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Many young men struggle with mental health issues including depression, anxiety, substance abuse and suicide. Further research has shown that many men have an aversion to help seeking, placing them at greater risk for unresolved mental health issues. In the past thirty years, the Gender Role Conflict framework and related research has emphasized the impact of societal gender roles on men’s psychological problems. Higher education counselors who are familiar with Gender Role Conflict theory and related research will have a potentially useful skill set in counseling male students. This document overviews the Gender Role Conflict paradigm and highlights the most relevant literature for college counselors. Further, practical ideas are offered to help guide counselors in their work with college males, and suggestions for future research are also provided.
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Introduction

As they struggle in adapting to the college experience, many young men encounter mental health challenges. In a national research survey conducted by the American College Health Association, 39% of college males reported feeling overwhelming anxiety within the previous 12 months. Twenty-six percent experienced depression so severe they found it difficult to function. Six percent of college men said they had seriously considered suicide within the past 12 months (ACHA, 2008). Suicide is the third leading cause of death for males age 18-24 (National Vital Statistics System, 2007). Moreover, college-aged men between the ages of 18-24 are six times more likely to complete a suicide than women in the same age group (see Pollack, 1998a, 1998b, 2001a, 2001b). Clearly, a large number of young men experience difficulty in this highly stressful yet formative time in their lives.

One theoretical model frequently used in studying the mental health of college men is O’Neil’s Gender Role Conflict paradigm (O’Neil, 1981). Gender Role Conflict (GRC) theory suggests that men experience psychological distress due to restrictive societal expectations based on their gender. GRC concepts derive from Gender Role Socialization theory, which emphasizes cultural and societal influences on gender role constructions (Wong & Rochlen, 2008). For example, traditional masculinity values such as need for control, emotional restriction and independence, are largely emphasized and enforced by friends, family and the media. Yet these same values are hypothesized to result in distress in men (O’Neil, 1981). GRC can be defined as a psychological state in
which restrictive gender roles result in the devaluation of self or others (O’Neil, Good, & Holmes, 1995). Although there are many different theories on masculine gender roles (Juni, Rahamim, & Brannon, 1985; Smiler, 2006; Thompson & Pleck, 1986), GRC theory is currently the dominant paradigm in the field in terms of influence and research conducted. Almost thirty years after GRC was first outlined by O’Neil, over 300 empirical studies have investigated the influence of GRC on men’s lives (O’Neil, 2008). A majority of such inquiries have used college-aged participants, which gives counselors a large literature base to explore GRC in the 18 to 24 age range.

To research the effect of gender roles on men’s mental health, O’Neil developed the Gender Role Conflict Scale (GRCS), which helped define common patterns of GRC (O’Neil, Helms, Gable, David, & Wrightsman, 1986). O’Neil and colleagues proposed that four factors generally underlie gender role conflicts: (a) Success, Power, and Competition; (b) Restrictive Emotionality; (c) Restrictive Affectionate Behavior Between Men; and (d) Conflict Between Work and Family (O’Neil et al., 1986). These four subscales of GRC have been verified in subsequent investigations (Good, Robertson, O’Neil, Fitzgerald, Stevens, Debord, 1995). College counselors can use the considerable GRCS research base to better understand the life problems of male clients.

Scholars hypothesize that GRC can lead to increased psychological distress (O’Neil, 1981). A substantial amount of GRC literature has found strong relationships between GRC and distress in college males. High levels of GRC have been linked to increases in men’s depression (Good & Wood, 1995; Sharpe & Heppner, 1991), anxiety (Cournoyer & Mahalik, 1995; Davenport, Hetzel & Brooks, 1998; Stillson, 1988),
alcohol abuse (Blazina & Watkins, 1996) and even suicidal risk (Borthick, 1997; Borthick, Knox, Taylor, & Dietrich, 1997; Houle, 2005; Houle, Mishara, & Chagnon, 2007). Other research has outlined how those in relationships with traditional men can be endangered. Studies suggest that men with high levels of GRC have increased sexual aggression (Kaplan, O’Neil & Owen, 1993), more sexual harassment tolerance (Glomb & Espelage, 2005; Jacobs, 1996; Kearney, King, & Rochlen, 2004) and are more likely to become violent with women (O’Neil & Nadeau, 1999). Studies such as these provide a sobering illustration of how traditional masculinity ideals can harm not only the men who experience GRC, but also those around them.

Ideally, distressed male students would seek professional help to help manage their life problems. However, men tend to avoid help seeking, as they enter counseling about half as much as women (Courtenay, 1998; Mansfield, Addis, & Courtenay, 2005; Pederson & Vogel, 2007). Moreover, studies suggest that GRC men hold more negative attitudes towards help seeking than other men (Blazina & Marks, 2001; Levant, Wimer, Williams, Smalley, & Noronha, 2009; Mansfield et al., 2005). Men’s underutilization of mental health services is one of the most consistent findings in the help seeking literature (McKelley & Rochlen, 2007), with this pattern holding true across various age groups, nationalities, and ethnic and racial backgrounds (see Addis & Mahalik, 2003). In light of this considerable help seeking disparity, several scholars have highlighted the facilitation of men’s help seeking as the most crucial intervention in men’s counseling (Brooks, 1998; Good, Thomson, & Brathwaite, 2005; Levant & Pollack, 1995; Real, 1997). Furthermore, the evidence suggests that the men who are most at-risk for psychological
distress are also the least likely to seek counseling (Good & Wood, 1995). Good and Wood (1995) described this phenomenon as a “double-bind” that places traditionally masculine men at increased risk for unresolved psychological problems. To address men’s help-seeking aversion some suggest we change men’s mental health marketing (Blazina & Marks, 2001; Rochlen & Hoyer, 2005; Schaub & Williams, 2007) while others assert that counselors alter therapy for men (Brooks, 1998; Brooks, 2010; Levant, 1990; Levant & Pollack, 1995; Good et al., 2005).

