102$ in 1955 . In 1955, the average expenditure was $\$ 87$ for fishing and $\$ 59$ for hunting. In 1960 , it was $\$ 130$ and $\$ 93$, respectively.

Studies show the average expenditure of $\$ 158$ per sportsman was almost equally divided between equipment costs and the other costs as seen in Table 3. About $\$ 82$ was spent on equipment, with $\$ 42$ of that spent on boats and motors. Auto expense amounted to $\$ 19.28$. The sharpest increase in expenditures is in specialty equipment, such as special clothing, cooking and camping equipment, bi-
noculars, etc. There was a $32.5 \%$ increase in sales from 1955 to 1960 in this category.

One hundred and seventy-six million dollars were spent for fresh-water fishing and $\$ 109$ million for salt-water fishing. Hunters spent $\$ 11$ million for waterfowl hunting, $\$ 50$ million for small game, and $\$ 36$ million for big game.

It is difficult to place an overall value on fish and game because the aesthetic, recreational, and biological values

Table 3
EXPENDITURES OF FISHERMEN AND/OR HUNTERS

| Expenditure item | Persons with expenditures |  | Amount of expenditures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percentage | Number (thousands) | Average |
| Total | 2,330 | 96.1 | \$382,769 | \$157.84 |
| Equipment, total <br> Hunting and <br> fishing equipment | 1 1,625 | 67.0 | 198,436 | 81.83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,578 | 65.1 | 72,223 | 29.78 |
| Boats and moto | tors 264 | 10.9 | 102,837 | 42.41 |
| Other ........ | . . 591 | 24.4 | 23,374 | 9.64 |
| Trip expenditure, |  |  |  |  |
| total ....... | 2,241 | 92.4 | 156,168 | 66.40 |
| Food | 1,472 | 60.7 | 35,067 | 14.46 |
| Lodging .... | - 268 | 11.1 | 7,739 | 3.19 |
| Automobile |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| transportation | - 19 | 0.8 | 970 | 0.40 |
| Entrance and |  |  |  |  |
| privilege fees | es. . 430 | 17.7 | 7,407 | 3.05 |
| Other . . . . . . | ... 1.714 | 10.7 | 58,236 | 24.01 |
| License and lease |  |  |  |  |
| fees, total .... | ....1,356 | 55.9 | 13,649 | 5.63 |
| License | . 1,328 | 54.8 | 6,956 | 2.87 |
| Lease and |  |  |  |  |
| privilege fees |  |  |  |  |
| (annual) ... | ... 133 | 5.5 | 6,463 | 2.67 |
| Duck stamps . | ... 78 | 3.2 | 230 | 0.09 |
| Other stamps . | ... 587 | 24.2 | 14,519 | 5.99 |

Source: U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Texas Hunting and Fishing Survey," 1960, p. B-17.

Table 4
AVERAGE EXPENDITURES FOR FISHERMEN AND FOR HUNTERS, 1955 AND 1960

| Expenditure item | Fishermen and/or hunters |  | Fishermen |  | Hunters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1955 | 1960 | 1955 | 1960 | 1955 | 1960 |
| Total | \$101.57 | \$157.84 | \$86.69 | \$130.39 | \$59.43 | \$93.18 |
| Equipment | 36.25 | 81.83 | 28.11 | 66.03 | 26.85 | 51.57 |
| Hunting and fishing equipment | 17.69 | 29.78 | 8.85 | 13.11 | 22.84 | 41.53 |
| Other equipment | 18.56 | 52.05 | 19.26 | 52.92 | 4.01 | 10.04 |
| Trip expenditures | 58.27 | 64.40 | 56.45 | 59.60 | 20.66 | 24.67 |
| License and lease fees | 2.12 | 5.63 | 1.06 | 2.45 | 2.76 | 7.91 |
| Other expenditures ... | 4.93 | 5.99 | 1.07 | 2.31 | 9.16 | 9.03 |

Source: U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Tex as Hunting and Fishing Survey," 1960, p. B-23.
are often neglected or considered "intangible" when the economist views these natural resources. T. D. Carroll of the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department has attempted to place a dollar value on the deer. He uses the figure of $\$ 110$ as the average per-gun-cost of a buck deer lease in Llano County. The doe is evaluated at an average cost of $\$ 15$ per hunter. During the 1959 hunting season, 5,211 bucks and 4,009 does were killed in Llano County. Since each hunter is allowed two bucks, each buck would be worth $\$ 55$, or the 5,211 bucks would be worth $\$ 286,605$. Four thousand does at $\$ 15$ each would be worth $\$ 60,135$, giving a total potential value of the harvested deer to the landowners of $\$ 346,640$. The small businessman receives by far the greatest benefit from abundant wildife.

On property which has a high population of wildlife, the cash income is considerable to the landowner. Often the charge per buck is $\$ 125$, with $\$ 23$ for the first doe, $\$ 15$ for the second one, and $\$ 10$ for the third. One rancher reportedly receives over $\$ 6,000$ per year for a two-week lease on his property. Due to extensive advertising by local chambers of commerce, Texas had its heaviest influx of out-of-state deer hunters last season.
In 1965, landowners in Texas leased their land to hunters for over $\$ 13$ million, according to a study by Wallace Klussmann, extension wildlife conservation specialist at Texas A\&M University. There were approximately 21,000 landowners who leased 33 million acres for all types of hunting. Over 16,000 of the leases were for deer hunting, the average cost per state acre being forty-six cents, while the acre cost in the Edwards Plateau was eighty cents. The average return for a day lease was $\$ 1.10$ per acre. More than 12,000 landowners leased land for hunting game birds. Dove hunting showed the greatest increase
in leasing activity. The presence of game animals on land can certainly mean much economically to landowners and no doubt is considered in the sale or purchase of land.

There were about 3,000 private lakes leased for fishing. The annual return was about three-quarters of a million dollars, with daily fishing being about a dollar per fisherman. The annual family rate was between $\$ 25$ to $\$ 500$.

Presently, there are about 13,000 foreign big game animals of 13 species present in Texas. It is estimated that by 1969 there will be over 100,000 individual big game animals. This type of development has the potential of becoming a major contributing factor to the already sizable economic impact that wildlife has on the Texas economy. The Y. O. Ranch at Mountain Home, for example, attracts hunters who stalk the African Aoudad Sheep, the Corsican Wild Ram, the Indian Axis Deer, the Japanese Sika Deer, and the Indian Blackbuck Antelope. For those who cannot afford the time and expense of a genuine African safari, the Texas ranch serves as a convenient substitute. More and more hunters are taking advantage of these rather unique hunting expeditions which are complete with guides, meals, and transportation.
Aside from sport fishing and hunting, many persons pay to receive aesthetic pleasure from wildlife. The whooping cranes located in the Aransas National Wildlife Refuge near Corpus Christi are a popular tourist attraction, providing more than a million dollars a year to the state's economy. All along the Gulf Coast are private refuges where bird watchers come during the spring migration. The two most famous are the Rockport Cottages, operated by Connie Hagar, and Welder Refuge, operated by Clarence Cottam. Since there is such a wide

Table 5
EXPENDITURES FOR FISHING AND HUNTING IN 1955 AND 1960, BY ITEMS
(thousands)

| Expenditure items | Fishermen and/or hunters |  |  | Fishermen |  |  | Hunters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1955 | 1960 | Percent change | 1955 | 1960 | Percent change | 1955 | 1960 | Percent change |
| Total | \$165,054 | \$382,769 | + 131.9 | \$122,919 | \$285,027 | + 131.9 | \$42,135 | \$97,747 | + 132.0 |
| Equipment, total | 58,898 | 198,436 | + 236.9 | 39,861 | 144,345 | + 262.1 | 19,037 | 54,094 | + 184.2 |
| Hunting and fishing equipment | 28,740 | 72,223 | + 151.3 | $12,545$ | 28,663 | + 128.5 | 16,195 | $43,564$ | + 169.0 |
| Other equipment ......... | 30,158 | 126,211 | + 318.5 | 27,316 | 115,683 | + 323.5 | 2,842 | $10,531$ | + 270.5 |
| Trip expenditures | 94,679 | 156,168 | + 64.9 | 80,033 | 130,286 | + 62.8 | 14,646 | 25,881 | + 76.7 |
| License annual fees | 3,464 | 13,649 | + 294.0 | 1,506 | 5,353 | + 255.4 | 1,958 | 8,297 | + 323.7 |
| Other expenditures | 8,013 | 14,519 | + 81.2 | 1,519 | 5,047 | + 232.3 | 6,494 | 9,475 | $+\quad 45.9$ |

[^3]variety of bird species across the state, it is extremely difficult to estimate the dollar value added to the economy by bird lovers and tourists.

If one attempts to estimate how many people receive income, partially or wholly from hunting or fishing, where is the line to be drawn? How many persons raise worms to sell to fishermen? What percentage of ammunition reloading equipment do hunters use? Certain questions can be answered easily. For instance, the state of Texas

## Table 6

MAJOR ITEM EXPENDITURES OF FRESH-WATER AND SALT-WATER FISHERMEN

| Expenditure item | Fresh-water expenditures |  | Salt-water expenditures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | Average | Total ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | Average |
| Total | \$175,554 | \$91.67 | \$109,467 | \$197.24 |
| Equipment, total. | 68,283 | 35.66 | 76,060 | 137.05 |
| Trip, total ..... | 98,307 | 51.34 | 31,977 | 57.62 |
| License and lease fees, total | 4,911 | 2.56 | 441 | 0.79 |
| Boat launching . | 2,036 | 1.06 | 417 | 0.75 |
| Other expenditures | 2,019 | 1.05 | 574 | 1.03 |

"In thousands.
Source: U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Texas Hunting and Fishing Survey," 1960, p. B-19.
received $\$ 3,697,743$ in game and fish license fees in the fiscal year ending August 31, 1962. Other expenditures and collections are more difficult to trace.

