

HHY00534803-00003 Return To IRON MOUNTAIN Ξ

H NEXT DAY HH254 60654804-000049 FC 1001 FANNIN STREET FLOOR 2240 STREET HOUSTON, TX 77002-6760 RECORDS, MGMT RECORDS MGMT Z20865766074 0865766074 3:00pm CST R2 B06157018 SR

| | **)** • • • •

RICARDO GUERRA VOL XIX V.D. 69 DIB Vol. KIX Ana Petty pp. 3452 - 3517

		69081
1	CAUSE NO. 359,80	
2	THE STATE OF TEXAS	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
3	VS.	OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
4	RICARDO ALDAPE GUERRA	248TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	VOLUME XIX STATEMENT OF FAC	TS
13	VOIR DIRE EXAMINAT CONTINUED	
14	OCTOBER 1, 198	2
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		_
22		FELSED JAN OF CRIMINAL APPEALS
23	ร กามสา	CF CAINTINT ALL ALLEN
24		050 12 1200 Clork
28	T	CEO 12 1283 Domas Lowe, Clerk 3438

-

1	INDEX	
2		Page
3	VOLUME XIX	
4	October 1, 1982	
5	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION, CONTINUED	
6	NEW JURY PANEL EXAMINED BY THE COURT	3439
7	Ana Petty	
8	Examination by the State (Moen)	3452
9	Examination by the Defense (Elizondo)	3495
10	Constance Elaine Whiteford	
11	Examination by the State (Moen)	3518
12	Examination by the Defense (Elizondo)	3555
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

•

•

		1
1	I N D E X	
2		Page
3	VOLUME XIX	
4	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION, CONTINUED	
5	Ana Petty	
6	Examination by the State (Moen)	3 4 5 2
7	Examination by the Defense (Elizondo)	3495
8	Constance Elaine Whiteford	
9	Examination by the State (Moen)	3518
10	Examination by the Defense (Elizondo)	3555
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
1 9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

and the second se

÷. {

.- . -

(At this time a panel of four 1 prospective jurors were seated in the courtroom, 2 after which the following proceedings were had.) 3 THE COURT: As I call your names, please 4 raise your hand. 5 Rosalyn Penrice, Ana Petty, Jewel 6 Compton, Constance Whiteford. 7 Are y'all ready? 8 MR. ELIZONDO: Ready, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies. 10 My name is Henry Oncken. I am the judge of the 248th 11 District Court, and what we are in the process of 12 doing is selecting a jury to try a capital case, and 13 that means that the State is seeking the death 14 penalty as punishment for this particular Defendant. 15 The Defendant is charged with the 16 offense of capital murder. He is Mr. Ricardo 17 Aldape Guerra, seated down at the end of the table 18 in the black and white shirt, and the lady next to 19 him, speaking with him, is Linda Hernandez, who is 20 an interpreter. She is telling him in Spanish 21 everything that we say in English. 22 He is represented by Mr. Candelario 23

Elizondo and by another attorney by the name of Joe Hernandez, who is no kin to Ms. Hernandez and

24

25

will be here shortly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Prosecution is represented by Mr. Bob Moen --

MR. MOEN: Hi.

THE COURT: -- and Mr. Dick Bax.

MR. BAX: Good morning.

THE COURT: The lady in front of us is Ms. Cindy Layne, and she is a court reporter and she is taking every word we say in this courtroom, so when you get your turn to be in this chair right in front of me here, please remember she must take down everything you say and she cannot record the nod of the head or whatever, so if you will, just respond to the questions, please.

I want you to relax and realize you are in a strange setting and not familiar with what is going on, but believe me when I tell you we are not going to try to embarrass you or do anything like that. We need to visit with you concerning your qualifications as a juror in this particular case.

Now, during the time I am speaking with you, I need to have you think about how you feel concerning capital punishment; that is, sentencing someone to die for a crime that was committed,

because that is the nature of this proceeding.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The State will be putting on evidence and seeking, at the close of that evidence, to find the Defendant guilty. Obviously, the Defense will be seeking a not guilty verdict.

If the jury convicts this Defendant of the offense of capital murder, Mr. Bax and Mr. Moen will be asking that jury to sentence this Defendant to die, so I need for you to think about how you feel, about that and whether or not you could participate in that particular proceeding.

Let me give you a very brief overview of the case. I cannot go into the facts of it, but I can tell you, generally, what it is about.

It is alleged that on July the 13th of 1982, Mr. Guerra took the life of one James D. Harris, a Houston Police officer, while Officer Harris was in the performance of his duties as a police officer, by shooting him three times in the face.

It was also, just about a minute or so or a minute and a half later, that a citizen who had two small children in the car was killed, and then about an hour later, after the Houston Police Department had received information, they went to

a location to try to arrest some individuals, and another Houston Police officer was shot five times.

He returned the fire of the individual who shot him and killed that individual.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Ų.:.

Now, with the brief statement of the facts that I have given you, do any of you recognize, or do you know anything about this particular case, heard anything about it on the radio, television, or read it in the newspapers or anything?

(Several prospective jurors nodded their heads in assent.)

THE COURT: Several of you indicate you have heard something about it. Let me ask you simply: Have you made up your minds concerning the guilt of anyone involved in this case?

> THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

THE COURT: Would you be able to, if you are chosen as a juror in this case, would you be able to listen and sit and listen to the evidence and base your verdict on what you hear 20 in the courtroom and not what you read or saw in 21 the media? 22

Of course, it is not wrong for you to 23have read anything about it. It is sort of hard 24 for you to be in the city of Houston and not hear 25

something about the case, but it would be wrong if you formed an opinion as to the guilt of anyone connected with it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, the lawyers are going to visit with you in great detail after I finish my remarks to you.

I want to tell you generally things that are applicable to all criminal cases and point out a few things that are specific to a capital murder case.

First of all, any person charged with a criminal offense is presumed to be innocent until his guilt is established to a legal standard of beyond a reasonable doubt, so as Mr. Guerra sits here today, he is presumed under the law to be innocent, and it is up to the State, since they brought the charges against him, to prove his guilt. It is not up to Mr. Guerra or his attorneys to prove anything to you. They don't have to ask any questions of any witnesses. They don't have to put any testimony on. Mr. Guerra doesn't have to take the witness stand and deny he did this offense. The State has the burden of proof, and if they rest and you are not convinced that Mr. Guerra committed this offense, that is sufficient.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They do not need to put on any evidence.

The burden of proof, as I have already stated, will stress again, is upon the State. They have that burden of proof to prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now, there will be many terms defined for the jury in a written instrument technically called a charge that I will give to the jury at the close of all the evidence, and that charge contains all of the law that is applicable to this particular case. I can't tell you everything that is going to be put in it. I have to listen to the evidence first to see what issues are raised, but there are some things that are in a charge that are standard to every case.

One of those is the presumption of innocence that I have told you about, and the terms that are defined will be set out specifically for you, but I will not define for the jury what the term beyond a reasonable doubt means. I can only define those terms that the legislature tells me a meaning for, and they have told me what beyond a reasonable doubt means. To me, it means common sense, and that if you are convinced after hearing all the evidence that you hear that he

committed the offense, then you find him guilty. If you are not convinced, you find him not guilty, but it will not be defined for you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The first thing the jury will hear, after we start the case, I will ask the State to present the indictment to the jury. The State will stand up and read an instrument. It is a legal document and it is called an indictment. It is a legal and formal method by which this case gets to trial in this court.

I will tell the jury in the charge at the close of the case that that indictment, that legal instrument, is absolutely no evidence of guilt. It is a legal pleading and it's not to be considered as evidence for any reason. It is the same thing as if you were injured in an automobile accident and you filed a lawsuit across the street for damages. You set down in writing what you are seeking to prove and then you would have to go into that courtroom and prove it. It is the same principle here.

The State has brought those charges, and that instrument contains those charges and they have to prove them.

Because the State has the burden of

proof, they get to go first. They will talk to you first as a juror and they will put on evidence first. They have the right to open and close the arguments, so they get to go first. That is because they have that burden of proof, and for no other reason.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The jury, in any criminal case, is on an equal footing with the judge in that case. We have separate but equal functions.

The judge -- and in this case, it will be me -- rules upon objections, rules upon the admissibility of the evidence, and gives you the law, but the jury decides the facts. No judge has any -- when there is a jury involved in the case -has any function in deciding facts in a case. That is the jury's function.

You have the duty, the power, to believe all of, part of, or anything of what any witness tells you. You sit there and you decide whether you believe it or not. I have nothing to do with that.

During the course of the trial, the jury cannot ask questions. You can at this point, if you are up here being examined by these lawyers and have a question you want answered. You are

perfectly free to ask that question, but once the jury is chosen and the evidence begins, the jury cannot examine a witness or cross-examine a witness or anything of that nature.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

 $\mathbf{24}$

25

Now, a trial, a criminal trial, is divided into two portions. The first portion of the trial is to determine the guilt or innocence of the Defendant charged with that crime, and that is the only issue at the first part of the trial is is he guilty or is he not guilty, and then and only then, then if the jury finds the Defendant guilty, and only if they find him guilty, is there a second part of the trial.

Bear in mind there are two separate trials, all involving the same Defendant and the same jury. It is two separate trials actually.

First, to determine the guilt or innocence, you hear facts about the event which occurred, and you decide whether or not the Defendant who is charged with that crime committed that crime and caused that event to have occurred.

If you find that he did, there is a second phase of the trial and you decide what punishment should be set.

If we were trying a murder case as

opposed to a capital murder case, the jury would be given a charge on the punishment stage that would tell you that you are to assess the punishment of the Defendant at any term of years not less than five nor more than ninety-nine or life in the penitentiary, and if you chose to do so, you could in addition to any penitentiary time you gave that Defendant, you could give him a fine, so you could go back there and you could say, "Okay. We have heard all the evidence, and we have decided 10 this individual ought to get fifteen years for the crime he's committed, and you can tell me that, and I would sentence him to that."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

19

22

23

24

25

Now, in a capital murder case, it is a 14 little bit different. On the punishment phase of 15 the trial, the jury answers two questions, and if 16 we might turn those two questions around, instead 17 of having them facing the way we have them --18

Mr. Moen, good morning.

Would you turn the board around so they 20 can read the questions, please, sir? 21

The jury in a capital case goes back and considers those two questions in light of all of the evidence that you have heard concerning the case and the Defendant.

I will give you just a second to look at those questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. The jury goes back and they either write yes or no to those questions. A yes answer to both of those questions will tell me that you assess the punishment of this Defendant at death.

If you come back and answer one of those questions yes and one of those questions no, that will tell me under the law to assess the punishment of the Defendant at life in the penitentiary. Those are the only two possible punishments if the jury finds the Defendant guilty of capital murder: death or life.

Are there any questions that you have of me at this point?

All right. Normally in another type of case, we bring over a whole bunch of folks, thirty-six or forty people, and they are seated out there on the benches and we talk to all of them at the same time. It takes two or three hours to pick a jury, and we hear the case.

We have been at this process now five weeks trying to select a jury in this case. In a capital case, it is done on an individual basis.

I bring over -- today, four jurors, and normally, we bring over six, and we go through this little procedure and then bring you out one at a time to visit with you about the situation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These lawyers, as I said earlier, are going to ask you a bunch of questions. I want you to understand they are not meant to be personal They are not trying to pry into your personal affairs, but we are in the process of a very serious proceeding and they have the right to know how you feel about certain things.

They are not going to argue with you about how you feel about anything. If you don't agree with death as a possible punishment for the commission of a crime, please say that.

I would ask that you take a position on that and not be wishy-washy concerning your views on capital punishment. You either believe in it or you don't. You can either return a verdict that would result in death or you cannot.

If you can't, that is fine, but please -- they can't deal with a maybe or I think so, that type of thing. They must have yes or no answers to that question.

All right. Are there any other questions

now?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

All right. I am going to ask that Ms. Penrice come up and have this chair, please, and Ms. Petty, Ms. Compton, and Ms. Whiteford, go to the jury room, please.

(There was a discussion before the bench.)

THE COURT: All right. Pursuant to a request by the State for a shuffle in this matter, I am going to allow Mr. Moen to choose the order in picking.

Ms. Penrice, we have reshuffled, and Ms. Petty will be the first juror to be examined, so bring out Ms. Petty, please.

The order is: Ana Petty, Constance Whiteford, Rosalyn Penrice, and Jewel Compton.

Just to explain what happened, we had a request to have the panel reshuffled, and so we redrew the names and you came out first.

MS. PETTY: Okay.

THE COURT: Just have a seat and relax and visit with us a little bit.

24

25

1	ANA PETTY,
2	was called as a prospective juror and responded to
3	questions propounded as follows:
4	
5	EXAMINATION
6	
7	QUESTIONS BY MR. MOEN:
8	0. Mrs is it Miss or Mrs. Petty?
9	A. Mrs.
10	Q. Mrs. Petty, my name is Bob Moen. I am with the
11	District Attorney's Office here in Houston.
12	Seated behind me is a fellow named
13	Dick Bax, also with the District Attorney's Office,
14	and together, Mr. Bax and myself will be
15	representing the family of J. D. Harris in a case
16	styled the State of Texas versus Ricardo Aldape
17	Guerra.
18	As the Court told you, he is charged
19	with having killed a Houston Police officer back on
20	July 13th in Houston, Texas. The offense is
21	alleged to have taken place at Edgewood and Walker
22	streets, not far from the center of town, not more
23	than five or six miles. It is east as you leave
24	the downtown area.
25	The main thoroughfare, as you leave this

1 . . .

1

f : s

.

intersection, is Harrisburg and Dumble.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

25

It is also alleged that out of this , occurrence, another man who was with his two-yearold daughter and ten-year-old son was about seventy feet from the scene when Officer Harris was shot, and that the suspect shot him in the head and killed him a few seconds after killing Officer Harris, and then about an hour and a half, I guess, or so later, when the police were looking for the suspects, another suspect shot an officer five times and was subsequently shot to death by the officer. He shot first.

Is there anything about my general
description of that case which rings a bell in
your mind about anything you may have heard?
A. I remember hearing about it.

17 Q. Newspaper or on television or maybe both?
18 A. Television or reading.

19 Q. Okay. As the judge said, and it is true, there is
20 nothing wrong with having read or heard about a
21 case. The only reason we ask in the first place
22 is to see if the jurors have formed opinions or
23 conclusions based on what they have read or heard.
24 A. Uh-huh.

Q. I take it from your response to the judge you

haven't formed an opinion one way or another about whether this man is innocent?

A. I haven't read enough to form an opinion.
Q. I need to ask you questions for the next twenty or thirty minutes or so, and I guess I should explain to you why.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

{

In a capital murder case like this where the only possible punishments a man can receive are a life sentence or the death penalty, we need to make sure how the jurors feel about some of the things we have to ask about, some of the aspects of the law we have to ask about.

13 There are no right or wrong answers.14 A. Okay.

Q. Because you feel one way or another about the death penalty or any aspect of the law we are going to talk about this morning doesn't mean Ms.
Petty is any more or less a citizen than anyone else who finds herself or himself in the chair you are seated in this morning.

Do you follow me on that? Do you follow me on that? A. Yes. Q. If you become a juror in this case, it will be because you can do what is expected of you without violating any of your personal beliefs, religious

convictions, et cetera.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

24

25

We don't make people serve as jurors on a capital murder case. No one is required to do that as a citizen, but the only way we know if people can be jurors on a capital murder case is if they tell us how they feel.