Importantly, while the research on GRC is extensive, there is a need to consolidate the relevant research into an introductory guide for college counselors who know little about the GRC paradigm. This document is intended to address such a need. Counselors who are familiar with GRC theory, frameworks and the GRCS measurement tool will have an added skill set in their work with male college students. The main aim of this report is to provide an overview of the GRC literature and to offer concrete suggestions on how counselors can work with men who experience conflict on the GRC subscales. Barriers to men’s help seeking will also be discussed, along with ideas on how to address this dilemma. Additionally, this report will highlight gaps in the literature and offer suggestions for future research. GRC concepts will be overviewed in three sections: Section I: Gender Role Conflict Defined, Section II: Exploring Problems: The Costs and Consequences of GRC, and Section III: Exploring Solutions: Practical Suggestions for College Counselors.
Section I. Gender Role Conflict Defined

Theoretical Origins

The study of men’s gender roles grew out of the feminist psychology movement of the 1960s and 1970s (O’Neil, 1981; Pleck, 1981). As women fundamentally challenged traditional patriarchal wisdom and power, masculinity scholars began questioning the traditional societal expectations that accompany manhood. Yet it wasn’t until the early 1980s that male gender role study became widely accepted by the psychological community (O’Neil, 2008). In 1981, psychologist Jim O’Neil published a document that was described as largely influential in conceptualizing the restrictiveness of men’s gender roles (Betz and Fitzgerald, 1993). The manuscript presented a conceptual model of the relationship between men’s psychological problems and masculine gender role conflicts.

Gender Role Conflict (GRC) theory is derived from a gender role socialization approach to masculinity studies. In contrast with other theories that emphasize biological gender differences, Gender Role Socialization theory emphasizes the role of social forces on men’s lives, such as family, friends and the media (Wong & Rochlen, 2008). According to Gender Role Socialization theory, the cultures in which we live assign gendered expectations to both men and women that affect their attitudes and behaviors.
(Pleck, 1981, 1995). GRC refers to specific consequences of masculine role socialization that have a negative impact on men’s lives.

**O’Neil’s Definition of GRC**

GRC is defined as a psychological state in which restrictive gender roles result in the devaluation of self or others (O’Neil et al., 1995). By existing in sexist, patriarchal societies, men receive constant messages about an idealized, but unattainable, concept of manhood. Theoretically, conflict arises out of the discrepancy between men’s real selves and their ideal selves based on gender role stereotypes and masculine ideology (Garnets & Pleck, 1979; Liu, Rochlen, & Mohr, 2005). GRC theory hypothesizes that gendered expectations result in cognitive, affective and behavioral problems in men (O’Neil, 2008).

To help illustrate how societal factors might engender harmful masculine ideas, let us discuss one particularly salient theme in GRC. Traditional men’s difficulty with expressing emotion is central to many GRC issues (Brooks, 1998; Levant, 2001; Robertson, 2001). They tend to reject emotional expressiveness and vulnerability, presumably to avoid appearing weak or feminine (O’Neil et al., 1986). For example, a man may desire to emotionally connect with his partner, but hold back his feelings for fear he would violate traditional masculine norms. Following a Gender Role Socialization view, such emotional withholding would have been largely influenced by a man’s family members, peers, and the media. In this example, the man could experience GRC as
psychological distress due to the incongruence between the man he wants to be (unfeeling, independent) and the man he actually is (feeling, interdependent) (Pleck, 1981).

**The Gender Role Conflict Scale**

The Gender Role Conflict Scale (GRCS) is a research measure developed to assess the degree to which men experience conflict related to traditionally masculine norms (O’Neil et al., 1986). The GRCS has been the main psychometric used in the history of GRC research (O’Neil, 2008). For over 25 years, the measurement tool has been used to determine the degree of GRC men experience in different areas of their lives. The scale was developed through item generation and reduction, content analysis of items, factor analysis, and tests of reliability. Factor analyses of initial gender role assessments resulted in a 37-item scale with items that relate to four GRC theoretical dimensions or subscales (O’Neil, 2008). Familiarity with the GRCS will help counselors conceptualize the masculinity-related struggles of male college students.

**The Four Subscales of GRC**

In developing the GRCS, O’Neil and colleagues identified four general patterns of gender role conflict: (a) Success, Power, and Competition; (b) Restrictive Emotionality; (c) Restrictive Affectionate Behavior Between Men; and (d) Conflict Between Work and
Family Relations (O'Neil et al., 1986). The factor of Success, Power and Competition (SPC) is a measure of men’s emphasis on achievement, desire for authority and control, and the struggle against others for personal gain. Restrictive Emotionality (RE) refers to men’s rejection of emotional expressiveness and vulnerability. Restrictive Affectionate Behavior Between Men (RABBMB) is an index of a man’s discomfort with, and avoidance of, expressions of caring between men. The fourth domain, Conflict Between Work and Family Relations (CBWFR), includes men’s experience of being overworked and stressed in balancing work responsibilities with relationship roles. Conflicts related to these four patterns of GRC are assessed by the GRCS and have been verified in subsequent studies (Good et al., 1995; Hayes & Mahalik, 2000).

**Fear of the Feminine**

An additional way to define GRC is by considering how masculinity norms are expressed in relation to femininity. Fear of femininity is defined as a strong, negative emotion associated with feminine attitudes, values and behaviors (O’Neil, 1981). From the very beginnings of GRC study, men’s fear of femininity was thought to have direct relevance to GRC (O’Neil, 1981). O’Neil hypothesized that men may not voice their own potentials and expression of human needs to avoid appearing in any way feminine (O’Neil et al., 1986). However, difficulties can arise because the messages men receive about what is masculine or feminine are often confusing, contradictory or unattainable (Hayes & Mahalik, 2000). In a study of college men, participants both recognized and
valued the need for self-expressions that lie outside the boundaries of what is seen as masculine. Yet, fears or concerns about being perceived as feminine or gay made it difficult for the participants to embrace emotional expression (Davis, 2002). This study is an illustration of how fear of femininity can contribute to confusion around gender roles and expressiveness. Conflicts men experience as a result of gender role restrictions is theorized to result in psychological distress. The relevant literature will be overviewed in Section II.
Section II. Exploring Problems: The Costs and Consequences of GRC

The purpose of Section II is to summarize some of the most important research topics in GRC study, with a particular focus on common issues in the higher education setting. Extensive GRC research has been conducted on the male college student population, but for the sake of brevity, this section will focus on six of the most salient themes for counselors: Psychological Distress and Anxiety, Depression, Suicide, Drug and Alcohol Abuse, Interpersonal and Relationship Problems, and Aversion to Help Seeking.