## Conclusion

If one looks at the $\$ 383$-plus million that hunters and fishermen spent in 1960, and with this amount increasing every year, the importance of conservation and good game management can be quickly realized.

At the present time, according to A. J. Springs of the Texas Parks and Wildlife Commission, Texas' deer are overly populated from the standpoint of good game management. One's first impression might be "the more, the better," but this is not true. Wildlife, like domestic life, needs to be managed to reap the greatest economic benefit. Certainly, it is true as Mr. Springs feels that "the people who, through proper wildlife management, dangle the most attractive fish and game bait before the eyes of the people are going to have the best chance of catching their share of our increasing number of outdoor sportsmen."

The U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, in concluding a national survey, states that the number of participants,

## EDITOR'S NOTE

Dr. George Kozmetsky, executive vice-president of Teledyne, of Hawthorne, California, has been appointed dean of the Graduate School of Business and of the College of Business Administration, The University of Texas, effective September 1, 1966. He has also been appointed as Executive Associate for Economic Affairs for The University of Texas System which has nine components in seven cities.

Dr. Kozmetsky holds a B.A. degree in political science from the University of Washington and the M.B.A. and Doctor of Commercial Science degrees from Harvard University. His academic experience includes faculty appointments at the University of Washington, the Harvard University Graduate School of Business, and the Graduate School of Industrial Administration of the Carnegie Institute of Technology. He is a well-known author and is a past president of the Institute of Management Science. Currently, he is serving on the Presidential Advisory Committee for the National Data Center and as a consultant to the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board.

Dr. Kozmetsky will succeed Dr. John Arch White, a distinguished scholar and teacher in the field of accounting, who became dean in 1959 and reached the mandatory retirement age of 65 this year. During Dean White's administration, a number of significant changes in college curriculum were made; the faculty was strengthened; and the Graduate School of Business Administration was established. He will be on research leave during the 1966-67 academic year. Dean White's friends will be delighted to learn that he plans to return to classroom teaching at the end of his leave.
S.A.A.
the amount of money expended, and the interest from youth to old age, all emphasize that hunting and fishing continue to be the most popular American sports.

Texans have many reasons to be proud of their state with its multitude of resources. As the state grows more urban each year, more persons will be going to the forests, the mountains, and the plains for recreation. Wildlife resources will become more important to Texans, both in economic value and aesthetic value.

Table 7
EXPENDITURES OF HUNTERS, BY ITEM AND TYPE OF HUNTING


Source: U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Texas Hunting and Fishing Survey," 1960, p. B-21.

# TEXAS BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZED IN APRIL 

by Stanley A. Arbingast

The seasonally adjusted index of total construction authorized by permit-issuing municipalities in Texas dropped $3 \%$ from 145.3 in April to 141.5 in May (1957$59=100)$. However, the index for this May is 21 points above the May 1965 level, and the pace of construction in the state appears to be holding up very well in comparison with that of the nation as a whole. Housing starts on a national basis dropped to a three-and-one-half-year low in May. The year-to-date index of Texas residential construction is up $9 \%$, and the nonresidential index is up $40 \%$.

## BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS



The index of Texas residential construction authorized regained its momentum in May and was up 13.6 points after dropping in April to its lowest point since February 1965. One-family-dwelling permits were up $2 \%$ in value from April to May, and multiple-family-dwelling permits were up $41 \%$. Comparing January through May with the same months of 1965, the value of one-family residential buildings authorized increased by $5 \%$, and multiplefamily dwelling permits rose by $29 \%$. The total number of permits for one-family dwellings issued in Texas' 22 Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas was $5 \%$ lower and outside the SMSA's, the number declined by $1 \%$ from the first five months of last year to those months of 1966, but the total value rose. The major reason for increase in the aggregate value of one-family residential


RESIDENTIAL BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS*

buildings was the increased cost of building. Higher interest rates, rising wages, increased pressure for materials, and higher land costs all contributed. Buyers have also tended to favor larger, more expensive homes.

The Austin, Corpus Christi, Houston, Laredo, Midland,
ESTIMATED VALUES OF BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS

| Classification | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1966}{\text { Jan-May }}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | May 1966 from Apr 1966 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-May } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jan-May } \\ 1965 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |
| ALL PERMITS | 148,172 | 758,092 | - | $+20$ |
| New construction | 132,361 | 676,184 | - 2 | + 24 |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |
| One-family dwellings | 48,787 | 266,611 | + 2 | + 5 |
| Multiple-family dwellings | 19,345 | 79,840 | + 41 | + 29 |
| Nonresidential |  |  |  |  |
| buildings | 64,229 | 329,733 | $-13$ | $+43$ |
| Nonhousekeeping buildings |  |  |  |  |
| Amusement buildings | 213 | 14,442 | -87 | +352 |
| Churches | 5,806 | 19,171 | + 55 | $+34$ |
| Industrial buildings | 12.017 | 47,955 | + 4 | +93 |
| Garages (commercial and private) | 376 | 3,663 | -47 | $+34$ |
| Service stations | 1,108 | 7,244 | -18 | + 4 |
| Hospitals and institutions | 1,291 | 24,667 | -92 | + 76 |
| Office-bank buildings | 16,683 | 57,466 | +140 | + 53 |
| Works and utilities | 2,254 | 8,528 | - 11 | - 9 |
| Educational buildings | 11,577 | 74,126 | - 23 | + 27 |
| Stores and mercantile buildings | e. 10,698 | 53,673 |  | + 29 |
| Other buildings and structures | 1,186 | 10,135 | -49 | $+47$ |
| Additions, alterations, and repairs | 15,851 | 81,908 | - 9 | - 5 |
| METROPOLITAN vs. NONMETROPOLITAN $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total metropolitan | .125,476 | 635,570 | + 1 | + 23 |
| Central cities | 101,472 | 491,558 | $+10$ | +25 |
| Outside central cities | 24,004 | 144,012 | - 27 | +19 |
| Total nonmetropolitan | 22,736 | 122,522 | - 19 |  |
| 10,000 to 50,000 population | 13,830 | 71,820 | $-23$ | + 13 |
| Less than 10,000 population | . 8,906 | 50,702 | $-13$ | - 6 |

$\dagger$ As defined in 1960 Census.

* Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.

Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U. S. Department of Commerce.

LOANS BY SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATIONS
Source: Federal Home Loan Bank of Little Rock

| Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | January-May |  | Percent changeJan-May 1966 <br> from <br> Jan-May 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1966 | 1965 |  |
| Number |  |  |  |  |
| ALL LOANS | 4,952 | 25,549 | 27,983 | 9 |
| Construction | 989 | 4,870 | 5,409 | - 10 |
| Purchase | 2,941 | 14,503 | 13,745 | + 6 |
| Other | 1,022 | 6,176 | 8,829 | $-30$ |
| Value (thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| ALL LOANS | 77,964 | 394,810 | 414,895 | - 5 |
| Construction | 19,935 | 99,975 | 86,773 | $+15$ |
| Purchase | 42,555 | 211,489 | 183,725 | + 15 |
| Other | 15,474 | 83,346 | 144,397 | - 42 |

and San Antonio SMSA's all registered increases in the number of permits issued from January-May 1965 to the first five months of 1966 , with Laredo having the largest increase ( $18 \%$ ). Largest decreases were in Tyler ( $35 \%$ ), Lubbock ( $31 \%$ ), Waco ( $28 \%$ ), and Wichita Falls ( $25 \%$ ). Permits for duplexes were up in Austin, Fort Worth, Galveston-Texas City, McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg, and San Antonio.

The boom in apartment construction has continued. During May, the Austin, Dallas, Houston, Lubbock, and San Antonio SMSA's each issued permits for over 200 units. For the January-through-May period, the number of units authorized was up $25 \%$ for the metropolitan areas and $71 \%$ in communities outside the 22 SMSA's. In the state, the value of apartments authorized was up $32 \%$, and the number of units for which permits were issued was up $29 \%$ for the first five months, 1965-1966. The number of units for which permits were issued from January through May totaled 1,101 in Austin, 2,479 in Dallas, 3,075 in Houston, 939 in Lubbock, and 612 in San Antonio.

The city of Houston led in nonresidential construction for May; one permit of $\$ 11.76$ million to Houston Natural Gas Company for a new structure accounted for almost half the total value. The highest per capita level of total construction (based on 1960 population) was Austin, with $\$ 190.19$; Houston was second with $\$ 163.30$, and Dallas ranked third with $\$ 123.15$.

The tight money situation had evidently not affected Texas construction through May as much as had been
anticipated. Shortages of credit from savings and loan associations have hurt new construction to some extent in that some savings and loan institutions as a policy are serving old customers but are not seeking new loan accounts. Managers of savings and loan companies have been anticipating sizeable losses in deposits because of shifts of funds to banks, which have been authorized to pay a higher rate of interest on certain kinds of deposits. A recent development, however, may result in an increase in money available for home loans. A new federal regulation, effective July 1, authorizes savings and loan associations to issue savings certificates, a privilege previously restricted to banks. Dividends up to $5 \%$ may be paid on the certificates. Although the regulation applies only to savings and loan associations which hold federal charters, those institutions which have state charters can be expected to issue savings certificates as state law permits. Any slowdown in loans for building new one-family dwellings will probably result in more construction of apartment buildings.

## EDITOR'S NOTE

Robert H. Drenner, managing editor of the TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW since September 1964, has resigned to enter the Graduate School of Harvard University where he will study for the Ph.D. degree in philosophy. Mr. Drenner, who had previiously served as managing editor of the REVIEW from March 1957 to August 1960, also was coeditor of TEXAS INDUSTRIAL EXPANSION and was in charge of the Bureau radio series.
The staff of the Bureau of Business Research is grateful to Mr. Drenner for the broad understanding of business, the editorial skills, the patience, and never-failing good humor he brought to the job. We wish him well.

