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Abstract

Lithofacies, Diagenesis, and Reservoir Quality of the Unconventional Wolfcampian Succession in the Southeast Midland Basin, West Texas

Hualing Zhang, M.S. Geo. Sci
The University of Texas at Austin, 2017

Supervisors: Xavier Janson, Qilong Fu

The Lower Permian Wolfcampian basinal succession in the Midland Basin has become an important unconventional reservoir. Reservoir characterization of Wolfcampian strata is challenging because of the complex arrangement of lithofacies. This study combined petrographic and scanning electron microscope (SEM) cube observations from cores, and thin sections, as well as X-ray fluorescence (XRF) data, X-ray diffraction (XRD) data, and total organic carbon (TOC) data in order to provide an integrated characterization of the Wolfcamp succession.

Lithofacies investigations were made using six cores (totally 667 ft total) from Glasscock, Sterling, and Irion Counties in Texas. Seven lithofacies were defined based on petrographic observations: (1) sandy siltstone, (2) argillaceous mudstone, (3) very fine to fine sandstone, (4) massive to weakly laminated calcareous mudstone, (5) laminated calcareous mudstone, (6) mud-dominated bioclastic packstone/rudstone, and (7) grain-dominated bioclastic packstone-grainstone/rudstone. Mudrocks were deposited mostly through hemipelagic settling and diluted turbidity flow. Depositional processes for
sandstone, siltstone, and carbonate lithofacies include turbidity flows, debris flows, and hyperconcentrated density flows. These sediments are interpreted to be deposited in a deep-water, dysoxic to anoxic slope to basinal setting. High-frequency cyclicity is observed in meter scale as a relative carbonate-rich lithofacies overlain by a relative siliclastic-rich lithofacies.

The Wolfcamp succession reveals a complex diagenetic history in sandstone and carbonate facies, including compaction, calcite, silica, and siderite cementation, and dissolution. The combined effects of compaction and cementation result in relatively low porosity. However, dissolution and siderite grain-coating in the sandstones create/save pore spaces for hydrocarbon storage. Measured core-plug porosity and permeability (por–perm) in sandstone successions suggest moderate porosity up to 11.6%. Based on por–perm results, siderite-coated sandstone is considered to be of the highest reservoir potential. The Wolfcamp has fair to good organically rich mudrocks, with an average TOC of 1.4%. TOC is facies-dependent with the highest value in the argillaceous mudstone lithofacies. The enrichment of organic matter increases with increasing primary productivity by showing positive relation with Ni, Cu, and P element. Organic enrichment also increases with bottom water anoxia by showing a positive relation with Mo and U elements. TOC enrichment is also affected by sediment influx during early Wolfcampian.
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Introduction

The Permian Basin of West Texas and southeast New Mexico is one of the world’s most significant hydrocarbon-producing provinces with mixed siliciclastic-carbonate reservoirs. The Permian Basin is considered to be the most prolific oil-producing basin in the United States (Kim and Ruppel, 2005). It has been producing oil for over 90 years, accounting for 17% of U.S. hydrocarbon production as of 2002 (Dutton, et al., 2005). The Permian Basin covers 52 counties and spans around 86,000 square miles, with estimated United States proved oil reserves of 5 billion bbl (Dutton, et al., 2005). Production has been concentrated on conventional shallow-water carbonate reservoirs during 1960s. With advances in technology such as hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling, unconventional oil and gas reservoirs have become an important target for petroleum exploration in the Permian Basin.

The Permian Basin is segmented into two primary sub-basins: the Delaware Basin and the Midland Basin, separated by the Central Basin Platform. In the Midland Basin, the Wolfcamp basinal succession consists of complex deep-water density-flow deposits alternating with more organic-matter (OM) rich hemipelagic sediments (Hamlin and Baumgardner, 2012). The Wolfcampian succession combined with the Spraberry Formation is informally called the Wolfberry Play, which is one of the largest unconventional oil plays in the United States, producing approximately 55 million barrels in 2011 (Hamlin and Baumgardner, 2012). The Wolcampion succession is considered to have important unconventional-reservoirs potential for future hydrocarbon production.
STUDY AREA

The study area is located in Glasscock, Sterling, and Irion counties along the nose of Eastern Shelf of the southeast Midland Basin (Figure 1-1).

Figure 1-1: Map of the Permian Basin area with major basin structures (from Fu et al., in press). Study area in the red box with core location, and the boundary of the Eastern Shelf is in blue dash line (from Hamlin and Baumgardner, 2012).
**Previous Work**

The earliest attempt to describe Wolfcampian basinal lithofacies in the Midland Basin was made by Cole (1939). Since then, authors began to include Wolfcampian basinal rocks in their studies of platform and shelf facies in the Midland Basin (e.g., Silver and Todd, 1969; Hills, 1972; Cook, 1983). Some studies described the lithofacies, reservoir potential, and depositional setting of platform-derived deep-water allochthonous limestone of Late Pennsylvanian to Early Permian (Wolfcamp) age in the southern and eastern parts of the Midland Basin (e.g., Hobson et al., 1985; Morgan et al., 1996). Mazzullo and Reid (1987, 1989) studied the regional stratigraphy and depositional system of Wolfcamp–Leonard carbonate-shale basinal sections in the northern Midland Basin. A more recent study by Playton and Kerans (2002) examined outcrops to characterize late Wolfcampian slope and toe-of-slope carbonates in the Sierra Diablo. Fu (2015) summarized previous studies on Wolfcamp platform-carbonate and basinal facies, as well as on cyclicity on the eastern Central Basin Platform.

Compared to platform to deep-water carbonate, Wolfcampian fine-grained sediments have less been studied in the Midland Basin. The Hamlin and Baumgardner (2012) study on Wolfcampian–Leonardian basinal successions summarized lithofacies, stratigraphy, and reservoir characterization in the Midland Basin. With the development of instruments such as X-ray fluorescence (XRF) machines, researchers began to apply geochemical data analysis to Wolfcampian basinal mudrock facies. Cortez (2012) studied chemostratigraphy, paleoceanography, and sequence stratigraphy of the Wolfcamp formation in Reagan County of the Midland Basin by using geochemical data. Baumgardner et al. (2016) combined core analysis and geochemical data to characterize Wolfcampian basinal mudrocks and associated facies in the same county. Their study
results inspired this author to integrate petrographic and geochemical data for this research.

Previous studies on Wolfcampian basinal mudrocks have, however, generally been conducted toward the northern or central part of the Midland Basin. Few studies focus specifically on the Wolfcampian deposition near the toe of the Eastern Shelf. Some recent studies focus on reservoir modeling using seismic data interpretation (e.g., Johnston, 2012). But because the slope-to-basinal sediments, especially fine-grained sediments, of the southeast Midland Basin need more-detailed description, this study will focus in detail on the lithofacies, diagenesis process, and reservoir characterization by integrating petrographic and geochemical datasets.

**Research Objectives**

As a mixed-siliciclastic-carbonate depositional system, lithofacies composition in the Wolfcampian succession changes rapidly within meter scale vertically and shows great lateral heterogeneity (Hamlin and Baumgardner, 2012). There is, however, still a significant amount of uncertainty in terms of the integrated description of lithofacies within the study area. By incorporating interpretations of regional depositional setting and stratigraphy from previous studies, the first goal of this study is to investigate lithofacies variation and diagenesis processes, and to interpret depositional processes in the less-studied southeast Midland Basin.

The development of fine-sediment-related technology, such as X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) data, allows us to better understand the sedimentation record within mudrocks, including the detailed geochemical and depositional composition of the mudrock system of this area and its contribution to hydrocarbon production. The second
objective of this study is to gain a detailed understanding of the mineral and chemical composition in Wolfcampian mudrock intervals, their indications of paleoenvironment change, and their relations with reservoir potential. Findings in this study will demonstrate the importance of integrating petrographic and geochemical analysis into studies on Wolfcampian mudrocks intervals.

Because the Wolfcampian succession is considered to have important unconventional-reservoirs potential for future hydrocarbon production in the Midland Basin, the third objective of this study is to evaluate Wolfcampian-succession reservoir potential based on lithofacies variation, influences from diagenesis processes, and geochemical results. The results of this study will help future hydrocarbon exploration in the Midland Basin.
Geological Setting

REGIONAL TECTONISM

The Permian Basin region was deformed and segmented as a consequence of the late Paleozoic Marathon-Ouachita orogeny of the Marathon-Ouachita foreland bordering the southern margin of the North American Craton (Figure 1-1; Ross and Ross, 1987; Poole, et al., 2005). The Marathon-Ouachita orogeny is the local reflection of continental collision of Gondwana and Laurussia, which began in the Mississippian and continued into the Pennsylvanian and Permian (Blakey, 2003). The Permian Basin region experienced intricate faulting, followed by differential subsidence. The structural outcomes from Pennsylvanian to Early Permian include formation of the Midland and Delaware foreland Basins, along with structural high platforms such as the Central Basin Platform, the Diablo Platform, and the Ozona Arch (Ball, 1995; Frenzel et al., 1998; Hills, 1984).

The Midland Basin experienced tectonically driven subsidence during the Wolfcampian followed by sediment infill from mid- to late-Permian while the Central Basin Platform continued to rise, or subside more slowly, separating the Midland and the Delaware Basins (Ewing, 1993; Horak, 1985). Tectonic activity in this region decreased greatly following the Early Permian, and came to an end around mid-Permian (Ewing, 1993).
**Stratigraphy and Paleogeography**

The term Wolfcamp was first used in Udden et al. (1916) referring the lowermost Permian strata of the Glass Mountains. The “Wolfcamp Formation” referring to the first series of the Permian System has been accepted into the North American Stratigraphic Code (NASC) and has been used by both academia and industry since 1939 (Adams et al., 1939). For industry purpose, the interval between the base of the Leonardian Dean sandstone and the underlying Strawn limestone had been referred as the Wolfcamp shale and divided them into Wolfcamp A, B, C, and D. But when correlating this nomenclature with North America chronostratigraphic nomenclature during Wolfcampian and lower Leonardian ages, the result could not coincide completely. Based on fusulinid biostratigraphy by Wilde (1975), Mazzullo and Reid (1987) and others, the intervals of Wolfcamp B and C coincide with the actual Wolfcampian strata (Figure 2-1; Baumgardner et al., 2016).