**Psychological Distress and Anxiety**

Early GRC theory postulated that men’s masculine socialization resulted in negative effects on their mental well being (O’Neil, 1981). Many studies have supported this hypothesis by linking higher GRC levels to increased psychological distress in college men (Cournoyer & Mahalik, 1995; Good & Mintz, 1990; Good, et al., 1995; Hayes & Mahalik, 2000; Houle, 2007; Liu et al., 2005; Sharpe & Heppner, 1991; Shepard, 2002; Wester, Christianson, Vogel, & Wei, 2007; Zamarripa, Wampold, & Gregory, 2003). Many college men experience anxiety in college related to their careers, relationships, finances and academic achievement. In fact, in a national research survey conducted by the American College Health Association, 39% of college males reported
an overwhelming anxiety within the previous 12 months (ACHA, 2008). Several studies suggest that college men who rigidly subscribe to masculine gender roles experience increased levels of anxiety (Cournoyer & Mahalik, 1995; Sharpe and Heppner, 1991; Zamarippa, et al., 2003). Importantly, much research highlights the subscale of Restricted Emotionality as the strongest predictor of psychological distress in men (Cournoyer & Mahalik, 1995; Good et al., 1995; Good, Robertson, Fitzgerald & Stevens, 1996; Sharpe & Heppner, 1991; Shepard, 2002). In summary, college men with higher GRC scores appear to experience greater levels of distress than men with lower reported levels.

**Depression**

Male depression is a central concern for students, parents, counselors and anyone involved in the higher education experience. A national research survey conducted by the American College Health Association found that 26% of college men experienced depression so severe they found it difficult to function and 6% said they had seriously considered suicide within the past 12 months (ACHA, 2008). Attempting to understand and effectively treat depression in male college students is therefore a high priority for college counselors.

Masculinity scholars have conducted much research on how gender roles influence men’s depression. All four subscales of GRC have been significantly correlated with male depression, with Restricted Emotionality being the strongest predictor (Blazina & Watkins, 1996; Cournoyer & Mahalik, 1995; Good & Mintz, 1990; Good et al., 1996;
Liu et al., 2005; Mahalik & Cournoyer, 2000; Sharpe & Heppner, 1991; Shepard, 2002). The literature suggests that higher RE scores lead to increased self-dislike, and more feelings of failure, guilt, and pessimism (Shepard, 2002; Liu, et al., 2005). Mahalik and Cournoyer (2000) found that men who scored higher on GRC measures experienced more negative self-talk that likely contributed to depression in participants. Evidence suggests strong correlations between higher GRC scores and lower self-esteem (Cournoyer & Mahalik, 1995; Sharpe and Heppner, 1991). Additionally, Liu, Rochlen and Mohr (2005) found that depressive feelings in college men were related to perceptions about their real and ideal gender role selves. Gender roles seem to have a considerable relationship to the experience of depression in college men. College counselors must consider how GRC variables might influence male clients with depression.

Several theorists have emphasized differences in how depression is expressed in men. Scholars argue that men’s reluctance to talk about their emotions can lead to “covert depression” or “masked depression” that is often exhibited through substance abuse or acting out behavior (Brooks, 2010; Cochran & Rabinowitz, 2002; Real, 1997). Men who have been socialized to adhere to traditional gender norms often experience a denial or camouflage of depressive symptoms due to their discomfort with vulnerability (O’Neil, 1981; O’Neil, et al., 1986). In this way traditional masculinity insidiously puts men at risk for depression and also masks the depression, should it actually develop (Lynch & Kilmartin, 1999; Real, 1997). Therefore, men with depression may be statistically underrepresented, particularly individuals with more traditional gender role values.
(Shepard, 2002). College counselors must keep in mind that depression is often expressed differently in male students, particularly those with more gender role conflicts.

**Suicide**

Men in the general population have a significantly higher completed suicide rate than women (Courtenay, 1998). However, college age men have an especially high suicidal risk; six percent of college men have considered suicide within the past 12 months (ACHA, 2008). Men between the ages of 18 and 24 complete suicide at a rate six times that of women in the same age group (see Pollack, 1998a, 1998b, 2001a, 2001b). Moreover, suicide is the third leading cause of death for males age 18-24 (National Vital Statistics System, 2007). The considerable gender discrepancy in completed suicide rates of college age men is a serious cause for concern.

Few studies have explored a direct relationship between GRC and suicidal behavior. Some literature suggests that men who rigidly adhere to traditional masculine expectations are at a higher risk for suicide than men who do not (Borthick, 1997; Borthick et al., 1997; Houle, 2004; Houle et al., 2007). Research relating GRC with higher depression would seem to support such an association (Shepard, 2002; Liu et al., 2005) as major depression is the mental disorder most commonly associated with suicide. Houle, Mishara and Chagnon (2007) highlighted how GRC variables can undermine one’s mental state and discourage help seeking and social support. Indeed, the role of social support is crucial in ameliorating suicidal behavior in depressed individuals.
(Houle, 2005; Houle et al., 2007; Sokero, Melartin, Rytsälä, Leskelä, Lestelä-Mielonen, & Isometsä, 2003). However, traditionally masculine men don’t rely on social support as much as other men, potentially leaving them vulnerable to psychological distress (Shepard, 2002; Wester et al., 2007). Although connections between masculinity variables and suicidal risk appear to exist, more information is needed. Future research should attempt to clarify how gender roles might contribute to men’s suicidal ideation and behavior.

**Drug and Alcohol Abuse**

Alcohol abuse, substance abuse and the associated problem behaviors (ie. drunk driving, unsafe sex, violence) are central problems on every college campus. Although illicit drug use is a concern for students (Courtenay, 1998), alcohol is the most frequently used drug among college youth (Presley, Leichliter, & Meilman, 1998). The negative impact of alcohol on the lives of college students is staggering. In 2001 college drinking was associated with approximately 600,000 injuries, almost 500,000 instances of unprotected sex, 97,000 sexual assaults, and 700,000 physical assaults (Hingson, Heeren, Winter, & Wechsler, 2005). Additionally, in 2005 approximately 1,825 college students died from alcohol related causes (Hingson, Zha, & Weitzman, 2009).

Some scholars suggest that drinking alcohol is primarily a male domain: male dominated, male identified, and male centered (Johnson, 1997). Indeed, men outnumber women in virtually every measurable category of drinking behavior, including
prevalence, consumption, frequency of drinking and intoxication, incidence of heavy and problem drinking, alcohol abuse and alcoholism (Berkowitz & Perkins, 1987; Lemle & Mishkind, 1989; McReary, Newcomb, & Sadave, 1999; Weschler, Deutsch, & Dowell, 1995). College counselors must consider the possible role of alcohol use and abuse in their work with male clients.