The members of the Editorial Board feel most fortunate to have been able to engage Don E. Robertson to replace Mr. Drenner as managing editor. Mr. Robertson of Lake Dallas holds a B.A. degree from The University of Texas with a major in English; he will receive a Master in Business Administration degree this August.
S.A.A.

AVERAGE WEEKLY HOURS AND GROSS AVERAGE EARNINGS OF FACTORY PRODUCTION WORKERS IN FOUR SOUTHWESTERN STATES, D ECEMBER, NOVEMBER 1965 AND DECEMBER $1964{ }^{1}$

| State | Average Weekly Hours |  |  | Average Hourly Earnings |  |  | Average Weekly Earnings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1965 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov.* } \\ & 1965 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec.* } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1965 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov.* } \\ 1965 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. \% } \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1965 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov.* } \\ & 1965 \end{aligned}$ | Dec.* $1964$ |
| Arkansas | 42.2 | 41.0 | 40.7 | \$1.85 | \$1.84 | \$1.80 | \& 78.07 | \$ 75.44 | \$ 73.26 |
| Louisiana | 43.4 | 43.7 | 43.2 | 2.50 | 2.53 | 2.47 | 108.50 | 110.56 | 106.70 |
| Oklahoma | 42.1 | 42.8 | 42.2 | 2.43 | 2.43 | 2.39 | 102.30 | 104.00 | 100.86 |
| Texas | 42.5 | 41.9 | 42.0 | 2.53 | 2.51 | 2.46 | 107.53 | 105.17 | 103.32 |
| Southwest | 42.6 | 42.2 | 42.0 | 2.41 | 2.40 | 2.36 | 102.67 | 101.28 | 99.12 |
| United States | 41.7 | 41.4 | 41.5 | 2.66 | 2.65 | 2.58 | 110.92 | 109.71 | 107.07 |

[^4]

Indicators of business conditions in Texas cities published in this table include statistics on banking, building permits, employment, postal receipts, and retail trade. An individual city is listed when a minimum of three indicators is available.

The cities have been grouped according to Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas. In Texas all 21 SMSA's are defined by county lines; the counties included are listed under each SMSA. The populations shown for the SMSA's are estimates for April 1, 1965, prepared by the Population Research Center, Department of Sociology, The University of Texas-the fact designated by footnote (1). Cities are listed under their appropriate SMSA's; all other cities are listed alphabetically. The population shown after the city name is the 1960 Census figure, with the exceptions of those marked ( $\mathbf{r}$ ), which are estimates officially recognized by the Texas Highway Department, and that given for Pleasanton, which is a combination of the 1960 Census figures for Pleasanton and North Pleasanton. Since the SMSA and city population estimates have different sources, it is not surprising that they are sometimes inconsistent, as is the case here with the Odessa SMSA (Ector County) and Odessa.

Retail sales data are reported here only when a minimum of five stores report in the given retail area sales category. The first column shows an average percent change from the preceding month, indicated by ( $\dagger$ ). This is the normal statewide seasonal change in sales by that kind of business-except in the cases of Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio, where the dagger is omitted because the normal seasonal changes given are for each of these cities individually. The second column shows the percent change in actual sales reported for the month, and the third column shows the percent change in actual sales from the same month a year ago. A large variation between the normal seasonal change and the reported change indicates an abnormal sales month.

Additional symbols used in this table include:
(*) Indicates cash received during the four-week postal accounting period ended May 20, 1966.
$(\ddagger)$ Money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month.
(§) Data for Texarkana, Texas, only.
(**) Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
(||) Annual rate basis.



## ANGLETON: see HOUSTON SMSA

## ARANSAS PASS: see CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

## AUSTIN SMSA

(Travis; pop. 245,542 ${ }^{1}$ )

| Bank debits (th | \$ 4,112,568 | +54 $-\quad 5$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 100,900 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 7,070 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.4 | + 14 | - 20 |


| AUSTIN (pop. 212,000r) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  |  |  | + |
| Apparel stores |  | - $7+$ | - 12 | + 14 |
| Automotive stores |  | $+4 \dagger$ | - |  |
| Drugstores |  | $+11 \dagger$ | + |  |
| Eating and drinking places |  | $+6 \dagger$ | $-2$ |  |
| Furniture and household appliance stores |  |  | + 10 | + 11 |
| General merchandise stores |  | + $12 \dagger$ | + 10 | + 13 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores |  | $+{ }^{+}$ |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 628,282 | ** | + 24 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 7,572,377 | + 54 | + 60 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 395,991 | + 15 | + 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 181,917 | + 2 | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 26.4 | + 19 | + 13 |
| BAY CITY (pop. 11,656) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | s | 16,902 |  | + 14 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 16,793 | -15 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 25,426 | - 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 7.9 | - 12 |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 71 |  | -44 |

## BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA

(Jefferson and Orange; pop. 312,799 ${ }^{1}$ )

| B | \$ 3,902,794 | $+57$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|. | \$ 5,006,844 | 6 | $+$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 113,900 | $+$ | $+$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 34,600 | + 1 |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.7 | - 5 |  |

## BEAUMONT (pop. 127,500r)



## Groves (pop. 17,304)

| Postal receipts* |  | 11,484 | + 11 | $+61$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 354,983 | +640 | -147 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 7,717 | 3 | + 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 8 | 3,842 |  | -25 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnov |  | 23.2 | $+$ | + 4 |

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 188.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { May } 1966 \\ \text { from } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ |
| City and item | 1966 | Apr 1966 | May 1965 |


| Nederland (pop. 15,274r) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 11,494 | + 4 | $+11$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 113,455 | $-26$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 7,500 | + 6 | $+32$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 4,600 | - 3 | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 19.2 | $+10$ | + 29 |
| Orange (pop. 25,605) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 31,838 | $+3$ | $+16$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 146,215 | $-42$ | $-67$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 35,255 | + 1 | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 26,878 | - 2 | + 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.6 | ** |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 194 | + 32 | + 2 |

Port Arthur (pop. 66,676)

| Postal receipts |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| * | \$ | 68,845 | +16 | +16 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 520,740 | -46 | +98 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | $\$$ | 70,065 | -10 | +3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | $\$$ | 40,595 | -8 | -4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | $\ldots$ | 19.8 | -10 | +4 |

Port Neches (pop. 8,696)
Postal receipts ${ }^{7}$..............
Building permits, less federal contracts Bank debits (thousands)

| 9,961 | +5 | +15 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 41,661 | -52 | -80 |
| 13,017 | +11 | -6 |
| 6,490 |  | +6 |
| 24.1 | +16 | -8 |

BEEVILLE (pop. 13,811)
Postal receipts* $\ldots . . . . . . . . . .{ }^{*}$ \$ 13,755 - 8 - 4
Building permits, less federal contracts \& 233.910 $+79+34$
Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ 11,908
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \$ 15,053$
Annual rate of deposit turnover
Nonfarm placements

BELTON (pop. 8,163)

| Postal receipts* | \& | 10,468 | -1 | +11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 84,500 | +16 | +35 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | $\$$ | 8,548 | - | -3 |

BIG SPRING (pop. 31,230)


## BISHOP: see CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

BONHAM (pop. 7,357)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 8,011 | $+$ | 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 8,757 | $+$ | 5 | + | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 8,031 | - | 3 | + | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.9 | $+$ | 7 | + | 2 |

BORGER (pop. 20,911)

| Postal receipts* |  | 20,145 | + | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 67,900 | 10 | + 24 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 169 | + 1 |  |

## Local Business Conditions

| City and item |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { May } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRADY (pop. 5,338) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 5,411 | - 32 | + 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ |  | 63,300 | + 2 | +544 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 6,826 | - 16 | + 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ \$ |  | 7,966 | + 5 | + 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.5 | - 19 |  |
| BRENHAM (pop. 7,740) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 11,518 | + | + 10 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 63,991 | -65 | +130 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 13,052 | - 12 | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 14,450 | - 1 | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.8 | - 9 | $+$ |
| BROWNFIELD (pop. 10,286) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 1.3,118 | - 1 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 116,175 | +749 | +134 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 17,953 | ** | $-33$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 14,235 | - 10 | + 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.4 | + 6 | - 36 |
| BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGE <br> (Cameron; pop | EN. | $\begin{gathered} \text { N-SAN } \\ 141,671^{1} \end{gathered}$ | ENITO | SMSA |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 876,981 | + 17 | 66 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| |  | 1,378,164 | - 5 | + 8 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) |  | 36,750 | - 2 | + 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) |  | 5,660 |  | + 9 |
| Percent unemployed (area) |  | 7.3 | + 7 | - 12 |
| BROWNSVILLE (pop. 48,040) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $+6 \dagger$ | - 6 | $+10$ |
| Automotive stores |  | $+4 \dagger$ | 8 | + 18 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 39,123 | 5 | + 13 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 215,236 | - 66 | -91 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | - | 34,956 | $-16$ | + 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 22,324 | + 2 | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.9 | $-18$ | 4 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 511 | ** | $-31$ |
| Harlingen (pop. 41,207) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | + $6 \dagger$ |  |  |
| Automotive stores |  | $+4 \dagger$ |  |  |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores |  | $+\quad 2 \dagger$ |  | $+26$ |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 43,271 | + 8 | $+31$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 629,050 | +604 | +284 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 41,274 | 2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 21,533 | $-1$ | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 22.9 | ** | ** |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 506 | - 2 | - 6 |


| La Feria (pop. 3,047) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 2,022 | - 23 | - 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 3,300 | -65 | $+32$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 1,777 | -16 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 1,558 | , | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.3 | $-14$ |  |

Los Fresnos (pop. 1,289)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 1,071 |  | 35 |  | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 1.286 | $+$ | 7 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ | 1,274 | + | 1 |  | - 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.2 | + | 4 |  |  |
| Port Isabel (pop. 3,575) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 2,806 | - | 6 |  | +25 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 7,500 | + | 32 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... |  | 1,929 | + | 2 |  | -29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | s | 1,299 | - | 5 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 17.4 | $+$ | 7 |  | +10 |