Some people tell us, "Mr. Moen, I am opposed to the death penalty, by either my religious beliefs or personal beliefs, and I could probably be a good juror on any other case, but I couldn't be a juror and return a verdict that would result in someone being put to death. I could not do that myself. My personal beliefs or religious beliefs would not let me do that."

Other jurors say, "Mr. Moen, I have 15 believed in the death penalty all my life, and I 16 can give the death penalty." That is fine for 17 someone to be either opposed or for the death 18 penalty. That is fine. We are not here to change 19 your mind or debate with you or try to talk you 20 into something, because you are the person who 21 will have to make a choice. 22

Only if Ms. Petty can do it, and not because anyone will demand it of you.

Do you follow me on that?

a maria and a state a s

· · · · · · · · · · · ·

1

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	The only thing we ask you is that you give the
3		most honest and frank responses to the questions
4		we ask so we know exactly how you do feel.
5		Now, keeping that in mind, can you tell
6		me what your personal beliefs and opinions are
7		concerning the death penalty? Would they allow
8		Ms. Petty to be a juror on a capital murder case
9		and to return a verdict that would result in
10		someone being put to death, or would your personal
11		beliefs and convictions not allow you to be a
12		juror on such a case?
13	Α.	I think it is not used enough. I think a lot of
14		people go free that shouldn't go free. They get
15		life and they shouldn't get life. They should be
16		put to death.
17	Q.	I take it from what you are telling me, you are in
18		favor of the death penalty for people who commit
19		certain crimes?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	Is that pretty much the way you have felt all your
22		life?
23	А.	Definitely.
24	Q.	Is that the result of either your well, the
25		things that you have read or discussions you have
		3456
11		

1		had with friends or things you might have heard
2		on television, or is that pretty much the way your
3		mother and father felt when they raised you as
4		well?
5	A.	I think my only personal opinion over the years
6		has been reading about different crimes committed
7		and sentences they got, and I didn't think some
8		were fair. Some were not right.
9	Q.	
10		Do you feel like some of these people who committed
11		some of these crimes where they take another's life, it is fair them h
12	A.	life, it is fair they be put to death themselves?
13		I don't think anyone has the right to take anyone's life.
14	Q.	
15	Z ¹	Let me explain to you what type of crimes in our
16		state a person can be punished by the death penalty for. Okay?
17		
18		Our legislature has said that if a man
		or woman takes a person's life while they are
19		breaking into their home
20	A.	Uh-huh.
21	Q.	to break in and steal from another man's home,
22		to burglarize that is what that offense is
23		to break into a man's home, while you are doing
24		that, if you take anything from a person's house
25		while murdering, that is capital murder.

-

1 For a rapist to abduct a woman, to rape her and take her life; for the kidnapper to kill 2 a kidnap victim; for a robber to kill his robbery 3 victim; for an arsonist to put someone to death, 4 those are capital murders, murders that take place 5 during the course of those crimes. 6 7 The legislature has also said if someone has killed for money --8 A. Uh-huh. 9 -- or if a police officer or fireman is killed, Q. 10 someone sets a fire and the fireman arrives to put 11 the fire out and his idea of having a good time 12 is to wait in the bushes and shoot one of the 13 firemen, kill one of the firemen, that is his idea 14 of fun for whatever reason, that is capital murder; 15 and also, if a convict, someone who is confined 16 in one of our penal institutions, Texas Department 17 of Corrections, if he kills an employee of the 18 penal institution, someone we ask to work there, 19 whether it be medical personnel, a librarian, let's 20 say, or a guard, anyone we ask to work at the 21 penal institution to keep things running for us, 22 if a convict kills one of those individuals, that 23 is capital murder, or if a convict kills anyone 24during the course of an escape, either attempting 25

3458

.

to escape or after he escapes if he causes a death, 1 2 that is capital murder as well. 3 Do those strike you, Ms. Petty, as being the type of crimes where the death penalty would 4 5 be the proper type of punishment for someone who did one of those murders? 6 7 A. Yes. Uh-huh. All other murders fall within the other range of 8 Q. 9 punishment. 10 For someone just to take another person's life -- if I were to walk up to Cindy Layne, our 11 court reporter, and for no reason whatsoever, with 12 a loaded pistol, empty my pistol into her body 13 until she is dead, horrible example, that is an 14 example of murder, but it is not capital murder. 15 If I were to couple that murder with 16 attempting to rob her, stealing her purse, or say, 17 "Cindy, give me your purse," and I go and take my 18 pistol and kill her, that would be capital murder, 19 but just to intentionally take another person's 20 life is not capital murder. It is murder, and for 21 the offense of murder, the range of punishment is 22 five to ninety-nine years or life. 23 Do you follow me on the difference 24 between murder and capital murder? 25

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. Now, the way that someone receives the
3		death penalty or the life sentence, which are the
4		only two possible punishments you can get when you
5		are convicted of capital murder, is by the jury
6		answering these two questions that appear over here
7		to my left.
8	Α.	Uh-huh.
9	Q.	If the jury answers both questions yes, the man
10		on trial receives the death penalty.
11		If the jury answers one of the questions
12		no, the man on trial receives a life sentence
13		rather than the death penalty.
14		Two yes answers, he receives the death
15	•	penalty; one no answer and he receives the life
16		sentence rather than the death penalty.
17		Do you follow me on the difference, what
18		happens if the questions are answered either yes
19		or no?
20	A.	Yes. Uh-huh.
21	Q.	Before the jurors can answer any question yes,
22		all twelve jurors have to unanimously agree that
23		is what their answer should be, so twelve jurors
24		have to agree to answer a question yes, but only
25		ten jurors have to agree to answer either one of
		3460

: : (

·· •• •• •• •• ••

1		the questions no. There is a slight distinction
2		there: ten jurors in agreement for a no answer
3		and twelve jurors in agreement for a yes answer.
4		Do you follow me on that difference?
5	A.	Yes, sir.
6	Q.	If you have any questions at any time, I am going
7		to be doing most of the talking for the next twenty-
8		five or thirty minutes, and if you have any
9		questions at all, though, don't hesitate to stop
10		me and ask me. Okay?
11	A.	Uh-huh.
12	Q.	So we can clear up any questions you might have.
13		After you get selected on the jury, if
14		you do, we can't talk to you again. The law
15		forbids us to talk. They would say, "She struck
16		up a friendship with Bob Moen, one of the lawyers
17		on the case," so we can't answer any questions
18		later. So if you have any now, don't hesitate to
19		ask.
20	A.	I have one question. When you gave an example of
21		shooting her, what is the difference? She is dead
22		either way, whether it is capital murder or murder.
23	Q.	You are right, but, you see, capital murder is
24		either to kill under one of the circumstances I
25		have mentioned, as a convict, or to kill a police
		3461

.

 a summarial a state a summaria a sum a s sum a sum sum a sum a

.

10101

• •

· · · • • · ·

1	officer or fireman, or capital murder is to take
2	a life during the course of one of those five
3	crimes we have talked about.
4	A. More of a deliberate crime?
5	Q. Well, it's actually capital murder is made up
6	of two elements, a murder taking place during the
7	course of the commission of another crime.
8	A. I see. I understand.
9	Q. If somone just takes another human being's life,
10	it is murder but not capital murder. If someone
11	takes another human being's life and takes that
12	life during the course of committing a robbery or
13	a burglary or a rape, say a man goes into a
14	convenience store and he tells the lady, "Give me
15	all the money," the lady who is working as the
16	cashier, and she does, she is frightened as anyone
17	would be, and turns the money over to him, and
18	after he gets the money, he kills her, thinks she's
19	the only witness, so he fires twice into her body
20	and she dies and unbeknownst to him the police are
21	waiting outside because she had stepped on some
22	type of an alarm and they catch him, that is
23	murder that takes place during the course of a
24	robbery. Therefore, it is not murder but
25	capital murder.

ł

1	A.	Okay. I understand the difference now.	
2	Ø	Just to commit murder, that is a separate offense	
3		all by itself.	
4	A.	Okay.	
5	Q	Now, the way that of course, the difference is	
6		if you commit the offense of murder, the punishment	
7		range is five years to ninety-nine years or a	
8		life sentence, the possibility of a life sentence	
9		in the Texas Department of Corrections, but if you	
10		are found guilty of capital murder, you can only	
11		receive a life sentence or the death penalty. Those	
12		are the only two possible punishments a man can	
13		receive for having killed someone in a capital	
14		murder case.	
15		Now, the way those punishments are	
16		assessed is not by the jury going back and saying	
17		should we give this man we found guilty of capital	
18		murder a life sentence or the death penalty and	
19		then discussing it among themselves.	
20		Instead, what the jury does is they	
21		listen to all the evidence and they decide what	
22		their answers to these two questions should be,	
23		and then by their answers to these questions, the	

Defendant will either receive the life sentence or the death penalty.

24

25

Do you follow me on how that works? 1 2 Α. Yes. At the very first stage of the trial, the jurors Q. 3 come out and they get in their chairs and all 4 they hear is evidence about whether or not the man 5 is guilty or not guilty. That is the first phase 6 of the trial. You will hear evidence about whether 7 or not he did what he is accused of, and then you 8 will go back, and along with the other jurors, you 9 will make the first decision you have to make in 10 the case: Was the man guilty or not guilty. 11 If you decide, along with the other 12 jurors, that the man on trial was guilty, you will 13 tell the judge that and then we will start into 14 the second phase of the trial. 15 Now, in the second phase of the trial, 16 in addition to all the evidence you have already 17 heard, you can hear additional evidence to help 18 you decide what your answers to these questions 19 should be. 20 You see, at the second phase of the 21 trial, you can learn about the man on trial. 22 Has he been convicted of any crimes in his past; has 23 he committed any other crimes of violence? 24 You see, only at the second phase of 25 3464

		1
1		the trial can you learn about that type of
2		information. Then you take everything that you
3		have heard and you go back to the jury room and
4		you decide what your answers to these questions
5		should be.
6	A.	I see.
7	Q.	And then, of course, as I mentioned earlier, if
8		the questions are answered yes, the man will
9		receive the death penalty. If either one of the
10		questions is answered no, he will receive the
11		life sentence rather than the death penalty.
12		Okay. Have you had a chance to go over
13		these questions, you know, to read them to
14		yourself?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	Okay. Let me direct your attention to this
1 7		first question here, and I want to go over this
18		first question with you.
19		The first question asks you to make a
20		determination about the conduct of the man on
21		trial that you, as a juror, would have found guilty
22		of capital murder.
23		You see, these questions only come into
24		play if the jury finds someone guilty. Then they
25		will come out and take their chairs and decide
		3465

· · · ·

what his punishment ought to be, but only after a guilty verdict is returned, the jury decides about the conduct of a man on trial: Was the conduct of the man on trial that caused the death of the deceased, was it deliberately done -- it is a two-part question -- and was it done with a reasonable expectation the deceased would die?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 i

9

10

11

12

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me give you a common sense example of how that question applies in a hypothetical case, in a make-believe case.

We have already talked about the situation where the man goes into the convenience store and confronts the cashier, takes the money 13 and kills her and then he is caught by the police as he runs outside. That man has committed murder 15 during the course of a robbery. Therefore, he has 16 committed, not just murder and robbery, but he has committed capital murder.

After the jury finds the man guilty, they would then have to decide what their answers to these questions should be.

Now, the first question would ask them: Was the conduct of that man that caused the death of the cashier, the firing of the bullets from his gun into her body, was that deliberate conduct, and is it reasonable to expect that when you fire a loaded gun into someone's chest and head area, that that person is going to die from being shot with a bullet in the head or chest? Is that reasonable to expect that someone, a human being, will die from being shot in the head with a loaded gun?

8 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

A.

Q Do you see how that question is a kind of common sense question based on what you have heard during the course of the trial?

You make a determination about what did the man do; were his actions that caused the death of the deceased, were they deliberate, on purpose, if you would. Did he mean it; was it intentional? Uh-huh.

17 Q. And were they done with the reasonable expectation
18 the deceased would die?

Do you see how this question is pretty much a common sense question based on what you have heard surrounding the facts of the case? Do you feel it is the type of question you could answer either yes or no depending upon the evidence you would hear?

A. I think so.

1	Q.	Okay. Do you have any questions of me about
2		Question 1? Is there anything in there confusing
3		to you at all that we can talk to you about or
4		clear up now?
5	Α.	No.
6	Q.	Question 2, let me get out of your way so you can
7		take a look at that question. That is a little
8		different. Question 2 would ask you to make a
9		determination about the person on trial.
10		Question 2 asks you about his conduct
11		was his conduct deliberate.
12	Α.	Uh-huh.
13	Q.	Question 2 asks you what kind of person is it. Is
14		he the type of person where there is a probability
15		that he would commit criminal acts of violence that
16		would constitute a continuing threat to society?
17		Is there a probability he would commit those types
18		of acts, criminal acts of violence, and would
19		those acts constitute a threat to society, you and
20		me and other people in society.
21		That is what society means. You will have
22		to use your own definition for the word probability
23		and for the word society. Okay?
24		The legislature drew these questions up,
25		and they didn't give us definitions we can give to
		3468

.....

1 I want to point that out to you now. you. 2 Later on, if you were deliberating on 3 these questions and were to ask the judge, "Judge, can you tell us what probability means, or what 4 5 society means," the judge would just have to tell you he couldn't answer the question, because you 6 7 will have to use, along with the other jurors, 8 your definitions of those words. Okay? 9 Α. All right. But the second question asks you to make a 10 Q. determination about the person on trial based on 11 everything you have heard about him, any other 12crimes he may have committed, the part that he 13 played in the death of the human being in this 14 case he has been found guilty of. What does that 15 tell you about the type of person he is? Is there 16 a probability he would commit criminal acts of 17 violence that would constitute a continuing threat 18

19 to society?

20

21

22

23

24

25

Before you could answer the second question yes, you don't have to believe there is a certainty the Defendant would do anything, and I think you realize why. No person, or the only person, I should say, I know of in the entire world that can tell us what any person will

certainly do in the future is God Almighty himself, and he won't be called as a witness on this case, and you, as a juror, aren't required to put yourself in the position of playing God.

You are asked to make the best judgment decision you can about what kind of person that you have found guilty of the offense of capital murder, what kind of person is he?

9 Criminal acts of violence is a phrase that includes all the criminal acts of violence, 10 whatever they may be, whether they be damage to 11 property, breaking into someone's home, rapes, 12robberies, kidnappings, murders, assaults, or any 13 type of criminal act of violence, theft of a 14 person, anything we can think of. Is there a 15 probability he, the Defendant, would engage in 16 those types of acts and would those acts 17 constitute a continuing threat to society. 18

19I hope I have managed to explain both20questions to you, but do you have any question21of me about either Question No. 1 or Question22No. 2?

23 A. No, I don't think so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q. Okay. Do you feel like those are -- or Question
No. 2 is a question you could answer, depending

upon the evidence you would hear in the case? A. I could answer that.

1

2

1

Not whether your answer would be yes or no; that 3 Q. is for you to decide after you have heard the 4 evidence, but do you feel like that is the type 5 of question Ms. Petty would be able to answer, 6 whether her answer would be yes or no, depending 7 upon the evidence you would hear at the trial? 8 9 A. Yes, I do.