Several studies suggest that traditional gender role views are related to increased alcohol and substance use in young men (Blazina & Watkins, 1996; Courtenay, 1998; Huselid & Cooper, 1992; Liu & Iwanmoto, 2007; Tager & Good, 2005). One study demonstrated a relationship between SPC and increased reports of alcohol abuse (Blazina & Watkins, 1996). Another inquiry found conformity to masculine gender role norms to be linked with increased binge drinking (Liu and Iwamoto, 2007). Such reports support the assertion that young men perceive alcohol consumption as a highly masculine activity (Blazina & Watkins, 1996; Huselid & Cooper, 1992). Additionally, research has correlated traditionally masculine beliefs with increased drug use, including marijuana, cocaine and tobacco (Courtenay, 1998; Courtenay, McCreary, & Merighi, 2002; Liu & Iwanmoto, 2007; Tager & Good, 2005). Male college students who rate high on GRC variables may therefore have an increased likelihood of substance use or abuse.

**Interpersonal and Relationship Problems**

GRC is theorized to hinder a man’s ability to create and maintain meaningful relationships (O’Neil, 1981). Research generally supports this notion, suggesting that
traditionally masculine socialization experiences do not provide men with the relationship skills required to be good partners, coworkers or parents (Bergman, 1995, Good & Sherrod, 1997; Good et al., 2005). Higher GRC scores have been linked to a lower capacity for intimacy in several studies (Cournoyer & Mahalik, 1995; Fischer & Good, 1997; Rochlen & Mahalik, 2004; Sharpe & Heppner, 1991). Men with GRC are also more likely to be interpersonally rigid, cold, distant and controlling (Mahalik, 2000). Such attitudes can lead to increased interpersonal conflict, violence or socially irresponsible behavior in men (Brooks & Silverstein, 1995; Franchina, Eisler, & Moore, 2001; O'Neil, 1990). Moreover, women who are in relationships with more traditional men report increased depression, anxiety and less marital satisfaction than spouses of less traditional men (Breiding, 2004; Breiding & Smith, 2002; Celentana, 2000; Rochlen & Mahalik, 2004).

Poor relationship skills and a lack of social intimacy can have deleterious effects on social support systems for college men. High RE scores in male students may suggest a lack of human contact and exchange of emotions with people. Emotionally restricted men often lack the opportunity to obtain normalization of their feelings from others (Shepard, 2002). Wester et al. (2007) found that men who measured higher on RE and RABBM subscales had poorer levels of social support, leading to increased psychological distress. Alternatively, social support was found to ameliorate some of the negative psychological consequences of GRC (Wester et al., 2007). Given that social support can largely impact the relationship between restricted emotional expression and negative
psychological outcomes, interventions aimed at increasing appropriate male social
support could have far-reaching implications for college men (Wester et al., 2007).

The interpersonal struggles of GRC men can potentially endanger around them. Stronger subscriptions to traditional masculinity have been linked to increased hostility
toward women (Rando et al., 1998; Senn et al., 2000), and greater sexual harassment
tolerance (Glomb & Espelage, 2005; Jacobs, 1996; Kearney et al., 2004). Moreover, studies have associated GRC with men’s sexually aggressive behavior (Kaplan et al., 1993), and even violence towards women (O’Neil & Nadeau, 1999). Good et al. (1995) found that certain masculine values (e.g., disdain for homosexuals, interpersonal dominance, etc.) were powerful and consistent predictors of sexual violence-supporting beliefs and behaviors. Other research has found men’s traditional views of gender roles related to attitudes supportive of date rape (Truman, Tokar, & Fischer, 1996) and rape myth acceptance (Davis & Liddell, 2002). Research in this area provides a sobering perspective at how traditional masculinity beliefs could relate to dangerous interpersonal behaviors. College counselors should consider the increased likelihood of relationship problems in their work with GRC men.

Aversion to Help Seeking

College men would ideally seek counseling when experiencing psychological distress or relationship problems. Unfortunately, research has consistently shown that traditionally masculine men hold more negative attitudes towards help seeking than other
men (Blazina & Marks, 2001; Good & Wood, 1995; Mansfield et al., 2005). Indeed, all four GRC subscales have been linked to increased negative attitudes about seeking counseling (Blazina & Watkins, 1996; Good, Dell, & Mintz, 1989; Good & Wood, 1995; Levant et al., 2008; Mansfield et al., 2005; Wisch, Mahalik, Hayes, & Nutt, 1995). Men’s underutilization of mental health services is one of the most consistent findings in the help seeking literature (McKelley & Rochlen, 2007) with this pattern holding true across various age groups, nationalities, and ethnic and racial backgrounds (see Addis & Mahalik, 2003).

Many have theorized about how traditional masculinity values might influence men’s underutilization of mental health services. Some scholars emphasize how men’s fear of the feminine (e.g., vulnerability) drives their lack of help seeking (Brooks, 1998; Blazina & Watkins, 2000; O’Neil, 1981). Others highlight that many of the tasks associated with seeking help, such as relying on others, admitting a need for help, or recognizing and labeling an emotional problem, conflict with the messages men receive about self-reliance, physical toughness, and emotional control (Good et al., 1989; Levant & Pollack, 1995; Mahalik, Good, & Englar-Carlson, 2003; Real, 1997).

Several inquiries have been conducted to understand the complex reasons that traditional men avoid seeking help. Mansfield et al. (2005) developed the Barriers to Help Seeking Scale to explore the multidimensionality of men’s help seeking aversion. Preliminary studies confirm the complexity of masculinity variables on men’s help seeking decision-making. For example, some men don’t seek counseling because they want to maintain independence while others avoid vulnerable situations (Mansfield et al.,
Similarly, Pederson and Vogel (2007) emphasized the importance of contextual masculinity variables on help seeking, such as men’s avoidance of difficult emotions, discomfort with self-disclosure and their levels of counseling self-stigma. Those with higher GRC may fear judgment around help seeking (Chandra & Minkovitz, 2006; Mahalik et al., 2003) or a greater self-stigma (Magovcovic and Addis, 2005; Pederson & Vogel, 2007) that limits their willingness to try counseling. Studies such as these can help college counselors conceptualize how and why GRC men tend to avoid therapy.