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 188.
Local Business Conditions
City and item

| San Benito (pop. 16,422) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 8,178 | $-10$ | + 5 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 7,570 | + 70 | -44 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 5,513 | $-14$ | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 5,955 | 1 | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.0 | $-12$ | -18 |
| BROWNWOOD (pop. 16,974) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 32,868 | + 19 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 21,209 | 7 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 14,037 |  | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.2 | 5 |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 131 | $+3$ | - |

## BRYAN (pop. 27,542)

Retail sales

| Apparel stores |  |  | ** | + 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  |  | - 19 | + 32 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 31,490 | - 5 | $-10$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ |  | 632,618 | + 96 | $-23$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 39,310 | - 6 | * |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ |  | 24,480 |  | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 19.8 | - 8 |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 320 | $-12$ | $+1$ |
| CALDWELL (pop. 2,202r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 3,412 | 17 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 3,135 | $-11$ | + 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 4,620 | $+2$ | + 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 8.2 | $-11$ | - |
| CAMERON (pop. 5,640) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 7,750 | $-30$ | + 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ | 15,050 | + 16 | + 14 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,472 | $-12$ | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 5,849 | + 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.3 | $-14$ | +1 |

## CANYON: see AMARILLO SMSA

## CARROLLTON: see DALLAS SMSA

| CISCO (pop. 4,499) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | 6,047 | + 20 | ** |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 4,658 | + 13 | +12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 3,688 | - 4 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.8 | $+17$ | + |
| CLEBURNE: see FORT WORTH SMSA |  |  |  |
| CLUTE: see HOUSTON SMSA |  |  |  |
| COLLEGE STATION (pop. 11,396) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 25,146 | $-2$ | $-3$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 110,594 | - 73 | -47 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 7,195 | - 8 | +27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \$ 4,718 | 8 | + 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.6 |  | + 4 |
| COLORADO CITY (pop. 6,457) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 6,772 | ** |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 5,397 | - 5 | + 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 6,973 | - 4 | + 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.1 | 2 | -12 |

CONROE: see HOUSTON SMSA

| Local Business Condition |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { May } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| COPPERAS COVE (pop. 4,567) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \& | 4,772 | - 6 | - 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 35,926 | + 35 | -74 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 1.379 | - 11 | - 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ \$ | 1,229 | - 5 | -43 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.1 | - 8 | + 21 |

CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA
(Nueces and San Patricio; pop. 268,702 ${ }^{1}$ )

| B | \& 3,885,353 | $+69$ | +135 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \& 3,574,512 | - 5 |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 81,800 | - 1 |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 10,360 | ** |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.8 |  | - |


| Aransas Pass (pop. 6,956) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 5,487 | $-7$ | $+10$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,965 | - 19 | $-15$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 4.858 | 3 | + 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.1 | $-14$ | - 15 |
| Bishop (pop. 3,825r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 3,436 | $+27$ | + 41 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 36,500 | $-26$ | $+75$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 1,829 | - 14 | + 37 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \& | 2,232 | 2 | + 26 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 9.7 |  | + 11 |
| CORPUS CHRISTI (pop. 204,850r) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $+6{ }^{+}$ | - 6 | 6 |
| Automotive stores |  | $+4^{\dagger}$ | $-7$ | - 12 |
| Drugstores |  | $+11{ }^{\text {+ }}$ | $+$ | - 2 |
| General merchandise stores |  | + 12\% | - 7 | + 3 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 245,090 | + | +16 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 3,580,416 |  | +122 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 255.563 | - 8 | + |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8 | 130.375 | - 4 | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 23.1 | 6 | + 1 |
| Robstown (pop. 10,266) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ........... |  | 8,948 | - 8 | $+$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 24,000 | - 10 | + 18 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 9,802 | - 14 | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8 | 8.964 | 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.9 | - 12 | - 2 |

## Sinton (pop. 6,008)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 7.636 | $+$ | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & +34 \\ & +582 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 47,060 | +1 |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | S | 4,595 | - | 5 |  | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 4,510 | - | 6 |  | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.8 | - | 1 |  | 4 |

CORSICANA (pop. 20,344)

| Retail sales |  | $+6 \dagger$ | $+$ | 4 | $+$ | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 22,655 | - | 8 |  | 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 176,670 | - | 89 |  | 91 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 23,890 | $+$ | 7 |  | 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 21,827 | $+$ | 3 |  | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.3 | $+$ | 9 |  |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 246 | - | 2 |  |  |

CRYSTAL CITY (pop. 9,101)

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 188.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br>  <br> City and item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May <br> May 1966 | May 1966 <br> from <br> fom <br> Apr 1966 | May 1965 |

## DALLAS SMSA

(Collin, Dallas, Denton, and Ellis; pop. 1,261,7871)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$24,712,837 |  | 10 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 62,257,920 | + | 2 | +18 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 564,500 |  | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 132,250 |  | ** |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.4 |  | *t |  |

## Carrollton (pop. 9,832r)

| Postal receipts |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 11,007 | +1 | +12 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 76,825 | -84 | -44 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | $\$$ | 8,883 | +8 | +37 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 3,786 | + | 5 | +18 |
|  | 28.8 | + | 7 | +16 |

## Denton (pop. 26,844)

| Postal receipts* |  | 53,065 | + 5 | $+$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \% | 542,800 | 75 | - | 79 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \% | 37,416 | + 5 | $+$ | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | s | 24,380 | + 2 | $+$ | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.6 | + 8 | - | 6 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 194 | + 34 | $+$ |  |

## Ennis (pop. 10,250 r)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | \% | 13,119 | - 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 | 44.570 | +200 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | s | 7,572 | 8 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | s | 7,261 | + |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.6 | - 7 |  |  |

## Garland (pop. 50,622r)

| Retail sales |  | + 6† |  | 26 | + 39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 64,686 | + | 8 | + 23 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 1,226,331 | + | 2 | + 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 41,140 | + | 4 | $+14$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 19,539 | - | 2 | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 25.0 |  | 5 | + 11 |

## Grand Prairie (pop. 40,150r)

| Postal receipts* |  | 38,111 | +1 |  | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 | 545,593 | -8 | + | 7 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 3 | 21,949 |  | $+$ | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 12,315 | - | + | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 20.9 |  | - | 2 |

## Irving (pop. 60,136r)

| Postal receipts* | \$ 89,614 | $+84$ | $+77$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,436,589 | - 54 | 46 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 45,925 | + 5 | + 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 22,936 | + 2 | + 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 24.2 | 6 |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Apr } 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { May } 1965 \end{gathered}$ |
| Justin (pop. 622) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ |  | 923 | + 19 | + 16 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 31,000 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 971 | - 10 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 755 | - |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.3 | - 7 | ** |

## McKinney (pop. 13,763)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 16,011 | $-17$ |  | $+10$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 345,195 | +2 |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 11,089 | - | 3 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 9,282 | - | 1 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.2 | - | 1 |  |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 125 |  | 2 |  | 26 |

## Mesquite (pop. 27,526)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 24,276 | $+12$ | $+26$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 495,970 | + 17 | - 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 15,703 | + 26 | $+32$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 7,154 | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 26.3 | + 28 | + 30 |


| Midlothian (pop. 1,521) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 21,000 | -56 | -10 |
| Bank debits (thousands)......... | 1,048 | -7 | +9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 1,456 | +2 | +6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.7 | -5 | +5 |

## Pilot Point (pop. 1,254)

| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 16,000 | -20 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 1,523 | + | +32 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ \& | 1,804 | +2 | +12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.2 | +11 | +17 |


| Plano (pop. 10,102r) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 12,815 | + 17 | $+47$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 453,048 | +178 | +350 |
| Rank debits (thousands) | 8 | 5,673 | $-10$ | + 36 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 3,794 |  | + 30 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 17.3 | $-4$ |  |


| Richardson (pop. 34,390r) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* |  | 59,327 | + 21 | + 25 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 472,378 | - 63 | + 66 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 28,501 |  | + 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 12,698 | 2 | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 26.7 |  | + 16 |
| Seagoville (pop. 3,745) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 4,523 | $-37$ | $-12$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 18,584 |  | - 88 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 3,279 | $-21$ | - |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 2,177 | + 6 | + 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.6 | -- 19 | $-13$ |
| Waxahachie (pop. 12,749) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................. |  | 25,362 | - 21 | $+6$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 67,241 | $-22$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | \$ | 11,935 | - 7 | - 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 11,101 | + 4 | + 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.2 |  | $-14$ |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 93 | $-10$ | + 6 |

## DAYTON: see HOUSTON SMSA

## DEER PARK: see HOUSTON SMSA

| DEL RIO (pop. 18,612) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$............ | \$ | 18,878 | - 9 |  | 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 128,834 |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 16,391 | $+11$ |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 17,633 |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.2 | $+10$ |  |  |

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 188.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { May } 1!6 ; \end{gathered}$ |
| DENISON (pop. 25,766r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 24,656 | $-4$ | - |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 193,890 | + 41 | -65 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 20.744 | + 7 | + 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 17.074 | + 3 | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.8 | + 6 | $+$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 233 | + 44 | $+40$ |

## DENTON: see DALLAS SMSA

DONNA: see McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA

| DUMAS (pop. 10,547r) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 11,288 | $+27$ | +29 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 19,850 | -87 | 95 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 12,195 | - 3 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 11,841 | - 4 | + 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.1 | 1 | + 3 |
| EAGLE PASS (pop. 12,094) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 11,030 | - 5 | + 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 93,416 | +188 | $-51$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 7,294 | + 2 | + 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 5,041 | *) | + 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 17.3 |  |  |

## EDINBURG: see McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA

EDNA (pop. 5,038)

| Postai receipts* | \$ | 6,886 |  | + 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 18,600 | 60 | 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,751 | - | + 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 6,569 | - |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.3 |  |  |