During the Wolfcampian, the Permian region was located in the tropical to subtropical zone slightly north to the equator (Figure 2-2; Ross and Ross, 1990; Walker et al., 1995; Golonka et al., 1994). During the Early Permian (Wolfcampian and Leonardian) time, carbonate debris and siliciclastics were shed from the Eastern Shelf and deposited in the Midland Basin.
Figure 2-1: Stratigraphic and operational names used in oil industry of formations in the Midland Basin (Modified from Baumgardner et. al, 2016).
Late Paleozoic glaciation across Gondwana has been identified for over 100 years, and it has significant implications for Late Paleozoic sea level and climate interpretation (Ross and Ross, 1988; Fielding et al., 2008a; Holterhoff, 2010). Fielding et al. (2008b) identified four Carboniferous and four Permian glacial intervals in eastern Australia separated by intervals (several million years in duration) with no evidence of glaciation (Figure 2-3). The earliest Permian (Asselian and early Sakmarian stage) experienced Late Paleozoic’s most intense icehouse climate condition, which generated composite glacial-eustatic signal in regions like the Permian Basin (Figure 2-3; Fielding et al., 2008a; Holterhoff, 2010). Ross and Ross (1987) noted that the relatively short-term
sea-level changes (Figure 2-4) which associated with third-order depositional sequences during Lower Permian were caused by glaciation.

Figure 2-3: Timing, duration, and character of the late Paleozoic Ice Age (Veevers & Powell 1987; Frakes & Francis 1988; Crowley & Baum 1991, 1992; Frakes et al. 1992; Crowell 1999; Isbell et al. 2003) and recognized glacial intervals (from Fielding et al., 2008b).
The Eastern Shelf of the Midland Basin has a great influence on Midland Basin basinal sedimentation (Hamlin and Baumgardner, 2012). The Early Permian high-amplitude and high-frequency sea-level fluctuation has been well documented on the Eastern Shelf. Brown et al. (1987, 1990) identified 16 major and several minor depositional sequences in Virgilian to Wolfcampian Series, principally highstand progradational terrigenous clastic subsequences followed by transgressive limestone subsequences, responding to Late Pennsylvanian and Early Permian regressive-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (Ma)</th>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Stages and Substages</th>
<th>Eustacy Curve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>265</td>
<td>Lower Permian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
<td>Irenian Cathedralian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275</td>
<td></td>
<td>Filippovian (Baigend zhinian)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hessian (Tazlarovian)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285</td>
<td>Wolfcampian</td>
<td>vakmarian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asselian</td>
<td>Nealian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2-4: Stratigraphic intervals and corresponding eustacy curve in Lower Permian (Modified from Ross and Ross, 1987).
transgressive episodes on the Eastern Shelf. Regional sequence stratigraphic studies on the Eastern Shelf have identified between six to eight 3rd-order sequences in the Wolfcampian section (Morgan et al., 1996; Flamm, 2008). Hentz et al. (2016) mapped 14 series of upper Pennsylvanian and lower Permian mix-siliciclastic-carbonate deposit from the Eastern Shelf into the Southern Midland Basin though wireline log correlation.
Methods and Dataset

Core descriptions were coupled with thin-section observations and SEM and XRD analysis for lithofacies and diagenesis descriptions. Observations were coupled with XRF data for mineralogical facies stacking, especially focusing on mudstone facies. Lithofacies and XRF data were integrated to characterize depositional cyclicity and interpret depositional environment and process. Pore networks for mudrock facies were studied based on data from thin sections and high-resolution SEM images. Sandstone porosity and permeability were analyzed through core plugs. Petrographic data along with TOC analysis help characterize the reservoir potential of the Wolfcampian succession.

Petrographic Observation

Core Description

Six cores (Table 1) from Glasscock, Sterling, and Irion Counties, totaling 667 ft, were logged at a scale of 1 inch:1 foot and photographed. These cores are stored at the Bureau of Economic Geology (BEG) Core Research Center (CRC) in Austin, TX. These cores only cover a portion of the Wolfcampian succession. They were divided into two categories: a siliciclastic-dominated interval which presents in cores from the Irion and Sterling Counties, and a calcareous-dominated interval which presents in cores from Glasscock County.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>API Number</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Lease name</th>
<th>Surface Latitude</th>
<th>Surface Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42235322460000</td>
<td>IRION</td>
<td>INDIAN WELLS OIL CO</td>
<td>ROCKER &quot;B&quot; #3031-3</td>
<td>31.41888</td>
<td>-101.17336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42235317840000</td>
<td>IRION</td>
<td>EXXON CORPORATION</td>
<td>STATE UNIVERSITY #1-EM</td>
<td>31.0869056</td>
<td>-100.9065683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42235102290000</td>
<td>IRION</td>
<td>ARCO</td>
<td>NOELKE #38</td>
<td>31.1778863</td>
<td>-100.8946605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42173309790000</td>
<td>GLASS COCK</td>
<td>LINGEN EXPLORATION</td>
<td>HARWOOD TRUST #2</td>
<td>31.9562564</td>
<td>-101.2947335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42173302580000</td>
<td>GLASS COCK</td>
<td>PAN AMERICAN</td>
<td>POWELL E.L #1</td>
<td>31.9420072</td>
<td>-101.6601901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42431314200000</td>
<td>STERLING</td>
<td>TEXACO INCORPORATED</td>
<td>STERLING FEE #6-G</td>
<td>31.7205044</td>
<td>-101.2128181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3-1: Table of cored wells, located in Figure 1.

The author use the sandstone classification of Folk (1965) and the carbonate classification of Dunham (1962). Mudrock classification follows that of Lazar et al. (2015). Mudrocks in this study refer to sedimentary rocks with a dominant grain size of less than 62.5 micron (Loucks et al., 2009). Bedding thickness, lithology, sedimentary structures, grain size, and fossil assemblages were recorded. Core descriptions are presented in the Appendix. These descriptions were refined by correlating macroscopic with microscopic observations and geochemical data.
Figure 3-1: Northwest to southeast cross section displaying core positions and stratigraphic units.
Thin Sections Analysis

In total, 103 samples were taken from the six cores, representing various identified lithofacies for thin sections prepared at TPS Enterprises LLC, Houston, TX. The thin sections were epoxy impregnated. Thin sections of carbonate samples were half stained with mixed Alizarin red/potassium ferricyanide, and thin sections for mudrocks were polished for detailed structural observation (information provided by TPS Enterprises LLC). Thin sections were examined using a ZEISS Microscope Axioskop 40 at the Jackson School of Geosciences. Microscope images were acquired with a SONY NEX-VG10 camera.

SEM Analysis

SEM images were acquired in order to conduct a detailed study on mudrock porosity and mineral components. Eight rock chips were selected for SEM observation from Noelke #38, Harwood Trust #2, Powell E.L #1, and Sterling Fee #6-G core. Samples were then re-cut into around 9mm*9mm*2mm cubes, and milled by Argon-Ion miller. The milling process was conducted by Leica EM TIC020 Triple Ion Beam Miller under current of 2.8 mA and 8KV accelerating voltage for 2-3 hours at BEG CRC. Argon-ion-milled surfaces prove to be the best for porosity examinations (Loucks et al., 2009). Samples were then coated by iridium in Leica EM ACE600 Coater. This process eliminates charging and prevents specimen damage by reducing heat, which enhances the resolution for SEM observation (personal talk with SEM research scientist Patrick Smith at BEG).

After sample preparation, sample minerology, pore type, presence of organic content were observed under FEI Nova NanoSEM 430 at BEG imaging backscattered
electron (BSE) and secondary electron (SE) images. Elemental analysis using the attached energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was used to help with mineral identification.

**CORE PLUGS ANALYSIS**

Based on lithofacies description, 62 core plugs were taken from the Rocker “B” #3031-3, Noelke #38, Harwood Trust #2, and Sterling Fee #6-G core at BEG CRC for porosity and permeability analyses at Weatherford Laboratories, Midland TX. Porosity was measured using Helium at ambient conditions in a Boyle’s Law Porosimeter. The measurement on permeability used Darcy’s Law at 400 psi Net Confining Stress in a Hassler Sleeve Permeameter.

**CHEMOSTRATIGRAPHIC DATA**

**XRF Analysis**

Over 1500 XRF measurements were carried out in two cores. A 2-inch sampling interval was used for major elements in the Harwood Trust #2 core. A 4-inch interval was used for trace elements in the Harwood Trust #2 core, and for both major and trace elements in the Noelke #38 core because of timing constraints. The XRF measurement provides an instant, quantitative, non-destructive understanding for core elemental composition (Rowe, 2012).