10 Q. The only thing the judge will tell you now when
11 you are deliberating on those questions is that
12 you are not to consider how long the Defendant
13 would have to serve in the penitentiary if the
14 punishment assessed by the jury was the life
15 sentence rather than the death penalty.

The judge will tell you that how long the man would have to serve is within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Board of Pardons and Paroles, and jurors are not to discuss that.

20 Do you follow me on that?
21 A. Yes, sir.
22 Q. Let me talk to you about some of the obligations
23 that you have as a juror on a case like this. The
24 judge touched on them briefly, but I want to go
25 over them again with you.

I guess I should ask you: Have you been 1 2 a juror on a criminal case before? 3 A. No. 4 Q.

Let me spend more time than I would with someone whose just gotten off of jury service a few months ago.

5

6

7

We have the presumption of innocence. The Defendant is presumed to be innocent in this 8 case. Now, that is a legal presumption, and I 9 think you realize from your own common sense, that 10 doesn't mean the Defendant is not guilty. I think 11 you realize someone caught committing a crime is 12 just as guilty the day he is caught as he is the 13 day he is called to answer to a jury for having 14 done this crime, but all the law says is, "Ms. 15 Petty, you don't know what happened on July 13th; 16 you weren't there, and were not a witness -- if 17 you were, you couldn't be on the jury anyway --18 but you were not there; you don't know what 19 happened; and you should base your decision on 20 what you hear from the witness stand and don't go 21 into the proceedings believing the person is guilty 22 of the crime, but wait until you hear the 23 evidence and you decide what happened on July 24 13th." 25

1 Do you feel you are the type of person 2 who could do that? 3 A. I think so. Now, the judge will tell you in the event the 4 Q. Defendant doesn't testify -- now, I anticipate 5 the Defendant will testify in this trial. 6 I anticipate he is going to deny he did this crime, 7 but I am talking in hypothetical terms. 8 9 In the event the Defendant does not testify, the judge will charge you that where a 10 Defendant does not testify at his criminal trial, 11 the jurors are not to consider a Defendant's 12failure to testify as any evidence of his guilt. 13 In other words, jurors don't base their verdict 14 on silence. They base it on what they have heard 15 from the witness stand. 16 Now, you, as a juror, might want to hear 17 from the Defendant. That is a natural enough 18 reaction. 19 I see you are a mother and you have a 20 child, and I am sure when your child comes home 21 and tells you, "Mommy, Johnny jumped on me," you 22 are the type of person who wants to get both 23 sides of the story, and whether you are involved 24 in business or family life, you would want to get 25

as much input as you can.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

But, unfortunately, in a criminal trial, the Defendant doesn't have to testify unless he wants to. He can, but he can also remain silent, and if he is silent, the judge will say, "Base your verdict on what you have heard and don't base your verdict on whether you didn't hear from him."

Do you feel you can base your verdict on what the witnesses tell you rather than what you hear from the Defendant?

Now, there is nothing wrong with wanting to hear from him, nothing wrong with wanting to know why he didn't testify, but you are not to find someone guilty or innocent because of their silence. You should base your verdict on what you have heard if you feel you could do that.

Do you feel you are the type of personwho could do that?

19 A. I think I could if I had enough facts.

20 0. That is up to the Defendant. He could get on the
21 stand if he wants. That is up to him. He doesn't
22 have to. That is up to him, too. If he decides
23 at a trial, even where he is accused of a serious
24 crime like capital murder, or whether it be any
25 crime, rape or kidnapping or any serious crime we

can think of, that is strictly up to the Defendant. If he wants to get on the stand and tell the jury why they should find him not guilty, he can, but if he prefers to remain silent rather than get on the stand during a trial, he can do that. That is up to him.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Now, the judge will tell you that the Grand Jury indictment -- and I don't know whether you know what an indictment is or not -- it is not necessary that you do, but it is just a piece of paper that allows us to start this trial, and he will tell you you are not to consider that piece of paper as evidence of anything. You will not have a chance to look at it. That is the way we get started.

He will tell you not to consider the Grand Jury indictment as evidence of anything.

18 Do you feel like you could do that?
19 A. Yes, I do.

20 Q. Now, in a criminal case, the burden of proof -21 and that means -- see, in any criminal trial -22 I guess before you could start any type of -23 whether it be a football game or baseball game,
24 someone else has got to kick the baseball (sic)
25 first. Someone else has got to pitch.

The same thing applies in a criminal trial. One side has to go first. One side has the burden of getting started and proving what is alleged, and that burden is with Mr. Bax and myself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have the burden of proving to you and to the jury that this man is guilty of the facts, allegedly killing a police officer on July 13th of this year. We have that burden.

So you don't think a trial is a closed proceeding, I will tell you the Defense can call whatever witnesses they want. It doesn't cost them money. They just go to that lady, the clerk in our court, and tell her they want a witness, make a written request for the witness, and she will forward that request to the Sheriff's Department and they will mail that person a subpoena, and if that person doesn't come, the Sheriff will go and pick him up and bring him down. A trial is a wideopen proceeding. Both sides have the opportunity to prove or disprove what they want.

But only one side has the burden of proof, the burden of doing it, and that is Mr. Bax and myself. Before you could say by your verdict in this case or any other case that the man was guilty of the crime charged, you would

have to believe Mr. Bax and I had proven it to you beyond a reasonable doubt. That is the legal test. There is no definition for that phrase, but that is what the phrase is, beyond a reasonable doubt.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ئىر يە

1

i v Kaj So, before you could say guilty, you would have to believe beyond a reasonable doubt that it has been proven to you by the evidence, and before you could answer either one of the questions yes, you would have to believe beyond a reasonable doubt Mr. Bax and I have proven that to you as well. Okay?

Do you follow me on how that works? A. Yes.

Q So oftentimes we hear the phrase on the lawyer shows, we hear "beyond all doubt," or "shadow of a doubt." I know when I grew up as a kid, my parents hardly missed an episode of Perry Mason, and I wish I had a nickel for every time Mr. Burger said Mr. Mason had not proved his case beyond a doubt or beyond any doubt, and it worked perfect for Perry. It was a perfect phrase on television.

But here in our courts, we don't ask them to believe beyond all doubt or a shadow of

a doubt. We don't ask people who have based their 1 opinion, based it on what they have heard or read 2 about a crime to be jurors. We don't ask people 3 to believe beyond all doubt. We don't ask witnesses 4 who become jurors to believe beyond any doubt or 5 a shadow of a doubt. 6 We ask people to listen to what happened, 7 listen to witnesses who saw it take place, listen 8 as they tell you what happened, and if you believe 9 them, you have to believe it beyond a reasonable 10 doubt, not any doubt or all doubt, and that applies 11 to those Questions 1 and 2 as well. 12 Do you have any questions so far on 13 anything? 14 No. A. 15 Have I managed to confuse you on anything? Q. 16 Not so far. Α. 17 Give me a few more minutes. 18 That is what you do as a juror in a 19 criminal case. You have the ability to sit here 20 as a juror and listen to people who will sit in 21the same chair you are in now if you are a juror 22 on the case. Witnesses will sit in the same seat 23 you are in, take an oath like you did this morning 24 to answer all the questions truthfully. Witnesses 25 3478

will basically take the same oath, that they will swear to God to tell the truth before they testify. Jurors decide, however, in any criminal case how much of a witness' testimony they are going to believe, how much of a witness' testimony they are going to disbelieve, if any, even though the witness is under oath and has sworn to God to tell the truth. 8 9 It kind of sounds crazy, doesn't it, that you are going to disbelieve something a person

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

might have told you even though he was under oath and had sworn to tell the truth?

I think Ms. Petty knows from her common sense, like all of us do, we don't live in a perfect world. We don't live in a world where we can believe everything everyone tells us.

Not all people who live in this world are, unfortunately, people who can tell the truth, even though they have sworn to God they will do that.

That is why jurors have to decide at a criminal trial how much of a witness' testimony they are going to believe, how much they are going to disbelieve, and they reach a decision.

You can ask yourselves questions like

what bias, motive, or prejudice does that witness have for testifying the way he is or she is? What do they have to gain for testifying they way they are?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Those are the questions you can run over in your mind when listening to a witness testify to decide how much of a witness' testimony you are going to believe or disbelieve, and one of the jobs or important jobs of jurors is to resolve conflicts in testimony, conflicts such as where one person is saying someone did something and another person is saying they did not, the Defendant denying he committed the crime and witnesses saying that is the person who did it or perhaps a family member saying he couldn't have. He was over at our family barbecue, alibi defense.

Those are the type of decisions jurors make every day on cases down here at the courthouse. They listen to both sides of any story and decide who they are going to believe or disbelieve and reach their decision based on their feelings about the testimony. Okay?

Now, the only thing the judge will tell you in that regard is that you are not to give a witness more belief or less belief just

because of a witness' job.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

25

You see, someone who is a police officer or a fireman or a lawyer or a doctor or the favorite priest or minister at our church that we might attend, just because of a person's job, they are not entitled to any more or less belief than anyone else is solely because of their job.

Now, that is before a person testifies. 8 You see, if I were to tell you, "Ms. Petty, we 9 are going to have four witnesses testify in this 10 case, two doctors, a lawyer, and a homemaker," 11 the law would tell you in that regard that before 12 you hear any witness' testimony, you are not to 13 give a witness any more or less belief just because 14 of a witness' job. You wait until you hear the 15 witness testify and if their job enters into their 16 testimony, then it is perfectly all right for you 17 to consider their job, like a doctor testifying 18 about medical injuries, a police officer with 19 twenty-two years of experience testifying about 20 what his investigation told him. Then it would be 21 nuts for you not to consider their job, but that 22 is when a witness testifies. I am talking about 23before they testify. 24

Do you follow me on what the judge

tells you in that regard? 1 2 Α. Yes, sir. I want to talk to you about one final aspect of 3 Q. the law, and that is a term called -- well, rather 4 than tell you what the term is, it wouldn't make 5 any sense anyway, we talked about the range of 6 punishment for murder. 7 The only reason I mention that in the 8 first place is murder is a portion of the offense 9 of capital murder. 10 You see, someone could be charged with 11 the offense of capital murder and yet the jury 12 could find the person not guilty of capital murder 13 but guilty of the offense of murder instead, and 14 let me give you an example of how that would 15 occur in a hypothetical, make-believe case. 16 Take the case we have already talked 17 about with a cashier shot by a robber at the 18 convenience store, and let's change the facts 19 around a little bit. Let's say there were a 20 couple of witnesses in the convenience store rather 21 than just the robber and cashier. Let's say there 22 were a couple of witnesses present and these 23witnesses thought that the man robbed the cashier. 24 Now, they never saw her hand any money 25 3482

to him, but they just thought, "Why would that man come into a convenience store and kill a cashier if he wasn't stealing her money?" They thought it was a robbery case, robbery-murder, and he got indicted for capital murder.

Let's say the jury heard what really happened, it wasn't a robbery; yes, he killed her, but the reason he did it was not to steal money, but because he had been living with her a couple of years and had been having a violent argument about her leaving him to go with another fellow, and for the last two weeks, they had been really arguing, and he has a violent temper, and let's say they were arguing and he followed her to work and started the argument again and she told him to leave, get out of my life, and being the jealous, angry person he is, he brought the pistol out and shot her. That is not capital murder, but it certainly is murder.

You see, the jury in that case should probably say by their verdict that it is not capital murder, that is, a murder that took place during the course of a robbery, but he is guilty of murder.

Yes.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

.12

13

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α.

1	Q	You see where in a case where the Defendant might
2		be charged with capital murder, the verdict might
3		be murder? That depends on the evidence the jury
4		hears.
5		The range of punishment for murder is
6		all the way from five years as a minimum to ninety-
7		nine years or life as a maximum.
8	A.	I have a question.
9	Q.	Sure.
10	Α.	In the case, you said the woman was just murdered.
11	1	Do these two questions apply at all?
12	Ų.	They do not. They go back then and discuss among
13		themselves what term of years the man receives.
14		They decide if he should receive anywhere from five
15		years all the way up to ninety-nine years or life.
16		The only time these two questions come
17		into play is on a capital murder case.
18	A.	All right.
19	Q.	So, if the jury finds someone guilty of murder,
20		they go back and the judge will tell them the range
21		for murder is five to ninety-nine years or life.
2 2		The death penalty does not apply, and, ladies
23	i l	and gentlemen of the jury, decide among yourselves
24		what is the punishment for this man you find guilty
25		of murder. It is five to ninety-nine years or
		3484

life.

A. I see.

1

2

23

24

25

3 Q Now, in addition, I want to hit you with one more
 4 thing.

Have you ever heard the phrase "probation"?
Have you ever read it in the paper or talked about
it with your friends at the courthouse, that
somebody got probation, or read something in the
paper? Are you a little familiar with that term?
A. Yes.

Let me tell you how it applies in a felony case. Q. 11 You see, anyone convicted of a crime, if he can 12 prove he has never before been convicted of a 13 felony in the state of Texas or any other state 14 of the United States or a federal court of the 15 United States, and if he can prove he has never 16 been given probation for any type of felony in 17 Texas, or any state of the United States, or any 18 federal court of the United States, then he can 19 ask a jury to give him probation, no matter how 20 bad the crime is he may have committed. He can 21 ask a jury for probation. 22

The jury can laugh in his face and tell him, "No, we are not going to give it to you," or the jury can discuss among themselves and decide

if it is a proper case for probation, but here is how probation comes into play. Before the jury can ever consider giving someone probation, they have to, first of all, all twelve jurors have to unanimously agree that the proper punishment for the crime they found this man guilty of, whatever it was, he should receive no more than ten years in the penitentiary. They have to unanimously agree, the jurors do, that the range of punishment should be ten years or less.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You see, if the jurors hear a case where a man has committed a particularly horrible crime and they decide he deserved to spend thirty or forty years in the penitentiary, well, the question of probation never arises.

If the jury feels a man should go to the penitentiary for only ten years, they can consider probation. It is only where the jury feels it is a proper case for ten years or less; then the jury can discuss and consider among themselves whether or not they are going to recommend that the man receive probation.

You will ask yourselves: Is this a proper case for probation, and discuss it with the other jurors. Is this the type of case for

what happened in the case where the man deserves probation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

21

Say a seventeen-year-old joyrider takes a car, parks it at his buddy's, takes it without permission. He would have a right to ask for probation. Anyone who is a first offender and can prove he is a first offender has the right to ask for probation, and a jury decides is this a right case or not where we can consider recommending probation.

Of course, if the jury recommends it, he doesn't go to the penitentiary. He is released by the Court, but he is released under conditions imposed by the Court.

He's got to report to his probation 15 officer down the street once a month; work 16 faithfully at suitable employment; support his dependents; remain at a specific address in 18 Harris County, Texas; if you move, tell your 19 probation officer so he will know where you are 20 going to; if you are working someplace, let your probation officer know so he can keep tabs on it; 22 avoid places and persons of harmful character; 23 avoid injurious and vicious habits such as the 24 use of narcotics or habit-forming drugs, and things 25

like that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Those are the conditions of probation.