Because masculinity-related constructs are empirically associated with both clinically relevant issues and a reluctance to use psychological services, men are at compounded risk for unresolved psychological problems (Good & Mintz, 1990; Good & Wood, 1995). Good and Wood (1995) termed this risk a “double bind,” and urged college counselors to be proactive in order to overcome this phenomenon. Some insist that counselors reconsider how they market mental health services to men (Pederson & Vogel, 2007; Rochlen & Hoyer, 2005). Others assert that therapy interventions should be altered to better align with masculine socialization (Blazina & Marks, 2001; Brooks, 1998; Levant, 1990; Levant & Pollack, 1995; Mahalik et al., 2003). In Section III, practical suggestions are offered on how college counselors can anticipate and adjust for help seeking aversion in male students.
Section III. Exploring Solutions: Practical Suggestions for College Counselors

While familiarity with the relevant literature is useful in conceptualizing the role of GRC in men’s work, counselors also need practical ideas on how to approach therapy with college men. The purpose of Section III is to give college counselors concrete suggestions on how they can overcome common challenges with traditionally masculine college men. There are myriad methods, theories and directions counselors should consider in college men’s work. Although an all-inclusive review is outside the scope of this report, some basic suggestions can bolster a counselor’s skill set in working with masculinity-related issues. The material will be broken into six parts: Reaching Outside the Office, Building the Therapeutic Alliance, Anticipating Negative Feelings and Low Expectations of Therapy, Anticipating Counter-transference Issues and Intervention Suggestions Based on GRC Subscales.

Reaching Outside the Office

Masculinity scholars have increasingly called for non-traditional therapies to counteract men’s help-seeking aversion. Examples include online counseling (Rochlen, Land, & Wong, 2004), activity-oriented approaches (Rabinowitz, 2002; Rabinowitz & Cochran, 2002) and support groups (Blazina & Marks, 2001). Presentations with a psycho-education format have been cited as another potentially useful intervention in
reaching more traditional men (Addis & Mahalik, 2003; Brooks, 2010; Levant, 1990). Psycho-education techniques offer college counselors a way to address GRC-related issues in a more male-friendly environment than traditional counseling (Good & Wood, 1995; Hayes & Mahalik, 2000; Levant, 1990). For example, men are less comfortable with the emotional disclosure of therapy and respond positively to more structured, cognitive interventions (Blazina & Marks, 2001; Levant, 1990; Schaub & Williams, 2007; Wilcox and Forrest, 1992; Wisch et al., 1995). The literature also shows that traditional men often prefer help that is offered in the form of workshops, classes and seminars (Robertson & Fitzgerald, 1992). College men may also find psycho-education interventions more palatable because of their familiarity and comfort with the educational environment, contrasting with that of the therapy room.

Psycho-education presentations are a potential way for counselors to broach masculinity-related issues (Addis & Mahalik, 2003; Brooks, 2010; Levant, 1990). Workshops can focus on issues particularly relevant to college men such as alcohol awareness, relationship issues, sexual ethics, and anger management (Capraro, 2000; Davis & Laker, 2004; Davis & Wagner, 2005). By infusing presentations with a gender awareness perspective, facilitators can raise awareness of the downsides to the traditional male role (Good & Mintz, 1990; O’Neil, 2001). Moreover, presenters have the opportunity to address men’s counseling stigma and work to facilitate help seeking in young men (Pederson & Vogel, 2007). Psycho-education is one technique that answers the call for alternative, non-traditional counseling interventions that are more congruent with masculine socialization (Addis & Mahalik, 2003).
Another potential way to reach men who are averse to help seeking is to change how counseling is marketed. Entering therapy has frequently been described as being in direct conflict with the culture of traditional masculinity (Brooks, 1998; Mahalik et al., 2003). The challenge of marketing mental health to counseling-resistant men has been outlined and discussed in the literature (Blazina & Marks, 2001; Robertson and Fitzgerald, 1992; Rochlen, Blazina, & Raghunathan, 2002; Rochlen & Hoyer, 2005; Rochlen, McKelley, & Pituch, 2006; Rochlen & O’Brien, 2002a). In a study of undergraduate men, Robertson and Fitzgerald (1992) found that brochures of counseling services could be made more appealing to men when the verbiage was changed. For example, men who scored higher on GRC measures preferred terms such as “classes,” “workshops” and “seminars” over “personal counseling.” Recent studies suggest that undergraduates with higher GRC respond more positively to counseling marketed as structured and with clearly defined goals (Blazina & Marks, 2001; Rochlen & O’Brien, 2002b). Moreover, Rochlen et al. (2002) found that college men who reviewed descriptive brochures had an improvement in help seeking attitudes, including increased perceived value and decreased stigma towards counseling. Apparently, the way that counseling is marketed to men can have a considerable impact on perceptions of its potential utility.

Building the Therapeutic Alliance
There are many different theories as to how therapy could be conducted with men who endorse traditional gender roles. Yet the literature suggests that specific theoretical approaches and intervention techniques are far less important in therapy than the therapeutic relationship itself (Lambert & Ogles, 2004; Norcross, 2005). Research indicates the therapeutic alliance as the single best predictor of therapy outcomes (Bachelor & Horvath, 1999; Messer & Wampold, 2002; Wampold, 2000). Unfortunately, because of their aversion to help seeking, their discomfort with vulnerability and challenges with emotional expression, men with higher GRC scores can be difficult to align with in therapy (Addis & Mahalik, 2003; Good et al., 2005; Mahalik et al., 2003; Englar-Carlson & Stevens, 2006). For college counselors, building a therapeutic alliance with GRC men will be a difficult but crucial step in working towards successful therapy outcomes (Good & Mintz, 2001).

Scholars offer diverse ideas on how to build counseling alliances with traditional men. Several thinkers suggest adopting a more structured, didactic style of interaction early in therapy (Addis & Mahalik, 2002; Brooks, 2010; Rabinowitz & Cochran, 2002; Schaub & Williams, 2007; Wester et al., 2007; Wisch et al., 1995). By paralleling masculine socialization at first, counselors can help relieve client anxiety and focus on building rapport. As the therapeutic alliance strengthens, working on emotional expressiveness can become a more realistic area of focus. Some scholars also promote a positive psychology approach with traditional men. In the positive psychology theory, counselors build rapport by emphasizing the benefits of traditional manhood such as loyalty, honor, humor and being a provider (Englar-Carlson & Stevens, 2006; Kiselica &
Englar-Carlson, 2010; Mahalik et al., 2003). Once a therapeutic alliance is established, traditionally male clients will likely be more open to questioning their gender role conflicts (Englar-Carlson & Stevens, 2006; Kiselica & Englar-Carlson, 2010; Mahalik et al., 2003).