High-resolution energy dispersive XRF data were generated by a Bruker Tracer III (T3S2270) for major elements and a Bruker Tracer IV (T4S2602) for trace elements. The XRF spectra for major elements were generated under vacuum using an Rh tube set
at 15 kV and 34.4 μA. The XRF spectra for trace elements were analyzed in air at 40 kV and 25 μA, with an Al-Ti-Cu filter (information provided by Premier Oilfield Laboratories). The cores were washed and scrubbed to remove surface contamination before scanning. A flat, dry core surface was put on a 3mm*4mm elliptical scanning window of the XRF spectrometer. A laptop is connected to the XRF spectrometer to control the scanning process. For quality control, a standard pellet, limestone for major spectrometer and shale pellet for trace spectrometer, was scanned three times at a 180s count time every day before sample scanning. Each sample points were scanned by major and trace XRF spectrometer for both a 60s count time. Core scanning was finished in BEG CRC by the author and staff from Premier Oilfield Laboratories, Houston, TX. Due to overlaps in elemental fluorescence peaks and other effects such as absorption and secondary fluorescence, inter-element corrections must be made. Thus raw data were then calibrated at Premier Oilfield Laboratories to quantify mudrock matrices elemental composition. After the calibration, the compositions of 29 elements are reported in terms of percentage. The major elements include: Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, S, K, Ca, Ti, Mn, and Fe in weight percent, and Ba, V, and Cr in part per million (ppm). Trace elements include: Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Ga, As, Pb, Se, Th, Rb, U, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, and Mo in ppm.

Elemental abundances of U, Th, and K can be used to calculate an equivalent spectral Gamma Ray (SGR) curves through the formula,

\[ (U \times 8) + (Th \times 4) + (K \times 16) = SGR \]

where U is uranium content in parts per million (ppm), Th, is thorium content in (ppm), and K is in weight percent content of potassium (Doveton and Merriam, 2004). Computed Gamma Ray (CGR) curves can be calculated from adding Th and K content. By omitting the disruptive influence of uranium, CGR curves are able to provide a better
match the clay minerals changes (Doveton and Merriam, 2004; Dr. Stephen C Ruppel at BEG, personal communication).

**XRD and TOC analysis**

Based on the preliminary results from XRF analysis, 48 sample powders were drilled at selected XRF measurement points. The powders were used for both XRD and TOC evaluation at Premier Oilfield Laboratories, Houston, TX. XRD data contains compositions of major rock forming minerals such as quartz, calcite, and clay. Mineralogical results were compared with XRF elemental data to evaluate the accuracy of XRF results, which helped minerology prediction using XRF data for sample points without XRD data. The integration of XRD and XRF analysis provided the understanding of the variation in depositional environments. TOC data were used to quantify the organic richness of the core.
Results

Lithofacies and Interpreted Depositional Environment

In this study, stratigraphic intervals present in the core are mostly in Wolfcamp C (Figure 3-1). Based on core and microscopic observation, seven total lithofacies in the Wolfcampian succession, three siliciclastic-dominated and four carbonate-dominated, were defined based on sedimentary features, grain size, texture, mineral composition, and bioclasts. The lithofacies include (1) sandy siltstone, (2) argillaceous mudstone, and (3) very fine to fine sandstone as siliciclastic-dominated lithofacies; and (4) Massive to Weakly Laminated calcareous mudstone, (5) Laminated calcareous mudstone, (6) mud-dominated bioclastic packstone/rudstone, and (7) grain-dominated bioclastic packstone-grainstone/rudstone as calcareous-dominated lithofacies (Table 2). Mudstone facies in this study were defined based on mineral composition discussed in Lazar et al. (2015) (Figure 4-1).

Figure 4-1: Mudstone nomenclature diagram based on mineral composition (from Lazar et al., 2015). Si= siliceous, ca=calcareous, Ar= argillaceous
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Lithofacies</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Bed thickness</th>
<th>Sedimentary structures</th>
<th>Accessories</th>
<th>Primary depositional mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mudrock</td>
<td>Sandy Siltstone</td>
<td>Dominated by silt- to very fine sand-size quartz grains. With organic matter, clay minerals. Some are laminated with fine sandstone beds (centimeter scale)</td>
<td>1 cm to 4 ft</td>
<td>Massive</td>
<td>Fissile, ripped-up mud clast locally, bioturbation, average TOC= 1.1 wt.%</td>
<td>Debris flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Argillaceous Mudstone</td>
<td>Dominated by clay minerals, with some silt-sized quartz grains and organic matters</td>
<td>2 inch to 4 ft</td>
<td>Massive, weak lamination locally</td>
<td>Pyrite frambooids, bioturbation, average TOC= 1.5 wt.%</td>
<td>Hemipelagic settling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Massive to Weakly Laminated calcareous mudstone</td>
<td>Silt-sized grains, dominated by carbonate mud. With organic matters</td>
<td>5 inch to 3 ft</td>
<td>Massive to weakly laminated</td>
<td>Pyrite frambooids, dolomite minerals, average TOC= 1.5 wt.%</td>
<td>Hemipelagic settling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laminated Calcareous Mudstone</td>
<td>Silt- to very fine sand-sized grains, dominated by carbonate mud. With organic matters, skeletal fragments</td>
<td>Few millimeters to 1 cm</td>
<td>Laminated</td>
<td>average TOC= 1.3 wt.%</td>
<td>Diluted turbidity current</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-1: Summary of Wolfcampian succession lithofacies and interpreted depositional process.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sandstone</th>
<th>Very fine to Fine Sandstone</th>
<th>Very fine- to fine-sized grains, dominated by quartz and rock fragments</th>
<th>Few inches to 10 ft</th>
<th>Incomplete Bouma sequences, normal grading, erosional contact</th>
<th>Ripped-up clay clasts, burrow, soft sediment deformation</th>
<th>Turbidity flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate</td>
<td>Mud-dominated Bioclastic packstone/Rudstone</td>
<td>Clasts: skeletal fragments, algal fragments, and lithoclasts Matrix: clay-sized carbonate mud</td>
<td>Few inches to 1 ft</td>
<td>Bioclast in fine-grained matrix, clast- to matrix-dominated</td>
<td>Rare, soft sediment deformation</td>
<td>Debris flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grain-dominated Bioclastic Packstone-Grainstone/Rudstone</td>
<td>Clasts: skeletal fragments, algal fragments, peloid, and lithoclasts Highly cemented</td>
<td>18 ft</td>
<td>Normal grading, concentrated fusulinid fragments, grain-dominated</td>
<td>Rare, highly cemented, mud stripes, visible pores on core,</td>
<td>Hyperconcentrated density flow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-1 (continued)
Sandy Siltstone

Sandy siltstone is characterized by dark-gray to black intervals interbedded with sandstone in core. The color becomes lighter as grain size increases. Bed thickness ranges from 1 cm up to 4 ft. It mostly shows massive structure, but ripped-up clast and weak lamination are present locally (Figure 4-2A). Soft sediment deformation is present locally (Figure 4-2D). Sandy siltstone is commonly topped by sandstone with a scouring surface (Figure 4-2E). It usually laminates with very fine to fine sandstone at a centimeter scale, and is characterized in cores by dark-gray to black beds interbedded with light-gray beds. The lamination usually has a wavy shape (Figure 4-2B). In some places, laminations are inclined at a steep angle around 25 degrees (Figure 4-2C).

Under the microscope, sandy siltstone is dominated by quartz grains and clay-sized fine sediments. The quartz grains are silt-sized to very fine sand-sized, ranging from 30 to 120 microns (Figure 4-3A, B). Quartz grains are poorly sorted with angular to sub-angular shape (Figure 4-3C, D). Different mineral composition of laminated sandy siltstone and very fine to fine sandstone is clearly shown in the thin sections (Figure 4-3E). The sandstone laminae are dominated by silt-sized to very fine sand-sized quartz with minor feldspar (less than 5% through eye estimation). The quartz grains are poorly sorted, ranging from 60 to 200 microns, and are sub-angular to sub-rounded shape (Figure 4-3F).

XRD results show that quartz accounts for an average of 42.6 weight percent (wt.%) of the sandy siliceous mudstone (N=6). Within the three dominant components (quartz, clay, and carbonate), quartz accounts for 54.2 average weight percent (N=6). Total clay minerals (illite, chlorite, montmorillonite, and kaolinite) account for 39.7 wt.% on average, while carbonate minerals (calcite, siderite, and minor dolomite) only account
for an average of 6.1 wt.% (N=6). Sandy siltstone has fair to good richness of organic matter (Peters, 1986), with an average TOC value of 1.1 wt.% (N=6).

Quartz grains in sandy siltstone are mostly in angular shape, sand to silt size, and are poorly sorted. This is more common in debris flow deposits compared to turbidity flow deposits (Mulder and Alexander, 2001). Large clay clast distribute chaotically within the matrix-supported sediment observed in core is another common character for debris flow deposits (Mulder and Alexander, 2001). Thus, in a deep-water depositional setting, the sandy siltstone is interpreted to be deposited through debris flow. Another evidence of debris flow deposit is that sandy siltstone does not show vertical grading or sedimentary structure. The presence of organic matter shown by XRD data indicates that sandy siltstone is deposit under a relative anoxic environment.
Figure 4-2: (A) Slabbed core photo of sandy siltstone with ripped up clasts (in the red box), Noelke #38, 6702.8-6703.4 ft. (B) Wavy lamination, Rocker “B” #3031-3, 7765.1 ft. (C) Inclined wavy lamination, State University #1-EM, 7062 ft. (D) Soft sediment deformation present locally, State University #1-EM, 7066 ft. (E) Sandy siltstone topped by fine to very fine sandstone facies with scouring surface, Noelke #38, 6671-6672 ft.
Figure 4-3: Photomicrographs of sandy siltstone: (A)(B) Mineral composition is dominated by quartz grains and clay-sized sediments, Noelke #38, 6696.4 ft, State University #1-EM, 7063.9 ft. (C) Quartz grains are poorly sorted, angular to sub-angular shape. (D) Quartz grains can rich up to 120 micron. (E) Sandy siltstone laminate with very fine sandstone, Sterling Fee #6-G, 7642.5 ft, State University #1-EM, 7063.9 ft. (F) Quartz grains in sand laminae are poorly sorted, sub-angular to sub-rounded shape, very-fine sandstone size, State University #1-EM, 7063.9 ft.
Argillaceous Mudstone

Argillaceous mudstone is characterized by massive dark-gray to black beds ranging from 3 inches to 14 ft. It mostly shows massive structure, but some have minor lamination (~1 mm). Compared to siliceous mudstone, argillaceous mudstone is very fissile and usually broken (Figure 4-4). It commonly overlies massive siliceous mudstone and is topped by sandstone beds.