Now, even if the crime is a murder case, the Defendant has a right to ask the jury for probation. The jury doesn't have to give it, but the Defendant can ask, and if the jury decides that even though they have found the man guilty of murder, that it is a proper case for probation, the jury can recommend it, even though the crime is a murder case.

Now, the reason I took so long to explain that to you is I want to ask you this: In a proper case, if you were a juror, speaking of make-believe now, and had found someone guilty of the offense of murder and you felt like it was a proper case for probation, would you be able to recommend probation or consider recommending probation even though you had found someone guilty of the offense of murder?

20 A. I think it would depend on the case.

Q I was going to give you examples of cases where you might consider probation even though someone committed murder. That is all we ask a juror to do, to keep an open mind to that, and you hear the evidence and decide whether it is a proper case.

1 If you believe it is, don't be ashamed to say it is. If not, don't be ashamed to say it 2 3 is not. 4 A. Uh-huh. Any questions so far? 5 0. 6 A. No. I anticipate that the evidence in the case will Q. 7 show that the Defendant is, in fact, an illegal 8 alien, that he is not lawfully here in this 9 10 country. The only thing I ask you to do in that 11 regard, if you can -- if you can't, I understand. 12 That is fine, too. 13 The only thing I ask you to do in that 14 regard, you should not find him guilty or not 15 guilty because he is an illegal alien. 16 Do you feel like you could do that? 17 I think so. A. 18 Base your verdict on the facts and don't find him Q. 19 guilty or not guilty just because he is an illegal 20 alien? 21 Yes. A. 22 Okay. Let me ask you some personal questions here Q. 23 about your information sheet. Okay? 24 You worked for four years at the County 25 3489

1		Clerk's Office here?
2	A.	Yes, sir.
3	Q.	You are a little bit familiar with how the criminal
4		justice system works?
5	A.	A little bit.
6	Q	Criminal or civil side?
7	A.	No, I worked here in this building when the County
8		Clerk's Office was here.
9	Q.	Okay. I see here that you have a little bit
10		of a problem with diabetes, but let me explain,
11		and you tell me how that might affect your jury
12		service.
13		Let me tell you how we usually work.
14		We generally start at 9:00 o'clock in the morning,
15		and work until around 5:00, and break for an hour
16		to an hour and a half for lunch, 12:00 to generally
17		1:30. It depends upon what the judge decides to
18		do. He may decide 12:00 to 1:00 is better than
19		12:00 to 1:30, but usually it is 12:00 to 1:30,
20		and we start back and work until 5:00 and not much
21		after that. Generally, it is not much after 6:00
2 2		unless there is some special need for it, and then
23		we adjourn until 9:00 or 9:30 the next morning.
24		Do you feel that is the type of schedule
25		you could get along with?

ί

1	A.	Something that dragged on from 8:00 until late at
2		night, I couldn't do it.
3	Q	You will be given an opportunity, if the jury has
4		to be kept together for a reason, they will go
5		together at the county's expense. The jury (sic)
6		will take you to get something to eat, get Cokes,
7		et cetera.
8	Α.	I wouldn't have a problem with that.
9	Q.	Let me ask you about your aunt. She was robbed
10		twice, both in 1970 and 1980?
11	A.	Yes, sir.
12	Q.	Where was she when she got robbed?
13	Α.	In her apartment when she got robbed.
14	Q.	In her apartment?
15		That is a burglary.
16	A.	I am sorry.
17	Q.	There is no reason to be sorry.
18		Her house got broken into a couple of
19		times. Did they ever find the people who did
20		that?
21	Α.	No.
22	Q.	Did she ever manage to get her property back?
23	Α.	No.
24	Q.	Let me ask you about your cousin. How was he
25		killed in '73?
		3491

-

and the second sec

· ···

.....

1	A.	Stabbed.
2	Q	Where did that take place?
3	A.	In a bar somewhere here in town.
4	Q.	Do you know anything about the facts?
5	A.	Just a little I heard. All I know, they got in
6		a fight, and supposedly, it was self-defense.
7	Q.	What happened to the man who killed your cousin?
8	A.	He was apprehended.
9	Q.	Was there a jury trial?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Do you remember the lawyer who represented him?
12	A.	No.
13	Q.	Was this a close cousin of yours, or kind of a
14		distant cousin?
15	A.	No, a close cousin. He lived with us five years
16		after his mother died.
17	Q.	Did you ever come and watch any of the trial?
18	Α.	No. His mother was dead at the time.
19	Q.	Did you come and watch the trial at the time?
20	Α.	No.
21	Q.	Did the police ever come and talk to you about
22		it or the District Attorney's Office talk to you
23	_	about it or anything?
24	Α.	No, because at the time we weren't in touch with him
25		very much, so we really didn't have anything to do
		3492

1		with him, just the fact that they had called and
2		said that happened.
3	Q.	Was that here in Harris County that that happened?
4	A.	Here in Houston.
5	Q.	Who was the lawyer for the District Attorney's
6		Office, you know, that handled your cousin's case?
7	A.	I couldn't tell you. I don't know.
8	Q.	Your thirteen-year-old daughter: Where does she
9		go to school?
10	A.	Paul Revere Elementary School.
11	Q.	Paul Revere?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	How long were you in Havana, Cuba, before you
14		came to the United States?
15	A.	I was born there. I lived there for thirteen
16		years.
17	Q.	I guess you got out pretty much sometime around the
18		Bay of Pigs?
19	Α.	Well, it was 1961.
20	Q.	A little before the Bay of Pigs?
21	Α.	It was a little after.
22	Q.	Right after.
23	-	What did your father do during the course
24		of his lifetime, if he is not still alive? What
25		was his job or type of occupation?

	2	
1	A.	He worked in a hospital.
2	Q.	What type of hospital job did he have? Do you
3		remember?
4	A.	Medical technician.
5	Q.	How about your mom? If she's ever worked outside
6		the home, what type of job did she have?
7	Α.	She is a seamstress.
8	Q.	Do you have brothers and sisters?
9	A.	I have a sister.
10	Q.	Is she younger or older than yourself?
11	A.	She is younger.
12	Q.	What does she do for a living?
13	A.	I really don't know what she does. She works
14		for a construction company as sort of a planning
15		assistant, I guess you would call her.
16	Q.	Does your mom and sister live here in Harris
17		County?
18	A.	Oh, yes, sir.
19	Q.	Do you have any questions of me about anything
20		we have talked about?
21	A.	No.
2 2	Q.	Is there anything we have talked about that makes
23		you feel you couldn't be fair to either side on
24		this case?
25	5 A.	No.
		3494

(

. . . .

() (

į

a de latera da anna a cara a cara a en la grande en la defensa

1

(

Thank you, Ms. Petty. 1 Q 2 I asked you that out of an abundance 3 of caution. 4 For once, I can say I haven't any more questions. I can't ask you more. 5 6 If you are selected to serve, I look forward to serving with you. 7 8 I will pass you to the Defense. 9 10 EXAMINATION 11 QUESTIONS BY MR. ELIZONDO: 12 Ms. Petty, how are you doing? 13 Q. 14 A. Fine. You are number ninety-one. We have interviewed Q. 15 ninety people in the last six weeks. We've got 16 eleven jurors. We need another juror. 17 In a capital murder case, each side 18 gets fifteen strikes to strike for whatever 19 reason you want. I am sure you are familiar with 20 that, having worked in the Clerk's Office, and 21 after you use up your fifteen strikes, you can't 22 use any more, so the next person we interview will 23 be on the jury panel, of course. 24 Of course, you also have challenges for 25

cause where the prospective jurors have already formed an opinion about the Defendant, et cetera.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ì

13

In a capital murder case in the state of Texas, the prosecutors have to prove to twelve jurors beyond a reasonable doubt that this man committed the offense, have to prove to twelve jurors until they are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that on a particular day in Harris County, Texas, this man shot and killed a police officer who was in the lawful discharge of an official duty knowing at the time he was a police officer.

The term reasonable doubt will not be defined for you. It is a legal word. There is no legal definition for that term. The Court won't give you one, the prosecutor can't give you one, and I can't give you one.

All I can do is give you a comparison or analogy.

Across the street in the civil courthouse where they try lawsuits for millions of dollars over property damages, over personal injuries, over contract disputes, the burden of proof over there is proof by a preponderance of the evidence, the greater weight of the credible evidence.

In the criminal courthouse, the

legislature says we are going to put the State of 1 Texas to a higher burden of proof than 2 preponderance of the evidence. We are going to 3 put them to a burden of proof of beyond a reasonable 4 doubt, a higher burden, and rightfully so, I 5 believe, because before anybody can be found guilty 6 of capital murder and before somebody can be 7 killed for it, they'd better have the right person. 8 Do you agree with that or disagree with 9 that? 10 Oh, definitely. I agree with it. Α. 11 The way they go about their burden of proof is Q. 12 to go ahead and call witnesses to the witness 13 stand, have them take the same chair where you are 14 sitting, and they give their version of the facts. 15 After they get through presenting 16 witnesses and evidence, they will rest their case. 17 That means, "That is all we have." 18 At that point in time, the Defendant 19 can, if he chooses, he can call witnesses. 20 He doesn't have to. He can go ahead and just rest 21 his case also. 22 Now, let's assume for a minute that you 23 were on this jury and you have heard the State's 24 evidence and the State has rested its case and we 25

go ahead and rest our case, too, don't put on one 1 bit of evidence, not one iota of evidence, and you 2 go to the jury deliberation room and you are saying 3 to yourself, "I think he did it. Maybe he did it. 4 The Grand Jury indicted him. He didn't testify, 5 but it hasn't been proven to me beyond a reasonable 6 doubt." 7 What would your verdict be in that 8 situation? 9 I would say not guilty if there was a reasonable A. 10 doubt. 11 You see where you might get put in a switch if Q. 12 you were to say to yourself, "Maybe he did it. 13 Ι think he did it, but it hasn't been proven to me 14 beyond a reasonable doubt"? 15 Do you see where you might get put in 16 a switch? 17 A. Yes. I see it. 18 And you could still come back and tell everybody Q. 19 that the State didn't prove this case to me, and, 20 therefore, I am going to find him not guilty? 21 Yes, if they didn't prove to me he is guilty. A. 22 He would have to be not guilty. 23 The reason I'm asking you these questions, your Q. 24 first remark was, "A lot of people go free who 25 3498

1		shouldn't go free, and that worried me a little
2		bit. You may be in that jury box, and that scared
3		me.
4	A.	What I mean is, you see, someone committing
5		a real violent crime, and three or four days later,
6		you see them going free, and you think, "He
7		shouldn't go free to do something to somebody else."
8	Q.	You are talking about after they have been convicted
9		of a crime?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Another thing that got my attention was about
12		your cousin. I am sorry about that, but you said
13		the Defendant in that case also got free. It was
14		self-defense.
15		How did you feel about that?
16	A.	I was angry, because I didn't think he should have
17		gone free.
18	Q.	Okay.
19	Α.	But then there was an emotional reason in the thing
20		He was my cousin, so you can understand, I didn't
21		want him to go free, even if he was innocent or
22		it was self-defense. I didn't want him to go
23		free. I was emotionally involved in the case.
24	- Q.	I suspect this case will be a very emotional case.
25	Α.	I am not myself involved in the case, and I don't
		3 4 9 9

(

٢

ł

•

		1
1		know either one of them. I don't know the officers
2		or the criminal.
3	Q.	The judge gave you a brief rendition of the facts.
4		A police officer got shot three times in the head
5		and a bystander was shot and later died. Another
6		police officer got five bullets into him and he
7		lived. He was able to kill one of the suspects
8		in the case.
9	Α.	They are not personally related to me, so I don't
10		feel that emotionally involved. I can understand
11		and feel sorry for their families and everything,
12		but I am not emotionally involved in it.
13	Q.	I suspect that the widow will testify in this case,
14		and I imagine that will get pretty emotional.
15	Α.	Yes, but it still doesn't affect me emotionally.
16		They are not kin to me.
17	Q.	That wouldn't influence you at all in any shape,
18		form, or fashion?
19	Α.	Everybody that dies has a family. There would
20		always be somebody emotionally involved in it.
21		It wouldn't affect me.
22	Q.	Anyway, you can promise me anyway that the
23		emotional aspect of this case will not influence
24		your decision in the guilt-or-innocence stage?
25	Α.	I couldn't promise one hundred percent. I don't
		3500

A state of the sta

ĺ

1		know what they will bring before me or say, but
2		I don't believe so.
3	Q.	As a juror, you've got to take an oath not the
4		oath you took earlier this morning, but another
5		oath, that you would base your verdict on the
6		evidence and the law in this case, so help you God.
7	Α.	Uh-huh.
8	Q.	And only that.
9		And you can't let your emotions
10	Α.	I don't think that
11	Q.	guide you in deciding whether he is guilty or
12		not, just the law and the facts in the case.
13	Α.	I think that would be the only thing I would take
14		into consideration then, because emotionally, I am
15		not involved with it. I am not related to any of
16		the people, don't know them. I don't think it
17		would affect me. I can't say it won't, definitely
18		never will, but I don't think it will. I don't
19		believe it will.
20	Q.	You can see where the word "think" worries me a
21	•	little bit, too.
22		Let's assume you have a mallet in your
23		hand and I go up there and say, "Ms. Petty, if I
24		put my hand in front of you, are you going to hit
25		me with your mallet?" If you said, "I don't think
		3501

(

1		think I would," I would be leery of putting my
2		hand there.
3	A.	I am not a violent person. I don't go around
4		hitting people with mallets.
5	Q.	You see what I mean when I say this?
6	A.	I would say no, I wouldn't.
7	Q.	In a capital murder case, the Prosecution in this
8		case will be actively seeking the death penalty.
9		We are actively seeking a not guilty in
10		this case.
11		In a capital murder case, of course, a
12		Defendant can testify, can present evidence. I
13		suspect that he will. I don't know for sure. I've
14		got to hear the evidence first to see if he should
15		or not, but if he testifies, he can take the same
16		stand you are sitting at right now and he can be
17		impeached with the proof of any prior felony
18		convictions within the last, say, ten years, and
19		if he is impeached or discredited by proof of any
2 0		felony convictions within the last ten years, you
21		can weigh that and you can use that to base whether
2 2		or not you believe him or not.
2 3		Do you agree with me there or not?
24	A.	What do you mean by impeach him?
25	Q.	Discredit him with proof of prior felony
		3502

(

The second se

. (

(

1		convictions.
2	А.	Oh, I see.
3	Q.	When he takes the stand, he can be impeached or
4		discredited
5	A.	I see.
6	Q.	with proof of prior felony convictions.
7	A.	That would be that case, and this would be this
8		case, two separate things.
9	Q.	The law allows the State to discredit or impeach
10		him for that purpose alone. Do you see?
11	Α.	Uh-huh.
12	Q.	So what would your question be with regards to
13		that?
14	Α.	I was
15	Q.	I was just telling you, not questioning you. Just
16		telling you.
17	Α.	Okay.
18	Q.	The Defendant can also call witnesses up there,
19		and I suggest or submit to you that if he does,
20		there will be two stories, two diametrically opposed
21		versions of the facts.
22	A.	Uh-huh.
23	Q.	And it will be your job, as a juror, to resolve
24		the conflicting testimony, and I believe you can
25		do that.
		3503

-

(

.