One of the most useful techniques in building an alliance with traditional men is to have an upfront discussion early in therapy about the incongruence between masculine socialization and the culture of therapy (Brooks, 1998; Good et al., 2005; Englar-Carlson & Stevens, 2006). Men with higher GRC levels often enter counseling assuming it will be too “feminine” for them (Brooks, 1998, 2010). These fears are not entirely unfounded. Being in therapy asks men to be willing to ask for help, to express vulnerable feelings, and to give up control—concepts that contrast with traditional male values (Addis & Mahalik, 2003; Englar-Carlson & Stevens, 2006; Mahalik et al., 2003; Real, 2002). Additionally, exploring the unacceptability of emotional expression in relationship to traditional masculinity may be necessary before an unburdening of emotions can occur (Shepard, 2002). By exploring the social forces of gender role expectations, even an emotionally restricted male client can have an improved prognosis (Schaub & Williams, 2007). When traditionally masculine men have the opportunity to explore how socialization experiences restrict their expression, they can experiment with more adaptive ways of interacting and obtain insight into their presenting concerns (Englar-Carlson & Stevens, 2006).

**Anticipating Negative Feelings and Low Expectations of Therapy**
Working with traditionally masculine men presents unique challenges for college counselors. Two particularly important considerations in counseling college men include their negative feelings and low expectations of therapy. When traditional men end up in a college counseling office, they usually do not feel good about it (Englar-Carlson & Stevens, 2006; Shepard, 2002). Often they only enter therapy when they feel there is no alternative; few men seek counseling because they subscribe to its life-enhancing qualities (Englar-Carlson & Stevens, 2006). Additionally, men who measure higher on GRC scales feel more self-stigma about entering therapy (Magovcevic and Addis, 2005; Pederson & Vogel, 2007) and often do not think it will be helpful for them (Schaub & Williams, 2007). When counselors identify clients with higher GRC subscriptions, they may need to reframe therapy as a process more appealing to traditionally masculine sensibilities. Counseling can be described as requiring “courage” and “strength.” One might describe therapy as a form of leadership, of educational benefit, helpful to significant others, and useful in developing more effective ways for clients to live their lives. Male students with higher GRC should be commended for trying to create change and take responsibility for one’s life (Englar-Carlson & Stevens, 2006). By touting the merits of therapy in ways that link with traditional masculine values (usefulness, courageousness, etc.) college counselors are more likely to sell men on therapy.

College men with higher GRC scores often hold relatively unrealistic expectations of therapy (Schaub & Williams, 2007). In a study of undergraduate males, those with
traditional gender role views had higher expectations of the counselor’s role in therapy and lower expectations of taking personal responsibility during the counseling process (Schaub & Williams, 2007). College counselors should therefore consider the increased likelihood of unrealistic therapy expectations in men with masculinity-related conflicts. When therapists identify male students with traditional gender role values, they can attempt to modify counseling expectations to increase the chance for a positive therapeutic alliance. Mahalik et al. (2003) recommended that therapists first identify therapy expectations and either correct those that are erroneous or change the structure of therapy to be more congruent with male values. Further, by discussing potential treatment goals, counselors can model an egalitarian relationship as opposed to putting male client in a “one-down” position from the outset (Englar-Carlson & Stevens, 2006).

**Anticipating Counter-transference Issues**

In working with GRC college men, issues around counter-transference are particularly salient. Research suggests that different GRC variables (such as SPC or RE) alter the therapy process (Schaub & Williams, 2007) and can equate to interpersonal behaviors that elicit negative, troublesome responses in therapists (Mahalik, 2000). Because of their strong aversion to help seeking, traditional men tend to act out their psychic pain with substance abuse, violence, sexual preoccupation and emotional withdrawal (Brooks, 1998). As a result, they may end up in therapy only after displaying behaviors likely to provoke some distaste in counselors (Brooks, 2010). Moreover, GRC
men often present a defensive or resistant interpersonal style that therapists find vexing (Mahalik et al., 1998; Mahalik, 2000). To prepare for counter-transference issues, scholars have recommend that counselors examine their personal stereotypes on doing therapy with men (Good et al., 2005; Mahalik et al., 2003). As opposed to taking resistance as a personal affront, counselors can also use motivational interviewing techniques to “roll with resistance” in GRC clients (Miller, Rollnick, & Conforti, 2002). College counselors should consider the adaptive utility of defensiveness in male clients and not automatically classify such behavior as pathological (Brooks, 2010; Good et al., 2005; Liu, 2005). Scholars assert that an examination of biases is crucial in discovering blind spots that could inhibit a counselor’s effectiveness in working with GRC men (Brooks, 2010; Good et al., 2005; Liu, 2005). Therapists who are prepared for counter-transference challenges and who monitor their personal reactivity will be more likely to align with GRC college men in session (Brooks, 2010; Good et al., 2005; Scher, 2001).

**Intervention Suggestions Based on GRC Subscales**

The literature on GRC and college men’s mental health is considerable. However, research is extremely limited on specific interventions that are effective in treating masculinity-related problems (Good & Sherrod, 2001; Good et al., 2005; O’Neil, 2008). Considering the four GRC subscales can be a useful frame for considering specific interventions for working with men with different core conflicts or GRC-related themes that are salient.
Restrictive Emotionality (RE)

College counselors will be able to identify men with RE challenges by recognizing the difficulties and fears they have in emotional expression (O’Neil et al., 1986). Men with RE have trouble identifying basic emotions and finding words to express them. Although some argue that emotional restriction in men is somewhat normative, the literature connects the RE subscale with many life problems, such as higher levels of depression (Cournoyer & Mahalik, 1995; Good & Mintz, 1990; Good et al., 1996), increased anxiety (Blazina & Watkins, 1996) and greater fear of intimacy (Cournoyer & Mahalik, 1995; Fischer & Good, 1997; Good et al., 1995).