## EL PASO SMSA

(El Paso; pop. $339,949^{1}$ )
Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 4,939,796$

| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 5,008,080 | + 4 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 101,400 | + 1 |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 18,350 | ** | + 12 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.5 | + 2 | 22 |

Percent unemployed (area)
EL PASO (pop. 276,687)

| Retail sales |  | ** | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $7 \dagger$ | - 13 |  |
| Automotive stores | $+4 \dagger$ | ** |  |
| Drugstores | $+11 \dagger$ | + 11 |  |
| Food stores | + 9\% |  | -10 |
| Postal receipts* | 386,043 | $+$ | + 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 4,939,796 | + 31 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 414,405 | $+$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 188,974 | $-7$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 25.4 | $+10$ |  |
| ENNIS: see DALLAS SMSA |  |  |  |
| EULESS: see FORT WORTH SMSA |  |  |  |
| FORT STOCKTON (pop. 6,373) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 7.159 | - |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 129,350 | +402 | +915 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 7,073 |  | + 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 7.422 |  | + 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.2 |  | $+13$ |

## FORT WORTH SMSA

(Johnson and Tarrant; 611,293 ${ }^{1}$ )
Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 10,539,841-25+18$
Bank debits (thousands) \|. ........... $\$ 14,396,484$
Nonfarm employment (area) ....... 249,900
Manufacturing employment (area) $\quad 70,625+1+14$
Percent unemployed (area) $2.8+22-26$

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Business Conditions | May | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ |
| City and item | 1966 | Apr 1966 | May 1965 |
| Cleburne (pop. 15,381) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 18.656 | - 14 | 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 113,775 |  | + 9 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | 13,790 | - | $-10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ \$ | 13,012 | + 3 | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.9 | - 8 |  |
| Euless (pop. 10,500r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 7,953 | + 9 | $+15$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 136,200 | -10 | + 24 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 10,424 | + 18 | + 83 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$. \$ | 3,877 | + 5 | + 57 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 33.1 | $+13$ | + 17 |
| FORT WORTH (pop. 356,268) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + 9 | + |  |
| - Apparel stores | - | $-7$ | $+$ |
| Automotive stores | $+10$ | $+$ | - |
| Eating and drinking places | $+$ | + 9 | $+10$ |
| Food stores | + |  | - |
| General merchandise stores | + 17 |  | +16 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores | + 3 |  | + 19 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 988,469 |  | + 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 4,677,814 | - 40 | + 22 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 1,076,203 |  | + 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 422,784 |  | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 30.7 | $+$ | + 18 |


| Grapevine (pop. 4,659r) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* |  | 6,147 | + 12 | $+17$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 4,814 | - | $+14$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 3,949 |  | + 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.7 | - 1 | 5 |
| North Richland Hills (pop. 8,662) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ |  | 153,655 | $-60$ | $-10$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 10,500 | + 5 | $+34$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 5,671 | + 9 | $+31$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 23.2 |  | + 11 |
| White Settlement (pop. 11,513) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 21,600 | $-66$ | $-7$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 2,486 | + 11 | $+38$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 1,653 | + | + 38 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.4 | + 6 | + 1 |
| FREDERICKSBURG (pop. 4,629) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 6,905 | - 13 | - 16 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ | 30.190 | $-71$ | - 26 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 11,510 | + 12 | + 16 |
| F.nd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 9,589 | + 2 | $+10$ |
| annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.5 | $+10$ |  |
| FRIONA (pop. 3,049r) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 40,200 | +458 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,143 | $-17$ | $+11$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | - | 5,162 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 14.1 | $-11$ |  |

## GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA

(Galveston; pop. 153,993 ${ }^{1}$ )

| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 781,596 | -74 | -10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|......... | $1,931,136$ | -1 | +3 |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area)........ | 54,200 | +1 | -1 |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 10,020 | -1 | -2 |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 4.9 | + | -18 |  |

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 188.

| Local Business Conditions |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | May 1966 from Apr 1966 | $\underset{\substack{\text { May } 1966 \\ \text { from }}}{ }$ May 1965 |
| GALVESTON (pop. 67,175) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $+6 \dagger$ | - |  |
| Automotive stores |  | $+{ }^{4 \dagger}$ | - | $+$ |
| Food stores |  | + 9\% | - | $+$ |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 109,328 | - | - |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 386,105 | - 69 | $-27$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 107,409 | - |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 58,220 | ** | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 22.1 | - 3 |  |
| La Marque (pop. 13,969) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \& | 14,113 | + 4 | + 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 | 56,466 | - 8 | - 55 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 10,450 | + 5 | - |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 7,061 | - 2 | + 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 17.6 | + | $-17$ |
| Texas City (pop. 32,065) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 8 | 34,072 | + 23 | + 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 | 339,025 | -80 | + 58 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 27,661 |  | + 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 16,497 | + | + 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 20.8 | $+$ | - |

## GARLAND: see DALLAS SMSA

GATESVILLE (pop. 4,626)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 7,030 | $+$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,425 | 10 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 6,392 | + 3 |  |
| Ainnual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.3 |  |  |

## GEORGETOWN (pop. 5,218)

| Postal receipts* | 9,142 | $+18$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 35,500 | + 17 | + 94 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 6.735 | $+13$ | + 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 6,897 | $+11$ | $+15$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.3 |  | $+15$ |

GIDDINGS (pop. 2,821)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 4,536 | $+$ | 7 | + 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 5,950 | - | 63 | - 38 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,002 | - | 2 | + 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 4,659 | $+$ | 1 | $+12$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 10.4 | - | 1 | ** |

GLADEWATER (pop. 5,742)

| Postal receipts** | 10,217 | $+17$ | $+34$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 37,200 | $-57$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 4,370 | -19 | $+6$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 4,940 | + | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.1 | - 18 | * |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 33,000 | ** | + 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 8,310 | + 1 | $+12$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.0 | ** | - 25 |
| GOLDTHW AITE (pop. 1,383) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \& | 3,354 | + 22 | $+51$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. \$ | 5,295 | +16 | $+11$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 6,415 | $+8$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.3 | $+11$ |  |

GRAHAM (pop. 8,505)

| Postal receipts* | \% | 10,640 | 1 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 12,950 | 70 | 69 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 12,015 | + 8 | + 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 15,447 | + 56 | + 57 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.4 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Business Conditions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1966 \end{aligned}$ | May 1966 from May 1965 |
| GRANBURY (pop. 2,227) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 3,854 | - 7 | + 19 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 1,768 | - 12 | + 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 2,474 | + 2 | +15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.6 | - 12 | - 5 |
| GRAND PRAIRIE: see DALLAS SMSA |  |  |  |
| GRAPEVINE: see FORT WORTH SMSA |  |  |  |
| GREENVILLE (pop. 22,134r) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+6 \dagger$ | $+4$ | $+15$ |
| Postal receipts* | 32,319 | + | + 29 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 733,078 | +201 | +402 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 20,625 | ** | + 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 15,590 | - 2 | + 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.7 | - 1 | 2 |
| Nonfarm placements | 202 | - 21 | + 51 |
| HARLINGEN: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA |  |  |  |
| HENDERSON (pop. 9,666) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 13,765 | + 12 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 31,800 | + 29 | + 23 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 9,554 | + 8 | + 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 20,224 | $+$ | + 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 5.7 | + 8 | + 12 |
| HEREFORD (pop. 9,584r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 15,495 | $+17$ | $+11$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 206,900 | - 24 | - 38 |
| Bank debits (thousands)........... | 22,007 | - 19 | + 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 14,239 | - 6 | + + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.0 | - 10 | + 43 |
| HOUSTON SMSA <br> (Brazoria, Fort Bend, Harris, Liberty and Montgomery; pop. $1,613,957^{1}$ ) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)\\|..... | 9,124,768 | 8 | + 10 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 673,500 | ** | + 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 121,400 | ** | + 3 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.5 | + 4 | - 19 |
| Angleton (pop. 9,131) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 9,509 | + 1 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 24,700 | - 58 | -66 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ | 12,279 | + 2 | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 10,610 | -16 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 12.7 | + 6 | + 8 |
| Bellaire (pop. 21,182r) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 55,464 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 16,910 | -91 | -88 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 25,520 | - 8 | + 12 +12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 15,799 | - 7 | + 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.7 | -7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 0 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 2,112 | - 6 | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 1,678 |  | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.2 |  | + 4 |

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 188.

| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1966 \end{aligned}$ | May 1966 from May 1965 |
| Conroe (pop. 9,192) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 22,038 | ** | + 30 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 36,000 | $-73$ | -87 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 16,065 | 5 | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 13,323 | - 1 | + 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 14.4 | 3 | - 5 |
| Dayton (pop. 3,367) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 3,849 | $+15$ | + 21 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 14,975 | -75 | +163 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,503 | + 2 | + 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 3,617 | + 3 | $+16$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.2 | + 1 | $-1$ |
| Deer Park (pop. 4,865) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 9,646 | + 1 | + 23 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 205,191 | - 38 | $-17$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,299 | - 2 | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 2,740 | + | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 23.6 | - 2 | - |
| HOUSTON (pop. 938,219) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | + 7 | + 5 |  |
| Apparel stores |  | ** | - 2 | + 9 |
| Automotive stores |  | + 9 | + 1 | + 6 |
| Eating and drinking places |  | + | + 5 | + 6 |
| Food stores |  | + 3 | $+17$ | + 5 |
| General merchandise stores |  | + 7 | - 5 | $+10$ |
| Liquor stores |  | + | $-10$ | -1 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores.$+3+10+8$ |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 2,754,538 | + 6 | + 14 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 38,777,554 | + 65 | + 84 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,653,746 | - | + 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 1,658,162 | - 2 | + 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 33.3 | - 2 | + 10 |
| Humble (pop. 1,711) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 4,511 | - 14 | $+4$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 338,465 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,216 |  | $+4$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 3,609 | - 4 | * |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 13.7 | + | $+1$ |


| Katy (pop. 1,569) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 1,000 | -93 | -98 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. $\$ 8$ | 2,636 | -5 | +4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$ | 2,817 | +11 | +7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 11.8 | -10 | +8 |