		1
1	A.	Well, I think so.
2	Q.	As Mr. Moen was telling you, in a capital murder
3		case, there is a lesser included offense of murder.
4	Α.	Uh-huh.
5	Q.	Murder is when you intentionally and knowingly
6		take the life of somebody. It is not a lesser
7		offense in my eyes, but it is a lesser offense,
8		because the penalty range is less.
9		You cannot be put to death for murder,
10		only for capital murder. As I was saying, the
11		penitentiary range is anywhere from no less than
12		five to up to ninety-nine years or life, and a fine
13		of up to ten thousand dollars can also be imposed,
14		and a murder is when you intentionally and
15		knowingly take the life of somebody.
16		Now, I want you to just think about it,
17		and can you consider probation as a proper
18		punishment in a proper case in your own mind for
19		somebody's intentionally and knowingly taking the
20		life of somebody?
21	Α.	It would depend on the person and the situation,
22		the motive. I think it would depend on a lot of
23		things.
24	Q.	Sure.
25		So you can consider probation?
		3504

(

· · · · ·

		1
1	A.	Yes, I could.
2	ð	Well, Mr. Moen was asking you a little while ago
3		about the Defendant's failure to testify or the
4		Defendant's right not to testify.
5		If he doesn't testify, would you want
6		to know why he doesn't?
7	A.	I think I would naturally be curious.
8	Q.	Sure. Sure. We all grew up, and when we would
9		hear arguments, we would always want to hear two
10		sides of the story and see what the other person
11		was going to say before we could make decisions.
12		Do you agree with that or not?
13	A.	I think so, yes.
14	Q.	He's got a right not to testify, got that
15		privilege, and should he not testify, would you hold
16		that against him in any way?
17	А.	No, but I would still wonder why he didn't.
18	Q.	There is nothing wrong with wondering.
19	A.	But I wouldn't hold it against him.
20	Q.	Would that be a strike against him?
21	Α.	No. Some people can't express themselves as well
22		as others, and he may feel instead of helping
23		himself, he is hindering himself, and that is why
24		he wouldn't want to speak. That would be one
25		reason he wouldn't testify.

-- (

.

(

 $\|$

You wouldn't hold that against him at all? No.

he evidence, as Mr. Moen said, will probably show he is an illegal alien, in this country unlawfully, and as a result of that, would you hold that against him in any way?

Not reallly.

When you say "not really" --

Well, I feel that he should have tried to come in legally, but just because he did come illegally, it is not that much of a crime to me or not a crime at all. It is just a -- how can I put it? He is not really right in coming in illegally. I just

ink it would have been better if he had come in legally like everybody else has, like myself. I came in legally.

When you talked to Mr. Moen, you said you think or you thought you could be fair to him. Uh-huh.

Even though you might find out later he is an illegal alien?

I try to take a person for what they have done or what their background is. I try to make an opinion according to the person.

you have an opinion about illegal aliens?

1	Α.	No, I don't really have an opinion about them.
2		Either way, they are people like everybody else,
3		whether they are legal or not. It doesn't affect
4		me.
5	Q.	You could judge him as a citizen?
6	A.	As a person.
7	Q.	As a person.
8		And accord him, under the law, the same
9		rights as a citizen of this country is accorded in
10		a trial of this nature?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	Do you speak Spanish?
13	Α.	Yes, sir. I have forgotten a lot of it.
14	Q.	Let me ask you back to that first answer you gave
15		Mr. Moen. It just kind of worried me a little
16		bit.
17		Are you in favor of the death penalty?
18		Would you say you are moderately in favor of it,
19		reluctantly in favor of it, or strongly in favor
20		of the death penalty?
21	Α.	I think if a person is guilty, I am strongly in
22		favor of it.
23	Q.	Now, in a capital murder case, of course, if you
24		found a person guilty of intentionally and
25		knowingly killing a police officer, then you have
		3507

1		found him guilty of capital murder, and then we
2		go to the second part of the trial, the punishment
· 3		phase.
4	A.	Uh-huh.
5	Q.	At the punishment phase, there are only two
6		possible punishments, life or death.
7	Α.	Uh-huh.
8	Q.	And, of course, that is determined by how you
9		answer those two questions.
10		The first question is asking you whether
11		or not the conduct of the Defendant that caused the
12		death of the deceased was committed deliberately
13		and with a reasonable expectation that the death
14		of the deceased would result.
15		The word deliberately in that first
16		question is underlined. There is no legal
17		definition of the word deliberately. The judge
18		won't give you one. I can't give you one.
19		I want to ask you what you think the
20		word deliberately means.
21	Α.	I think deliberately means something you have
22		planned ahead.
23	Q.	Premeditated?
24	Α.	Not so much premeditated, but like, you know, you
25		are taking all the possibilities of things that
		3508

(

. . .

(

		•
1		might happen in mind and you come prepared for
2		them. I would call that deliberate.
3	Q	Okay. Would you mean ponder and think about?
4	A.	Well, if you thought about it or you came prepared
5		with a gun and everything, I think that would be
. 6		deliberate.
7	Q.	Okay. Like for example you will go to the jury
8		deliberation room in the guilt-or-innocence stage
9		and you will think about or ponder about whether
10		the State has met its burden of proof in proving
11		the case beyond a reasonable doubt.
12		Would you agree with that?
13	A.	Yes. I would agree with it.
14	Q.	Well, now, let's assume we are in the punishment
15		phase and you have found him guilty of intentionally
16		and knowingly killing a police officer.
17	A.	Uh-huh.
18	Q.	And then we go to Question No. 1.
19		Would you answer Question No. 1
20		automatically solely because you have found him
21		guilty of intentionally and knowingly taking the
22		life of a police officer?
23	A.	No, not necessarily.
24	Q.	So, you would
25	A.	It would depend on how he came about being guilty.
		3509

.

(

1	Q.	The second question is asking you to determine
2		whether there is a probability that the Defendant
3		would continue to commit criminal acts of violence
4		that would constitute a continuing threat to society.
5	Α.	I think that would depend on the kind of person
6		you could prove to me this Defendant is.
7	Q.	That question is more or less asking you to foretell
8		or forecast the future.
9		Would you agree with that?
10	Α.	In a way, yes.
11	Q.	What do you think the word probability means?
12	Α.	Oh, I would say chances, whether you have a ninety-
13		nine percent chance someone is going to do something
14		or not do something. No one is one hundred percent
15		sure.
16	Q.	Some people say anything is possible.
17	A.	That is true.
18	Q.	Do you think that anything is probable?
19	A.	It is possible but not probable.
20	Q.	Before you could answer those two questions yes,
21		the State would have to prove to you beyond a
22		reasonable doubt that they should be answered yes,
23		and if you don't believe beyond a reasonable doubt
24		they should be answered yes, you would have to
25		answer them no.
		3510

i ţ

1		Do you agree with that or disagree with
2		that?
3	A.	I agree with that.
4	Q.	Let me ask you now if you have a preference.
5	A.	A preference to what?
6	Q.	In punishment, just a general preference: life or
7		death?
8	A.	No.
9	Q.	You don't?
10		Did you go to the U. of H.?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	And studied geology?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	How many hours do you have in geology?
15	A.	About, I think it is sixteen.
16	Q.	Sixteen?
17	Α.	Uh-huh.
18	Q.	Over here, you answered question number thirty-
19		three that you have some interest in some criminal
20		cases, and I assume that was the Eva Lott case,
21		that child abuse case?
22	Α.	The one with the four-year-old. Yes, I read a
23		little bit about that.
24	Q.	Did you ever read about the Hinckley case?
25	A.	The one who shot the President?
		3511
	•	

`

ана сала 1910 - Алар (

: . .

		1
1	Q.	Uh-huh.
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	What did you think about the jury coming back with
4		not guilty by reason of insanity?
5	Α.	I thought that was a little insane, just well,
6		first of all, I think he should have been shut up
7		somewhere.
8	Q.	Should have been shut up?
9	А.	They should have locked him up.
10	Q.	You weren't one of the jurors and you really didn't
11		hear the evidence, I guess.
12	Α.	Really, that is just a personal opinion. I
13		couldn't tell you whether that is right or not.
14	Q.	This is going to be a pretty emotional case, and I
15		guess the jurors in the Hinckley case were probably
16		overwhelmed by the publicity and all the news
17		accounts, et cetera, and they came back with a pretty
18		controversial verdict of not guilty by reason of
19		insanity.
20		You can see what kind of pressure they
21		were under.
22		Do you think you could come back with a
23		not guilty in this case if they haven't proven
24		their case beyond a reasonable doubt, keeping in
25		mind this is an emotional case, another police
		3512

3512

į.

		1
1		officer got killed, another officer got five
2		bullets, and a bystander got killed?
3	A.	If they can't prove it, I would have to say not
4		guilty.
5	Q.	Let's assume for a moment you are the Defendant
6		in this case and we were talking to a juror with
7		your frame of mind right now.
8	Α.	Uh-huh.
9	Q.	Would you want you as a Defendant would you
10		want that person to be on your jury?
11	Α.	I don't really know. I have never been in that
12		position.
13	Q.	Considering how strongly you feel about certain
14		things?
15	Α.	Well, I feel strongly about certain things, but
16		I also think I am a fair person. Show me the facts
17	-	and things, and I am willing to change my mind or
18		make up my mind one way or the other. I am not
19		going to go into something with my mind set on
20		an idea, that this is the way it is going to be
21		and nobody is going to change my mind.
22		I am a fair person where I can take both
23		sides of the question and weigh them and decide
24		in my mind which side is right and which is wrong,
25		but I do feel strongly about things, but that
		3513

.

·· ----

· · · · ·

1		doesn't mean my mind can't be changed.
2	Q.	Were you assigned to a court when you were in the
3		Harris County Clerk's Office?
4	Α.	No. I just worked in records.
5	Q.	On the fifth floor?
6	A.	Second floor.
7	Q.	You were in the County Clerk's Office?
8	A.	Uh-huh.
9	Q.	I was thinking of the District Clerk's Office.
10	Α.	No, in the County Clerk's Office.
11		MR. ELIZONDO: Your Honor, before we pass
12		her, could we discuss the juror for a minute?
13		THE COURT: Ms. Petty, if you would,
14		please step into the jury room for just a
15		second.
16		(Ms. Petty retired to the jury room, and
17		out of her presence and hearing, the following
18	c.	proceedings were had.)
19		MR. ELIZONDO: Do you want to agree?
20		MR. MOEN: That she be number twelve,
21		sure.
22		MR. ELIZONDO: Your Honor, in view of the
23		fact we are out of peremptory challenges, and after
24		talking to Ms. Petty, we find her totally
25		unacceptable and ask the Court for additional
		3514

-

. • (

· · · · · ·

1 challenges. 2 THE COURT: Simply show in the record 3 that the request is overruled. 4 MR. ELIZONDO: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 That is all we have. 6 THE COURT: Bring her back. 7 (At this time Ms. Petty returned to the 8 courtroom.) 9 THE COURT: Ms. Petty, you will be a juror on this case and if you would, please stand 10 and raise your right hand and be sworn as a juror. 11 (Whereupon Ms. Petty was sworn as a 12 juror.) 13 THE COURT: Please be seated. 14 I need to give you a few brief instructions. 15 I anticipate we will be starting the 16 evidence Monday in this case. We do have -- we 17 are going to select an alternate juror to consider 18 the case in case something should happen to one 19 of the jurors, so we do have that one more to 20 select. 21 I will have someone notify you as to 22 exactly when to come down. It probably will be 23Monday, but it may be Tuesday before we are ready 24 to get started, so you need to inform your 25

employer and what have you that you will be on 1 this case, and it will take somewhere in the 2 neighborhood of four or five days to try the case, 3 and it may take as long as a day or so longer. 4 It could be a day shorter, but that is the best 5 guess I can give you right now. 6 7 THE JUROR: All week? THE COURT: I would say all week. 8 Yes, ma'am. 9 There may be a time in that process 10 that you may have to be held downtown in a hotel 11 overnight, so you may need to be thinking about 12 that also. 13 I don't anticipate much, if any, 14 publicity about this case between now and the time 15 we will actually begin the trial. 16 I do anticipate that there will be 17 coverage in all three forms of the media after 18 we begin the actual trial of the case. 19 I will strongly admonish you now and 20 later, should you see anything in the media, whether 21 it be television, newspaper, or on the radio, you 22 are not to read, watch, or listen to anything about 23 this case other than what you heard in this 24 courtroom. It would be unfair for you to make your 25 3516

decision on anything except what you hear from 1 2 witnesses under oath. 3 I think you understand that, but I wanted to give you that admonition. 4 5 So, do you have any questions of me at 6 this point? 7 THE JUROR: No. I don't think so. 8 Would someone let me know before Monday? THE COURT: Oh, yes, ma'am. We will let 9 you know as to exactly when. 10 You will report back to this courtroom, 11 and when you do, I will have someone call you and 12 tell you when to come. 13 You are now excused until such time. 14 (The juror was excused from the 15 courtroom.) 16 THE COURT: I have an appointment at 17 noon. 18 Are there any objections to recessing 19 until 1:30? 20 MR. MOEN: No objections. 21 MR. ELIZONDO: No objections. 22 THE COURT: We will recess until 1:30. 23 (At this time a recess was taken by the 24 court.) 25

1	CONSTANCE ELAINE WHITEFORD,
2	was called as a prospective juror and responded to
3	questions propounded as follows:
4	
5	EXAMINATION
6	
7	QUESTIONS BY MR. MOEN:
8	MR. MOEN: May I proceed, Your Honor?
9	THE COURT: Certainly.
10	Q. (By Mr. Moen) Ms. Whiteford?
11	A. Yes?
12	Q. My name is Bob Moen. I am with the District
13	Attorney's Office here in Houston, and if you are
14	selected as a juror on this case, another gentleman
15	by the name of Dick Bax will also be with me during
16	the trial of this case. He is also a member of the
17	District Attorney's Office of Harris County, and
18	Mr. Bax and myself will be representing the family
19	of Officer Harris in the prosecution of this case
20	styled the State of Texas versus Ricardo Aldape
21	Guerra.
22	Now, he is charged with back on July
23	13th of this year having killed a police officer
24	by the name of J. D. Harris while Officer Harris
25	was in the course of his official duties.
	3518

* : • : : •

• •

(a) Million is a substantial state of the state of the

The judge asked earlier of all the 1 jurors if they had remembered reading or hearing 2 anything about this case. 3 Does the name of Officer Harris or any 4 of the facts that the judge described ring a bell 5 in your mind about anything you may have read or 6 heard about this case? 7 I remember it vaguely, you know. I don't remember A. 8 the names or really too much about it. It was on 9 the news. 10 As the judge said, there is absolutely nothing Q. 11 wrong whatsoever with having read or heard something 12 about a criminal case you might become a juror on. 13 The only reason we ask in the first 14 place is to see if the jurors have formed opinions 15 or conclusions based on what evidence they have 16 heard. 17 I need to ask you some questions for 18 the next twenty or thirty minutes, and I think I 19 ought to explain a little bit about the proceeeding 20 before I do that. 21 In a capital murder case, the law requires 22 us to talk to the jurors individually. It generally 23 takes about an hour to talk to one prospective 24 juror and explain to them all of the various 25

aspects of the law that may come up during the course of the trial, what will be expected and required of the juror by his or her jury service, and to see if the juror has disagreements or questions that we can clear up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

23

24

25

You see, we live in the type of society -- and I know you are aware of this -- but we live in the type of society where no type of person is required to be a juror on any type of case where that jury service would violate the prospective juror's personal beliefs, moral convictions, scruples, et cetera. You just don't have to do it.