There are several ways counselors can help facilitate emotional expression with RE college men. When clients discuss their emotions, counselors can watch for signs of anxiety and normalize them (Robertson, 2001). Students with RE issues may have trouble describing their emotions, as fear of vulnerability can leave them unable to articulate their feelings. Supplying a list of words of common affective states can help facilitate emotional communication in therapy (Robertson, 2001). Research suggests that expressive writing activities could be especially useful for emotionally restricted men (Wong & Rochlen, 2008). Clients who have difficulty verbalizing their feelings could potentially benefit from affective exploration through the non-verbal medium of writing. Additionally, there is evidence pointing to online therapy as a potential alternative for RE
men (Rochlen et al., 2004). Clients with higher levels of RE seem to find online therapies more appealing than a traditional face-to-face counseling interaction.

Helpful suggestions for RE clients can also be found in the literature on male alexithymia. Alexithymia literally means “without words for emotions,” and scholars have used the term to describe men’s constriction in emotional functioning (Levant, 1992; Levant et al., 2006). In his proposal on Normative Male Alexithymia, Levant (1992) asserted that powerful societal influences inhibit most men from accessing and communicating their inner feelings. Clients with RE likely experience this expressive inability more profoundly than other men. Levant and colleagues (Levant, 2001; Levant & Kelley, 1989; Levant & Kopecky, 1995) developed a psycho-educational Alexithymia Reduction Treatment (ART) that counselors can adopt with RE clients. Participants are guided through exercises in sessions and through homework that help increase awareness of their emotional experiences. Through practice, RE men can learn how to interpret and cope with their emotions while interacting with others on a more emotional level (Levant et al., 2009). In a study on college men in counseling, participants demonstrated significant reductions in alexithymia with ART from pre-test to post-test (Levant et al., 2009). Based on this study, ART may be a useful intervention model to help ameliorate problems related to RE.

Restrictive Affectionate Behavior Between Men (RABBM)
Those who score high on the RABBM subscale experience restrictions in touching men or expressing their thoughts and feelings with other men. Men in this subgroup may view touching or embracing other men as inappropriate for heterosexual males. Fear of violating these restrictive gender role assumptions can lead to loneliness and social isolation. Scholars classify RABBM male friendships as overly “distant” and “empty” (Joliff and Horne, 1999; Wester et al., 2007). Men who have RABBM-related conflicts are often emotionally isolated and receive very little positive support from relationships (Rabinowitz, 1998). Thus, for male clients who experience distress around their restrictive attitudes towards other men, a supportive men’s group may be helpful.

There are several reasons why group therapy could be a useful intervention for RABBM men in college. A growing area of research generally supports group therapy as an effective intervention for men (Andronico, 1996; Brooks, 1998; Nahon & Lander, 2008; Rabinowitz, 1991, 2001). Scholars note that men are socialized toward participating in all-male group organizations such as little leagues or fraternities where members receive support and work to achieve common goals (Andronico, 1996; Brooks, 1998; Rabinowitz, 2001). For traditionally masculine men, a group scenario may therefore be more palatable than individual therapy. Men's therapy groups build on the support that men can uniquely give each other (Brooks, 1998). Because they share similar physical bodies, socialization, and relational perspectives, men often feel a different kind of support with men than with women (Rabinowitz, 2001). Without women in the group, clients are forced to deal with learned homophobia and other masculine values that contribute to their social discomfort with men (Rabinowitz & Cochran, 1987).
Facilitators of men’s groups can also consider incorporating an exercise or physical challenge in group therapy sessions. Recent studies suggest that men’s groups with a physical activity component encourage a sense of togetherness and sharing that participants find particularly poignant (Kiselica, Englar-Carlson, Horne, & Fisher, 2008; Mortola, Hiton, & Grant, 2008; Scheinfeld, Rochlen & Buser, 2011). Men’s groups have the potential to provide a corrective emotional experience for men who have been culturally alienated from each other (Blazina & Marks, 2001; Brooks, 1998).

By participating in a men’s therapy group, an RABBM man can acquire new patterns of behavior and work towards building his social support network (Rabinowitz, 2001). College men who learn to let go of detrimental aspects of traditional masculinity, such as homophobia or interpersonal dominance, are better equipped to make friends and find more authentic, nurturing social groups (Good et al., 2005; Brooks, 1998). Social support has been suggested as a crucial factor in ameliorating psychological distress in GRC men, including suicidal behavior (Houle, 2005; Houle et al., 2008; Sokero et al., 2003; Wester et al., 2007). Moreover, researchers have emphasized the importance of developing social support for traditional men; such interventions could have far-reaching implications for men’s mental health and well being (Wester et al., 2007). In summary, connecting RABBM clients to a men’s therapy group could be a crucial step in helping to reformat a client’s maladaptive social behaviors.
The subscale of Success, Power and Competition refers to men’s emphasis on achievement and dominance in their lives. Men with higher levels of SPC often have maladaptive interpersonal behaviors, causing their relationships to suffer. Male students in this subgroup are also more likely to be socially uncomfortable, cold, mistrustful, detached and hostile (Mahalik, 2000; Mahalik et al., 2003; Pollack, 1995). SPC men have an increased tendency towards interpersonal dominance and control (Mahalik, 2000). They are also more likely to be paranoid (Good et al., 1996) and exhibit more immature psychological defenses (Mahalik et al., 1998). The attitudes and behaviors of SPC men often result in decreased intimacy with others (Cournoyer & Mahalik, 1995).

An SPC college student may end up in therapy because of relationship problems due to rigid behavioral patterns. SPC men often feel they have to “be in charge,” be smarter or physically stronger than other men and demonstrate power by defeating others (Mahalik, 2001). Some SPC men might be controlling with significant others and experience relationship problems as a result. Because interpersonally dominant men display a rigid, narrow range of interpersonal behaviors, they can end up alienated from potential social supports (Keiser, 1983; Mahalik, 2001). Psychological distress resulting from isolation can result in increased maladaptive relating. College counselors have an opportunity to intervene in this vicious circle (Brooks, 2010) with SPC men.