La Porte (pop. 7,250r)
Building permits, less federal contracts \$ Bank debits (thousands)
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$
Annual rate of deposit turnover

## Liberty (pop. 6,127)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 9,250 |  | + 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 61,249 | 5 | 224 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 10,435 | 11 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 10,200 | * |  |

Annual rate of deposit turnover
Pasadena (pop. 58,737)
Retail sales
Automotive stores
Postal receipts*
Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 3,048,504$
Bank debits (thousands).............. \$ 67,461
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$ 34,799$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.

| -4 | -5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| +15 | +19 |
| -31 | +116 |
| -4 | +11 |
| +4 | +18 |
| -7 |  |


|  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } 1966 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Apr } 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { May } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| Richmond (pop. 3,668) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 4,613 | $+30$ | + 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 74,188 | + 22 | + 13 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 6,437 | - 14 | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ \$ | 7,948 | - 15 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.9 | - 8 |  |
| Rosenberg (pop. 9,698) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 10,877 | + 8 | + 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 131,850 | - 78 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 9,812 | - 1 | $+10$ |
| South Houston (pop. 7,253) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 10,007 | + 1 | $+10$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 40,000 | - 60 | - 38 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 8,754 | - 3 | + 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ \$ | 5,928 | + 2 | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.9 | 6 | + 5 |
| Tomball (pop. 2,025r) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 7,753 | 4 | + 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 5,790 | - 2 | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.9 | + 1 | + 6 |

## HUMBLE: see HOUSTON SMSA

HUNTSVILLE (pop. 11,999)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . \ldots$ | 14,312 | -26 | -15 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 32,395 | +47 | -49 |
| Bank debits (thousands)...........\$ | 12,412 | +7 | +41 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$ | 10,824 | - | +20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. ..... | 13.6 | +9 | +18 |

## IOWA PARK: see WICHITA FALLS SMSA

## IRVING: see DALLAS SMSA

| JACKSONVILLE (pop. 10,509r) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 28,103 | + 19 | $+13$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 68,600 | - 28 | +243 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 15,238 | 7 | $+14$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 11,608 | 3 | + 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.5 | 5 | + 1 |
| JASPER (pop. 5,120r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . | \$ | 9,614 | - 12 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 143,300 | +156 | $+49$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 11,372 | 9 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 8,086 | - 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 16.8 | - 8 | + 14 |

## JUSTIN: see DALLAS SMSA

## KATY: see HOUSTON SMSA

| KINGSLAND (pop. 150) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* |  | 1,236 | $+$ | - 5 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 2,637 | + 7 | + 73 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 964 |  | + 42 |
| Arnual rate of deposit turnover |  | 30.3 | $+15$ | + 30 |
| KILGORE (pop. 10,092) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 15,505 | - 5 | $+5$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 130,922 | +158 | $+6$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 14,388 | + 7 | + 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 12,951 | ** | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 13.3 | $+10$ |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) |  | 33,000 | ** | + 5 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). |  | 8,310 |  | $+12$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) |  | 3.0 | ** | - 25 |

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 188.


LA FERIA: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA

LA MARQUE: see GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA
LAMESA (pop. 12,438)

| Postal receipts* |  | 16,009 | $+40$ | + 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 88,700 | +121 | +119 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 14,506 | 4 | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 15,984 | - 10 | $+10$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.3 | + 3 | 2 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 94 |  | $-25$ |
| LAMPASAS (pop. 5,670r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 6,043 | - 11 | 15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 71,200 | +691 | $+47$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 9,584 | + 10 | $+14$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 7,467 | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.4 |  |  |

## LA PORTE: see HOUSTON SMSA

## LAREDO SMSA

(Webb; pop. 71,738 ${ }^{1}$ )

Building permits, less federal contracts \$ 137,470
Bank debits (thousands) \|............ \$ 538,032
Nonfarm employment (area) . . . . . . . . 21,550
Manufacturing employment (area). 1,260


LAREDO (pop. 60,678)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 53,771 | + 11 | + 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 137,470 | - 55 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 47,514 | ** | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 29,830 |  | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 19.4 | 1 | $+$ |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 600 | + 15 | $+14$ |
| LEVELLAND (pop. 12,117r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 10,940 | + 7 | - 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 172,560 | +257 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 13,892 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 10,955 |  | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.0 |  | - 3 |

## LIBERTY: see HOUSTON SMSA

## LLANO (pop. 2,656)

Postal receipts*................ . $\$ 3,731+1+41$
Building permits, less federal contracts Bank debits (thousands)
End-of-month deposits (thousands)
Annual rate of deposit turnover.
 11.8

| -55 | -63 |
| ---: | :--- |
| +3 | +8 |
| $* *$ | +5 |
| -2 | -6 |
| -7 | -25 |

JULY 1966

| Local BusinessCity and item |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | May 1966 from Apr 1966 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { May } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| LOCKHART (pop. 6,084) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts** |  |  | 5,419 | + 13 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 66,485 | +635 | + 83 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 5,490 | - 11 | - |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$ |  | 5,934 | ** | + |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.1 | - 9 | - |
| LONGVIEW (pop. 40,050) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $+6 \dagger$ | + 12 |  |
| Automotive stores |  | $+{ }^{4 \dagger}$ | + 19 | - |
| Postal receipts** | \$ | 65,133 | $+$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | ,005,100 | + 65 | +234 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 71,052 |  | + 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 42,133 | - 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 20.0 | $+$ | + 10 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) . |  | 33,000 | ** | + |
| Manufacturing employment (area) |  | 8,310 | + | + 12 |
| Percent unemployed (area) |  | 3.0 | ** | - 25 |
| LOS FRESNOS: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGENSAN BENITO SMSA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LUBBOCK SMSA (Lubbock; pop. 177,1401) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 5,488,332 | + 57 | +108 |
| Eank debits (thousands) \\|. . . . . . . |  | 3,660,744 | + 2 |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) |  | 61,000 | * |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). |  | 7,340 | ** | + 10 |
| Percent unemployed (area) |  | 3.8 |  | -17 |
| LUBBOCK (pop. 155,200r) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $+6{ }^{\dagger}$ | + 7 | + 13 |
| Automotive stores |  | $+4 \dagger$ | + 11 | + 24 |
| General merchandise stores |  | $+12 \dagger$ |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 244,019 | $-4$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 5,484,932 | + 62 | +110 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 258,217 | + 1 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 133,326 | $-2$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 23.0 |  |  |
| Slaton (pop. 6,568) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 5,135 | + 12 | + 10 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 900 | -99 | -96 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 4,882 | + 19 | + 36 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ |  | 3,939 | - | + 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 14.4 | + 24 | + 27 |
| LUFKIN (pop. 17,641) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 32,396 | - |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 102,830 | - 68 | -64 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 116 | +107 | +90 |

## McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA <br> (Hidalgo; pop. 178,343)

| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 1,156,380$ | -24 | +15 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nonfarm employment (area).......... | 41,500 | -3 | +3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 2,690 | -12 | -19 |
| Percent unemployed (area) $\ldots \ldots .$. | 5.3 | -4 | -26 |


| Donna (pop. 7,522) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 4,508 |  | + 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 10,600 |  | + 42 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 2,816 | 1 | $+16$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 3,992 | 8 | + 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.1 | + 1 | 4 |
| Edinburg (pop. 18,706) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts**................. \$ | 14,258 | $-10$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 105,350 | - 70 | + 29 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 16,883 | - 15 | + 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 10,800 |  | +19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.8 | $-10$ | - 3 |
| Nonfarm placements | 263 | + 25 | + 42 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { May } 1965 \end{aligned}$ |
| Elsa (pop. 3,847) |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  |  | 1,100 | - 51 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 2,255 |  | + |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . |  | 1,467 | $-4$ | + 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.1 | - 7 | -15 |
| McALLEN (pop. 35,411r) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $+6 \dagger$ | - | + 12 |
| Automotive stores |  | $+{ }^{+}{ }^{+}$ | - 11 | + 12 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 40,357 | + | + 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 781,300 | -21 | +270 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 37,848 | - 11 | + |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 26,942 | + | + 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 17.2 | - 11 | - |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 740 | + | + 74 |
| Mercedes (pop. 10,943) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 6,912 | + 10 | + 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 56,980 | +108 | +349 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,511 | + | - 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 4,184 | + |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.8 | $+$ |  |
| Mission (pop. 14,081) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 9,601 | - | + 13 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 28,165 | -24 | - 1 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 12,098 | + 2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 8.892 | - |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 16.1 |  |  |
| Pharr (pop. 15,279r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 8,144 | + | + 13 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | S | 5,735 | -86 | - |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4,434 | $-17$ | + 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 4,398 | - 14 | + 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 11.2 | - |  |
| San Juan (pop. 4,371) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 3,159 | + | - 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 8,800 | - 32 | - 17 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 2,441 | - | +18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 2,403 |  | +14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.0 | - 7 | $+$ |
| Weslaco (pop. 15,649) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 11,005 | - | + 4 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | s | 157,350 | +211 | +286 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | . | 9,246 | - 11 | +14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 9,049 | - 1 | +17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.2 | - 12 |  |

## MISSION: see McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA

| McCAMEY (pop. 3,350r) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 2,791 |  | + |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 38,200 |  | + 59 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 1,999 |  | + 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 1,863 | 3 | +21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 12.7 |  |  |
| McGREGOR: see WACO SMSA |  |  |  |  |
| McKINNEY: see DALLAS SMSA |  |  |  |  |
| MARSHALL (pop. 25,715r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 34,937 | $+13$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 443,614 | +143 | +234 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 21,230 | + 12 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 23,496 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.7 | $+13$ |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 353 | $+9$ | + 25 |