Argillaceous mudstone differs from sandy siliceous mudstone lithofacies by its high percentage of clay content. Total clay minerals (illite, chlorite, montmorillonite and kaolinite) account for an average of 48 weight percent of the argillaceous mudstone (N=13). Within the three dominant components (quartz, clay, and carbonate), total clay minerals account for 62.4 wt.% on average (N=13). Quartz accounts for 31.4 wt.% on average (N=13). While carbonate (calcite, siderite, and minor dolomite) accounts for 6.2 wt.% on average (N=13). Argillaceous mudstone is enriched in organic matter compared to siliceous mudstone with a slightly higher average TOC value of 1.5 wt.% (N=13).

Under the microscope, argillaceous mudstone is composed mostly of fine-grained sediments with minor quartz grains (Figure 4-5). Quartz grains are silt-sized (Figure 4-5A).

SEM images show that the dominant the clay mineral is illite. Illite averages 34.5 wt.% (N=13) among the total clay minerals according to XRD results. Clay minerals, especially illite, show directional alignment, which indicates compaction (Figure 4-6). Abundant organic matters are present between clay minerals (Figure 4-6).

Argillaceous mudstone is interpreted to deposit in a low-energy, anoxic environment, with evidence, based on SEM observation and TOC result, of very fine grain sediment and the presence of abundant organic matter. Silt-sized, fine grain
Sediments are interpreted to deposit through hemipelagic settling, with evidence of rarity of current-generated sedimentary structure and fine grain size dominated by clay-sized sediments (Stow and Piper, 1984).

Figure 4-4: Slabbed core photo of argillaceous mudstone, broken, minor lamination locally, Noelke #38, 6681-6690 ft.
Figure 4-5: Photomicrographs of argillaceous mudstone. (A) Argillaceous mudstone, clay mineral dominated, with minor quartz grains. Pyrite is sparsely present. Sterling Fee #6-G, 7706.9 ft. (B), (C) and (D) Argillaceous mudstone in Powell E.L #1, 8841 ft.
Figure 4-6: (A) SEM images of Noelke #38, 6681.6 ft. (B) SEM-EDS images. Quartz grains (in red) are sub-angular to sub-rounded shape. Directional alignment of clay minerals (mixture of yellow and green) indicates compaction. Albite presents locally (in blue). Abundant organic matters (in black) present, Noelke #38, 6681.6 ft.

**Very Fine to Fine Sandstone**

Very fine to fine sandstone is characterized in core by light gray- to brown-colored beds ranging from a few inches to 10 ft. The sandstone shows a variety of sedimentary structures in cores. Normal grading presents locally at the base of the sandstone bed. An overall fining-upward trend is commonly seen throughout the study cores, which display incomplete Bouma sequences (Bouma, 1962). For example, thin-bedded, massive sandstone is commonly capped by a thin mudstone layer (Bouma Td and/or Te divisions), commonly with an erosional contact (Figure 4-7A, C, F). Another example of finning-upward trend is that the massive, structureless (Bouma Ta division) sandstone is topped by sandstone with planar lamination (Bouma Tb division) (Figure 4-
Ripple lamination (Bouma Tc division) is present after multiple centimeter-scale upward-fining sequences (Figure 4-7B). Soft sediment deformation such as flame structure and load structure is present locally at the contact between sandstone and mudstone (Figure 4-7E). Ripped-up clay clast and mud clast ranging from millimeter to centimeter scale is common in fine-grained sandstone (Figure 4-7D). Fluid escape structure is common, especially in Noelke #38 core (Figure 4-7F). It lacks the evidence of burrow.

Under the microscope, the sandstone is dominated by quartz grain (> 75% through eye estimate) (Figure 4-8E, F). Rock fragments are present in small amounts (12% through eye estimate), and feldspar is rarely present (3% through eye estimate). The mineral composition puts the sandstone into the sublitharenite category according to the Folk classification of sandstone (Folk, 1965). Quartz grains are sub-angular to sub-rounded in shape and have line contact with each other (Figure 4-8D). Quartz grain size ranges from 30 to 250 microns, and grains are medium sorted (Figure 4-8).

Soft sediment deformation such as flame structure and fluid escape structure present in very fine to fine sandstone indicate rapid deposition, which are common in deep water basins with turbidity currents (RL, 1982; Mulder and Alexander, 2001). The thin-bedded sandstones commonly display incomplete Bouma sequences, which is a strong evidence of turbidity current deposits (Bouma, 1962). In the context of a deep-water depositional setting, the very fine to fine sandstone is deposited by turbidity current (Bouma, 1962; Mulder and Alexander, 2001).
Figure 4-7: Slabbed core photo of very fine to fine sandstone. (A) Sandstone beds show overall fining upward trend from massive bed (Bouma A) to bed with planar lamination (Bouma B). Flame structure (dash line). Noelke #38, 6712-6712.6 ft. (B) Multiple fining upward sequences followed by ripple lamination (dash line) at the top, Noelke #38, 6739-6740 ft. (C) Planar laminated sandstone topped by mudstone. Soft sediment deformation at the contact (dash line), Noelke #38, 6665.5. (D) Massive sandstone with ripped-up clay clast, Noelke #38, 6672.9 ft. (E) Load structure (red box) present at the base of planar laminated sandstone, which overlies mudstone. (F) Fluid escape structure (red arrow) cutting through planar laminated sandstone. Flame structure (dash line). Noelke #38, 6713.8 ft. (G) Normal grading, Rocker “B” #3031-3, 7730 ft.
Figure 4-8: Photomicrographs of very fine to fine sandstone. (A) Sandstone with mud stripes (red arrow), State University #1-EM, 7057.6 ft. (B) A zoom in view of sandstone with mud stripe, clay minerals (c) in the muddy stripe occlude the pore space, Noelke #38, 6721.8 ft. (C) Sandstone with big mud clast (to the left), Sterling Fee #6-G, 7689.2 ft. (D) Quartz grains have long contact (red arrow). Presence of dissolution pore (P), Sterling Fee #6-G, 7948.2 ft. (E), (F) Massive fine-grain sandstone, rock fragments (R) present as a small amount, feldspar is very rarely present, Sterling #6-G, 7669 ft.
Massive to Weakly Laminated Calcareous Mudstone

Massive to weakly laminated calcareous mudstone is characterized in core by medium-gray to black-colored beds ranging from 5 inches up to 3 ft. It is mostly present with massive structure; some weakly laminae less than 1 mm in size are present locally (Figure 4-9B). It is commonly fractured (Figure 4-9A) but less fissile compared to siliciclastic-dominated mudstones. It shows a coarsening upward trend locally, with a sharp contact towards the top (Figure 4-9C). It is brecciated locally, and the cracks are filled with calcite cement (Figure 4-9D). Burrows are rarely observed. Massive to weakly laminated calcareous mudstone in Harwood Trust #2 core has a mild reaction to diluted HCL because of its high carbonate contents.

XRD results show that total carbonate contents (calcite, dolomite, aragonite and siderite) account for 55.5 wt.% on average (N=12). Within the three dominant components (quartz, clay, and carbonate), total carbonate minerals account for 60.7 wt.% on average (N=12). Calcite is the dominant carbonate mineral. Quartz only accounts for an average of 23.2 wt.% (N=12). On average, total clay minerals account for 16.1 wt.% (N=12). The average TOC value in massive to weakly laminated calcareous mudstone is 1.5 wt.% (N=12).

Based on thin section observation, the darkening of color from gray to black observed in cores is related to the presence of carbonate content composed of microfossils and carbonate mud. Based on TOC results, presence of organic matter also affects the color difference; the more carbonate content in the rock, the lighter it looks. Dominant fossils grain size ranges from 10 to 40 microns, only a few reach 200 microns. Fossil fragments contain mainly of calcispheres, radiolarian, and ostracods, with minor gastropod and foraminifer and other skeletal debris (Figure 4-10C, D). Under the
microscope, brecciated cracks are filled in mostly with calcite cement (Figure 4-10E). Brecciated clasts are angular to sub-angular, ranging from sand to pebble size (400 micron to > 4mm) (Figure 4-10F).

SEM images show that quartz grains range from 3 to 15 microns which was not able to be identified in thin sections. Albite and orthoclase are locally present in very small amounts. Organic matter is present around the fossil fragments (Figure 4-11C). Pyrite framboids are common compared to those in sandy silt stone and argillaceous mudstone lithofacies (Figure 4-11A, B). Pyrite also appears around dolomite minerals (Figure 4-11D). The dominant clay mineral is illite (Figure 4-11B).