A potentially useful intervention for socially dominant clients is the Interpersonal Therapy (IPT) model (Kieser, 1983; Leary, 1957). IPT may be particularly well suited to SPC problems because it places maladaptive interpersonal patterns at the center of treatment planning (Mahalik, 2001). In IPT sessions, counselors invariably get pulled into
the same discomfort that others feel while interacting with socially dominant clients. Interpersonal styles of SPC men will likely manifest in counseling, including blaming others for problems, working hard to prove they are right or attacking the therapist for not quickly “fixing” their problems (Mahalik, 2001). When feeling angered by a client’s interpersonal rigidity, therapists can work to maintain a friendly, warm, trusting interactive style. By responding in ways that differ from social norms, counselors offer SPC clients an opportunity to experiment with underdeveloped interpersonal behaviors (Mahalik, 2001). The IPT model could be a good fit for college men in counseling because it is short-term and present-oriented (Brooks, 2010). In disrupting and examining self-defeating social patterns, counselors can assist clients in learning more adaptive styles of relating (Kieser, 1988).

Conflict Between Work and Family Relations (CBWFR)

College men who measure highly on the GRC subscale of CBWFR have difficulty achieving balance in their lives, particularly with respect to work and school. Traditional men associate what they do as a central part of their identity (Heppner & Heppner, 2001). An over-emphasis on achievement can lead to problems with significant others and time management issues (Robertson, 2001). Counselors are encouraged to assess the degree to which clients’ work and school ambitions are interfering with other life domains (Good & Mintz, 1990). Students who are undecided about their career
choice also face problems. Themes of autonomy and familial expectations frequently arise from career exploration or indecision (Robertson, 2001).

For students who are struggling with CBWFR-related issues, career counseling could be a useful intervention. Research suggests that traditional men experience less stigma around didactic, practical styles of career counseling (Rochlen & O’Brien, 2002a). Men with higher GRC scores indicate a preference for structured, goal-oriented career counseling approaches over more integrative, affective methods (Rochlen & O’Brien, 2002b). Clients in this subgroup would likely find the traditional person-environment-fit model of career counseling more palatable (Rochlen & O’Brien, 2002a) as compared to more emotional, non-structured approaches.

Alternative career counseling interventions for CBWFR men are also worth considering. Research suggests that most adult clients who present career-related issues in counseling also experience psychological distress, such as depression or anxiety (Multon, Heppner, Gysbers, Zook, & Ellis, 1998). By integrating career issues into a holistic approach to working with men, major and career issues can be seen as just one part of a broader picture of how male clients are functioning (Heppner and Heppner, 2001). Counselors can also consider integrating male gender role awareness into career counseling. Masculinity-related issues frequently come up when exploring work themes with men. Discussing how gendered societal messages influence a client’s work identity could lead to a fruitful discussion around career values and goals (Heppner and Heppner, 2001).
Conclusion

The GRC literature is helpful in preparing college counselors for the challenge of working with traditionally masculine men. However, there are some limitations to the current base of research. A majority of the studies referenced have used white, middle-class, hetero-sexual college men as participants. Although there has been a recent increase in GRC study on diverse groups (eg. Liu & Iwamoto, 2007; Shepard, 2002; Wester, Vogel, Wei, & McLain, 2006; Wester, Pionke, & Vogel, 2005), further study is necessary on how GRC variables interact with diversity themes. Mental health practitioners require better data on how factors such as race, ethnicity, religious background, sexuality, nationality, social class and differing levels of ability play into one’s gender role values (O’Neil, 2008). As college campuses increasingly diversify, counselors need to better understand the risk factors and treatment opportunities of different groups of men in college.

Despite these limitations, the field of GRC study provides counselors with much insight on how gender roles can affect the lives of young men. The costs and consequences of restrictive gender roles are considerable with strong correlations being drawn between GRC and anxiety, depression, substance abuse and sexual violence. Importantly for counselors, high GRC scores are also associated with an increased aversion towards help seeking, placing many men at increased risk for unresolved mental health issues. These considerations have profound implications for college counselors working with traditional men. Yet the research points to various methods counselors can
use to address these issues. Psycho-educational interventions and marketing techniques can be used to help reduce counseling stigma. Adjustments and adaptations of therapies allow counselors to better align with college men therapeutically. Additionally, practical intervention suggestions based on GRC subgroups help counselors and clients approach masculinity-related problems with a sense of direction and purpose. Although working with traditionally masculine college students presents special challenges, counselors who are familiar with GRC theory, frameworks and research will have an added skill set to guide their work with college men.
References


Blazina, C., & Marks, L. (2001). College men's affective reactions to individual therapy, psychoeducational workshops, and men's support group brochures: The influence
of gender-role conflict and power dynamics upon help-seeking attitudes.


impact of the traditional male gender role on suicidal behavior in men. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 107, 1, Pages 37-43.


masculinity and sexual assault: Empirical research. In J. M. O’Neil (Chair), Research on men’s sexual assault and constructive gender role interventions. Symposium conducted at the meeting of the American Psychological Association, Toronto, Canada.


psychology perspective on helping boys. In M. S. Kiselica, M. Englar-Carlson, & A. Horne (Eds.), *Counseling troubled boys* (pp. 31–48). New York: Routledge.


Liu, W. M., & Iwamoto, D. K. (2007). Conformity to masculine norms, Asian values,


Relationship of personal adjustment outcomes to process in career counseling. In D. Luzzo (Chair), Career counseling process and outcome research. Symposium conducted at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, San Francisco.


Rabinowitz, F.E. (1998). Psychotherapy with depressed middle aged men: A grief based...


toward career counseling, career decision-making, and perceptions of career
counseling advertising brochures. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity, 3*(2), 127-
137.


Rochlen, A. B., Land, L. N., & Wong, Y. J. (2004). Male restrictive emotionality and
evaluations of online versus face-to-face counseling. *Psychology of Men &
Masculinity, 5*, 190–200.

Rochlen, A., & Mahalik, J. R. (2004). Women’s perceptions of male partner’s gender role
conflict as predictors of psychological well-being and relationship satisfaction.
*Psychology of Men and Masculinity, 5*, 147-157.

the Real Men: Real Depression campaign. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity,
7*(1), 1–13.


http://www.hsph.harvard.edu/cas/test/articles/chronicle2.shtml


Vita

Jeffrey Harlan Sellers was born in Austin, TX on November 16, 1980. After completing his work at Austin High School, he entered The University of Texas at Austin in 1999. He earned the degree of Bachelor of Science in Advertising in 2003. The following years he was employed as an academic advisor at High Tech Institute in Orlando, FL. In 2009, he began his graduate work at The University of Texas at Austin.

Permanent address: 6501 Boleynwood Dr.

Austin, TX 78745

This report was typed by the author.