\section*{Local Business Conditions <br> City and item $\quad$| May |
| :---: |
| 1966 |$\quad$| May 1966 |
| :---: |
| from |
| Apr 1966 | | May 1966 |
| :---: |
| from 1965 |}

MERCEDES: see McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA

## MESQUITE: see DALLAS SMSA

| MEXIA (pop. 7,621r) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Postal receipts* |  |  |  |

## MIDLOTHIAN: see DALLAS SMSA

| MINERAL WELLS (pop. 11,053) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 21,284 |  | $+31$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 372,900 | + 12 | +188 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 18,375 | + 5 | $+39$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) | \$ | 13,610 | + 9 | + 26 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 16.9 | $+$ | + 20 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 187 | + 28 | + 47 |
| MONAHANS (pop. 9,252r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 10,464 | $+5$ | $+16$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 106,350 | +153 | $-53$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 10,087 | + | + 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 7,070 | - 6 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 16.5 |  |  |
| MOUNT PLEASANT (pop. 8,027 ) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 11,851 | $+$ | $+3$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 43,150 | - 51 | - 50 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 10,809 | - 12 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 8,189 | - 6 | - 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.4 | $-7$ | 6 |
| MUENSTER (pop. 1,190) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 1,692 | $-28$ | 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 0 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 2,540 | $+$ | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 2,096 |  | $+2$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 14.6 |  |  |
| NACOGDOCHES (pop. 15,450r) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* |  | 26,529 | $+21$ | $+12$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 112,924 | - 25 | -74 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | + | 23,433 |  | $+5$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 21,348 |  | $+15$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.4 | - 6 | - 6 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 120 | $-30$ | $+12$ |

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 188.

# Local Business Conditions <br> <div class="inline-tabular"><table id="tabular" data-type="subtable">
<tbody>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: left; border-left: none !important; border-right: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">City and item</td>
<td style="text-align: left; border-right: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">| May |
| :---: |</td>
<td style="text-align: left; border-right: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">| May 1966 |
| :---: |
| from |</td>
<td style="text-align: left; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">| May 1966 |
| :---: |
| from |</td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: left; border-left: none !important; border-right: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">Apr 1966</td>
<td style="text-align: left; border-right: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">May 1965</td>
<td style="text-align: left; border-right: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; " class="_empty"></td>
<td style="text-align: left; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; " class="_empty"></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
<table-markdown style="display: none">| City and item | May | May 1966 &lt;br&gt; from | May 1966 &lt;br&gt; from |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Apr 1966 | May 1965 |  |  |</table-markdown></div> 

## NEDERLAND: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHURORANGE SMSA

| NEW BRAUNFELS (pop. 15,631) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 20,906 | + 13 | + 13 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 210,328 | - 72 | 12 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 15,152 | $+$ | + 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 14,966 |  | $+20$ |
| Annual rate of deposit |  | 2. |  |  |

## NORTH RICHLAND HILLS: see FORT WORTH SMSA

| ODESSA SMSA <br> (Ector; pop. 85,7271) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 931,717 | - 44 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|| | \$ 1,202,328 | + 1 | $+$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 58,100 | + 1 | $+$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 4,900 | ** | + 13 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.3 | $+6$ | 13 |
| ODESSA (pop. 86,937r) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $6 \dagger$ | $+1$ | $+6$ |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 105,115 | + 7 | $+13$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 931,717 |  | $+3$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 105,371 | $+4$ | $+11$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 61,526 | 5 | + 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.0 | $+6$ |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 435 | 9 | - 17 |

ORANGE: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHURORANGE SMSA

PALESTINE (pop. 13,974)


PAMPA (pop. 24,664)

| Retail sales |  | $+6 \dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 29,693 | + | 6 | + | 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 163,700 | - | 25 |  | 45 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 26,980 | - | 5 | $+$ | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 19,171 | $+$ | 3 | - | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 17.2 | - | 4 | + | 15 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 119 | - | 5 | - |  |

PARIS (pop. 20,977)

| Retail sales | + $6 \dagger$ | $+11$ | + 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | + $4 \dagger$ | $+17$ | + 22 |
| Postal receipts* | 26,228 | 6 | $+2$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | \$ 245,236 | -94 | $+23$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 168 |  | ** |

## PASADENA: see HOUSTON SMSA

## PECOS (pop. 12,728)

| Postal receipts* |  | 10,317 | - 19 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 138,945 | + 23 | +255 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 14,889 | 8 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 12,559 | + 18 | + 27 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 15.4 |  |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 65 | ** |  |


| Local |  | Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { Mrom }}{\text { May } 1966}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | City and item |  | 1966 | Apr 1966 | May 1965 |

PHARR: see McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA

PILOT POINT: see DALLAS SMSA

| PLAINVIEW (pop. 18,731r) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 30,459 | - | 3 | + | 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 374,550 | + | 4 |  |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 271 |  | 3 |  | 32 |

## PLANO: see DALLAS SMSA

## PLEASANTON (pop. 5,053r)

Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ \quad 38,500 \quad$ ** +11
Bank debits (thousands) ...........\$ $3,310-26+10$
$\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { End-of-month deposits (thousands) } \ddagger \ldots \$ & 3,960 & -2 & +15 \\ \text { Annual rate of deposit turnover.... } & 9.9 & -24 & -\quad 3\end{array}$

PORT ARTHUR: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHURORANGE SMSA

PORT ISABEL: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGENSAN BENITO SMSA

PORT NECHES: see BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHURORANGE SMSA

| QUANAH (pop. 4,564) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 4,892 | - | 7 | $+7$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 35,050 |  |  | + 89 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,628 | - | 5 | + 42 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 5,122 | $+$ | 3 | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.4 | - | 2 | + 38 |
| RAYMONDVILLE (pop. 9,385) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 6,960 | - | 5 | $+4$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 2,600 | - | 80 | - 95 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,982 | - | 9 | - 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 7,037 |  | ** | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 10.2 | - | 8 |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 40 | - | 7 | $+60$ |

## RICHARDSON: see DALLAS SMSA

## RICHMOND : see HOUSTON SMSA

## ROBSTOWN: see CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

| ROCKDALE (pop. 4,481) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 5,068 | 12 | - | 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 13,280 | - 86 | - | 71 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4.981 | 6 | $+$ | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 7,223 | 1 | $+$ | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 8.2 | 6 | - | 2 |

## ROSENBERG: see HOUSTON SMSA

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 188.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br>  <br> City and item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May <br> Mrom 1966 <br> from | May 1966 <br> from <br> Apr 1966 | May 1965 |


| SAN ANGELO SMSA <br> (Tom Green; pop. 70,876¹) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,628,582 | +114 | +113 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|......... | 871,524 | 6 | + |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 22,050 |  | + |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 3,620 |  | + 17 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.4 | ** | -32 |
| SAN ANGELO (pop. 58,815) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $6 \dagger$ |  | $+13$ |
| General merchandise stores | $+12 \dagger$ |  | $+13$ |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 114,344 | + 5 | $+15$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,628,582 | +114 | +113 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 75,933 |  | $+12$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 52,976 | 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.0 |  | $+7$ |

## SAN ANTONIO SMSA

(Bexar and Guadalupe; pop. $800,968^{1}$ )

| (s, less federal contract | \$ 6,344,127 | 33 | + 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\| | \$11,913,456 |  | + 15 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 240,100 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 27,800 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.4 |  | 2 |


| SAN ANTONIO (pop. 655,006r) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  | $+3$ | $+9$ |
| Apparel stores | 1 | $-10$ | + 6 |
| Automotive stores | + 13 | ** | $-1$ |
| Eating and drinking places | + 2 | + 1 | $+6$ |
| Fürniture and household appliance stores | $+25$ |  | + 15 |
| Gasoline and service stations | + 3 | - 3 | -8 |
| General merchandise stores | + 13 | + 13 | + 18 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores |  | 1 | $+51$ |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 744,349 | -24 | -19 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 5,388,525 | - 39 | $+47$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | \$ 1,006,658 |  | +18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 481,227 |  | + 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 25.5 | + 7 | + 12 |

## Schertz (pop. 2,281)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 1,802 | + | 2 | $+8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 670 | - | 1 | + 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 1,107 | + | 5 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 7.5 | - | 1 | + 14 |


| Seguin (pop. 14,299) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 14,626 | $-7$ | $+7$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 361,665 | +262 | +234 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 17,337 | $+13$ | + 32 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 15,375 | $-6$ | + 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.1 | $+16$ | + 25 |

SAN BENITO: see BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA

## SAN JUAN: see McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA

SAN MARCOS (pop. 12,713)
Postal receipts*
Buildin pits, less federal contracts $\$ 416,900+108+104$
Binds lens
Bank debits (thousands)............... \$ 13,077
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$ 15,170+4+26$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.

| Local Business Conditions |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1966 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Apr } 1966 \end{aligned}$ | May 1966 from May 1965 |
| SAN SABA (pop. 2,728) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 3.686 | +28 | - 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 8 | 22,750 |  | +225 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 5,700 | $-7$ | + 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 5,037 | + 5 | + 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.9 | - 10 | - 3 |

SCHERTZ: see SAN ANTONIO SMSA

## SEAGOVILLE: see DALLAS SMSA

SEGUIN: see SAN ANTONIO SMSA

| SHERMAN (pop. 30,660r) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales |  | $+6 \dagger$ | + 14 | $+18$ |
| Automotive stores |  | $+4 \dagger$ | + 21 | + 22 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 44,208 | 1 | $+10$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | ,869,604 | +415 | +102 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 37,580 | - 1 | $+11$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 24,109 | - 2 | $+18$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.5 | $+1$ | - 3 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 156 | + 11 | $-23$ |

## SILSBEE (pop. 6,277)



## SINTON: see CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA

## SLATON: see LUBBOCK SMSA

## SMITHVILLE (pop. 2,933)

| Postal receipts* | s | 2,404 | $+40$ | $+18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 18,100 | + 29 | +262 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 1,499 | 3 | + 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 2,446 | - 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 7.2 | - 3 |  |