The massive to weakly laminated calcareous mudstone is interpreted to deposit in a low-energy, anoxic environment below storm wave base, as evidenced by fine sediment size, lack of current-generated sedimentary structure, and richness of organic matter. Commonly present radiolarians also indicates a low-energy environment; they accumulate as a result of sinking from the overlying water after dying (Flügel, 2004). The fine sediment, a mix of biogenic and terrigenous origin, is interpreted to be deposited through hemipelagic settling (Stow and Piper, 1984).
Figure 4-9: Slabbed core photo of massive to weakly laminated calcareous mudstone of the Harwood Trust #2 core. (A) Massive calcareous mudstone, 7598.6-7599.5 ft. (B) Calcareous mudstone with very weak lamination (arrow), 7491-7492 ft. (C) Calcareous mudstone shows coarsening upward trend with a sharp contact (arrow) towards to top, 7596.5-7597.5 ft. (D) Calcareous mudstone brecciated locally. The cracks are filled by calcite cement (arrow).
Figure 4-10: Photomicrographs of massive to weakly laminated calcareous mudstone in Harwood Thrust #2 core. (A), (B) Massive to weakly laminated calcareous mudstone, 7498.3 ft. (C) Minor gastropode (G) fragments, 7489.3 ft. (D) Rardiolarins (R) are commonly present, 7489.3 ft. (E) The infilling cement is dominated by calcite, 7497.7 ft. (F) Locally brecciated calcareous mudstone, 7497.7 ft.
Figure 4-11: SEM and EDS images of Massive to Weakly Laminated calcareous mudstone, Harwood Trust #2, 7486.6 ft (A) SEM images of calcareous mudstone. (B) SEM-EDS images. Fossil fragments are in dark blue, clay minerals are dominated by illite (in green), some quartz grains (in red) are poorly sorted, pyrite frambooids (upper right, in white) are commonly present, albite presents locally (in dark blue). (C) SEM images of organic matter (bitumen) (in red arrow) filling into the space between minerals. (D) SEM-EDS image shows pyrite rims are commonly seen around dolomite grains.
**Laminated Calcareous Mudstone**

Laminated calcareous mudstone is characterized by light-gray beds interbedded with dark-gray to black beds ranging from several to tens of feet. Light-gray laminae are present at a scale ranging from millimeters to centimeters, and their grain size is coarser than that of the darker laminae. Laminated calcareous mudstone in Harwood Trust #2 core has a mild reaction to diluted HCL because of the high carbonate content of the mudstone. It is usually topped by massive calcareous mudstone with a gradational contact (Figure 4-12C). An upward-finining trend (Figure 4-12D) and load structure (Figure 4-12B) are found locally in cores.

XRD results show that laminated calcareous mudstone and bioclastic packstone facies contain the highest carbonate content of the mudstone lithofacies. The average total carbonate content is 67.2 wt.% (N=16). Total carbonate minerals account for an average of 68.1 wt.% (N=16) within the three dominant components (quartz, clay, and carbonate). Calcite is the predominant carbonate mineral. Quartz accounts for 12.1 wt.% on average (N=16), and total clay minerals account for an average of 12.0 wt.% (N=16). Average TOC in laminated calcareous mudstone is 1.3 wt.% (N=16), which is lower than that of massive calcareous mudstone.

According to thin section observation, light-gray laminae are composed of bioclastic wackestone/packstone, while dark-gray laminae are composed of shaley carbonate mud (Figure 4-13A). Fossil fragments include ostracods, miliolid foraminifers, gastropods, and calcispheres (Figure 4-13B, C). Pore spaces within fossil fragments are filled with calcite cement (Figure 4-13B). The size of fossil fragments ranges from 50 to 110 microns, which is larger than that of massive calcareous mudstone.
SEM images show that organic matter is less commonly seen compared to that of calcareous mudstone (Figure 4-14). Quartz grains are present in a small amount, and albite and orthoclase are present locally.

The presence of shallow-marine fossils such as miliolid foraminifers in a deep-water depositional setting is the evidence of gravity-flow deposit (Flügel, 2004). Normal grading and soft sediment deformation are evidences of turbidity current deposit (Stow and Piper, 1984). Based on evidence discussed above and fine sediment size, laminated calcareous mudstone is interpreted to be deposited by diluted turbidity current (Stow et al., 1996).
Figure 4-12: Slabbed core photo of laminated calcareous mudstone in Harwood Trust #2 core. (A) Laminated calcareous mudstone, 7709-7710 ft. (B) Load structure (arrow), 7669.1 ft. (C) Laminated calcareous mudstone (L) fines up into weakly laminated to massive calcareous mudstone (M), 7684 ft. (D) Lamination with small scale finning upward trend, 7718.9 ft.
Figure 4-13: Photomicrographs of laminated calcareous mudstone. (A) Lamination is caused by grain size difference, Harwood Trust #2, 7554.6 ft. (B) Lamination is caused by grain size difference (the thin section was half stained), Harwood Trust #2, 7710.4 ft. (C) Miliolid foraminifer (red arrow) in the laminated bioclastic packstone, Harwood Trust #2, 77695.7 ft. (D) Gastropod fragment (red arrow) in the laminated wackestone, Harwood Trust #2, 7652 ft.
Figure 4-14: (A) SEM image of laminated calcareous mudstone and bioclastic packstone, Harwood Trust #2, 7679 ft. (B) SEM-EDS image: organic matters (in black) are less common compared to calcareous mudstone. Quartz grains (in red) and albite (in blue) are present as a small amount.
**Mud-dominated Bioclastic packstone/Rudstone**

Mud-dominated bioclastic packstone/rudstone is characterized in core by dark to light-gray color. It is clay- to silt-sized matrix supported beds and composed of abundant scattered skeletal fossil debris such as crinoid (Figure 4-15A). Individual beds show massive structure ranging from a few inches up to 1 ft. This lithofacies is topped by calcareous mudstone (Figure 4-15B); it is not very commonly present, found only in the Powell E.L #1 core.

Thin sections observations show that the bioclast fragments consist of large fusulinid, echinoid, calcisphere, radiolarian, bivalves, bryozoan, and epimastoporids algae (Figure 4-16). It also contains lithoclasts (Figure 4-16E). Most fossil fragments preserve their internal structures, but some do not as a result of quartz replacement (Figure 4-16D). Calcite cement filled in intraparticle pore spaces within fossil grains (Figure 4-16B, C).

The presence of shallow-water bioclasts such as epimastoporid algae and large fusulinid indicates gravity-flow deposit at a deep-water depositional setting. Mud-dominated bioclastic packstone/rudstone is interpreted to deposit by debris flow based on the presence of scattered bioclasts and lithoclasts mixed with clay-sized matrix (Mulder and Alexander, 2001). Other evidence includes a lack of vertical grading or sedimentary structure, and chaotically distributed skeletal grains.
Figure 4-15: Slabbed core photo of mud-dominated bioclastic packstone/rudstone. (A) Skeletal fragments floating in the matrix rich sediments, Powell E.L #1, 8910-8911 ft. (B) Crinoid fragments are commonly seen (red box). It is topped by calcareous mudstone with a sharp contact, Powell E.L #1, 8905-8906 ft.
Figure 4-16: Photomicrographs of mud-dominated bioclastic packstone/rudstone, Powell E.L #1 core. (A) Bryozoan fragment, 8907.6 ft. (B) Epimastoporid algae (arrow), 8907.6 ft. (C) Bivalve fragments, 8907.6 ft. (D) Large fusulinid fragment partially lose its internal structure due to quartz replacement, intraparticle pores are filled with calcite cements, 8907.6 ft. (E) Lithoclasts (L) present locally, 8907.6 ft. (F) Radiolarian (red arrow) showing concentric structure, 8822.3 ft.
Grain-dominated Bioclastic Packstone-Grainstone/Rudstone

Grain-dominated bioclastic packstone-grainstone/rudstone presents only in an 18-ft portion in the Harwood Trust #2 core. It is characterized in core by light-gray beds with the presence of fossil fragments (Figure 4-17A). It overlies and is topped by laminated calcareous mudstone and bioclastic packstone with a gradational contact. Mud stripes are commonly present toward the top (Figure 4-17B). Visible pores are observed in beds with abundant fusulinid grains toward the bottom (Figure 4-17C).

Based on thin section observation, fossil fragments are composed mainly of fusulinid, bivalve, large bryozoan fragment, crinoid, echinoid, and green algae (Figure 4-18). The green algae include epimastoporid algae (Figure 4-18B, E) and permocalculus algae (Figure 4-18F), which is of rock-building importance in Permian carbonates (Flügel, 2004). Peloid and lithoclast are also observed in the thin sections (Figure 4-18). Intraparticle pores within fossil fragments are highly cemented by calcite cement (Figure 4-18A, B).

Grain-dominated bioclastic packstone-grainstone/rudstone is interpreted to be deposited by hyperconcentrated density flow with evidence of outsized, shallow-water-origin fossil fragments present, including the presence of epimastoporid algae, which are commonly present in outer shelf environment, and large-sized fusulinid, which need a warm temperature to grow (Mulder and Alexander, 2001; Flügel, 2004). The good preservation of out-sized fossils such as bryozoans and fusulinids is interpreted to be caused by frictional freezing of hyperconcentrated density flow without much turbulence causing a loss of water from the flow (Beall, 1999; Mulder and Alexander, 2001).
Figure 4-17: Slabbed core photo of grain-dominated bioclastic packstone-grainstone/rudstone in Harwood Trust #2 core. (A) Mollusk fragment (arrow) and other fossil fragment commonly present, 7572.8 ft. (B) Grain-dominated bioclastic packstone-grainstone/rudstone with muddy stripe, 7672.8 ft. (C) Concentrated fusulinid (red arrow) and visible porosity (yellow arrow), 7574.8 ft.
Figure 4-18: Photomicrographs of grain-dominated bioclastic packstone-grainstone/rudstone, Harwood Trust #2. (A) Lithoclast (L) and fusulinid (F) in bioclast grainstone, 7570.8 ft. (B) Crinoid (Cr), epimastoporids algae (E) in bioclast grainstone, thin section was half stained, 7569.2 ft. (C) Large bryozoan fragment in bioclast rudstone, 7570 ft. (D) Dissolution pores concentrated within skeletal fragments in bioclastic packstone, 7574.8 ft. (E) Epimastoporid algae (arrow) and crinoid (Cr), 7569.2 ft. (F) Permocalculus algae (arrow) in bioclastic packstone, 7568.8 ft.
DIAGENESIS AND PORE EVOLUTION

The Wolfcampian succession reveals a complex diagenesis history. Diagenetic processes of the siliciclastic-dominated interval include compaction, pyrite replacement, silica cementation, calcite cementation, siderite grain-coating, and dissolution. The calcareous-dominated interval experienced syntaxial-overgrowth calcite cementation, dissolution, isopachous-equant calcite cementation, compaction, blocky calcite cementation, mosaic calcite cementation, and minor dolomite cementation.