About the only way we know jury service 14 on any type of case, particularly in a capital 15 case, would be an impossible task for Ms. Whiteford 16 or any other prospective juror to perform is if 17 the juror tells us. 18

We will be trying to explain things to you and ask what your feelings are. We will be 20 completely honest with you as to what will be 21 required of you. 22

The only thing we ask of you is not only that you be honest with us, but be honest with yourself as well, and tell us exactly how you

feel so we can make a decision as to whether or not you could be a juror on this type of case or whether or not it would be best for Ms. Whiteford to be excused from this type of case, although she may be a perfectly wonderful juror on another type of case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A lot of people who find themselves in the position you are in today -- and we have talked to a lot of people over the past five weeks. It takes a long time -- express various opinions about the death penalty.

Some members of the jury wouldn't have difficulty with it. Other's don't go along with making that type of decision on being a juror in a capital case. The jurors have told us, and have told us over the past five weeks, "Mr. Moen or Your Honor, because of my convictions or personal beliefs or religious beliefs, I just can't be a juror on a death penalty case. My personal beliefs or religious beliefs would not let me be a juror and return a verdict I know would result in someone receiving the death penalty," and that is fine.

However you feel concerning the death penalty is basically your business. We are not here to debate it with you or try to change

your mind. That is not our purpose at all. 1 2 It is to find out exactly how you do To find out whether you could be a juror on 3 feel. 4 this case or you could not. 5 There are, as I said, no right or wrong answers, only how you feel. 6 With that in mind, can you tell me exactly 7 how you feel about the death penalty? Could your 8 feelings and opinions allow you to be a juror on 9 this case, a capital murder case, or do you feel 10 you could not be? 11 I think in certain circumstances the death penalty A. 12 -- I could do along with it. It would depend on 13 the circumstances. I have no moral convictions 14 against it. 15 Okay. Okay. Well, good. Q. 16 I wanted to explain to you and try to 17 make you feel at ease, if I could, how you do feel 18 about that topic. 19 Although we tell jurors when they come 20 up to go ahead and relax, that this is an informal 21 experience, that is easy to say, but when you are 22 sitting in the chair you are in with a group of 23 perfect strangers in the courtroom, it is easy 24 to tell you to relax and speak your mind, but I 25 3522

hope we can make you relax and do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Not all murders that take place in our community are classified as capital murders. Only those murders that take place in the course of committing certain crimes become capital murders.

Let me give you an example of what our legislature has said. If a man kills during the course of a burglary, breaking into someone else's home, and a man, woman, or child gets up to see what the noise is all about and the burglar takes their life; robbery-murder is capital murder; for the rapist to kill his rape victim is capital murder; for the kidnapper to kill his kidnap victim; for the arsonist to kill anyone during the course of setting a fire, those are the types of murders, because of the type of crime for which the man commits the murder, they become capital murders, and they are punished either by the man committing that crime receiving a life sentence or the death penalty, if the jury were to find the person guilty.

The legislature has said there are five other instances in which the person commits the crime of capital murder, and that is if he murders a fireman or police officer in the course of his

or her official duties; if he murders for money, if anyone kills for money or hires another person to kill for money, that is capital murder; and the last two examples confine themselves to the convict situation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

23

If a person is confined in our prison system and he kills one of the employees at the prison system, that is capital murder, or if a convict is attempting to escape or actually does escape and during the course of the escape, takes anyone's life, that is capital murder.

Now, all other murders that take place have a completely different range of punishment.

For someone to commit the crime of murder 14 as opposed to capital murder, the range of 15 punishment is from five years to ninety-nine years 16 or life. 17

Do you follow me on that distinction 18 so far? Do those strike you as being the type of 19 crimes Ms. Whiteford feels the death penalty could 20 be an appropriate punishment for, those type of 21 criminal offenses? 22 Yes. A.

Okay. How long have you been of the frame of mind Q. 24 that you described to us here this afternoon 25

concerning the death penalty? How long have you 1 felt that way? Has it been the majority of your 2 adult life, or have you ever felt different at any 3 time and because of some event or conversation or 4 articles you may have read, changed your position 5 to what it is today, or have you pretty much felt 6 the same way? 7 I think I have pretty much felt the same way. A. 8 I would not enter into it lightly. 9 Certainly. Q. 10 I mean, it is someone's life, and it is pretty Α. 11 important. 12 However, like I said, in certain 13 circumstances, I would feel that that would be just. 14 Okay. I could -- you know, I think probably your Q. 15 response puts you somewhere in the ninety-nine 16 percentile of the people we talk to concerning the 17 death penalty. That is the way we feel. 18 I don't mean to make it light by my 19 conversation. I don't mean to, if I have not 20 implied it would be a very weighty decision for 21 every single member of the jury panel. It almost 22 certainly would, and probably would be one of the 23 most important decisions for yourself and all the 24 parties concerned, not only the family of Officer. 25

Harris, but the Defendant as well. 1 Whatever decision you would make, it 2 would certainly be a weighty and important one. 3 I think you realize that. 4 Now, the way punishments are assessed 5 in a capital murder case is by the jurors answering 6 these two questions that appear here on the easel. 7 If both of these are answered yes by the jury, the 8 Defendant receives the death penalty. 9 If either one of the questions is answered 10 no, the Defendant receives a life sentence rather 11 than the death penalty. 12 Now, these questions are answered by the 13 jury based on the evidence that they hear surrounding 14 the facts that led them to the conclusion that the 15 man was, in fact, guilty of the offense of capital 16 The jury answers these questions from the murder. 17 evidence, regardless of their personal feelings 18 about what type of punishment they would like to 19 see the man on trial receive. 20 You see, back -- it used to be back in 21 1967 when our death penalty -- the Supreme Court 22 held the death penalty was unconstitutional because 23 of the way it was being applied; they said jurors 24 were being too subjective in the application of 25

the death penalty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

F.

Back in those days, any felony case could carry the death sentence, murder or rape. That was prior to 1967.

What our legislature did, they tried to take it and make it more of an objective case as to whether the person would receive the death penalty rather than a subjective one, and they said jurors should really put their personal feelings aside to what type of cases deserve the death penalty.

They said let the evidence indicate to them what their answers to these questions should be. Even if the jury should decide this would be a proper case for a life sentence, they must answer the questions yes if the evidence indicates it.

18The jurors are required by their oath19to put their personal feelings aside as to what20type of punishment they would like to see the man21on trial receive for the crime they have found22him guilty of and instead answer these questions.23Do you follow me on how that test works?24It is not to hide anything from you.

I think I indicated that two yes answers

and the Defendant receives the death penalty. 1 A no answer to either one of the questions and 2 he receives a life sentence. 3 4 Before the jurors can answer yes, all twelve have to unanimously agree that is what 5 6 their answers should be. 7 To answer a question no, only ten have 8 to agree. 9 We are talking about so many things here, but don't worry about forgetting some of these 10 things we are talking about. The judge will put 11 these in writing to you later, and when we are 12 deliberating on these questions, hopefully what 13 we can do now at this stage of the trial is explain 14 those things, and you can have a working 15 remembrance of them, and when the actual trial is 16 over, after the evidence is presented, the judge 17 will give you these in writing and you will be able 18 to look at it and study it and be able to follow 19 the actual instructions in order to answer yes or 20 no. 21 Just by way of explaining what happens 22 first, the first thing the jury does is hear 23 evidence about the man on trial. Is he guilty or 24 not guilty, and that is all the jury does. 25 Then 3528

you will retire to the jury deliberation room and make a decision based on the facts and evidence you have heard from the witness stand and decide: Is the Defendant guilty or not guilty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

If the jury decides the man is guilty, they return that verdict to the Court and we proceed to the second stage of the trial, and the second stage is totally different from the first.

9 Jurors take their seats again and we begin again at the second phase. Jurors can hear additional information to answer or help them answer Questions 1 and 2 and decide what those 12 answers should be.

14 For instance, at the second phase of the trial, the law says crimes that the man on trial 15 has committed, even though he hasn't been convicted 16 of those crimes yet, the jury can hear that type 17 of evidence, too, as an indicator of what type of 18 person that man on trial is, and also if the man 19 has any record of past history to let the 20 authorities know about it, the jury can hear that 21 evidence, once again, to help the jury answer the 22 questions that they will have to take with them as 23 the last thing that happens in a capital murder 24 case. 25

1 If you haven't had a chance to read these questions to yourself, let me ask you to 2 do that now, and I want to go over some of the 3 language or words, rather, that we have underlined 4 in these questions with you. 5 Will you just take a few seconds to 6 read them to yourself, and I will go over them with 7 8 you. 9 This first question asks you to Okay. make a determination about the conduct of the man 10 on trial. You see, these questions come into play 11 after the man is found guilty of capital murder. 12 On the first question, they make a 13 decision about his conduct, and it is a two-part 14 question. 15 They will decide, first of all, was the 16 conduct of the man we found guilty of capital 17 murder, was that conduct that caused the death of 18 the deceased, was it deliberate and was it done 19 with a reasonable expectation she would die? 20 Let me give you a hypothetical, make-21 believe case and show you how the first question 22 applies in a capital murder case. 23 Imagine a situation where a man goes 24 into a convenience store to commit robbery. 25 It is

in the early morning hours. He goes in and confronts the cashier working there and she is afraid, as anybody would be. At gunpoint, he demands the money, and he takes it.

He looks around and sees there are no other witnesses. She is the only one who could identify him, and he fires two bullets into her body, one in the head and one in the chest.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

25

Unbeknownst to him, she steps on some type of an alarm that notifies the police and they are waiting for him and he is arrested.

He has committed murder in the course of robbery, and, therefore, he is guilty of capital murder.

15 After the jury had found him guilty based on those facts, they would decide was his 16 conduct that caused her death deliberately done; 17 was that deliberate on his part, and when you 18 fire bullets into a person's body with a loaded 19 gun into the chest and head, is it reasonable to 20 expect that someone would die from these wounds, 21 that someone struck in the head and chest with 22 bullets from a loaded gun would die. 23

You see where that question is a rather common sense question based on the evidence the

jury has heard that led them to believe the 1 man was guilty of the crime? It is a rather 2 straightforward question based on the facts of 3 the crime and the part the man played in the 4 offense of murder. 5 6 Do you follow me on that question? Yes. 7 A. You will have to use your own definition for the 8 Q. word deliberately, and you will have to use your 9 own definition for the word reasonable that 10 appears in the question, just your own common 11 sense, everyday definitions, and I think you have 12 a pretty good understanding of what those words 13 mean to you, because the legislature that drew 14 these questions up didn't give us definitions to 15 give to you. The law requires we give you 16 definitions. 17 Now, the second question, let me get 18 out of your way so you can see it while we are 19 talking about it. 20 The second question is different. 21 It asks you to make a determination about the kind 22 of person they have found guilty of capital murder. 23 Is the man on trial the type of person where there 24 exists the possibility he would commit criminal 25

acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society? Is he the type of person where there is a probability he would commit such acts, criminal acts of violence, that would constitute a continuing threat to society. That asks you to make a decision as to what type of person the Defendant is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Based on the evidence you have heard, you make the best judgment you can about the kind of person he is. You will have to use your own definition for probability and for society.

Once again, those words were drafted by the legislature and they didn't give us any definitions we could pass on for you, so the law is you use your own definitions for those words.

The only thing I ask you, before you can answer yes, you only have to believe to a probability the man is the kind of person that could engage in those acts, not that there is a certainty, and I think you can realize why.

The only person in the universe who can predict to a certainty what anyone will do in the future is God Almighty himself. Jurors are not asked to put themselves in the position of playing God. They are asked to make the best judgment

1	they can about the person on trial.
2	
3	
4	Q. Criminal acts of violence: Before you can answer
5	the first question yes, you don't have to believe
6	the person will commit any specific act of criminal
7	violence, anything from criminal mischief, breaking
8	into someone else's home, breaking into someone
9	else's vehicle, murders, rapes, thefts, assaults,
10	any type of criminal act.
11	Is there a probability he would engage
12	in those types of acts, criminal acts, and would
13	those acts constitute a continuing threat to
14	society?
15	Once again, you use your definition for
16	society.
17	Once again, do you agree or disagree
18	with this proposition I am about to say to you?
19	Would you agree or disagree there are people who
20	work in our prison system, who take jobs in our
21	prison system, who actually are charged with the
22	running of our prison system, who have a right to
23	expect to come to their jobs on a day in, day out
24	basis without being in fear of other convicts
25	there? Do they have a right to expect their lives
	3534

(

: **(**

will be protected?

1 A. Yes, sir. 2 And would you agree with me on the second Q. 3 proposition, that there are even convicts in the 4 penitentiary who are serving out their debt to 5 society who have a right to expect that the other 6 members of society, ourselves, will protect them 7 from certain prisoners that might be sent to the 8 prison system? 9 Yes, sir. A. 10 Now that we have had a chance to go over Questions Q. 11 1 and 2, do you have questions of me about either 12 one of those questions that I can answer for you at 13 this time? 14 No. A. 15 Is there any reason that you can think of that you Q. 16 would not be able to answer Questions 1 or 2? I'm 17 not saying whether you would answer yes or no, 18 but is there any reason you can think of you 19 wouldn't be able to answer either one of those 20 questions, depending on the testimony you hear? 21 Do you feel you could answer, based on the 22 evidence? 23 Yes, I could. A. 24 The only thing the judge will tell you about Q. 25 3535

Questions 1 and 2 before you answer them is this: 1 2 He will tell you when you answer them or are 3 discussing with the jurors what your answers should be, the jurors must not deliberate among themselves 4 as to how long the Defendant would have to serve 5 in the penitentiary were he to receive the life 6 sentence rather than the death penalty. The judge 7 will tell you that is within the exclusive 8 jurisdiction of our Board of Pardons and Paroles. 9 They decide that, and jurors just cannot enter 10 into that type of discussion, and it is a very 11 definite admonition by the judge. 12 If any juror starts to do that, you will 13 tell him not to do that, to keep his mouth shut, 14 because the judge told him not to do it. 15 Do you follow me on that? 16 A. Okay. 17 There are several things the judge will Q. Okay. 18 put in his charge to the jury, several instructions 19 if you would, as to what you must do during the 20 trial if you are deliberating. 21 Let me cover those with you. 2**2** Earlier, the judge talked about the 23 presumption of innocence, and basically what the 24 judge said was it is presumed the Defendant is 25

innocent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

I think, not to insult your intelligence, but I think you realize the man is just as guilty the day he is arrested in the act of committing it as the day he comes to the courtroom to answer to a jury for committing that crime. Nothing changes just because he's been caught, but the law says people who don't know anything about a crime -- because witnesses can't be jurors in a criminal case nor can people who have formed an opinion based on their knowledge and conclusions; it is only people who don't know anything about a criminal case who can serve as jurors.

We ask them, "Clear your minds about anything you may have heard and anybody you may have talked to about the case, and base your opinions on the evidence in the courtroom. Get your information down here in the courtroom from the witnesses and reach your decision based on what these people tell you."