SNYDER (pop. 13,850)
Building permits, less federal contracts \$ 40.700 - 95 - 88
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Bank debits (thousands) .......... } & \$ 12,182 & -11 & + \\ \text { End-of-month deposits (thousands) } \ddagger & 18,269 & +19 & + & 3\end{array}$
Annual rate of deposit turnover $\quad 8.7 \begin{array}{lrl} & 78\end{array}$

## SOUTH HOUSTON: see HOUSTON SMSA

## SULPHUR SPRINGS (pop. 9,160)

Postal receipts* $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . .$. \& 18,256 - 2 - 3
Building permits, less federal contracts \$ $78.600 \quad-46 \quad-30$
Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ $18,134+1+35$
$\begin{array}{lrrrr}\text { End-of-month deposits (thousands) } \ddagger . \$ & 14,261 & - & 2 & +8 \\ \text { Annual rate of deposit turnover..... } & 15.1 & + & 1 & +24\end{array}$

## STEPHENVILLE (pop. 7,359)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 10,565 | - | 3 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 560,850 | - | 39 | +186 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 9,659 |  | ** | + 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 9,621 | - | 1 | $+6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 12.0 | $+$ | 3 | $+13$ |

For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 188.

| Local Business Conditions |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | May 1966 | May 1966 from Apr 1966 | May 1966 from May 1965 |

## STRATFORD (pop. 1,380)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 2,410 | + | + 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 16,500 | - 82 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 8,448 | + 11 | + 50 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 5.824 | 9 | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 16.5 | + 15 | $+36$ |

## SWEETWATER (pop. 13,914 )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 15,285 | + 11 | + 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 11,600 | - 48 | - 48 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | S | 12,522 | 6 | + 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | s | 10,037 | ** | + 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 15.0 | 4 |  |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 141 | - 24 |  |

## TAYLOR (pop. 9,434)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 15,460 | + 29 | + 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 231,950 | +648 | +228 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 8,380 | - 16 | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 15,041 | 2 | + 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 6.6 | - 14 | 1 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 35 | + 52 | + 17 |

TEMPLE (pop. 34,730r)

| Retail sales |  | 7 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furniture and household |  |  | 1 |
| Postal receipts* | 51,403 | - 1 | + 10 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 552,247 | + 64 | + 68 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | 33.090 | 10 | + 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 253 | - 5 | + 23 |

TERRELL (pop. 13,803)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 11,681 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 93,540 |  |  |  | 22 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 10,993 | - | 8 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 9,655 | - | 2 |  | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 13.5 | - | 8 |  |  |

TEXARKANA SMSA
(Bowie, excluding Miller, Ark.; pop. 66,743 ${ }^{1}$ )

| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 414,941 | -87 | -44 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\|........ $1,070,700$ | -2 | +20 |  |
| Nonfarm employment (area) $\ldots \ldots .$. | 34,300 | $* *$ | +6 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 7,710 | +3 | +16 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 3.4 | -17 | -51 |

## TEXARKANA (pop. $50,006 r$ )

| Retail sales |  | + 6† | - | 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 75,503 | + | 8 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 366,686 | - | 88 |  | 49 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 80,752 | + | 3 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger 8$ | \$ | 22,229 | - | 9 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 21.7 | + | 5 |  |  |

## TEXAS CITY: see GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA

## TOMBALL: see HOUSTON SMSA

## TYLER SMSA

(Smith; pop. 95,412 ${ }^{1}$ )
Building permits, less federal contracts \$ 799,405
Bank debits (thousands) || .......... \& $1,538,652$
Nonfarm employment (area) ......... 33,100
Manufacturing employment (area). 8,490
Percent unemployed (area).......... 3.3


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br>  <br> City and item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May <br> from <br> 1966 | May 1966 <br> from <br> Apr 1966 | May 1965 |

## TYLER (pop. 51,230)

| Retail sales |  | $+6 \dagger$ | ** | - 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts | \$ | 115,455 | - 7 | $+3$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 779,405 | + 6 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 129,367 |  | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 75,828 | - 4 | $+4$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 20.1 | + 2 | $+6$ |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 808 |  | $+21$ |
| UVALDE (pop. 10,293) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 10,823 |  | $+12$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 200,510 | +376 | + |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 14,417 | - 8 | + 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 9,671 | + 6 | + 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.4 | $-10$ | + 4 |

## VERNON (pop. 12,141)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 13,658 | - 2 | + 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 20,800 | +104 | -92 |
| Bank debits (thousamds) | \$ | 15,539 | 6 | + 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 19,780 | 2 | $+3$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 9.3 | - | $+4$ |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 93 | + 19 | $-11$ |
| VICTORIA (pop. 33,047) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | $+6 \dagger$ | - 3 | - 1 |
| Automotive stores |  | $+4 \dagger$ | 4 | + 5 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 50,416 |  | $+4$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 236,612 | $-34$ | - 29 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 73,190 |  | $-16$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 89,669 | 4 | + 1 |
| Anrual rate of deposit turnover |  | 9.6 | - 8 | $-21$ |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 573 | + 12 | $-16$ |

## WACO SMSA

(McLennan; pop. 152,6301)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | 675,263 | 49 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands)\\| | \$ 1,966,836 | - 12 | + |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 53,800 | ** | $+$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 11,330 | - 1 | + |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.6 |  | - |

## McGregor (pop. 4,642)

Building permits, less federal contracts Bank debits (thousands)

End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \$ 1$|  | 6,226 | - | 6 | -18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| , 646 | - | 3 | +10 |  |

Annual rate of deposit turnover..... $9.3-5 \quad-\quad 5 \quad-23$

WACO (pop. 103,462)

| Retail sales $\dagger \dagger$ |  | $+6 \dagger$ | 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel storest $\dagger$ |  | $7 \dagger$ | $-10$ |  |  |
| Automotive storest $\dagger$ |  | $+4 \dagger$ | - 8 |  |  |
| General merchandise stores. |  | + 12† | $+22$ |  | 6 |
| Postal receipts* |  | 208,487 | - 4 | + | 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | 590,238 |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 144,599 |  |  | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 87,525 | - 1 | + | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 19.7 |  | + | 7 |

$\dagger \dagger$ Reported in cooperation with the Baylor Bureau of Business Research. For an explanation of symbols, please see p. 188.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br>  <br> City and item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

WAXAHACHIE: see DALLAS SMSA

WEATHERFORD (pop. 9,759)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 13,285 | $+$ | 8 | + 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 26,780 | $+$ | 3 | -81 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 15,239 | $+$ | 3 |  |

WESLACO: see McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA

WHITE SETTLEMENT: see FORT WORTH SMSA

## WICHITA FALLS SMSA

(Archer and Wichita; pop. 129,3531)

| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 703,285 | -82 | -3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\\| \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | $2,173,140$ | + | +17 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 48,300 | - | $+\ldots$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,230 |  | $+*$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2.9 | +12 | -33 |



WICHITA FALLS (pop. 101,724)

| Retail sales |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | $+4 \dagger$ | + | 7 |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 141,329 |  | 1 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 620,985 |  | 84 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 162,429 | $+$ | 8 | 20 |
| End-cf-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 94,346 | - | 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 20.3 |  | 11 | + 21 |

## LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY

(Cameron, Willacy, and Hidalgo; pop. 337,041 ${ }^{1}$ )

| Retail sales | + $6 \dagger$ | - 6 | $+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | - 7† | - 10 | $+20$ |
| Automotive stores | + $4 \dagger$ | $-7$ | $+10$ |
| Drugstores | $+11 \dagger$ | ** |  |
| Food stores |  | 6 |  |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | + 9† |  |  |
| Gasoline and service stations | + $6 \dagger$ | 9 |  |
| General merchandise stores | $+12 \dagger$ | $-10$ | +18 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores | $+2 \dagger$ | - 8 | +19 |
| Postal receipts* |  | * | $+15$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts |  | - 11 | -44 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 9 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | - 1 | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.8 | $-8$ |  |

## BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS

All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated. All indexes are based on the average months for 1957-59, except where indicated; all are adjusted for seasonal variation, except annual indexes. Employment estimates are Texas Employment Commission data in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U. S. Department of Labor. Employment data marked ( $\dagger$ ) cover wage and salary workers only. The index of Texas business activity is based on bank debits in 20 cities, adjusted for price level. An asterisk (*) indicates preliminary data subject to revision. Revised data are marked ( $\mathbf{r}$ ). Data marked ( $\S$ ) are dollar totals for the fiscal year to date.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

NEW PUBLICATION

## TEXAS ECONOMIC INDICATORS

The Bureau of Business Research is initiating a new monthly publication entitled TEXAS ECONOMIC INDICATORS. This publication will include data not published in the monthly TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW and will serve as a supplement to that publication. If you would like to be placed on the complimentary mailing list to receive TEXAS ECONOMIC INDICATORS each month, please write the address noted below.

> BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH
> THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
> AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712


[^0]:    Source: World Oil, June 1966.

[^1]:    *Preliminary, subject to revision upon receipt of additional reports.
    rRevised.
    Data cover wage and salary workers only.
    Source: Texas Employment Commission.

[^2]:    ${ }^{*}$ Former student at The University of Texas. Now with George Sandlin and Company, Austin, Texas.

[^3]:    Source: U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Texas Hunting and Fishing Survey," 1960, p. B-22.

[^4]:    Source: Reports prepared by cooperating state agencies and U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics.
    ${ }^{1}$ Average earnings are computed on a gross basis reflecting not only changes in basic hourly and incentive wage rates but also such variable factors as premium pay for overtime, late shift work, etc. Average weekly hours are not scheduled hours but represent the number of hours worked or paid for and are affected by such factors as absenteeism, turnover, overtime, etc.
    *Revised.