Compaction

The Wolfcampian succession experienced significant compaction, indicated in the very fine to fine sandstone lithofacies by long, concave-convex grain contacts between quartz grains (Figure 4-19A). Compaction caused the flow of ductile clay minerals into surrounding pore space (Figure 4-19A). Soft rock fragments underwent pseudoplastic deformation, resulting in the formation of pseudomatrix (Figure 4-19A) (Morad et al., 2010). Compared to sandstone, carbonate rocks show less significant evidence of compaction due to early calcite cementation. Skeletal grains show tangential to long contact (Figure 4-19B). However, intervals with less cementation show differential compaction between the more ductile, mud-dominated grains and the harder lithoclasts (Figure 4-19C).

Primary intergranular porosity is reduced significantly as a result of compaction. Some residual primary intergranular pores are preserved in sandstone with higher rigid-grain content.
**Dissolution**

Dissolution, which occurs in both siliciclastic-dominated and calcareous-dominated intervals, is demonstrated in sandstone by partial to pervasive dissolution of silica grains, which creates pore spaces (Figure 4-19D). Moldic pores, which are angular in shape and comparable with the size of very fine sand grain, are observed in some samples (Figure 4-19D). Partial dissolutions of feldspar grains and lithoclast grains were found in a small amount (Figure 4-19E, F, G). But most grains had been completely dissolved, leaving no evidence of the initial grain types. In the calcareous-dominated interval, dissolution occurs locally in grain-dominated bioclastic packstone-grainstone/rudstone lithofacies, which forms intraparticle pores within the skeletal fragments (Figure 4-19H).

Dissolution creates secondary porosity and is considered to have good reservoir potential. However, some secondary intragranular pores are poorly connected, especially in the calcareous-dominated interval, resulting in lower permeability.

**Silica Cementation**

Silica cement present only in the siliciclastic-dominated interval, is found in very fine to fine sandstone lithofacies in the form of partial to complete quartz overgrowth. A thin rim defines the boundary between the overgrowth and the detrital quartz grain (Figure 4-19I, J). It is more common to observe quartz overgrowth in sandstone with higher rigid-grain content than in sandstone with more-ductile clay content.

Quartz overgrowth occludes intergranular pores and further reduces primary porosity. It is present only in small quantities because of severe compaction, which reduces the space for silica cement to grow.
**Siderite Coating**

Siderite coating is commonly found in very fine to fine sandstone, especially in the Sterling Fee #6-G core. It is characterized by rhombic to slightly lozenge-shaped dark brown rim around silica grain (Figure 4-19K, L). Compared to diagenetic clay grain-coating, siderite rim is much thicker and the siderite crystal size is much larger than clay minerals (Ulmer-Scholle, 2014; Dr. Shirley P. Dutton at BEG, personal communication). Quartz overgrowth is less commonly seen in sandstones with grain-coating siderite minerals. Sandstones having poorly developed clay coats are highly cemented with authigenic quartz (Figure 4-19I, J), and are more compacted (Figure 4-19A). However, siderite grain-coating is unable to inhibit calcite cement precipitation which postdates the siderite rim (Figure 4-19M, N).

Siderite coats are of great importance in porosity preservation for sandstones in the Wolfcampian siliciclastic-dominated interval. Well-developed, continuous grain-coating siderite rims inhibit precipitation of quartz cement, thus preserving some intergranular porosity.

**Calcite Cementation**

Calcite cementation is commonly found in the Wolfcampian succession. In very fine to fine sandstone lithofacies, it occurs as blocky, homogeneous calcite cement around silica grains (Figure 4-19M, N).

In the calcareous-dominated interval, calcite cement occurs in various textural habitats. Syntaxial overgrowths of calcite cement around echinoid fragments are common.
in mud-dominated bioclastic packstone/rudstone and grain-dominated bioclastic packstone–grainstone/rudstone (Figure 4-19S). In grain-dominated bioclastic packstone-grainstone/rudstone, isopachous-equant calcite cement precipitates around skeletal fragments, surrounded by pervasive blocky calcite cement (Figure 4-19O, P). In both mud-dominated bioclastic packstone/rudstone and grain-dominated bioclastic packstone-grainstone/rudstone lithofacies, mosaic calcite cement occurs within the intraparticle pores of fossil fragments (Figure 4-19R).

Calcite cement is commonly present in both intervals, occluding the pore space. Blocky calcite cement is of significant influence in reducing interparticle porosity in both siliciclastic- and calcareous-dominated intervals; mosaic calcite cement occludes intraparticle pore spaces in the calcareous-dominated interval.
Figure 4-19: Photomicrographs and SEM-EDS photos of diagenesis processes in the Wolfcampian succession. 

(A) Quartz grains show line (red arrow) and concave-convex grain contacts (yellow arrow). Clay minerals (C) occlude surrounding pore space. Soft rock fragments (RF) form pseudomatrix, Sterling Fee #6-G, 7665 ft. 

(B) Skeletal grains show tangential to long contact (red arrow), Harwood Trust #2, 7567.8 ft. 

(C) Differential compaction (red arrow) between lithoclast (L) and mud dominated grains, Harwood Trust #2, 7567.8 ft. 

(D) Moldic pores (MP), and dissolution pores (P), Sterling Fee #6-G, 7948.2 ft. 

(E) Partial dissolution of feldspar grain (arrow), plane light, Sterling Fee #6-G, 7950.9 ft. 

(F) Partial dissolution of feldspar grain (arrow), cross-polarized light, Sterling Fee #6-G, 7950.9 ft.
Figure 4-19: Continued. (G) Partial dissolution of rock fragment (arrow), Sterling Fee #6-G, 7950.9 ft. (H) Dissolution within skeletal fragments in bioclastic packstone, Harwood Trust #2, 7574.8 ft. (I) Quartz overgrowth (arrow) in sandstone, cross-polarized light, Sterling Fee #6-G, 7917 ft. (J) Quartz overgrowth (arrow) in sandstone, plane light, Sterling Fee #6-G, 7917 ft. (K) Grain-coating siderite cement (arrow), plane light, Sterling Fee #6-G, 7950.9 ft. (L) Grain-coating siderite cement (arrow), plane light, Sterling Fee #6-G, 7950.9 ft.
Figure 4-19: Continued. (M) Grain-coating siderite cement (arrow) predates calcite cement (Ca), plane light, Sterling Fee #6-G, 7651 ft. (N) Grain-coating siderite cement (arrow) predates calcite cement (Ca), cross-polarized light, Sterling Fee #6-G, 7651 ft. (O) Isopachous-equant calcite cement (red arrow) pre-dates the blocky calcite cement (Ca) in bioclastic packstone-rudstone, plane light, Harwood Trust #2, 7569.2 ft. (P) Isopachous-equant calcite cement (red arrow) pre-dates the blocky calcite cement (Ca), cross-polarized light, Harwood Trust #2, 7570 ft. (R) Intraparticle pores of fusulinid fragment are filled with mosaic calcite cement, cross-polarized light, Harwood Trust #2, 7570.8 ft. (S) Syntaxial overgrowth calcite cementation (red arrow) around echinoid grain, cross-polarized light, Harwood Trust #2, 7592 ft.
GEOCHEMICAL DATA INTEGRATION

XRF-based Facies Correlations

Identification of lithofacies through petrographic observation is integrated with XRF measurements in order to interpret the overall trend in lithofacies variation and cyclicity, especially focusing on mudstone facies. In this study, it has been very difficult to correlate the interpreted lithofacies with the wireline log because of the rapid facies change (from a scale in feet down to inches) within the relative short core length, ranging from 61 to 157 ft. The conventional vertical resolution of the wireline log is several to tens of feet—e.g., 2 ft for gamma-ray log (Schlumberger Well Services, 1983). The XRF curve acts as a chemical log that can be correlated with the core descriptions for facies interpretation with the help of mineral composition, based on XRD results for mudstone facies. The XRF reveals the foot-scale cyclicity that the wireline log cannot identify.

XRD results from mudstone facies correlate well with XRF data. Three of the major elements from XRF data—calcium (Ca), silicon (Si), and aluminum (Al)—were used as proxies for three principal rock-forming minerals—calcite, quartz, and clay minerals, respectively—in the mudstone facies. The Si element and quartz, Ca and calcite, Al and clay minerals, and magnesium (Mg) and dolomite all show very good correlation (Figure 4-20). Si is also present in clay minerals in mudrocks. In order to remove the effect of clay minerals, Si/Al is used as a proxy for quartz in mudrocks (Pearce and Jarvis, 1992; Pearce et al., 1999). Aluminum and titanium (Ti) are commonly
of detrital origin and are usually immobile during diagenesis (Calvert and Pedersen, 1993; Tribovillard et al., 1994).

Figure 4-20: Plots showing correlative relationships between XRD mineralogy and XRF data.

XRF data were collected from the Noelke #38 core, representing the siliciclastic-dominated interval, and the Harwood Trust #2 core, representing the calcareous-dominated interval. XRF-based correlation was able to identify chemofacies used to reveal mineralogical variability, especially in mudrocks, at a sub-lithofacies scale. Computed gamma ray (CGR) logs were created, discussed in the Method and Dataset chapter, using XRF-based chemical data from the cores and were correlated to simplified
core descriptions. Chemofacies were defined based on XRF responses, and its correlative relationship with XRD mineralogical components data (Figure 4-21 and Figure 4-22). The results were also correlated to the simplified core description. In the calcareous-dominated interval, six chemofacies are identified including carbonate, calcareous mudstone, argillaceous calcareous mudstone, siliceous calcareous mudstone, dolomitic calcareous mudstone, and dolomitic argillaceous calcareous mudstone (Figure 4-21). The siliciclastic-dominated interval is characterized by these six chemofacies: argillaceous mudstone, siliceous mudstone, dolomitic siliceous mudstone, sandstone (Figure 4-22).