21 Do you follow me on how that presumption 22 A. Yes, sir.

Q. You don't presume the Defendant is guilty because he has been indicted by a Grand Jury or finds

himself having to answer a serious allegation 1 here in the courtroom while represented by a 2 3 couple of lawyers. Let the evidence tell you what to do rather than the surroundings, until you are 4 5 able to form opinions or conclusions in your own 6 mind. 7 Do you follow me on that? 8 A. Yes, sir. The judge will tell you, and has already told you, 9 0. and I want to cover it again out of an abundance 10 of caution, that the Defendant has a right not to 11 12 testify at his trial. 13 Any Defendant charged with any crime has a right to remain silent at his or her trial 14 and not to say anything. 15 Now, that sounds crazy, I guess. 16 0urnatural reaction, obviously, whether we are jurors 17or just ordinary, everyday people, we like to get 18 as much of a fact situation as we can to reach a 19 decision. We like to get input from both sides, 20 if we can, before we make a decision in our life, 21 whether it is one affecting our personal or family 22 life or a business decision, we like to get as 23 much input as we can. 24 But in a criminal case, the Defendant 25

doesn't have to put on witnesses and doesn't have 1 to testify himself if he doesn't want to. 2 He can remain totally silent and not call anybody in his 3 behalf, so the jury might reach a decision based 4 on just what's been presented to them. 5 Do you follow me on how that works? 6 7 A. Yes. The Defendant may not testify. He may feel it's 8 Q. the best strategy not to, for whatever reason. 9 If he doesn't, the judge will tell you: 10 Don't let his silence be an indicator of anything 11 to you. Let what you heard decide what your verdict 12 ought to be based on what the witnesses have told 13 you and not what you have not heard from the 14 Defendant. 15 The judge will also charge you that the 16 burden of proof in a criminal case always rests 17 with lawyers just like myself. I've got the 18 burden of proving to you and the other jurors that 19 the Defendant has, in fact, committed this crime 20 and that your answers to those questions should, 21 in fact, be yes. 22 So you don't get the wrong idea, a 23 trial is a wide-open proceeding. Both sides have 24

an opportunity to call whatever witnesses they

1.

25

would like at no expense to anyone except the taxpayers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

I can go and issue a subpoena to the clerk, and she would forward that subpoena to the Sheriff's Office and he will personally serve, if I ask it, every single witness I want to come and testify at this trial, and the same thing will be done for the Defense attorneys at no expense to themselves except the taxpayers again. They can just make a request to the clerk and she takes it to the Sheriff's Office and the Sheriff's Office will serve those people, and if they don't come to the courthouse after being personally served, they will go and get them a ride.

Only one side has the obligation to call witnesses, and that is myself. The Defendant and his lawyers, for whatever reason, if they feel it would be the best strategy, they can remain completely silent and not even cross-examine any witnesses that I call to the stand, but I can 20 assure you in this case, that will not be the case. 21 I will assure you that they will subject the 2**2** witnesses I call to a very vigorous cross-23 examination, and probably will call witnesses on 24 their own behalf as well, but I am giving you the 25

most hypothetical example of that burden of proof the judge will charge you on, and he will tell you before you can find someone guilty, before you can answer either one of these questions yes, you have to believe beyond a reasonable doubt that is what your answer and verdict should be. That is the test.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

24

25

A juror -- before a juror can answer 8 that someone is, in fact, guilty of a crime, and 9 before a juror can answer either one of these 10 questions, the jury has to believe it's been proven 11 beyond a reasonable doubt, not beyond all doubt, 12 any doubt, or a shadow of a doubt as we see on 13 television lawyer shows, only has it been proved 14 to me that this man has done what he's been alleged 15 to have done, and has it been proved beyond a 16 reasonable doubt, not beyond any, all, or a shadow 17 of a doubt. 18

You see, I think the law recognizes,
as you do, that to be convinced beyond any, all,
or a shadow of a doubt, you would have to be
present yourself when the crime took place to have
all doubt removed from your mind.

For perfect strangers to learn about an offense from perfect strangers to them, I think

you can see there would always be doubt in your 11 mind or another's mind, so the test is not to 2 remove all doubt, any doubt, or a shadow of a doubt, 3 but beyond a reasonable doubt. 4 Do you follow me on that burden of 5 proof? 6 Α. Yes. 7 When you judge the credibility of the witnesses, Q. 8 the judge will tell you: Don't give any witnesses 9 any more or less belief -- this is before a 10 witness testifies -- don't give any witness any 11 more or less belief just because of a witness' 12 job. 13 You see, whatever a person does for 14 a living doesn't mean they are any more believable 15 than anybody else just because a person has chosen 16 a different job. 17 After a person has testified, has sat 18 on the witness stand, after they have testified, 19 if their job enters into their testimony, you can 20 consider their job, such as a doctor testifying 21 about medical injuries or a police officer with 22 fifteen or twenty years of investigative 23 experience telling the jurors, "Here is what my 24 investigation in this crime indicated to me." 25

I think you can see how foolish it would be for them not to consider their job. I am only talking about before a witness gets on the stand, no witness is considered to be more believable than any other man or woman because of his or her job. It is common sense, but I like to tell the jurors that anyway. That is basically what the judge will put in writing.

9 I am tired. We have been at this for
10 five weeks. It is difficult for me this afternoon
11 to keep my train of thought.

Do you have any questions about anything we have talked about so far?

14 A. NO.

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q. Let me talk to you about one final thing, and I
want to ask you questions about your personal
information sheet.

We talked about the range of punishment for murder, and the only reason I spent any time talking about it is this: Murder is the lesser included offense of the crime of capital murder.

What does that mean? Well, as we mentioned, to commit the crime of capital murder, you have to have the aggravating circumstance that goes along with the murder because just a murder

case -- that sounds bad, doesn't it? Just a murder case. Just a case of murder has a different range of punishment than capital murder, but a murder that takes place under those aggravating circumstances, a policeman or fireman or person employed in a penal institution or one of those felonies, that is what elevates that murder up to a capital murder.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

If someone just takes another person's 9 life, he has committed murder. Now, he could 10 receive a life sentence, but he couldn't receive 11 the death penalty for the crime of murder. 12

So, murder is a lesser included offense 13 of the crime of murder (sic). 14

The way that might be significant to a juror is as follows: Imagine -- or let's go back 16 to the hypothetical I have already talked about where the man goes into the convenience store and he shoots the cashier at the store and he is caught 19 as he goes out. 20

Let's add a couple of things to our hypothetical.

Imagine there were a couple of other witnesses present, and they thought this man killed the cashier during the course of a robbery. They

didn't see any money exchange hands, but they assumed in their minds why else would a man kill a cashier that early in the morning except to rol They drew the conclusion under those her. circumstances, exciting event, it was a robbery case, but the jury hears, and let's say the man gets charged for capital murder, robbery-murder and the jury learns the man had known the cashier for two years; they had been living together for two years, and for the last couple of months, he some violent arguments over another man she was seeing, and she had told him she was moving out and out of the picture as far as her life was He was extremely jealous and angry or concerned. it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Say, he had followed her to the store and started the argument again and she told him to leave, get out of my life, et cetera. He is angry, a very jealous man, and he took the pist and shot her to death.

If that were, in fact, the case, rather than it being a robbery-murder, the jurors' prope verdict in that case would be to say not guilty of capital murder but quilty of murder, because 24 if the murder doesn't take place in the course 25

3545

one of these aggravating circumstances or situations, the man's not excused for what he has done, but he is guilty of murder rather than capital murder.

I hope that explains what I mean when I say murder is a lesser included offense of capital murder.

Now, the range of punishment is different for murder. It is five to ninety-nine years or life, and the jury can consider giving someone 10 || probation even though the jury has found someone 11 | guilty of the offense of murder. They can consider recommending probation to the judge.

Have you ever heard the phrase probation 14 as it is used down here at the courthouse? 15 Have you maybe heard of someone getting probation in 16 the papers? Are you familiar with that phrase 17 at all? 18

A. Yes. 19

1

2

3 |

4

5 |

6

7 |

8

9

12

13

Let me tell you technically how probation works. Q. 20 The jury can only consider probation where the 21 jury does -- well, two things. The jury has to 2**2** first of all be in unanimous agreement after they 23have found the man guilty that his punishment $\mathbf{24}$ should be ten years in the penitentiary or less. 25

If the jury deems the man or woman who has committed the crime, whatever the crime might be, deserves to spend a greater period of time in the penitentiary than ten years, they don't even consider probation.

Probation only comes into play where 6 the jury, in unanimous agreement, all twelve jurors 7 agree this is the type of case where the person on 8 trial, given all the facts of the crime, given the 9 reason for the killing or the reason for it taking 10 place, any type of mitigating evidence we might 11 have heard, they say, "We feel this person should 12 not have to spend any more than ten years in the 13 penitentiary. In fact, we feel like six years or 14 seven years is the proper punishment for this 15 person." Then the jury can discuss and consider 16 among themselves whether they feel like it is also 17 a proper case for probation. 18

19Do you follow me on how probation comes20into play?

21 A. Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

Q. And then, of course, the jury also has to
unanimously agree probation is the proper
recommendation if they recommend it. If the jury
unanimously agrees it is and they recommend it to

the judge, he must go along with the jurors' recommendation when the person is placed on probation rather than go to the penitentiary, and that means they will have certain conditions imposed by the Court to live up to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Those conditions are to report to a probation officer once a month; work at suitable employment; avoid persons or places of harmful or disreputable character; avoid the use of narcotics -- I think it says narcotics or habitforming drugs -- remain at one address; don't move until you tell your probation officer where you are moving to and get their permission; don't go out of the county until you tell your probation officer and get a pass; don't commit any -- don't break any laws or you will get your probation revoked.

That is generally how probation works, and I wanted to explain that to you.

The reason I explained it to you, if you were a juror on a case and had found someone guilty of a felony offense, even the crime of murder, can you in your own mind think of facts and circumstances where you would feel or be able to, rather, consider the question of probation as a

possible range of punishment even though I may have found someone guilty even of the crime of murder? Can you think of facts and circumstances even in that type of case, a murder case, where you would be able to consider the question of probation? I believe so.

Well, some jurors have a difficult time considering facts and circumstances, and we give them hypotheticals, but I wanted to see if in your own mind you can think of some, and I think you can.

Any questions so far?

A. NO.

1

4

ñ.

7

10 |

12

16

3

19

_J

Ľ

4 || 1 A.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Q. Okay. Let me ask you some personal questions here.

You have a twenty-two-year-old college student. Where does he attend college at? Bethany Nazarene College in Oklahoma City. Where does your nineteen-year-old work? What store?

A. He works at a pawnshop in Pasadena.
 Q. And your eighteen-year-old, where does he go to

school?

 $_{22}$ A. He is out of school right now.

_3 Q. Working or living --

A. He is a part-time student. Actually, he is a very troubled boy. He is not working at the moment,

and he is not attending school at the moment. Is he living with you in your --He is living with his father. And your fifteen-year-old and fourteen-year-old, where do they go to school? They go to Nimitz High School. Nimitz? Nimitz. Where is Seminar? Where is that? By Greenspoint Mall. Okay. What type of occupation did your father engage in when you were growing up, if he is not still actively employed, and if he is not deceased, what is his occupation? He is deceased, but he was a welder. And your mom, did she ever work outside the home? No. Any brothers and sisters in your family? I have two brothers and three sisters. Well, generally, when people have only a couple of family relatives, I ask what they all do, but I will save you that.

).

Q.

<u>,</u>∼**∖.**

Q.

A.

Q.

A.

What type of reading do you like to do? Fiction, historical fiction? Biographies.

		· ·
1	Q.	Let me ask you to put yourself in some categories
2		for me, if you could.
3		If you can, could you list your feelings
4		for me concerning the death penalty as a proper
5		punishment, generally speaking, as a proper
6		punishment for the commission of the crimes we
7		mentioned, certain crimes? It obviously doesn't
8		apply to all murder cases, but I just want you to
9		list your feelings in a general sense.
10		Can you tell me if your feelings would
11		fall into being reluctantly in favor of the death
12		penalty, moderately in favor of it, strongly in
13		favor of it? Can you place yourself in one of those
14		categories? If you can't, do the best you can.
15	Α.	I would say moderate.
16	Q.	Politically speaking, tell me about your political
17		philosophies. Can you tell me if you are moderate,
18		conservative, or liberal?
19	А.	I think I would tend to be conservative.
20	Q.	Okay. You know, Ms. Whiteford, I have been asking
21		you a number of questions.
22		Have you any questions involving the
23		procedures we have talked about already, or anything
24		that has come up in your mind about anything we
25		have talked about so far?
		3551

1

/-(.;

111

ſ

ļ

A. No, sir.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

a yiti

¥1.

Q Is there any reason you feel you could not be fair and impartial to either side in this case if you were selected to serve?

5 A. No, I don't.

Q One final thing, and that is this: I think the evidence will show the Defendant in this case is, in fact, an illegal alien. I think you will be aware of that fact if you are asked to serve on a jury panel at the conclusion of all the testimony, and the only thing I ask you is this: If you feel you can't do this, that is fine.

The way I perceive this is this: A man should not be found guilty or not guilty of any crime, particularly a serious one, because he is an illegal alien. The evidence should determine whether he is guilty or not guilty.

Do you agree?

19 A. Yes, I do.

Q. Of course, the fact that a person is in someone
else's country unlawfully or has come into a
country illegally could be evidence the jury could
consider about what type of person the man is.

MR. ELIZONDO: Objection, Your Honor. That is a misstatement of the law.

1 THE COURT: Overruled. 2 (By Mr. Moen) I am not talking about guilt or Q. innocence. No man should be found guilty or not 3 guilty because a man is an illegal alien. 4 5 I think you agree with me, do you not? A. Yes, I do. 6 I am going to ask you one thing. If you agree to 7 Q. serve on the jury panel, I expect you to say by 8 your verdict not guilty if I don't prove my case, 9 and can you do that? 10 A. 11 Yes. And if I do prove my case to you beyond a reasonable Q. 12 doubt, I will expect you to follow your oath as a 13 juror and to say by your verdict guilty. Can you 14 do that? 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 These two questions to my left, I mentioned this Q. 17 earlier and I am going to go and explain it a little 18 bit more. 19 The jurors don't automatically answer 20 these questions yes because they have found someone 21 guilty of capital murder. 22In fact, the jury could find someone 23 guilty of capital murder and answer the first 24 question no because of the part that individual 25

played in the commission of the capital murder.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

You see, sometimes crimes are committed by more than one individual. Sometimes parties act together. That is what we used to call accomplices and accessories to a crime. That is what we now call parties, two or more individuals getting together to commit a crime and sometimes the death of an individual during the course of one of those crimes results from the actions of only one person rather than all two or three together, so you see that basically Question 1 is a question answered about an individual found guilty; what part did he play; what part did his actions contribute to the death of the deceased, if any at all, and I think you could probably perceive in your own mind of some situations where people act together to commit a crime.