Comparison of CGR and gamma ray (GR) curves shows that GR log responses are less subtle compared with XRF-element responses, which are able to detect small changes in mineral components to show facies variation and lithologic cyclicity. The separation of GR and CGR curves indicates high U content, resulting in high responses on the GR log. Overreliance on the GR log, however, will misinterpret rocks with high U content as rocks with high clay-mineral content. XRF-based facies interpretation is very applicable for mudrocks by recording small changes in mineralogy, while GR logs usually show similar responses for mudrocks. For example, at around 7696ft of the Harwood Trust #2 core, the CGR curve is able to detected the thin (<2 ft) beds in between the carbonate, which is difficult for the GR log to detect (Figure 4-21).
Figure 4-21: XRF-based facies correlation data in Harwood Trust #2 core with core description, GR log, and CGR log.
Figure 4-22: XRF-based facies correlation data in Noelke #38 core with core description, GR log, and CGR log.
Cyclicity

Depositional cyclicity during Pennsylvanian–Permian time has long been recognized in the Permian Basin (e.g., Silver and Todd, 1969; Elam and Chuber, 1972; Kerans and Kempter, 2002). However, basinal sediment cyclicity has been less discussed (e.g., Hobson et al., 1985; Nance and Rowe, 2015; Baumgardner et al., 2016). This study investigates chemofacies cycles in Wolfcampian basinal strata using XRF facies correlation.

High-frequency cycles are observed in both intervals with evidence of repetitive patterns of XRF-based chemofacies. Each individual cycle is meter-scale, with thickness ranges from 1 to 10 ft. These cycles are at the same scale of cycles discussed by Baumgardner et al. (2016) on Wolfcamp–lower Leonard basinal mudrocks (1–5 ft) in the Midland Basin. A typical cycle consists of a relatively carbonate-rich chemofacies overlain by a relatively siliclastic-rich chemofacies. Examples of these cycles, which are commonly present in the Harwood Trust #2 core, include calcareous mudstone overlain by argillaceous calcareous mudstone or siliceous calcareous mudstone (Figure 4-23 A), dolomitic calcareous mudstone overlain by dolomitic argillaceous calcareous mudstone (Figure 4-23 B). However, carbonate overlain by argillaceous calcareous mudstone is interpreted as event cycle (Figure 4-24). Because based on core and thin section observation, this interval is interpreted to be deposited by debris flow. For the Noelke #38 core, this type of cycle is more typical in mudrocks. An example is dolomitic siliceous mudstone overlain by argillaceous mudstone (Figure 4-25).
Figure 4-23: Closed up sections from the Harwood Trust #2 core showing the siliciclastic/calcareous cycles with marked cycle tops
Figure 4-24: Even cycles caused by gravity-flow deposition from the Harwood Trust #2 core with marked cycle tops.

Figure 4-25: Closed up sections from the Noelke #38 core mudrock interval showing the siliciclastic/calcareous cycles with marked cycle tops.
Paleoenvironment and TOC Preservation

Three main factors control the enrichment of organic matter in sediment: (1) primary surficial productivity (Pedersen and Calvert, 1990; Sageman et al., 2003), (2) sediment accumulation/dilution (clastic influx) (Murphy et al., 2000; Sageman et al., 2003), and (3) organic-matter preservation/decomposition (redox conditions) (Sageman et al., 2003; Mort et al., 2007). These controlling factors can be inferred from the variation of XRF elemental concentration. Examples of correlative relationships between TOC enrichment and XRF elemental concentration are shown in Figure 4-26.

Organic matter is produced above the water–sediment interface with nutrient supply (Pedersen and Calvert, 1990). Nickel (Ni) and copper (Cu) are good values as proxy for organic-carbon sinking flux, which is frequently referred to as productivity (Tribovillard et al., 2006; personal commun., Dr. Stephen C. Ruppel, Bureau of Economic Geology). However, if trace elements have a good correlation with Al or titanium (Ti), which indicate detrital origin (Calvert and Pedersen, 1993; Tribovillard et al., 1994), the trace elements are mainly of detrital provenance and cannot be used for paleoenvironmental studies (Tribovillard et al., 2006). This phenomenon happens in the Noelke #38 core, where Ni and Cu show very strong correlation with Al and Ti ($R^2 = 0.7$). As a result, Ni/Al and Cu/Al are used as proxies of paleoproductivity by removing the influence of detrital input (Tribovillard et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2017). Phosphorus (P) is an important nutrient for biological growth and thus is used as an indicator of biological productivity (Ingall et al., 1993; Schmitz et al., 1997). Results from the
mudrock intervals in both cores show that TOC value has positive covariance with Ni, Cu, and P (Figures 4-27, 4-28). A typically example is at around 7,560 ft in the Harwood Trust #2 core, where the sharp increase of the three productivity indicators (Ni, Cu, P) correlates to an increase in the TOC value (Figure 4-25).

After production, the preservation of organic matter requires a low-oxygen-level, reducing environment (Demaison and Moore, 1980; Wilkin et al., 1997). Trace elements can be used as proxies for bottom-water anoxia because they are redox-sensitive and relatively immobile in the sediments (Algeo and Rowe, 2012). Molybdenum (Mo) and U are considered to be reliable redox indicators with minimal detrital influence (Dean et al., 1997; Rimmer, 2004; Tribovillard et al., 2006; Algeo et al., 2007) and are thus selected as representative proxies for paleoredox-condition reconstruction. Other redox-sensitive trace elements—including vanadium (V), thorium (Th), and chromium (Cr)—are also assessed as paleoredox proxies. Results from the mudrock intervals in both the Harwood Trust and Noelke cores show that TOC has a positive correlation with redox indicators, especially for Mo (Figures 4-27, 4-28). For example, from 6,690 to around 6,670 ft in the Noelke #38 core, TOC value increases with an increasing Mo value (Figure 4-26).

Deposition of a large amount of inorganic sediment will “dilute” organic-matter deposition and result in low organic-matter sediment (Sageman et al., 2003; Rimmer, 2004). Ti in the sediments is partly from aluminosilicates (e.g., clay minerals) and partly silt-sized heavy minerals such as augite and sphene, while Al is entirely from aluminosilicates (Bertrand et al., 1996; Rimmer, 2004). Thus Ti/Al can be used as an
indicator for siliciclastic grain size in mud-dominated facies (Bertrand et al., 1996). Sediment grain size increases with sedimentation rate; thus, higher Ti/Al is an indication of higher sedimentation rate (Bertrand et al., 1996; Murphy et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2017). Ti/Al shows a steady trend in the mudrock interval in the Harwood Trust #2 core and at the 6,673–6,697 ft interval in the Noelke #38 core, but a much higher value in the carbonate rock and sandstone interval (Figures 4-27, 4-28). Both cores show very weak correlations between Ti/Al and TOC values ($R^2 = 0.01$ for Harwood Trust #2 and $R^2 = 0.1$ for Noelke #38).

Figure 4-26: Plots showing correlative relationships between TOC enrichment and XRF elemental concentration.
Figure 4-27: Variation of trace elements, P, Ti/Al, TOC, XRF-based facies interpretation and core description for Harwood Trust #2 core. TOC show positive covariance with redox indicators and productivity indicators (red arrow).
Figure 4-28: Variation of trace elements, P, Ti/Al, TOC, XRF-based facies interpretation and core description for Nolke #38 core. In the mudrock interval, TOC show positive covariance with redox indicators and productivity indicators (red arrow).
**Reservoir Potential Evaluation**

Compaction and cementation have been recognized as importance factors in reducing original pore spaces (Ehrenberg et al., 2008; Taylor et al., 2010). As discussed in previous sections, the combined effect of compaction and cementation results in relatively low porosity and low permeability in the Wolfcampian succession. However, dissolution pores are found in both grain-dominated bioclastic packstone-grainstone/rudstone and very fine to fine sandstone lithofacies. Sandstone contains dissolution pores is considered to be potential reservoirs for hydrocarbon storage. The siderite grain coating in very fine to fine sandstone inhibits precipitation of quartz cement, thus preserving primary pore space and making effective storage spaces.

Based on thin section observation, the presence of dissolution pore increases from northwest to southeast, and is most abundant in the State University #1-EM core. Dissolution pores in both bioclastic packstone-grainstone/rudstone and very fine to fine sandstone are either intragranular pores or very poorly connected, thus having low permeability. Based on thin section observation, the presence of dissolution pore increases from northwest to southeast, and are most abundant in the Noelke #38 core.

Measured core plug porosity and permeability in sandstone and carbonate succession suggest moderate porosity up to 11.6%, and relative low permeability ranging from less than 0.001 md to 0.856 md (Figure 4-29). Porosity-permeability results in carbonate is relatively lower than results in sandstone succession. The highest porosity and permeability were reported in siderite-coated sandstone in the Noelke #38 core. The
siderite-coated sandstone is considered to have the best reservoir potential because of its good porosity-permeability value and its commonly presence in the study cores.

Figure 4-29: Measured core plug porosity and permeability result
The Wolfcamp mudrock succession is fair to good in organic richness (Peters, 1986). TOC varies from 0.8 wt.% to 2.6 wt.%, with an average of 1.4 wt.% (N = 48). Three types of pores have been identified based on SEM observation: interparticle pore, intraparticle pore, and organic pore. Interparticle pores are nanopores to micropores between particles (Loucks et al., 2012). It is the dominant pore type in the observed samples. Interparticle pores are commonly present between clay minerals, and they develop effective porosity (Figure 4-30B, C). Intraparticle pores are pores within particles (Loucks et al., 2012). They commonly present within silica grains in the observed samples (Figure 4-30D). Organic pore are intraparticle pores found specifically within the organic matter (Loucks et al., 2012). Organic pores are rarely present in the observed samples. They are characterized as small, bubble like shape, and are completely separate from each other (Figure 4-30D). Based on Milliken et al. (2013), these organic pores are classified as discrete spherelike organic pore, and they tend to be abundant in less mature kerogen. Evidence of rarely present discrete spherelike organic pore in the observed samples implies that the Wolfcamp mudrocks in the study area is relatively less mature. However, it is recommended that more information from kerogen type and thermal maturity measurements, and mudrock samples from different depth, locations need to be investigated.
Figure 4-30: SEM images for Wolfcampian mudrock pore system. (A) SEM image of organic matter (in black), no organic pore presence, Noelke #38, 6696.4 ft. (B) SEM image of interparticle pores between clay minerals and quartz grains (arrow), Harwood Trust #2, 7697 ft. (C) SEM image of interparticle pores, Harwood Trust #2, 7486.6 ft. (D) SEM images of intraparticle pores (circle) and organic pores (arrow), Harwood Trust #2, 7486.6 ft.
Discussion

DEPOSITIONAL SETTING

The Wolfcampian succession of the southeast Midland Basin is interpreted to be deposited in a deep-water slope to basinal setting. Covariation of redox indicators and TOC indicate that dysoxic to anoxic paleoceanographic conditions prevailed during deposition of mudrock intervals (Algeo and Lyons, 2006; Dahl et al., 2013). This interpretation is supported by evidence that includes enrichment of organic matter, rare bioturbation, and lack of deep-water benthic fauna.

Depositional processes for mudrock intervals include hemipelagic settling and diluted turbidite deposition; depositional processes for sandstone and carbonate intervals involve turbidity flow, debris flow, and hyperconcentrated density-flow deposits. Hemipelagic settling is interrupted by periodic gravity flows, as evidenced by incomplete Bouma sequence, soft-sediment deformation, and chaotic distribution of large skeletal grains. The periodic occurrence of shelf-derived gravity flow also disrupts the accumulation of organic matter.

DEPOSITIONAL CYCLICITY

Deposition of the Wolfcampian succession occurred during a period of high-amplitude, high-frequency eustatic sea-level fluctuation (Ross and Ross, 1987; Brown et al., 1990). Evidence of sea-level fluctuation was recorded on the Eastern Shelf with meter-scale sequences (Holterhoff, 2010). Eustatic changes can trigger changes in
nutrient levels and primary productivity rates, which, in turn, can change organic-carbon fluxes and redox conditions in the deep-water basin (Algeo and Rowe, 2012). In this study, basinal sediments were able to record evidence of high-frequency sea-level changes. Although meter-scale cyclicity is well defined from study cores within the basin, it has been difficult to make a correlation from the Eastern Shelf down to the Midland Basin because of facies lateral heterogeneity caused by periodic gravity-flow influx. There is also difficulty in recognizing fine-grained sediment cyclicity on a wireline log because of its limitations in vertical resolution. More data—such as isotope data, biostratigraphic data, and a more complete portion of the Wolfcampian period core in the study area—are needed to delineate the correlation between slope to basinal cycles and the deposited sediment on the Eastern Shelf.

**TOC Preservation and Reservoir Quality**

TOC is facies-dependent in Wolfcampian mudrock succession. Higher TOC tends to appear in argillaceous-dominated mudstone lithofacies such as argillaceous calcareous mudstone (Figure 4-25), and the highest TOC (N = 48) appears in argillaceous mudstone (Figure 4-26). Despite disturbance from periodic gravity flow, TOC value increases with higher productivity rate, as indicated by higher Cu, Ni, or P value. Also, the abundance of TOC increases with increasing bottom-water anoxia, as indicated by higher Mo or U value. Stratigraphic intervals present in the study core are mostly in Lower Wolfcampian (Figure 3-1). During early Wolfcampian, the final episodes of Ouachita orogeny created uplifted sediment source areas to the east and south of the Permian Basin (Ross, 1986).
This is confirmed by isopach map during Wolfcampian age by Hamlin and Baumgardner, 2012. The Wolfcamp thickens into the southeast Midland Basin, where the study area is located, as a result of large sediment influx (Hamlin and Baumgardner, 2012; Dr. H. Scott Hamlin at BEG, personal communication). Sediment influx during early Wolfcampian explains the relatively low TOC value in the study area compare to previous studies at the basin center (e.g., Baumgardner et al., 2016). But more data are needed to calculate sedimentation rate in each intervals in the early Wolfcampian. Ti/Al is not able to detect the relation between TOC and sediment influx. This is probably because the limitations in sample selection. More samples that cover different kinds of mudrock lithofacies are recommended to be investigated.

Siderite-coated sandstone is considered to be an important reservoir target in the Wolfcampian succession. Although siderite has commonly been recognized as authigenic mineral in freshwater environment, but many studies reported siderite in marine environment (e.g. Mozley, 1989; Dutton et al., 1996). According to Dutton et al., 1996, siderite grain coating was also found in Sonora Canyon sandstones (Wolfcampian) in the Val Verde Basin, which located the south of the study area. Siderite-cemented sandstone were considered as of the best reservoir quality (Dutton et al., 1996).
Conclusions

As a mixed siliciclastic and carbonate interval, the Wolfcampian unconventional succession in the southeast Midland Basin reveals great heterogeneity. Lithofacies change rapidly, from a scale of feet to inches. Based on the integration of petrographic observation and XRD data analysis, seven lithofacies in the Wolfcampian succession were defined based on sedimentary features, grain size, texture, mineral composition, and bioclast. The lithofacies include: (1) sandy siltstone, (2) argillaceous mudstone, and (3) very fine to fine sandstone as siliciclastic-dominated lithofacies; and (4) massive to weakly laminated calcareous mudstone, (5) Laminated calcareous mudstone, (6) mud-dominated bioclastic packstone/rudstone, and (7) grain-dominated bioclastic packstone-grainstone/rudstone as calcareous-dominated lithofacies. The Wolfcamp succession reveals a complex diagenetic history, including compaction, calcite and silica cementation, siderite grain coating, and dissolution.

Depositional processes include hemipelagic settling, diluted turbidity flow, turbidity flow, debris flow, and hyperconcentrated density flow deposits in a deep-water, dysoxic to anoxic paleooceanographic condition. Through integration of petrographic observation and geochemical data, high-frequency cyclicity, which indirectly records evidence of high-frequency sea-level change, is observed in meter scale. A typical cycle consists of a relatively carbonate-rich chemofacies overlain by a relatively siliciclastic-rich chemofacies.
Primary pores in sandstone and carbonate rocks are rarely preserved because of significant compaction and later cementation. However, siderite grain-coating inhibits further quartz cementation of primary pore space, making the siderite-coated sandstone a potential reservoir target. Also, secondary porosity created by dissolution in both siliciclastic and calcareous-dominated intervals is of great importance for Wolfcamp reservoir potential. Mudstone facies show mostly interparticle porosity between clay minerals. Intraparticle pores and organic pores are less present. Siderite-coated sandstone is considered to have the best reservoir potential since it shows good porosity and permeability value, and is regionally continuous. The Wolfcamp mudrock succession is fairly organic rich. Based on SEM observation, organic matter is commonly present in the mudstone facies, which is fair to good in organic-matter richness (Peters, 1986). TOC varies from 0.8 wt. % to 2.6 wt. %, with an average of 1.4 % (N = 48). The enrichment of organic matter is influenced by primary productivity, bottom water anoxia, and sediment influx.
Appendix: Wolfcampian Core Description

Legend:

**Lithologies**
- Limestone
- Sandstone
- Siltstone
- Mudstone

**Admixture**
- Sandy
- Calcareous
- Shaly

**Grain Type**
- Skeletal

**Contacts**
- Straight
- Gradational
- Erosional
- Erosional Lag

**Structure**
- Planar Laminated
- Faintly Laminated
- Cross Laminated
- Brecciated
- Slumped

**Sedimentary Structures**
- Convolute Lamination
- Fining Upward
- Flame Structure
- Planar Cross Lamination
- Planar Lamination
- Ripple Lamination
- Load Structure
- Wavy Lamination
- Faint Lamination

**Accessories**
- Nodules
- Shale Clasts
- Mud Stripes
- Py
- Pyrite

**Fossils**
- Bivalves
- Foraminifera General
- Foraminifera Larger
- Molluscs
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Depth (m)</th>
<th>Core Description Profile</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7660</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7670</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7680</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7690</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Depth (Feet)</td>
<td>Core Description Profile</td>
<td>Laminated calcareous mudstone with thick lamination, 3mm to 2.5cm.</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7740</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7770</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7780</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7790</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7810</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7820</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7840</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7880</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7890</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7910</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7930</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7960</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7970</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7980</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7990</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8040</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8070</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8090</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8280</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8340</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8390</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (ft)</td>
<td>Core Description</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>RES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8390</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8410</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8810</td>
<td>~</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8890</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8910</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8911</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Massive calcareous mudstone**
- **Laminated calcareous mudstone**
- **Mud-dominated bioclastic packstone/rudstone**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>Core Description</th>
<th>GR</th>
<th>RES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7700</td>
<td></td>
<td>7694</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7720</td>
<td></td>
<td>7714</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7740</td>
<td></td>
<td>7734</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7760</td>
<td></td>
<td>7754</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7780</td>
<td></td>
<td>7774</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (ft)</td>
<td>Core Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7070</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Annotations:**
- Yellow: Fine to very fine sandstone
- Brown: Sandy siliceous mudstone
- Grey: Argillaceous mudstone

**Graphs:**
- GR
- SON
Inclined low angle mud stripes

Soft sediment deformation
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