One person might be extremely responsible 18 for the death of an individual, and the other 19 person might be unaware at the time the death is 20 taking place, although he was involved in the 21 crime. It is not a question automatically answered 22 yes just because the jurors have found someone 23 guilty. They answer it from the evidence, and 24 what does the evidence tell them about the part 25

the individual played in the commission of capital murder. Do you follow me on that? A. 4 Yes, I do. Thank you for letting me visit with you, and I 5 Q. appreciate your kind attention and bearing with us :6 7 all day. I will pass you to the Defense and they 8 will have questions. 9 10 1 EXAMINATION 12 QUESTIONS BY MR. ELIZONDO: 13 Good morning, Ms. Whiteford. How are you? Q. A. Fine. 15 It's been a long five weeks, and I think we will Q. 16 soon be through. 17 We've already got twelve jurors and you 18 will be number thirteen or the alternate if you are 19 chosen. 20 I want to talk to you a little about a 21capital murder case. 22 In all criminal trials in Texas, they 23 all have two parts. The first part is the guilt-24 or-innocence stage. The second part is the 3555

punishment phase. 1 2 I am going to talk to you a little bit about the guilt-or-innocence phase. 3 4 The prosecutors talked to you in great detail, as they always do in a capital murder 5 case, on the punishment phase. 6 I want to talk to you about the guilt-or-7 innocence phase, if I may. 8 In all trials, the State has the burden 9 of proving its case to you beyond a reasonable 10 doubt. It has to prove to you beyond a reasonable 11 doubt that on a particular day in Harris County, 12 Texas, this Defendant shot and killed a police 13 officer in the lawful discharge of an official 14 duty knowing at the time he was a police officer. 15 They must prove that to you beyond a reasonable 16 doubt. 17 The term reasonable doubt will not be 18 defined for you because there is no legal 19 definition for the word or the term reasonable 20 doubt. About all I can do is give you a 21 comparison. 2**2**

Across the street in the civil courthouse at 301 Fannin where they try lawsuits over property, over money, over contract disputes, over

23

24

1 personal injuries, the burden of proof over there 2 is by a preponderance of the evidence, the greater weight of the credible evidence, and that side 3 wins. 4 On this side of the criminal courthouse 5 -- on this side of the street in the criminal 6 courthouse, the legislature said that the State 7 of Texas will have a heavier burden than in civil 8 lawsuits, and that burden will be proof beyond a 9 reasonable doubt. 10 Now, you can see it is a heavier burden. 11 Do you agree with that or disagree with 12 that? 13 A. Yes, I do. 14 You do agree with that? Q. 15 A. Yes, I do. 16 You can see -- and that is probably right -- before Q. 17 a person can be found guilty of capital murder and 18 subject themselves to one of two possible 19 punishments, life or death, before the State of 20 Texas can ask somebody to kill somebody, they'd 21 better have the right man. 22Do you agree with that? 23 Yes, I do. A. 24 How strongly do you agree with that? Q. 25

Extremely.

A.

Q,

The way they go about and prove their case to you or to the twelve jurors' satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt is by calling witnesses. They come by and take the same stand where you are sitting, the same chair you are sitting in, and they ask questions of them and they give their version of the facts, the evidence, et cetera.

After they get through with the witnesses giving their version of the facts, they will then rest their case. That means, "That is all we have."

The Defendant can, if he chooses, he can also rest his case and not put on one bit of evidence, because the State has brought the charges. Now they've got to prove them beyond a reasonable doubt.

So, the Defense does not have to put on any evidence whatsoever for any reason.

Do you agree with that or disagree? Yes, I do.

Let's assume for a minute that the State has rested its case and we, for whatever reason, have decided not to put on evidence. You go in the jury deliberation room and you are thinking to

yourself and you are saying, "You know, in my 1 2 mind, I think he did it. Maybe he did it. He could have done it, but they haven't proven this 3 case to me to my satisfaction beyond a reasonable 4 5 doubt." 6 In that situation, what would your 7 verdict be? It would have to be not guilty. Ą. 8 Okay. Can you see where you might get put in a 9 Q. switch or in a position where you might say to 10 yourself: It is a pretty bad crime. An innocent 11 police officer got killed. Another innocent 12 bystander got killed, and another police officer 13 got five bullets pumped into him, and you are 14 sitting back there saying, "He could have done it. 15Maybe he did it, but they haven't proven this case 16 to me beyond a reasonable doubt." 17 Can you come back and say, "Mr. Bax and 18 Mr. Moen, you did a good job, but you haven't proven 19 it beyond a reasonable doubt, and I find him not 20 guilty"? 21 Can you do that? 22 Yes, I could. A. 23 I guess the Defendant -- probably the Defendant Q. 24 will testify, and if he does testify, he can be 25 3559

impeached or discredited with prior felony 1 convictions that have occurred within the last 2 ten years, let's say. You can use that if it is 3 proven to you that he has been convicted of any 4 prior felony convictions. You can use that to judge 5 his credibility or anybody else's credibility. 6 The Defendant can call witnesses. If 7 he calls a witness, I will suggest to you or submit 8 to you there will be two diametrically opposed 9 stories, two versions of the facts: He did it 10 and no, he didn't. 11 It will be your job then as a juror under 12 your oath to resolve those conflicts. 13 Do you think you could do that? 14 Yes, I do. A. 15 It will be your job as a juror to be the judge Q. 16 of the facts. There will be twelve judges of the 17 facts and one judge of the law. Judge Oncken will 18 decide on the admissibility of inadmissibility of 19 the exhibits and on the questions of law. 20 You, as a juror, will determine or 21 resolve the conflicts of testimony and be the 22 judge of the facts. 23 This is a pretty emotional case. A 24 police officer, like I say, was killed in action. 25 3560

An innocent bystander got killed, and another 1 police officer got five bullets put into him. 2 It is pretty emotional. 3 I would speculate that the officer's 4 widow will testify, and maybe his children. 5 I don't know, and it might get pretty emotional. 6 Under your oath as a juror, it will be 7 your job to hear the evidence and base your verdict 8 upon the law and the evidence so help you God, 9 not emotions. 10 Will you be able to separate emotions 11 from the facts? 12 A. Yes. 13 If the Defendant is found guilty of capital murder, Q. 14 then there is only two possible punishments, life 15 or death, and, of course, that is determined by 16 how you answer those two questions. 17 In the first question, the word 18 deliberately is underlined, and that is because 19 there is no legal definition for the word 20 deliberately, and it is underlined to draw 21 attention to it for you anyway. It is not 22 underlined in the jury charge. 23 It is underlined right now, and I want 24 to ask you: What do you think the word 25

1 deliberately means? 2 With a purpose. A. 3 0. With a purpose. 4 Again, there is no legal definition of the word deliberately. About all I can do is give 5 you some comparisons, because we know there is a 6 definition of the word deliberately, but no legal 7 definition for the word deliberately. 8 I will give you an example. 9 10 For example, you will go into the jury deliberation room and you will deliberate on that 11 man's guilt or innocence, and that to me would 12 indicate that you would think about or ponder 13 about with measurable consideration. 14 Do you have the same meaning for the 15 word, or do you have a different meaning for the 16 17 word? That is what the word means. A. No. 18 The second question is asking you to more or less Ç. 19 foretell or forecast the future. 20 Do you agree with that? 21 A. Yes. 22 The word probability there is underlined. Q. 23Some people say the word probability means chances are, 24 more likely than not. 25

į		
1		What do you think it means?
2	A.	That is about what it means.
3	Q.	Do you think that a person can change his mode
4		of operations, mode of behavior, mode of conduct?
5	A.	I would hope so.
6	Q.	It's probable, isn't it?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	In Questions 1 and 2, both questions, the State must
9		prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that the
10		answer to those questions should be yes, and if
11		they don't prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt
12		that the answers to those two questions should be
13		yes, then it is your duty, under your oath, to
14		answer them no.
15		Do you agree with that?
16	Α.	Yes, I do.
17	ç.	Question No. 2 is asking you to predict the future
18		as to whether or not the Defendant will commit
19		criminal acts of violence, more than one act,
20		that would constitute a continuing threat to
21		society.
22		Do you think the penitentiary is a
23		society?
24	Α.	Yes, I do.
25	Q.	Would you make the State prove to you that there
		3563

.

1

(, ,

An and the second se

1		is a probability that this Defendant, is he is
2		found guilty, would commit criminal acts of
3		violence, more than one act, that would constitute
4		a continuing threat to society?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	Okay. Of course, a lesser included offense of
7		capital murder is murder, and not because it is
8		lesser in my eyes, but because the penalty range
9		is lesser, the penalty range being no less than
10		five years nor more than ninety-nine years or
11		life, and a fine of up to ten thousand dollars
12		can be imposed.
13		The legislature, when they drew that
14		penalty range, realized there are many, many
15		different ways that murder can be committed, and
		different ways that murder can be committed, and that is why there is a wide range of punishment,
15		
15 16		that is why there is a wide range of punishment,
15 16 17		that is why there is a wide range of punishment, and I want to get it here in my mind.
15 16 17 18		that is why there is a wide range of punishment, and I want to get it here in my mind. Can you, in the proper case in your
15 16 17 18 19	А.	that is why there is a wide range of punishment, and I want to get it here in my mind. Can you, in the proper case in your own mind, consider probation, as little as five
15 16 17 18 19 20	А. Q.	<pre>that is why there is a wide range of punishment, and I want to get it here in my mind. Can you, in the proper case in your own mind, consider probation, as little as five years' probation, for a murder case?</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		<pre>that is why there is a wide range of punishment, and I want to get it here in my mind. Can you, in the proper case in your own mind, consider probation, as little as five years' probation, for a murder case? It would depend on the circumstances. Sure, but you can consider it? I can consider it, yes.</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22	Q.	<pre>that is why there is a wide range of punishment, and I want to get it here in my mind. Can you, in the proper case in your own mind, consider probation, as little as five years' probation, for a murder case? It would depend on the circumstances. Sure, but you can consider it? I can consider it, yes. In the proper case in your own mind, whatever</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A.	<pre>that is why there is a wide range of punishment, and I want to get it here in my mind. Can you, in the proper case in your own mind, consider probation, as little as five years' probation, for a murder case? It would depend on the circumstances. Sure, but you can consider it? I can consider it, yes.</pre>

		1
1	A.	Yes.
2	Ø	The Defendant, it may come to your knowledge,
3		is an illegal alien.
4		I forgot when it happened. It may have
5		happened three months ago or six months ago, but
6		it happened, I guess, about six months ago, I guess,
7		that the Supreme Court came down with the decision
8		that the children of illegal aliens had a right
9		to a free education.
10		Remember that?
11	Α.	Yes, I do.
12	Q.	What was your first reaction when you heard that?
13	A.	I feel the children should have an education if
14		they are going to be in this country. They are
15		not responsible for what their parents do.
16	Q.	I guess you feel everybody should have an education?
17	A.	I don't think they should be punished because of
18		the parents.
19	Q.	Well, it may come to your knowledge that he is
20		an illegal alien, this Defendant in this case,
21		Ricardo Aldape Guerra.
2 2		Can you give him a fair and impartial
23		trial in this case?
24		Yes, sir.
25	Q.	Knowing he is an illegal alien?
		3565

·

	A.		
T	A.	Yes,	sir

(

ł

1

A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT

	G.	
2	Q	You know, I was brought up to always believe
3		police officers, to always obey them, and now when
4		we get into a court of law, I've got to ask you
5		certain questions regarding police officers.
6		Would you automatically give a police
7		officer more credibility prior to his testimony
8		in court solely because he is a police officer?
9	A.	No, sir.
10	Q.	So then I guess you believe like everybody else,
11		that police officers are human and that they also
12		can make mistakes?
13	A.	That is right.
14	Q.	They have the same human frailties that you and
15		I do?
16	Α.	That is right.
17	Q.	Let me see I've forgotten what it is now.
18		Yes. I just remembered.
19		What do you think about eyewitness
20		testimony? Do you believe or do you think that
21		a person can be mistaken about a particular
2 2		identification of somebody?
23	Α.	Yes, I do.
24	Ĵ.	Have you ever gone up to somebody and said, "Hi,
25		Joe," or, "Hi, Mary," and Mary or Joe turns around,
		3566

•

1		and you turn all shades of red because it is not
2		Joe or Mary?
3	A.	It has happened.
4	Q	I know it has happened to me before. Has it happened
5		to you before?
6	A.	Yes, it has.
7	Ũ	Ms. Whiteford, it's been a pleasure talking to
8		you, and I look forward to seeing you on the jury
9		panel.
10		MR. ELIZONDO: That is all we have,
11		Judge. We pass.
12		MR. MOEN: We will accept Ms. Whiteford.
13		MR. ELIZONDO: We would gladly accept
14		her also.
15		THE COURT: Ms. Whiteford, you will be
16		the thirteenth or alternate juror in this case.
17		In the event that one of the other twelve becomes
18		disabled to serve for any reason. you will be
19		stepping in in that place. We do that because it
20		has taken us five weeks to pick a jury, and we
21		don't want anything to happen and go through five
2 2		more weeks to do that.
23		THE JUROR: Right.
24		THE COURT: We will begin the testimony
25		in this case on Monday, and I anticipate that we
		3567

. (

will begin about 10:00 o'clock on that day, so I would ask that you be back at 10:00 o'clock on Monday morning, and in the meantime, if there is any publicity about the case in any form of the media, newspaper or television, I would admonish you to studiously avoid listening to or reading anything about this case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That is true now and after we begin the testimony, and certainly after the testimony begins, I am confident there will be media coverage in all three of those media, and I would strongly urge the jury at that time to avoid reading, watching, or listening to anything if anything comes on the newspapers, radio, or television. They are to turn it off immediately and not read it, watch it, or listen to it. 16

If you would, stand and raise your right hand to be sworn as a juror.

(Ms. Whiteford was sworn as a juror.)

THE COURT: Do you have any questions to ask me about?

THE JUROR: Just as an alternate, does that mean I will be back here or where?

THE COURT: I would anticipate you would be out here listening to everything that

goes on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We will see you on Monday morning. I would expect you to make arrangements to spend at least a week with us. There may be one or two nights you will have to stay with us in a hotel, but I can't tell you whether that is true. It might be possible. You might want to make arrangements. THE JUROR: I need some sort of slip for

work.

THE COURT: The bailiff will provide that for you.

Thank you so much.

(Ms. Penrice and Ms. Compton were brought into the courtroom, whereupon the following proceedings were had.)

THE COURT: Ms. Penrice and Ms. Compton, we have now completed the selection of this jury. I guess that is good news and bad news. You have been down with us all day, and I appreciate your patience.

I know you don't fully understand why you had to sit in the courtroom all day long. It would probably take me the rest of the afternoon to try to explain it to you.

Picking a jury in a capital case is the most exasperating thing you can go through. It takes your time and takes the juror's time, and whether you believe it, you have served a very vital purpose here, and we appreciate your willingness to come and serve on the jury here.

Thank you very much.

(At this time the prospective jurors left the courtroom.)

MR. ELIZONDO: Judge, for the record, prior to trial, we filed a motion to wait to exercise our challenges to the jury until the entire venire had been examined and selected, and we objected to the constitutionality of 35.13 of <u>Vernon's Annotated Code of Criminal Procedure</u>, and now, after we've seen the entire venire, we could see we would have made different selections in our jurors. We would have struck Larry Douthitt, Stephen Busby, Ana Petty, and would have taken Charles Krezinski, Wanda Oliver, and Charles Gougenheim, and for that reason, we are again objecting to having had to make our strikes pursuant to 35.13.

THE COURT: Well, your objection is verruled.

MR. ELIZONDO: Note our exception. **9 3**

:1